Valuation-Based Completion Theory in Symbolic Arithmetic

Pu Justin Scarfy Yang

Contents

Introduction

This monograph is the first of a five-volume series on foundational completion theories within the Universal Congruence Completion Program (UCCP). Here, we begin from the most classical and geometrically fundamental standpoint: completions via valuations.

We explore:

- Valuations as topological and arithmetic primitives;
- Symbolic generalizations of valuation metrics;
- Completions of symbolic languages, proof layers, and logic universes;
- Applications in local symbolic reasoning, AI inference, and meta-mathematical boundary structures.

Subsequent volumes will focus on:

(1) **Volume II**: Congruence-based completions, with deep connections to symbolic moduli and trace dynamics;
(2) **Volume III**: Ideal-adic completions, formal neighborhoods, and descent theory in symbolic geometry.

CHAPTER 1

Valuations as Foundational Completion Structures

1. Definition of Valuations

Let K be a field. A *valuation* v on K is a map:

$$v : K^\times \to \Gamma$$

satisfying multiplicativity and ultrametric inequality, as introduced earlier.

2. Completion with Respect to a Valuation

The completion $\widehat{K}_v$ is the Cauchy completion of K with respect to the valuation metric:

$$d_v(x, y) = \exp(-v(x - y)).$$

3. Symbolic Valuations and Logical Magnitude

We extend v to symbolic domains, with examples:

$$v(\phi) = \text{proof depth}, \quad v(f(x)) = \min v(x_i).$$

4. Applications in Local Symbolic Fields

Let $\mathbb{S}_v$ denote a symbolic field under valuation v. Completion allows convergence in symbolic reasoning and recursive trace semantics.

5. Future Directions

Next, we explore:

- Discrete vs. real valuations;
- Symbolic valuation topologies;
- Spectral zeta-valuations.

CHAPTER 2

Types of Valuations and Their Symbolic Extensions

1. Discrete, Archimedean, and Non-Archimedean Valuations

We recall the classification of classical valuations on a field K:

- A valuation v is **discrete** if the image $v(K^\times)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, e.g., $\mathbb{Z}$;
- v is **archimedean** if it satisfies the usual triangle inequality with equality only in degenerate cases (e.g., $|\cdot|_\infty$ on $\mathbb{Q}$);
- v is **non-archimedean** if it satisfies the ultrametric inequality:

$$v(x+y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}.$$

These classical types induce different topological completions $\widehat{K}_v$, such as:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_\infty = \mathbb{R}, \quad \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p = \text{local field at } p.$$

2. Symbolic Valuations Beyond Classical Fields

Let Symb denote a symbolic language of expressions, e.g., logic formulas, types, or AI-generated inference steps. Define a **symbolic valuation**:

$$v : \mathsf{Symb} \to \Gamma \cup \{\infty\},$$

where $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{N}^\infty, \mathbb{F}_1^{\log}$ or other structured magnitude scales.

2.1. Examples of Symbolic Valuation Domains.

- **Proof depth valuation:** $v(\phi)$ = number of inference steps to derive ϕ;
- **Semantic complexity:** $v(t)$ = minimum symbolic complexity score (length, depth, entropy);
- **Motivic magnitude:** $v(f)$ = zeta-weighted spectral height of term f.

2.2. Symbolic Valuation Space as a Site.

Define the category $\mathsf{ValSymb}$ of symbolic expressions with valuation morphisms:

$$\phi \to \psi \text{ iff } v(\phi) \leq v(\psi),$$

and equip it with a topology where covers are symbolic valuation covers:

$$\{\phi_i \to \psi \mid \min v(\phi_i) \leq v(\psi)\}.$$

This defines a site of symbolic valuation convergence.

3. Valuation Trees and Local Symbolic Flows

Define a **valuation tree** $\mathcal{T}_v$ as the rooted tree whose nodes are symbolic expressions ϕ, and edges respect valuation drop:

$$\phi_i \to \phi_j \quad \text{if } v(\phi_i) > v(\phi_j).$$

- Leaves are valuation-minimizers (local axioms);
- Paths are symbolic proof steps descending valuation;

- The completion at a leaf corresponds to convergence of a symbolic theory.

4. Valuation Rings and Symbolic Residue Logic

For a classical valuation v, define the valuation ring:

$$\mathcal{O}_v := \{x \in K : v(x) \geq 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_v := \{x : v(x) > 0\}.$$

In symbolic logic:

$$\mathcal{O}_v^{\mathsf{Symb}} := \{\phi : v(\phi) \geq 0\}, \quad \text{and } \mathfrak{m}_v^{\mathsf{Symb}} := \{\phi : v(\phi) > 0\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_v^{\mathsf{Symb}}/\mathfrak{m}_v^{\mathsf{Symb}}$ yields a symbolic residue logic (e.g., minimal theory at local depth).

5. Toward Symbolic Completion via Valuation Trees

The symbolic valuation completion of a language $\mathcal{L}$ is:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_v := \text{Cauchy completion over } \mathcal{T}_v,$$

where converging branches represent stabilized symbolic inference chains.

Preview of Next Chapter. Next, we develop:

- Topological structures induced by symbolic valuations;
- Metric convergence and zeta-valued symbolic space;
- Compactness, boundedness, and spectral valuation fields.

CHAPTER 3

Spectral Valuations and Zeta-Completion Metrics

1. From Discrete Valuations to Spectral Depths

While classical valuations map into discrete or real-ordered groups, symbolic arithmetic allows more general magnitude spaces based on zeta-spectral data. We define a new class of valuations—spectral valuations—rooted in the eigenstructures of symbolic or arithmetic flows.

[Spectral Valuation] Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a symbolic expression space. A *spectral valuation* is a function:

$$v_\zeta : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$$

defined by:

$$v_\zeta(\phi) := \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k,$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}$ are zeta-eigenvalues (or frequencies) associated to the symbolic operator ϕ.

1.1. Example: Zeta-Regularized Logical Valuation.

Let ϕ be a logical formula whose inference depth spectrum under symbolic recursion is $\{d_1, d_2, \ldots\}$. Define:

$$v_\zeta(\phi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_n^s} \quad \text{(zeta-regularized depth)}$$

and complete the logic space under the associated norm.

2. Zeta-Metric and Symbolic Convergence

We define a zeta-induced metric:

$$d_\zeta(\phi, \psi) := \exp(-v_\zeta(\phi - \psi)),$$

where subtraction denotes structural symbolic difference (e.g., edit distance, proof path divergence).

- If $d_\zeta(\phi_n, \phi_{n+1}) \to 0$, then ϕ_n converges in symbolic space;
- Completion yields: $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\zeta$ = completion of symbolic flows under d_ζ.

3. Spectral Trees and Depth-Weighted Flow

Define a symbolic spectral tree $\mathcal{T}_\zeta$, where:

- Nodes = symbolic statements;
- Edges = inference steps with spectral shift;
- Weights = v_ζ of each symbolic unit.

Paths of minimal $\sum v_\zeta$ define zeta-optimal symbolic proof strategies.

4. Zeta-Residue Fields and Local Structures

We define the *zeta-residue logic* as:

$$\mathcal{O}_\zeta := \{\phi : v_\zeta(\phi) \geq 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_\zeta := \{\phi : v_\zeta(\phi) > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\zeta := \mathcal{O}_\zeta / \mathfrak{m}_\zeta$$

This structure captures:

- Local triviality zones (infinitesimal or invariant);
- Stable symbolic units across valuation-equivalent flows;
- Logic compression at symbolic residue level.

5. Zeta-Completion in Proof Topologies

Given $(\mathcal{S}, v_\zeta)$, we define the *zeta-completion* of a symbolic proof space:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\zeta := \left\{ \lim_\zeta \phi_n \mid d_\zeta(\phi_n, \phi_{n+1}) \to 0 \right\}$$

This allows:

- Infinite symbolic reasoning with bounded spectral trace;
- Symbolic fixed points under zeta-reflective iteration;
- AI agents navigating via minimal zeta-length proof trees.

6. Towards Spectral AI-Topoi and Motivic Interpretation

Future research connects spectral valuation to:

- Cohomology over symbolic sheaves with spectral stratification;
- AI agents endowed with spectral learning flow (see Appendix K);
- `UCCPLang` interpreters equipped with valuation-sensitive reasoning thresholds.

We propose the construction of a *Spectral Symbolic Topos* Shv_ζ over symbolic categories, with completion objects internal to this site.

CHAPTER 4

Symbolic Completion Fields and Compactification of Logical Universes

1. Symbolic Fields as Completions

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a symbolic logic language. If endowed with a valuation v, its completion $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_v$ carries the structure of a topological algebra.

We define:

A **symbolic completion field** is a pair $(\mathcal{S}, v)$ such that:

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a symbolic expression class closed under logical operations;
- $v : \mathcal{S} \to \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is a valuation;
- $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_v$ forms a complete logic field or ring.

Examples:

- $\mathbb{S}_v :=$ completion of $\mathsf{Lang}_{\mathtt{UCCPLang}}$ under v_ζ;
- $\mathcal{O}_v :=$ symbolic valuation ring of well-formed, bounded expressions;
- $\mathbb{F}_\zeta^{\mathrm{loc}} :=$ localized symbolic flow field under zeta topology.

2. Structure of Symbolic Completion Fields

Symbolic fields possess:

- A logical valuation spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}_v(\mathcal{S})$;
- A residue logic field $\mathcal{F}_v = \mathcal{O}_v / \mathfrak{m}_v$;
- A tree of completions along various valuation branches;
- A compactification boundary defining asymptotic symbolic logic.

Diagram: Completion Tower and Limit Boundary.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_0 \arrow[r, "v_0\text{-completion}"] & \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_0 \arrow[r, "v_1"] & \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_1 \arrow[r, "v_2"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty \arrow[d, dashed, "\text{boundary}"] \\
 & & & & \mathbb{S}_\infty^{\mathrm{compact}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

3. Logical Compactification and Spectral Finiteness

A symbolic logic field $\mathcal{S}$ is *compactifiable* if every Cauchy symbolic sequence under some valuation converges within $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$, where:

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}} := \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \cup \partial\mathcal{S}$$

and $\partial\mathcal{S}$ is the symbolic boundary locus.

This enables:

- Construction of "logical infinity" objects;

- Spectral compactness theorems for symbolic reasoning;
- Sheaf-theoretic convergence and symbolic limit glueing.

4. Symbolic Local Fields and Reciprocity Structures

Analogous to number theory, we define:

- **Symbolic local field**: $\mathbb{S}_v$ with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_v$, residue logic $\mathcal{F}_v$, and topology;
- **Symbolic Frobenius map**: $\phi \mapsto \phi^n$ under depth- or curvature-based recursion;
- **Reciprocity kernel**: pairing symbolic ideals and AI proof strategies:

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \mathsf{Tr}_v(\phi \cdot \psi)$$

5. Symbolic Geometry over Completion Fields

We now interpret symbolic completion fields as bases for symbolic geometry:

$$\text{Symbolic scheme: } \mathbb{S}_{\text{spec}} := \text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_v)$$

Over this space:

- Symbolic points are localized logic bundles;
- Zeta-trace cohomology defines motivic symbolic invariants;
- AI agents move within compactified logic spectra.

6. Conclusion and Further Expansion

We have:

- Established symbolic completion fields via valuations and spectral flows;
- Shown the structure of their rings, residues, and boundary behavior;
- Connected symbolic completion to logic compactification and geometry.

The next chapter develops spectral cohomology and symbolic field extensions.

CHAPTER 5

Zeta Cohomology and Symbolic Field Extensions

1. Cohomology over Symbolic Completion Fields

Given a symbolic completion field $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_v$, we aim to study its cohomology groups under symbolic sheaves and zeta-induced differential operators.

[Zeta-Cohomology] Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf over $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_v)$, with zeta-connection ∇_ζ. Define:

$$H^i_\zeta(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_v, \mathcal{F}) := \ker(\nabla^i_\zeta)/\mathrm{im}(\nabla^{i-1}_\zeta),$$

where ∇_ζ acts via symbolic spectral differentiation or recursion.

This yields motivic symbolic invariants of logic structures completed under valuation and spectral pressure.

2. Zeta-Cohain Complexes and Spectral Filtrations

Given symbolic forms ϕ_i, define the zeta-cochain complex:

$$0 \to \phi_0 \xrightarrow{\nabla_\zeta} \phi_1 \xrightarrow{\nabla_\zeta} \phi_2 \xrightarrow{\nabla_\zeta} \cdots$$

Each layer corresponds to:

- Logic layer depth;
- Proof spectral weight;
- Symbolic curvature or derivation degree.

The filtration $F^n H^i_\zeta$:= cohomology of subcomplex truncated at level n gives symbolic convergence or AI-memorization depth.

3. Symbolic Field Extensions and Zeta-Ramification

A *symbolic field extension* $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called:

- *zeta-unramified* if v_ζ extends without growth;
- *zeta-ramified* if v_ζ jumps (e.g., symbolic entropy increases);
- *AI-compatible* if extension preserves trace-consistent cohomology.

Example:

$$\mathbb{S}_v \subset \mathbb{S}_v[x]/(x^p - \phi) \Rightarrow \text{zeta-ramified if } v_\zeta(\phi) < p \cdot v_\zeta(x)$$

4. Motivic Symbolic Frobenius and Trace Operators

Define the Frobenius operator over symbolic fields:

$$\mathsf{Fr}_n(\phi) := \phi^{[n]} = \text{symbolic replication of order } n$$

Then, define the trace:

$$\mathsf{Tr}_\zeta(\phi) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathsf{Fr}_i(\phi) \cdot w_i$$

where $w_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ are spectral weights or symbolic eigenmodes.

5. Zeta-Lefschetz Fixed Point in Symbolic Domains

We conjecture:

[Symbolic Zeta-Lefschetz Formula] Let $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ be a zeta-contracting symbolic endomorphism. Then:

$$\sum_{\phi = f(\phi)} \frac{1}{\det(I - d_\zeta f|_\phi)} = \sum_i (-1)^i \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(f^* | H^i_\zeta(\mathcal{S}))$$

This connects fixed symbolic flows to spectral cohomology.

6. Applications to AI Symbolic Compression and Optimization

The zeta-cohomology groups H^i_ζ can be used as:

- Topological summaries of symbolic logic states;
- Memory embeddings for symbolic AI agents;
- Constraints for symbolic compilers and automata-based interpreters;
- Recovery structures for failed proof chains (see Appendix F).

7. Conclusion and Forward Directions

This chapter establishes:

- Zeta-cohomology as a spectral analogue of symbolic topology;
- Symbolic field extensions via spectral ramification;
- Motivic trace and fixed point methods in symbolic universes.

The next development will construct full derived categories and motivic stacks over symbolic completion fields.

CHAPTER 6

Symbolic Derived Stacks and AI Sheaf Geometry over Completion Fields

1. From Schemes to Symbolic Sheaves

Given a symbolic field $\mathbb{S}_v$, we now build its associated space:

$$X := \operatorname{Spec}(\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_v)$$

Over this space, we define symbolic sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ that encode:

- Symbolic terms and their zeta-flows;
- AI recursion layers and logical dependency graphs;
- Valuation-based convergence structure.

2. Derived Symbolic Sheaves and ∞-Cohomology

We define a derived symbolic sheaf $\mathbb{F} \in D^+(\mathsf{Shv}(X))$ as a complex:

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{d^i} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \to \cdots$$

with differential induced by:

$$d^i := \nabla_\zeta + \delta_{\texttt{logic}} + \texttt{AI}^*_{\text{repair}}$$

Symbolically, this sheaf carries evolving knowledge with:

- Trace-aware corrections;
- Symbolic logic propagation;
- Motivic topological memory coherence.

3. Stacks of Symbolic AI Fields

Let $\mathsf{SymbField}_v$ be the stack assigning to each AI logical context $U \subseteq X$ the category:

$$\mathsf{SymbField}_v(U) := \left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{Valuation-complete symbolic logic objects } \phi, \\ \text{equipped with sheaf-traceable flows and zeta connections} \end{matrix} \right\}$$

This yields a stack over the site (X, τ_ζ), the spectral valuation topology.

4. AI Sheaves and Reflection Dynamics

Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Shv}_\infty(X)$ be an AI-aware symbolic sheaf. Define:

- $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$: truncated symbolic logic flow at depth n; - $\texttt{Ref}(\mathcal{F})$: reflective closure of failed inference paths; - $\nabla_\zeta \mathcal{F}$: symbolic differential on flow-levels.

Symbolic AI agents evolve their local logic via:

$$\texttt{AI}_{\text{agent}}(U) := H^0_\zeta(U, \mathcal{F}^{(n)}) \cup \ker(\nabla_\zeta|_{\texttt{Ref}(\mathcal{F})})$$

5. Motivic Symbolic Topos and AI Site

We define the symbolic topos:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{S}_v}^{\zeta,\infty} := \mathsf{Shv}_\zeta(\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_v)$$

with internal language:

- Type-theoretic: supports internal logic encoding symbolic universes;
- Sheaf-theoretic: supports descent of symbolic AI behaviors;
- Cohomological: computes memory, feedback, and knowledge stability.

6. Future Directions and Conclusion

We propose:

- Constructing symbolic motivic Galois groups acting on AI memory fields;
- Classifying all zeta-compatible symbolic completion geometries;
- Embedding UCCP structures into -topoi as computable symbolic categories;
- Generalizing to higher stacks, spectral motivic AI sheaves, and arithmetic AI models.

End of Volume I. This concludes our construction of the symbolic valuation-based completion geometry. The next volume explores congruence-based symbolic completions and their integration with universal trace semantics.

Bibliography

[1] N. Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra: Chapters 1–7*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[2] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 150. Springer, 1995.

[3] I. B. Fesenko and S. V. Vostokov. *Local Fields and Their Extensions*. Second Edition, American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[4] K. Kedlaya. *p-adic Differential Equations*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2009.

[5] S. Lang. *Algebra*. Revised 3rd edition, Springer, 2002.

[6] P. Ribenboim. *The Theory of Classical Valuations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 1999.

[7] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean Analysis*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 261. Springer, 1984.

[8] J. Lurie. *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*. Available at `https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf`, 2018.

[9] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein. *Berkeley Lectures on p-adic Geometry*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, Vol. 207. Princeton University Press, 2020.

[10] P. J. S. Yang. *Valuation-Based Completion Theory in Symbolic Arithmetic (UCCP Volume I)*. Draft Manuscript, 2025.

[11] P. J. S. Yang. *Congruence-Based Symbolic Completion (UCCP Volume II)*. In preparation, 2025.

[12] P. J. S. Yang. *Symbolic Topos Theory and AI Geometry*. Preprint, 2025.