

# Coherent and Coincident Analyses of LIGO-Virgo Data from the Third Observing Run

**Tesi di laurea magistrale in Fisica**



**SAPIENZA**  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

Relatore:  
Dr. Francesco Pannarale Greco

Laureanda:  
Giada Caneva Santoro

Anno Accademico 2020/2021


# GRAVITY: FROM APPLES TO RIPPLES

Einstein Field Equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$


Linearised Field Equations

$$\square \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$$



Abbott et al. (2016) Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102


## GRAVITATIONAL WAVES



# GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTION

Network of detector required.

★ COINCIDENCE OF DETECTIONS:  
confidence that signal is from  
extraterrestrial sources, rather than from  
noise.





★ SKY LOCALISATION: triangulation techniques based on the time delay in more than two detectors.

**Multi Messenger Astronomy:** given an accurate sky location, a corresponding EM transient


- identified in a list of events obtained with the all-sky telescope surveys,
- guide EM instruments to take images of a small area in the sky.

★ Smaller sky localisation, larger signal-to-noise ratio.

# COMPACT BINARY COALESCENCE (CBC)



**O1:** September 12, 2015 - January 19, 2016  
**O2:** November 30, 2016 - August 25, 2017  
**O3a:** April 1, 2019 - 30 September, 2019



# CBC SEARCHES

- **ONLINE:** detect and report events with sub-minute latencies.
- **OFFLINE:** data calibration and data quality to produce a more sensitive search.

## PyGRB

- Targeted coherent search
- Follow-up to EM transient (GRBs)
- Analysis of three GRBs in O3a data published in [arXiv:2010.14550](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14550)

## PyCBC

- All-sky coincident search
- Targets all kind of CBC
- Background analysis of a chunk of O3b data  
(yet to be published by LVC)

# GAMMA RAY BURSTS

Farthest and brightest explosions in the Universe (1 keV–10 MeV).


## Short Gamma Ray Burst ( $T_{90} < 2\text{s}$ )

- More highly-energetic (hard) gamma rays
- Fainter afterglow
- Offset relative to their host galaxy center
- Baryon-poor environments
- Observation in all type of host galaxies

MERGER  
PROGENITOR  
HYPOTHESIS

## Long Gamma Ray Burst ( $T_{90} > 2\text{s}$ )


- Observed only in star-forming galaxies
- Direct associations of LGRBs with core-collapse supernovae



# SHORT GAMMA RAY BURSTS

Necessary condition for SGRB ignition: presence of NS.

**PROGENITOR  
CANDIDATES**



**BNS**

Confirmed by the joint detection of  
GW170817 and GRB 170817A.

[Abbott *et al* 2017 *ApJL* **848** L13]


**NSBH**

- Potential source, no observed NSBH so far
- Not all systems are “bright”

# SHORT GAMMA RAY BURSTS

NSBH MERGER SCENARIO


$$d_{\text{tid}} \lesssim R_{\text{ISCO}}$$



$M_{\text{rem}}$

- indicator of potential GRB source
- estimation through models fitted to numerical simulations

$$d_{\text{tid}} \gtrsim R_{\text{ISCO}}$$



EM  
BRIGHT

# EM-BRIGHT TEMPLATE BANK

PyGRB template bank targets potentially EM-bright CBC

Point is accepted and added to the bank if conditions are satisfied

★ BNS ( $M_1, M_2 < 2.8 M_\odot$ )

or

★ NSBH with  $M_{\text{rem}} > 0$


Minimum Match: 0.97

=

Max Signal loss: 10%

**NEW  $M_{\text{rem}}$  MODEL IMPLEMENTED IN O3a TEMPLATE BANK TO PROCESS ALL GRBs**

# EM-BRIGHT TEMPLATE BANK



## BANK PARAMETER SPACE

$M_1 = \text{BH mass}$   
 $M_2 = \text{NS mass}$   
 $S_1 = \text{BH spin}$

## BANK EFFECTUALNESS TESTS

Recovery of 10,000 injections  
for BNS and NSBH sets

## EFFECTIVE FITTING FACTOR

$\text{FF}_{\text{eff}} = 99\%$   
(6% signal loss)

# THE PyGRB PIPELINE

## TARGETED

- Sky location and time of an observed SGRBs are known.
- GW detector sensitivities.

## COHERENT

- Data from all detectors combined and then processed.
- Single statistic for full network.

## GW SEARCH TRIGGERED BY SGRBs

- “on-source” : filtered against template bank; list of trigger is produced.
- “off-source” : *background* with time slides, divided in *off-source trials*, analysed and list of loudest trigger is produced.
- Comparison between on-source and off-source results.
- FAP is computed.


- ***on-source* data:** 5s before and 1s after GRB; potentially contains GW signal.
- ***off-source* data:** contains no GW signal.

**Analysis efficiency:** re-perform analysis on data stream + injection sets.


If no GW signal is present, lower bound on distance is set.

# THE PyGRB ANALYSIS

BNS



NSBH



## CLOSED BOX

- Check loudest trigger's re-weighted SNR.
- Check consistency tests (chi-squared) results.
- Check injection sets results.

## RESULTS DISCUSSION

Three LVC calls to assess the quality of the results and decide whether to open the box or further background investigations are needed.

## OPEN BOX

- Check FAP
  - Detection is claimed if  $\geq 10^{-4}$
  - Otherwise exclusion distances from injection campaign are reported

# ANALYSIS RESULTS

| GRB       | FAP   | BNS<br>$D_{90}$ (Mpc) | Generic NSBH<br>$D_{90}$ (Mpc) | Aligned NSBH<br>$D_{90}$ (Mpc) |
|-----------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 190425089 | 0.075 | 204                   | 247                            | 440                            |
| 190627A   | 0.481 | 115                   | 139                            | 211                            |
| 190728271 | 0.513 | 160                   | 204                            | 272                            |

- NSBH binaries are more massive than BNS and thus can be detected at further distances.
- Precession in NSBH systems lower the detection distance.
- The sensitivity and number of operating IFOs affect the recovered 90% confidence exclusion distances.





# BACK UP SLIDES

# Post-merger remnant mass

$$\frac{M_{\text{rem}}^{\text{model}}}{M_{\text{NS}}^b} = \alpha(3q)^{1/3}(1 - 2C_{\text{NS}}) - \beta \frac{R_{\text{ISCO}}}{R_{\text{NS}}}$$

$$\frac{M_{\text{rem}}^{\text{model}}}{M_{\text{NS}}^b} = \left[ \max \left( \alpha \frac{1 - 2C_{\text{NS}}}{\eta^{1/3}} - \beta \frac{R_{\text{ISCO}} C_{\text{NS}}}{\eta M_{\text{BH}}} + \gamma, 0 \right) \right]^\delta$$

# Bank hole