DYADIC LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE AND ARITHMETIC MOTIVES OVER $\mathbb{Z}/2^N\mathbb{Z}$: NEW FOUNDATIONS OF CONGRUENCE ARITHMETIC GEOMETRY

PU JUSTIN SCARFY YANG

Abstract. Building on the dyadic zeta framework developed in Part I, we initiate a systematic study of dyadic modular forms, Hecke operators, congruence motives, and automorphic representations over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ and their inverse limits. We propose a version of the Langlands correspondence in the dyadic arithmetic world, define dyadic motives and cohomology, and suggest a new class of 2-adic automorphic L-functions arising from these congruence-theoretic structures. Our theory opens a modular, reflection-symmetric, and stable analytic geometry over dyadic integer rings.

Contents

Date: May 4, 2025.

1. Introduction and Motivation

The Langlands program unifies the theory of automorphic forms, Galois representations, and motives over global fields. Traditional realizations rely on number fields or function fields, with deep analytic structures (e.g., adeles, classical L-functions) and geometric categories (e.g., étale cohomology, Shimura varieties).

In this work, we seek a new foundational framework: *dyadic arithmetic geometry*. This approach is rooted entirely in the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ and its inverse limit $\mathbb{Z}_2$, avoiding both $\mathbb{C}$ and p-adic fields like $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Context from Part I. In Part I, we constructed the modular dyadic zeta functions:

$$\zeta_n(s) := \sum_{\substack{1\leq a<2^n \\ a\equiv 1 \bmod 2}} \frac{1}{a^s} \mod 2^n,$$

and showed that they satisfy a modular functional equation via a dyadic Gamma function:

$$\Xi_n(s) := \zeta_n(s) \cdot \Gamma_{2^n}(s) \equiv \Xi_n(1-s) \mod 2^n.$$

This motivated the formulation and partial proof of the *Dyadic Riemann Hypothesis*, which asserts the symmetric vanishing of $\zeta_n(s)$ around a dyadic critical center.

Goals of This Paper. The aim of this second paper is to build the geometric and automorphic infrastructure around these dyadic functions. Specifically, we aim to:

- Define dyadic modular forms over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ and their Hecke operators;
- Construct congruence-level automorphic L-functions and interpret $\zeta_n(s)$ within this framework;
- Develop dyadic motives and cohomology theories suitable for modular and Galois correspondences;
- Propose a dyadic Langlands correspondence matching dyadic Galois representations to modular eigensystems;
- Extend the structure to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and interpret the inverse limit theory in derived motivic terms.

These constructions aim to establish a new modular geometry purely over finite dyadic arithmetic, enabling modular and cohomological tools to function without reference to classical real, complex, or even p-adic analytic spaces.

2. Dyadic Modular Forms and Congruence Hecke Operators

We now define modular forms over the dyadic congruence rings $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ and formulate their Hecke theory. These forms are analogues of classical or p-adic modular forms, but now entirely over finite dyadic rings with reflection symmetry mod 2^n.

2.1. Moduli Interpretation over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Classical modular forms may be interpreted as sections of line bundles over moduli stacks of elliptic curves. For the dyadic setting, we consider:

Definition 2.1. *A* dyadic modular form of weight k modulo 2^n *is a function:*

$$f : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$$

satisfying:

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z), \quad \textit{for all } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma.$$

Here, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}}$ is the dyadic analogue of the upper half-plane, interpreted formally as a moduli set of q-expansions over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$.

2.2. **q-Expansion Principle and Fourier Coefficients.** Each dyadic modular form admits a formal Fourier expansion:

$$f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We define the space of weight-k modular forms:

$$M_k(2^n) := \{f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}[[q]] \mid f \text{ satisfies dyadic modularity of weight } k\}.$$

2.3. **Congruence-Level Hecke Operators.** Let $T_m^{(2^n)}$ denote the Hecke operator acting on $M_k(2^n)$. It is defined via the usual double coset:

$$T_m^{(2^n)} f(z) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{ad=m \\ 0 \le b < d}} f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right) \mod 2^n.$$

This action respects the ring structure and stabilizes $M_k(2^n)$.

2.4. **Hecke Eigenforms over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$.** Let $f \in M_k(2^n)$ be a Hecke eigenform if:

$$T_m^{(2^n)} f = \lambda_m^{(2^n)} \cdot f, \quad \forall m.$$

The sequence of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_m^{(2^n)}\}$ gives rise to the local L-function:

$$L_n(f, s) := \sum_{m \ge 1} \lambda_m^{(2^n)} m^{-s}.$$

This function generalizes $\zeta_n(s)$ when $f(q) = \sum q^n$, i.e., when f is the constant Eisenstein series modulo 2^n.

2.5. **Example: Dyadic Eisenstein Series.** Define the dyadic Eisenstein series of weight k:

$$E_k^{(2^n)}(q) := 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \mod 2^n,$$

where $\sigma_{k-1}(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}$ and B_k is the k-th Bernoulli number.

For small k and n, $E_k^{(2^n)}$ satisfies:

$$T_m^{(2^n)} E_k^{(2^n)} = \lambda_m^{(2^n)} \cdot E_k^{(2^n)}.$$

2.6. **Reflection Symmetry in q-Coefficients.** Just as the dyadic zeta function satisfies $\zeta_n(s) \equiv \zeta_n(1-s)$, we conjecture that there exists a reflection operator $\mathcal{R}$ acting on $M_k(2^n)$ such that:

$$\mathcal{R}(f)(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{-n} \quad (\text{modulo } 2^n \text{ inversion}),$$

and

$$f = \mathcal{R}(f) \iff f \text{ is critical-symmetric}.$$

3. Automorphic L-Functions Modulo 2^n

In this section, we generalize the dyadic zeta functions $\zeta_n(s)$ to a broader family of automorphic L-functions over the rings $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$. These arise from dyadic modular eigenforms and exhibit symmetries compatible with the dyadic Riemann Hypothesis.

3.1. **Hecke Eigenvalues and Formal L-Series.** Let $f(q) = \sum_{m\geq 1} a_m q^m \in M_k(2^n)$ be a dyadic Hecke eigenform with:

$$T_m^{(2^n)} f = \lambda_m^{(2^n)} f.$$

We define the associated dyadic automorphic L-function by:

$$L_n(f,s) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m^{(2^n)} m^{-s} \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}[[m^{-s}]].$$

This L-series serves as a finite-level congruence analogue of the classical modular L-function.

3.2. **Euler Product Formulation.** When $\lambda_m^{(2^n)}$ satisfies multiplicativity:

$$\lambda_{mn}^{(2^n)} = \lambda_m^{(2^n)} \lambda_n^{(2^n)} \quad \text{for } \gcd(m,n) = 1,$$

we define the formal Euler product:

$$L_n(f,s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \lambda_p^{(2^n)} p^{-s} + \varepsilon_p^{(2^n)} p^{-2s}\right)^{-1},$$

with $\varepsilon_p^{(2^n)}$ the Atkin-Lehner type constants (mod 2^n).

This expression is well-defined in the ring of formal Laurent series over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$.

3.3. **Completed Automorphic L-Functions.** To incorporate dyadic symmetry, we define the completed function:

$$\Xi_n(f,s) := L_n(f,s) \cdot \Gamma_{2^n}(s).$$

Conjecture 3.1 (Functional Equation)**.** *There exists a reflection center $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and constant $C_f \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ such that:*

$$\Xi_n(f,s) = C_f \cdot \Xi_n(f, s_0 - s).$$

This generalizes the dyadic functional equation $\Xi_n(s) \equiv \Xi_n(1-s)$ for $\zeta_n(s)$.

3.4. **Examples.**

Example 1: Trivial Eisenstein Series. Let $f(q) = \sum q^m$. Then:

$$\lambda_m^{(2^n)} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad L_n(f,s) = \zeta_n(s).$$

Example 2: Nontrivial Eigenform. Let $f \in M_k(2^n)$ be a mod-2^n cusp form with distinct eigenvalues. Then:

$$L_n(f,s) \equiv 0 \mod 2^n \quad \text{for certain critical } s,$$

with the vanishing behavior reflecting modular congruence symmetry.

3.5. **Vanishing Patterns and Dyadic RH Generalization.** Let:

$$Z_n(f) := \{s \in \mathbb{Z} \mid L_n(f,s) \equiv 0 \mod 2^n\}.$$

We expect:

$$s \in Z_n(f) \iff s_0 - s \in Z_n(f),$$

for a form-specific symmetry center s_0 (e.g., $s_0 = k/2$).

This yields a generalized Dyadic Riemann Hypothesis for automorphic L-functions modulo 2^n:

$$L_n(f,s) \equiv 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad s \in \text{critical strip mod } \varphi(2^n).$$

3.6. **Toward Dyadic Modular Motives.** These L-functions, viewed as arithmetic avatars of modular forms, motivate the construction of dyadic motives $M(f)$ such that:

$$L_n(f,s) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^s \mid H^i_{\text{dyadic}}(M(f))).$$

4. Dyadic Motives and Cohomology Theories

In this chapter, we propose a theory of dyadic motives—objects capturing the geometry, Galois action, and trace structure behind dyadic modular forms and L-functions. These objects will form a category of arithmetic sheaves over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ or its derived extension.

4.1. Motivic Data over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 4.1. *A* dyadic motive over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ *is a tuple*

$$M_n := (V_n, \rho_n, \mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}, w),$$

where:

- V_n *is a finite free module over* $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$*;*
- $\rho_n : G_{\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_n)$ *is a representation of the arithmetic Galois group;*
- $\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n} \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_n)$ *is the dyadic Frobenius endomorphism;*
- $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ *is a weight, e.g., matching the modular form's weight.*

These motives are viewed as shadows of étale-like or crystalline-like cohomology objects over the dyadic congruence world.

4.2. Cohomology and Trace Formalism.

Let M_n be a dyadic motive. We define its L-function as the Frobenius trace:

$$L(M_n, s) := \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^{-s} \mid V_n).$$

This formalism matches:

$$L_n(f,s) = L(M_n, s),$$

where M_n is the motive associated to the Hecke eigenform $f \in M_k(2^n)$.

4.3. Dyadic Sheaf-Theoretic Picture.

We conjecture the existence of a stack $\mathcal{M}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$H^i_{\text{dyadic}}(\mathcal{M}_n, \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}) \cong V_n,$$

and $\zeta_n(s)$, or more generally $L_n(f,s)$, arises as:

$$L_n(f,s) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^{-s} \mid H^1_{\text{dyadic}}(\mathcal{M}_n)).$$

Remark 4.2. *This setup parallels the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula for varieties over finite fields:*

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i \,\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_q \mid H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)),$$

but in a purely dyadic modular context.

4.4. **Inverse Limit and Motives over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.** Passing to the inverse limit, we define:

Definition 4.3. *A* dyadic motive over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *is the inverse system:*

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}_2} := \varprojlim_n M_n,$$

equipped with a compatible Frobenius operator $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

The global 2-adic automorphic L-function becomes:

$$L(M_{\mathbb{Z}_2}, s) := \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{-s} \mid V_{\mathbb{Z}_2}).$$

4.5. **Relation to Dyadic RH and Modular Symmetry.** If M_n is pure of weight w, then:

$$L(M_n, s) \cdot \Gamma_{2^n}(s) \equiv L(M_n, w+1-s) \cdot \Gamma_{2^n}(w+1-s) \mod 2^n.$$

This implies:

$$\Xi_n(f, s) = \Xi_n(f, w+1-s),$$

and the Dyadic RH becomes the assertion that:

$$L_n(f, s) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow s \equiv \tfrac{w+1}{2} \mod \varphi(2^n).$$

4.6. **Outlook: Motives with Coefficients in Derived Dyadic Geometry.** We expect dyadic motives to form an ∞-category enriched over derived categories of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules. This allows spectral decompositions, higher trace formulas, and categorified Langlands correspondences to emerge.

Such structures will be formalized in the sequel on **Dyadic Motive Stacks and Derived Cohomology Theories**.

5. Galois Representations over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$

A central component of the Langlands program is the correspondence between modular forms and Galois representations. In this chapter, we construct the dyadic analogues of Galois representations arising from modular eigenforms modulo 2^n, and analyze their compatibility with the structures of dyadic motives and automorphic L-functions.

5.1. **Dyadic Galois Representations.** Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ be the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 5.1. *A* dyadic Galois representation of level n *is a continuous homomorphism:*

$$\rho_f^{(2^n)} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}),$$

associated to a dyadic Hecke eigenform $f \in M_k(2^n)$, *satisfying compatibility:*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_f^{(2^n)}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)) \equiv \lambda_\ell^{(2^n)} \mod 2^n,$$

for all but finitely many primes $\ell \nmid 2^n$.

5.2. **Existence and Modularity Lifting.** Such representations are conjecturally attached to every eigenform $f \in M_k(2^n)$, at least when the weight and level satisfy dyadic analogues of classical lifting theorems. That is:

Conjecture 5.2 (Dyadic Modularity Correspondence)**.** *For every Hecke eigenform $f \in M_k(2^n)$, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) Galois representation:*

$$\rho_f^{(2^n)} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}),$$

such that $L_n(f, s) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_f^{(2^n)}(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^{-s}))$.

5.3. **Tame and Wild Dyadic Ramification.** Let $D_2 \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the decomposition group at 2. Then:
- The image of $\rho_f^{(2^n)}(D_2)$ controls the ramification behavior at the dyadic place;
- The filtration:

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^2\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

captures refined arithmetic congruences, analogous to the upper-numbering filtration in classical ramification theory.

5.4. **Inverse Limit Representation over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.** We define the dyadic Galois representation over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by:

$$\rho_f^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)} := \varprojlim_n \rho_f^{(2^n)} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2).$$

This is compatible with the limit motive:

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}_2} := \varprojlim_n M_n(f),$$

such that:

$$L(f, s) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_f^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathrm{Frob}^{-s})).$$

5.5. **Potential Semi-Stability and Crystalline Type.** We conjecture that: - $\rho_f^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)}$ is unramified outside 2 and the level; - $\rho_f^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)}$ is crystalline at 2 in a dyadic sense (i.e., admits a filtered module over a dyadic Dieudonné-type ring).

5.6. **Categorical Outlook.** Let:

$$\mathcal{G}_{2^n} := \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

be the category of continuous dyadic Galois representations. Then:
- There is a natural contravariant functor:

$$M_k(2^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2^n}, \quad f \mapsto \rho_f^{(2^n)}.$$

- In the limit:

$$M_k(\mathbb{Z}_2) := \varprojlim_n M_k(2^n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

This sets the stage for the dyadic Langlands correspondence in the next chapter.

6. Dyadic Langlands Correspondence

We now formulate a Langland$S$-type correspondence over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ and its inverse limit $\mathbb{Z}_2$, matching dyadic modular eigenforms with Galois representations, as constructed in previous chapters.

6.1. **Statement of Correspondence at Finite Level.** Let $M_k(2^n)$ be the space of dyadic modular forms of weight k modulo 2^n, and let $\mathcal{G}_{2^n}$ denote the category of continuous two-dimensional representations:

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

We propose the following:

Theorem 6.1 (Dyadic Langlands Correspondence, Finite Level (Conjectural))**.** *There exists a bijective correspondence:*

$$\left\{ \textit{Normalized Hecke eigenforms } f \in M_k(2^n) \right\} \iff \left\{ \textit{Absolutely irreducible } \rho_f^{(2^n)} \in \mathcal{G}_{2^n} \right\},$$

such that:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_f^{(2^n)}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)) \equiv \lambda_\ell^{(2^n)} \mod 2^n,$$

for almost all primes $\ell \nmid 2$.

6.2. **Properties of the Correspondence.** This correspondence satisfies:

- **Weight Matching:** The weight k of f equals the Hodge–Tate-like filtration weight of $\rho_f^{(2^n)}$;
- **Level and Conductor:** The conductor of $\rho_f^{(2^n)}$ matches the minimal level structure of f modulo 2^n;
- **Symmetry Inheritance:** The functional symmetry $s \mapsto k+1-s$ on $L_n(f,s)$ corresponds to a self-duality condition on $\rho_f^{(2^n)}$;
- **Trace Compatibility:**

$$L_n(f,s) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_f^{(2^n)}(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^{-s})).$$

6.3. **Infinite-Level Limit over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.** Passing to the limit, we obtain:

Conjecture 6.2 (Dyadic Langlands over $\mathbb{Z}_2$)**.** *There exists an equivalence of categories:*

$$\left\{ \textit{Dyadic Hecke eigenforms } f \in M_k(\mathbb{Z}_2) \right\} \iff \left\{ \textit{Crystalline-type } \rho_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \right\},$$

compatible with L-functions, trace formulas, and reflection duality.

6.4. **Toward Local–Global Compatibility.** Let $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ be a local field. We conjecture:
- A local correspondence:

$$\left\{ \text{Representations of } \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \text{ over } \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \right\} \iff \left\{ \text{Dyadic representations of } G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \right\};$$

- A global compatibility via matching of:

$$L_\ell(f,s) \iff \det\left(1 - \rho_f(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)\cdot \ell^{-s}\right)^{-1}.$$

6.5. **Langlands Parameters and Dyadic Geometry.** Let $\varphi_f : W_{\mathbb{Q}} \to {}^L\mathrm{GL}_2$ be the hypothetical Langlands parameter associated to f. Then:
- It factors through $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients;
- Its image lands in a dyadic L-group, i.e., a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_2$;
- It induces motivic and cohomological structures on moduli stacks over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$.

This structure may be geometrically realized via moduli of dyadic shtukas, congruence G-bundles, or modular stacks with 2^n-torsion.

6.6. **Summary Diagram.**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dyadic Modular Forms } f \in M_k(2^n) & \longleftrightarrow & \rho_f^{(2^n)} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ f \in M_k(\mathbb{Z}_2) & \longleftrightarrow & \rho_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

This diagram forms the core structure of the dyadic Langlands program. We next turn to the derived geometric setting in which these correspondences are to be realized.

7. Derived Sheaf and Stack Structures over $\mathbb{Z}_2$

To unify the arithmetic and geometric aspects of the dyadic Langlands correspondence, we now develop a framework of derived stacks, sheaf theories, and cohomological tools defined over the base ring $\mathbb{Z}_2$. This provides a setting for formulating trace formulas, automorphic–Galois duality, and categorified L-functions.

7.1. **Moduli Stacks of Dyadic G-Bundles.** Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$. Consider the moduli stack $\mathcal{B}un_G^{(2^n)}$ classifying G-bundles over arithmetic curves defined modulo 2^n.

Passing to the inverse limit yields:

$$\mathcal{B}un_G^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)} := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{B}un_G^{(2^n)}.$$

We define:

Definition 7.1. *A* derived dyadic modular stack *is a derived Artin stack $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that:*

- *Its $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$-points parametrize congruence-level modular structures;*
- *It carries a sheaf of reflection-symmetric automorphic forms;*
- *Its (co)tangent complex encodes L-functions and Galois deformations.*

7.2. **Sheaves and Perverse Extensions.** Let $\mathscr{F}_n$ be a constructible sheaf on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$. Then we define:

- Derived global sections:

$$R\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}, \mathscr{F}_n) \in D^b(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

- Frobeniu$S$-trace on cohomology:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^{-s} \mid R\Gamma(\mathscr{F}_n)) := L_n(f, s).$$

- Hecke modifications as correspondences:

$$T_m^{(2^n)} : D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}) \to D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}),$$

interpreted via geometric Satake correspondence over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

7.3. **Spectral and Tannakian Structures.** Let:

$$\mathrm{QCoh}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

be symmetric monoidal ∞-categories. We propose:

Conjecture 7.2 (Categorified Dyadic Langlands Duality)**.** *There exists a spectral equivalence:*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

realized via the derived category of coherent sheaves on a stack of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-modular shtukas.

7.4. **Dyadic Trace Formula and Shtuka Stack.** Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{sht}}$ be the derived stack of dyadic shtukas. Then we formulate:

$$\boxed{\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{-s} \mid R\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{sht}}, \mathbb{Z}_2))}$$

This formula unifies:
- the arithmetic of $\zeta_n(s)$,
- the Galois traces $\rho_f(\mathrm{Frob}_{2^n}^{-s})$,
- the automorphic side via Hecke sheaves,
- and the cohomology of stacks over dyadic base.

7.5. **Categorical Summary.** We now diagram the dyadic geometry:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hecke Eigenform } f & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{F}_n & & \hookrightarrow D^b(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{mod}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}) & & \\ \rho_f^{(2^n)} & \longrightarrow & L_n(f,s) & \simeq \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}^{-s} \mid R\Gamma(\mathscr{F}_n)) & \end{array}$$

This geometric realization of dyadic Langlands opens the way toward derived trace formulas and categorified arithmetic over dyadic integer rings.

8. Comparison with Classical and p-adic Frameworks

The dyadic framework presented in this work is fundamentally different from the classical complex-analytic theory of modular forms and the p-adic analytic theories developed by Serre, Katz, and others. In this chapter, we outline key differences, analogies, and new opportunities opened by the dyadic perspective.

Theory	**Base Ring**	**Topology**	**Analyt**
Classical Langlands	$\mathbb{C}$	Euclidean (Archimedean)	Holomorphic/Au
p-adic Langlands	$\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathbb{Z}_p$	Non-Archimedean, p-adic	Rigid analytic space
Dyadic Langlands (this work)	$\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2$	Discrete-inverse limit; dyadic	Congruence modular fo

Table 1. Comparison of Topologies and Base Rings

8.1. **Topological and Analytic Differences.**

8.2. **No Analytic Continuation, Yet Rich Modularity.** Unlike in $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where analytic continuation plays a central role, the dyadic world avoids analytic continuation altogether. Yet, due to congruence-stability across mod 2^n, the dyadic framework inherits strong:
- Functional equations with symmetry $s \mapsto 1 - s$;
- Finite-level trace formulas;
- Reflection-invariant vanishing loci;
- Modular form representations and Hecke structures.

8.3. **Incompatibility with Arbitrary p.** The dyadic system developed here is intrinsically linked to the prime 2.

- There is no analogue for general p: the construction uses the binary structure of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$.
- Key identities (e.g., self-duality, reflection symmetry) fail or become trivial for $p \neq 2$.
- The dyadic Gamma function $\Gamma_{2^n}(s)$ has no known generalization to $\Gamma_{p^n}(s)$ with the same properties.

Remark 8.1. *This rigidity is a feature, not a bug: the modular symmetry and arithmetic stability come from 2's unique role in binary expansions and parity.*

8.4. **Cohomology Theories and Motives.** While p-adic Hodge theory (e.g., de Rham, crystalline, semi-stable) is central to p-adic Langlands, our dyadic theory instead focuses on:
- Finite-level cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients;
- Derived categories and inverse limits;
- Trace-of-Frobenius as primary source of L-values;
- Absence of differential forms—replaced by congruence stratification.

8.5. **Categorical Picture.** Let us schematically compare:

Langlands Type	Geometry	Arithmetic Side
Complex	Automorphic functions, Shimura varieties	Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$
p-adic	Rigid spaces, modular curves	$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$
Dyadic (this work)	Derived congruence stacks, $\mathbb{Z}_2$-motives	$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$

8.6. **New Potential and Open Questions.** The dyadic framework raises many new questions and possibilities:

- Can we formulate a full categorified dyadic Langlands parameterization?
- Are there dyadic modularity lifting theorems analogous to Wiles–Taylor?
- Can dyadic cohomology be connected to syntomic, log-crystalline, or motivic cohomologies?
- What is the nature of the hypothetical "dyadic shtuka" moduli space?

We address these in the final chapter, which outlines future directions and interdisciplinary applications.

9. Future Work: Dyadic S-duality and Motive Traces

This work opens a vast new landscape—dyadic arithmetic geometry—not only as an analogue of existing Langland$S$-type theories, but as a standalone arithmetic and geometric paradigm. We now outline the major theoretical frontiers for future research.

9.1. **Dyadic S-Duality.** Inspired by physical dualities (e.g., Montonen–Olive, electric–magnetic duality) and recent developments in geometric Langlands over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$, we propose:

Conjecture 9.1 (Dyadic S-Duality). *There exists a self-duality functor:*

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathrm{Bun}_G^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{^LG}^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)},$$

interchanging automorphic and Galois categories, such that:

$$\mathcal{D}(f) \mapsto \rho_f \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(\rho) \mapsto f.$$

This duality is realized through categorical Fourier–Mukai transforms over $\mathbb{Z}_2$-derived stacks.

9.2. **Motive Traces and Categorified Zeta.** Let $\mathscr{M}_{\text{dyad}}$ denote the ∞-category of dyadic motives. Then define:

$$\boxed{\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{-s} \mid \mathscr{M}_{\text{dyad}})}$$

This "zeta of a motive category" would satisfy:

- Functional equation: $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(1-s)$; - Reflection self-duality via Verdier/Poincaré duality; - Vanishing patterns suggesting a global cohomological symmetry.

9.3. **Dyadic Periods and Arithmetic Topology.** We conjecture that dyadic analogues of periods exist, replacing integrals with stable congruence sums:

$$\int f \longmapsto \sum_{a \bmod 2^n} f(a) \mod 2^n.$$

This could lead to dyadic versions of:
- Motivic period rings;
- Fundamental groups and torsors;
- Arithmetic braid group representations.

9.4. **Interdisciplinary Implications.** The dyadic framework has unexpected potential applications:

- **Quantum Computation:** Binary congruence levels resemble logical circuit depths; dyadic motives as qubit symmetry structures;
- **Information Theory:** Modular trace duality may underlie binary coding and error-correcting systems;
- **String Theory:** Congruence stacks resemble moduli of string vacua with discrete symmetries;
- **Topos Theory and Logic:** The dyadic spectrum of 2 may model logic with congruent truth levels.

9.5. **Long-Term Vision.** We envision a new universal arithmetic geometry emerging from this theory:
- Built not from $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$, but from the congruence structure of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$;
- With cohomology, stacks, motives, and L-functions all expressed in a parity-stable language;
- With categorical and derived symmetry replacing classical analytic continuation.

Perhaps the true Riemann Hypothesis is not over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$, but over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Acknowledgments. The author expresses infinite gratitude to all modular structures of nature.

References

[1] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, 1974.

[2] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil II*, Publ. Math. IHES **52** (1980), 137–252.

[3] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian l-adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*, A K Peters, 1998.

[4] J.-M. Fontaine and L. Illusie, *Cours de Théorie de Hodge p-adique*, 1982–1983.

[5] J. S. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[6] K. Buzzard and F. Calegari, *The p-adic Langlands Program*, MSRI Publications, 2014.

[7] O. Brinon and B. Conrad, *p-adic Hodge Theory*, available at `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/notes.pdf`

[8] L. Fargues and J.-M. Fontaine, *Courbes et fibrés vectoriels en théorie de Hodge p-adique*, Astérisque **406** (2018).

[9] D. Gaitsgory and J. Lurie, *Weil's Conjecture for Function Fields I*, preprint, arXiv:2111.01144.

[10] P. Scholze, *Perfectoid Spaces*, Publ. Math. IHES **116** (2012), 245–313.

[11] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, *Projectivity of the Witt Vector Affine Grassmannian*, Invent. Math. **209** (2017), 329–423.

[12] J. Lurie, *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*, available at `https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf`

[13] V. Lafforgue, *Chtoucas de Drinfeld et Correspondance de Langlands*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 1–241.

[14] L. Illusie, *Dégénérescence de la suite spectrale de Hodge à coefficients entiers*, Invent. Math. **90** (1987), 107–146.

[15] V. Drinfeld, *Langlands' conjecture for $GL(2)$ over function fields*, Proc. ICM (Helsinki, 1978), pp. 565–574.

[16] Hypothetical: P. J. S. Yang, *Dyadic Langlands Correspondence and Arithmetic Motives over $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$*, preprint (2025).