

New Notational System for Algebraic Structures

Pu Justin Scarfy Yang

September 03, 2024

Introduction

This document introduces a new notational system for representing algebraic structures that lie between vector spaces and fields. The system is designed to be future-proof, allowing for indefinite iterations of refinement and the introduction of new structures. We will also explain how to compare two structures within this system.

1 New Notation for Algebraic Structures

The algebraic structures are represented using the following notational format:

$$\mathbb{V}_{a_1 \dots a_n} \mathbb{Y}_{b_1 \dots b_m} \mathbb{F}_{c_1 \dots c_p}(F)$$

where:

- $\mathbb{V}_{a_1 \dots a_n}$: Represents structures with primarily vector space properties, refined across n levels, with a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n indicating specific refinements or additional structures.
- $\mathbb{Y}_{b_1 \dots b_m}$: Represents structures that blend vector space and field-like properties, refined across m levels, with b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m indicating specific properties or stages of transition toward field-like behavior.
- $\mathbb{F}_{c_1 \dots c_p}$: Represents structures with primarily field-like properties, refined across p levels, with c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p indicating stages of refinement toward a pure field structure.
- F : The base field, which could be \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , or another field of interest.

2 Explanation of Subscripts

In this section, we provide explicit meanings for each subscript:

2.1 $\mathbb{V}_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ Subscripts

- a_1 : Indicates the first level of refinement applied to the vector space. This may involve adding a structure such as a bilinear form, introducing additional constraints, or incorporating partial operations.
- a_2 : Represents a second refinement level, possibly introducing more complex algebraic interactions, such as non-associative products or conditions on linear independence.
- \vdots
- a_n : Represents the n th refinement, where each subsequent level introduces more specific or advanced structures, potentially incorporating aspects of ring theory, module theory, or other algebraic systems.

2.2 $\mathbb{Y}_{b_1 \dots b_m}$ Subscripts

- b_1 : The first stage of transition from vector space properties towards field-like behavior. This could include introducing a non-commutative multiplication operation or a non-distributive addition.
- b_2 : Represents the second stage, possibly involving the introduction of invertibility under certain operations, blending field-like behavior more strongly into the structure.
- \vdots
- b_m : The m th refinement, where each stage progressively enhances the Yang-like properties, moving closer to a full field structure but retaining some vector space characteristics.

2.3 $\mathbb{F}_{c_1 \dots c_p}$ Subscripts

- c_1 : The first level of refinement towards a field structure. This could involve ensuring that multiplication is associative or introducing inverses for multiplication.
- c_2 : Represents the second level of refinement, possibly ensuring commutativity of multiplication or the distributive property over addition.
- \vdots
- c_p : The p th refinement stage, where the structure is increasingly akin to a pure field, incorporating all necessary field axioms and possibly additional properties for special kinds of fields.

3 Examples of the New Notation

- $\mathbb{V}_1(F)$: Represents the first level of refinement beyond a vector space, introducing partial multiplication or other algebraic properties.
- $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_1(F)$: Represents a structure that combines the first level of vector space refinement with the first level of Yang-like properties.
- $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_2\mathbb{F}_1(F)$: Represents a structure that combines the first level of vector space refinement, the second level of Yang-like properties, and the first level of field-like behavior.
- $\mathbb{Y}_3\mathbb{F}_4\mathbb{V}_1(F)$: Represents a structure with complex blending of field-like behavior (fourth refinement level) and advanced Yang-like properties (third refinement level), based on a foundational vector space refinement.
- $\mathbb{V}_\infty\mathbb{Y}_\infty\mathbb{F}_{\infty,3}^{\text{lim}}(\mathbb{C})$: Represents the ultimate structure after an infinite number of refinements, including the anti-symmetric property introduced by $n = 3$, where all aspects of vector space, Yang-like, and field-like properties are fully integrated.

4 Future-Proofing the Notation

This notational system is future-proof because it allows for indefinite iteration and refinement:

- Indefinite Expansion: New structures can be added simply by increasing the number of subscripts in any of the \mathbb{V} , \mathbb{Y} , or \mathbb{F} components. For example, after $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_2\mathbb{F}_1(F)$, you could define $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_3\mathbb{F}_1(F)$, and so on.
- Incorporating New Properties: If new algebraic properties or operations are discovered or invented, they can be easily incorporated into the system by defining new subscripts or combinations of subscripts.
- Infinite Iteration: The notation accommodates infinite iterations, as seen in $\mathbb{V}_\infty\mathbb{Y}_\infty\mathbb{F}_{\infty,3}^{\text{lim}}(\mathbb{C})$. This represents the limit of the iterative process, where the structure has been refined to the fullest extent possible.

5 Comparing Two Arbitrarily Named Structures

To compare two structures named in this system, we follow these steps:

- Compare \mathbb{V} Components: Start by comparing the subscripts in the \mathbb{V} component. The structure with more subscripts or higher indices in the \mathbb{V} component generally represents a more refined or complex vector space foundation.

- Compare \mathbb{Y} Components: Next, compare the subscripts in the \mathbb{Y} component. The structure with more subscripts or higher indices here has a more advanced or complex blending of Yang-like properties.
- Compare \mathbb{F} Components: Finally, compare the subscripts in the \mathbb{F} component. The structure with more subscripts or higher indices in the \mathbb{F} component represents a more field-like behavior.
- Overall Comparison: If one structure has more refined components across \mathbb{V} , \mathbb{Y} , and \mathbb{F} , it is considered more advanced or complex. If the structures have different refinements in different components, the comparison depends on the specific context of use (e.g., which component is more critical for the problem at hand).
- Example: Compare $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_2\mathbb{F}_1(F)$ with $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_3\mathbb{F}_1(F)$:
 - The \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{F} components are the same, so the difference lies in the \mathbb{Y} component.
 - $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_3\mathbb{F}_1(F)$ is more refined in the Yang-like properties than $\mathbb{V}_1\mathbb{Y}_2\mathbb{F}_1(F)$, so it is considered more complex or advanced in that aspect.

Conclusion

The new notational system provides a clear and flexible way to describe and compare algebraic structures that lie between vector spaces and fields. By using subscripts in various positions around \mathbb{V} , \mathbb{Y} , and \mathbb{F} , this system can accommodate an infinite number of iterations, ensuring that new structures can always be added as the field of study evolves.