# Hyper-Logical Number Theory: A Comprehensive Framework

Pu Justin Scarfy Yang August 03, 2024

#### Abstract

Hyper-Logical Number Theory explores the properties of integers within systems that extend beyond classical logic, incorporating principles from modal logic, fuzzy logic, and paraconsistent logic. This paper aims to develop a comprehensive framework for this field, extending classical number theory and identifying new applications in cryptography, artificial intelligence, and quantum computing. We generalize classical concepts, introduce new mathematical notations and operations, and explore the computational and theoretical implications of this advanced approach.

# 1 Introduction

Hyper-Logical Number Theory is a branch of mathematics that generalizes traditional number theory by integrating non-classical logics. This approach aims to address mathematical problems and concepts that classical number theory cannot handle effectively.

# 2 Fundamental Concepts

## 2.1 Hyper-Logical Integers

We define hyper-logical integers in various non-classical logics, introducing new notations and extending classical concepts.

### 2.1.1 Modal Logic

In modal logic, integers are considered within possible world semantics. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\square}$  represent the set of integers in a modal logic system with the necessity operator  $\square$  and possibility operator  $\lozenge$ . An integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\square}$  satisfies the property  $\square n$  if it holds in all possible worlds. We introduce the notation  $\square n$  to represent such integers.

### 2.1.2 Fuzzy Logic

In fuzzy logic, integers are associated with degrees of truth. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$  denote the set of fuzzy integers. Each integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is defined with a membership function  $\mu_n : \mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]$ , where  $\mu_n(m)$  indicates the degree to which m is considered n. We use the notation  $n_{\mathcal{F}}$  to represent fuzzy integers.

### 2.1.3 Paraconsistent Logic

In paraconsistent logic, integers can handle contradictions. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be the set of paraconsistent integers. An integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}$  can satisfy both n = m and  $n \neq m$  without collapsing into triviality. We denote such integers as  $n_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

# 2.2 Hyper-Logical Operations

Basic operations in hyper-logical systems are defined as follows:

### 2.2.1 Addition and Multiplication

For modal logic:

$$n + m = \Box(n + m), \quad n \times m = \Box(n \times m)$$

For fuzzy logic:

$$n + m = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \min(\mu_n(x), \mu_m(x - n)) \right\}, \quad n \times m = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \min(\mu_n(x), \mu_m\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)) \right\}$$

For paraconsistent logic:

$$n+m = \{n+m \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}\}, \quad n \times m = \{n \times m \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}\}$$

## 2.3 Hyper-Logical Properties

# 2.3.1 Primality

An integer  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\square}$  is a prime in modal logic if:

$$\square (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \ (p \mid ab \to (p \mid a \lor p \mid b)))$$

In fuzzy logic,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a prime if:

$$\sup_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}} \min(\mu_p(\min(a,b))) = 1 \to \mu_p(a) = 1 \lor \mu_p(b) = 1$$

In paraconsistent logic,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a prime if:

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}} \ (p \mid ab \to (p \mid a \lor p \mid b))$$

### 2.3.2 Divisibility

Divisibility in modal logic:

$$a \mid b \leftrightarrow \Box \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ (b = ak)$$

In fuzzy logic:

$$a \mid b \leftrightarrow \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \min(\mu_a(k), \mu_b(ak)) = 1$$

In paraconsistent logic:

$$a \mid b \leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}} \ (b = ak)$$

## 3 Theoretical Framework

## 3.1 Axioms and Rules

We establish axioms and rules governing hyper-logical number systems, ensuring consistency and completeness within each logic framework.

### 3.1.1 Modal Logic Axioms

- 1. Necessity of Integers:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \Box n \to \Diamond n$ 
  - 2. Closure under Addition:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\square}, \square(n+m) \to \square n \wedge \square m$
  - 3. Closure under Multiplication:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\square}, \square(n \times m) \to \square n \wedge \square m$

# 3.1.2 Fuzzy Logic Axioms

- 1. Membership Function:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu_n : \mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]$ 
  - 2. Fuzzy Addition:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mu_{n+m}(x) = \sup_{a+b=x} \min(\mu_n(a), \mu_m(b))$
  - 3. Fuzzy Multiplication:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mu_{n \times m}(x) = \sup_{ab=x} \min(\mu_n(a), \mu_m(b))$

### 3.1.3 Paraconsistent Logic Axioms

- 1. Consistency of Contradictions:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}, n = m \land n \neq m \nrightarrow \bot$ 
  - 2. Paraconsistent Addition:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}, (n+m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}})$
  - 3. Paraconsistent Multiplication:  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}}, (n \times m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}})$

### 3.2 Models and Simulations

We develop models to test hypotheses and validate theoretical findings, utilizing computational tools to simulate hyper-logical number systems. These simulations help in visualizing the behavior of integers and their properties in hyper-logical contexts.

# 4 Computational Aspects

## 4.1 Algorithms

We develop algorithms for computing within hyper-logical number systems, exploring computational complexity and efficiency. These algorithms are crucial for practical applications and theoretical investigations.

# 4.1.1 Algorithm for Hyper-Logical Addition

```
function hyper_logical_addition(a, b, logic_type)
  if logic_type == "modal" then
    return box(a + b)
  elseif logic_type == "fuzzy" then
    return sup(min(mu_a(x), mu_b(x - a)) for all x)
  elseif logic_type == "paraconsistent" then
    return {a + b | a, b in Z_P}
  end if
end function
```

### 4.1.2 Algorithm for Hyper-Logical Multiplication

```
function hyper_logical_multiplication(a, b, logic_type)
   if logic_type == "modal" then
        return box(a * b)
   elseif logic_type == "fuzzy" then
        return sup(min(mu_a(x), mu_b(x / a)) for all x)
   elseif logic_type == "paraconsistent" then
        return {a * b | a, b in Z_P}
   end if
end function
```

## 4.2 Applications

### 4.2.1 Cryptography

Hyper-logical number theory provides new cryptographic protocols, enhancing security through complex logical frameworks. For instance, modal logic can introduce multi-level security systems, while fuzzy logic can be used for approximate encryption.

# 4.2.2 Artificial Intelligence

Applying hyper-logical number theory to AI improves reasoning and decision-making capabilities. AI systems can benefit from fuzzy logic's ability to handle uncertainty and paraconsistent logic's capacity to manage contradictory information.

### 4.2.3 Quantum Computing

Exploring hyper-logical number theory's implications for quantum algorithms and computation can enhance quantum cryptography and error correction. Hyper-logical frameworks can model quantum states' superposition and entanglement more effectively.

# 5 Applications and Extensions

# 5.1 Interdisciplinary Applications

Hyper-logical number theory's interdisciplinary applications span fields such as cryptography, AI, and quantum computing, providing new research directions. For example, integrating hyper-logical systems in blockchain technology can offer advanced consensus algorithms.

# 5.2 Open Problems

Identifying new research directions and open problems in hyper-logical number theory. Key areas include:

- Extending hyper-logical concepts to real and complex numbers.
- Developing hyper-logical calculus and analysis.
- Exploring the interaction between different hyper-logical systems.

## 6 Conclusion

Hyper-Logical Number Theory represents a groundbreaking expansion of classical number theory, integrating principles from non-classical logics to explore new mathematical frontiers. By developing a comprehensive theoretical framework, computational tools, and practical applications, this field holds the potential to revolutionize our understanding of integers and their properties, opening up new avenues for research and innovation across multiple disciplines.

## 7 Introduction

The classical Riemann Hypothesis conjectures that all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . We extend this hypothesis to hyper-logical number theories, considering modal, fuzzy, and paraconsistent logics.

# 8 Modal Logic Analogue

We define the modal zeta function  $\zeta_{\square}(s)$  incorporating the necessity operator  $\square$ :

$$\zeta_{\square}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \square\left(\frac{1}{n^s}\right)$$

# 8.1 Modal Riemann Hypothesis

All non-trivial zeros of the modal zeta function  $\zeta_{\square}(s)$  have their real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\square\left(\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{for all non-trivial zeros $s$ of $\zeta_\square(s)$.}$$

# 9 Fuzzy Logic Analogue

We define the fuzzy zeta function  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$  using membership functions  $\mu_n$ :

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \left(\frac{1}{n^s}\right)$$

# 9.1 Fuzzy Riemann Hypothesis

All non-trivial zeros of the fuzzy zeta function  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$  have their real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$  with degree of truth  $\mu$ :

$$\mu\left(\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}\right) = 1$$
 for all non-trivial zeros  $s$  of  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$ .

# 10 Paraconsistent Logic Analogue

We define the paraconsistent zeta function  $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$  for paraconsistent integers:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^s} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}} \right)$$

# 10.1 Paraconsistent Riemann Hypothesis

All non-trivial zeros of the paraconsistent zeta function  $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$  have their real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , handling contradictions:

$$\forall s \left( s \in \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(0) \to \Re(s) = \frac{1}{2} \land \Re(s) \neq \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

# 11 Conclusion

We have proposed analogues of the Riemann Hypothesis within the frameworks of modal, fuzzy, and paraconsistent logics. These conjectures extend the classical hypothesis into hyper-logical number theories, providing new avenues for research and exploration.

#### Abstract

We propose analogues of the Riemann Hypothesis in the context of algebraic number theory within hyper-logical number systems, specifically within modal logic, fuzzy logic, and paraconsistent logic. These extensions aim to explore the behavior of Dedekind zeta functions and L-functions defined in hyper-logical frameworks and their non-trivial zeros.

# 12 Introduction

The classical Riemann Hypothesis for algebraic number fields conjectures that all non-trivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_K(s)$  of a number field K lie on the critical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . We extend this hypothesis to hyper-logical number theories, considering modal, fuzzy, and paraconsistent logics.

# 13 Modal Logic Analogue in Algebraic Number Theory

We define the modal Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_{K,\square}(s)$  incorporating the necessity operator  $\square$ :

$$\zeta_{K,\square}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \square \left( \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} \right)$$

# 13.1 Modal Dedekind Riemann Hypothesis

All non-trivial zeros of the modal Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_{K,\square}(s)$  have their real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\square\left(\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{for all non-trivial zeros $s$ of $\zeta_{K,\square}(s)$.}$$

# 14 Fuzzy Logic Analogue in Algebraic Number Theory

We define the fuzzy Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{F}}(s)$  using membership functions  $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}$ :

$$\zeta_{K,\mathcal{F}}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \mu_{\mathfrak{a}} \left( \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} \right)$$

# 14.1 Fuzzy Dedekind Riemann Hypothesis

All non-trivial zeros of the fuzzy Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{F}}(s)$  have their real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$  with degree of truth  $\mu$ :

$$\mu\left(\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}\right) = 1$$
 for all non-trivial zeros  $s$  of  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{F}}(s)$ .

# 15 Paraconsistent Logic Analogue in Algebraic Number Theory

We define the paraconsistent Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}(s)$  for paraconsistent integers:

$$\zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \left( \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{P}} \right)$$

# 15.1 Paraconsistent Dedekind Riemann Hypothesis

All non-trivial zeros of the paraconsistent Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}(s)$  have their real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , handling contradictions:

$$\forall s \left( s \in \zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(0) \to \Re(s) = \frac{1}{2} \land \Re(s) \neq \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

# 16 Conclusion

We have proposed analogues of the Riemann Hypothesis within the frameworks of modal, fuzzy, and paraconsistent logics in the context of algebraic number theory. These conjectures extend the classical hypothesis into hyper-logical number theories, providing new avenues for research and exploration.

#### Abstract

We propose analogues of algebraic number theoretical concepts within hyper-logical number systems, specifically within modal logic, fuzzy logic, and paraconsistent logic. These extensions include definitions of algebraic integers, the class number formula, and conjectures like the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) conjecture and the Bloch-Kato Tamagawa number conjecture in hyper-logical frameworks.

# 17 Introduction

Classical algebraic number theory encompasses various fundamental concepts such as algebraic integers, the class number formula, and conjectures like the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) conjecture and the Bloch-Kato Tamagawa number conjecture. We extend these concepts to hyper-logical number theories, considering modal, fuzzy, and paraconsistent logics.

# 18 Modal Logic Analogue in Algebraic Number Theory

# 18.1 Algebraic Integers

In modal logic, algebraic integers in a number field K can be defined under the necessity operator  $\square$ :

 $\mathcal{O}_{K,\square} = \{ \alpha \in K \mid \square (\alpha \text{ is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in } \mathbb{Z}) \}$ 

### 18.2 Class Number Formula

Let  $h_{K,\square}$  denote the modal class number,  $R_{K,\square}$  the modal regulator,  $\Delta_{K,\square}$  the modal discriminant, and  $\zeta_{K,\square}(s)$  the modal Dedekind zeta function. The modal class number formula is:

$$h_{K,\square}R_{K,\square} = \square \left( \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} \left| \Delta_{K,\square} \right|^{1/2}}{w_K} \zeta_{K,\square}(1) \right)$$

## 18.3 Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture

The modal BSD conjecture states that the rank  $r_{E,\square}$  of  $E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\square})$  and the order of the modal Tate-Shafarevich group  $\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\square}(K)$  are related by:

$$\square \left( \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\square}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\square}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\square}(K)| \cdot R_{E,\square} \cdot \prod_{v \mid \infty} c_{v,\square}}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\square})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right)$$

# 19 Fuzzy Logic Analogue in Algebraic Number Theory

### 19.1 Algebraic Integers

In fuzzy logic, algebraic integers in a number field K are associated with membership functions. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{F}}$  denote the ring of fuzzy algebraic integers:

 $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{F}} = \{ \alpha \in K \mid \mu_{\alpha}(\alpha \text{ is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in } \mathbb{Z}) \geq \theta \}$  for some threshold  $\theta \in (0,1]$ .

### 19.2 Class Number Formula

Let  $h_{K,\mathcal{F}}$  denote the fuzzy class number,  $R_{K,\mathcal{F}}$  the fuzzy regulator,  $\Delta_{K,\mathcal{F}}$  the fuzzy discriminant, and  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{F}}(s)$  the fuzzy Dedekind zeta function. The fuzzy class number formula is:

$$h_{K,\mathcal{F}}R_{K,\mathcal{F}} = \mu \left( \frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} |\Delta_{K,\mathcal{F}}|^{1/2}}{w_K} \zeta_{K,\mathcal{F}}(1) \right)$$

# 19.3 Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture

The fuzzy BSD conjecture states that the rank  $r_{E,\mathcal{F}}$  of  $E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{F}})$  and the order of the fuzzy Tate-Shafarevich group  $\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{F}}(K)$  are related by:

$$\mu\left(\lim_{s\to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{F}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{F}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{F}}(K)| \cdot R_{E,\mathcal{F}} \cdot \prod_{v|\infty} c_{v,\mathcal{F}}}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{F}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2}\right)$$

# 20 Paraconsistent Logic Analogue in Algebraic Number Theory

# 20.1 Algebraic Integers

In paraconsistent logic, algebraic integers in a number field K can handle contradictions. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}}$  denote the ring of paraconsistent algebraic integers:

 $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}} = \{ \alpha \in K \mid \alpha = \text{root } \land \alpha \neq \text{root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in } \mathbb{Z} \}$ 

### 20.2 Class Number Formula

Let  $h_{K,\mathcal{P}}$  denote the paraconsistent class number,  $R_{K,\mathcal{P}}$  the paraconsistent regulator,  $\Delta_{K,\mathcal{P}}$  the paraconsistent discriminant, and  $\zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}(s)$  the paraconsistent Dedekind zeta function. The paraconsistent class number formula is:

$$h_{K,\mathcal{P}}R_{K,\mathcal{P}} = \left(\frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} |\Delta_{K,\mathcal{P}}|^{1/2}}{w_K} \zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}(1)\right) \wedge \neg \left(\frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} |\Delta_{K,\mathcal{P}}|^{1/2}}{w_K} \zeta_{K,\mathcal{P}}(1)\right)$$

# 20.3 Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture

The paraconsistent BSD conjecture states that the rank  $r_{E,\mathcal{P}}$  of  $E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})$  and the order of the paraconsistent Tate-Shafarevich group  $\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(K)$  are related by:

$$\forall s \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(K)| \cdot R_{E,\mathcal{P}} \cdot \prod_{v \mid \infty} c_{v,\mathcal{P}}}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Sha}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)|}{(\#E(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{P}})_{\operatorname{tor}})^2} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E,\mathcal{P}}}} \right\} \right\} \land \neg \left( s \in \left\{ s \mid \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_{E,\mathcal{P}}(s)}{(s-1)^{r_$$

# 21 Conclusion

We have proposed analogues of algebraic number theoretical concepts within the frameworks of modal, fuzzy, and paraconsistent logics. These include definitions of algebraic integers, the class number formula, and conjectures like the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) conjecture and the Bloch-Kato Tamagawa number conjecture in hyper-logical number theories, providing new avenues for research and exploration.