Supreme Court of British Columbia

Vancouver Registry

Court File No.:	

BETWEEN: **PU JUSTIN YANG**

Plaintiff

AND:
DR. FRANK L. HOU,
BC CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL,
ST. PAUL'S HOSPITAL,
LIFELABS,
VANCOUVER COASTAL HEALTH

Defendants

NOTICE OF CIVIL CLAIM

This action has been started by the Plaintiff for the relief set out in Part 2 below.

PART 1: STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Plaintiff's Background and Medical History

The Plaintiff, **Pu Justin Yang**, born January 20, 1990, underwent successful open-heart surgery in 1995 in Shanghai to correct a congenital heart defect. In August 2003, the Plaintiff immigrated to Canada with his family.

2. Events Leading to the Claim

On February 14, 2004, the Plaintiff was referred to **Dr. Frank L. Hou**, a family physician, by the UBC Marketplace Clinic for evaluation of heart-related symptoms. **Dr. Hou** then referred the Plaintiff to **BC Children's Hospital** for further treatment.

Over the following years, the Plaintiff underwent several medical procedures, including surgeries and a kidney transplant in 2009. The Plaintiff experienced severe complications due to medical negligence by the Defendants, including their failure to provide proper follow-up care and monitor his post-operative and transplant conditions.

These failures delayed the Plaintiff's ability to fully develop and work on several highly profitable and innovative projects. Due to the Defendants' negligence, the Plaintiff has been unable to capitalize on these projects in a timely manner.

3. Plaintiff's Innovations and Delayed Potential

The Plaintiff has developed a range of groundbreaking ideas, including, but not limited to:

- Ultimate Abstract Cryptography (UAC)
- Galaxa Fusion
- Modular (Meta)-Mathematical Object Based Micro-Chips
- Baby Self-Sufficiency System
- meta-UniCode[∞]Lang and meta-UniCode[∞]LangOS (updated and refined versions of UniCodeLang and UniCodeLangOS)
- Infinite Iteration AI Perpetual Motion Machine Software Using Post-Quantum Computing

The projected total revenue from these innovations over 20 years, based on market demand and industry trends, is estimated at \$2.41075 trillion CAD. The Plaintiff has also recently updated and refined these projects, potentially increasing their profitability.

4. Loss of Community Health Support Services (2009–2020)

The Plaintiff was denied necessary community health worker support and home services from 2009 to 2020. These services were critical to the Plaintiff's recovery and overall well-being, especially given his ongoing health complications. The lack of these services, which fall under the responsibility of **Vancouver Coastal Health**, caused additional strain on the Plaintiff, resulting in further delays in his ability to work on his projects and exacerbating his medical condition.

PART 2: RELIEF SOUGHT

The Plaintiff claims the following relief from the Court:

1. General Damages

Compensation for the Plaintiff's pain, suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, and permanent physical and cognitive disabilities resulting from the Defendants' medical negligence.

2. Special Damages

Compensation for past and future medical expenses, rehabilitation, ongoing dialysis treatments, community health worker services, and other necessary treatments due to the Plaintiff's long-term injuries and medical conditions.

3. Loss of Future Earnings and Business Opportunities

The Plaintiff claims compensation for **lost future earnings** and **business opportunities** resulting from the delay in fully developing and launching his innovations. The Plaintiff estimates that, based on current market projections, his innovations could generate \$2.41075 trillion CAD over 20 years. This estimate accounts for recent updates and refinements to these projects, which have the potential to generate even greater profits.

4. Costs of Future Care

Compensation for the anticipated costs of future care, including medical treatments, rehabilitation, dialysis, community health worker services, and other necessary medical procedures that the Plaintiff will require over his lifetime as a direct result of the Defendants' actions.

5. Punitive Damages

The Plaintiff claims **punitive damages** to hold the Defendants accountable for their gross negligence, misconduct, and failure to provide the appropriate standard of care. The Defendants' actions were reckless and resulted in severe, long-lasting harm to the Plaintiff, justifying punitive damages to punish the Defendants and deter similar conduct in the future.

6. Interest and Costs

The Plaintiff claims **pre-judgment interest**, **post-judgment interest**, and the costs of this action.

2

PART 3: LEGAL BASIS

The Plaintiff asserts that the Defendants, by their actions and omissions, committed the following breaches of duty and caused significant harm to the Plaintiff:

1. Negligence

The Defendants owed a **duty of care** to the Plaintiff as his healthcare providers. They breached this duty by failing to provide timely and appropriate medical care, which directly caused the Plaintiff's severe and long-term injuries.

The negligence of the Defendants, including their failure to properly monitor the Plaintiff's post-operative condition and adequately manage his kidney transplant care, resulted in significant delays in the Plaintiff's ability to pursue and develop his innovations, leading to substantial financial losses.

2. Causation

As a direct result of the Defendants' negligence, the Plaintiff suffered significant and irreversible injuries, including brain damage, kidney failure, and cognitive and physical disabilities. These injuries have severely impacted the Plaintiff's ability to work and develop his innovations, leading to substantial lost business opportunities and earnings.

3. Damages

The Plaintiff has suffered both **economic** and **non-economic damages**. Economically, the Plaintiff has lost potential profits amounting to **\$2.41075** trillion CAD due to the delay in bringing his innovations to market. Non-economically, the Plaintiff has suffered immense physical and emotional pain and a permanent reduction in his quality of life.

4. Punitive Damages

The Plaintiff seeks punitive damages to address the egregious misconduct of the Defendants. The Defendants' failure to provide the appropriate level of care, despite knowing the Plaintiff's vulnerable condition, justifies punitive damages to punish the Defendants and deter similar conduct in the future.

Dated this	day of	, 2024.
Signed:		
Pu Justin Yang Plaintiff		

1111 - 6651 Minoru Blvd, Richmond, B.C., V6Y 1Z2