Non-Associative Structures

Alien Mathematicians

Non-Associative Structures

Alien Mathematicians

Introduction to Non-Associative Structures

- Many algebraic structures, such as groups and rings, are based on associative operations.
- Non-associative structures, however, do not require the associative law to hold.
- Examples include loops, quasigroups, and certain algebraic systems like Lie algebras.

Non-Associative Operations

Definition

A binary operation $*$ on a set S is non-associative if there exists $a, b, c \in S$ such that:

$$(a * b) * c \neq a * (b * c).$$

Examples

- Quaternion algebra (non-associative multiplication in some cases).
- Octonions, where associativity fails more generally.

Non-Associative Algebras

Definition

A non-associative algebra is a vector space A equipped with a bilinear product $\cdot$ that does not necessarily satisfy the associative law:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

Common Examples

- Lie algebras: where the product satisfies the Lie bracket but is non-associative.
- Jordan algebras: non-associative algebras used in quantum mechanics.

Non-Associative Operations

Definition

A binary operation $*$ on a set S is non-associative if there exists $a, b, c \in S$ such that:

$$(a * b) * c \neq a * (b * c).$$

Examples

- Quaternion algebra (non-associative multiplication in some cases).
- Octonions, where associativity fails more generally.

Non-Associative Algebras

Definition

A non-associative algebra is a vector space A equipped with a bilinear product $\cdot$ that does not necessarily satisfy the associative law:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

Common Examples

- Lie algebras: where the product satisfies the Lie bracket but is non-associative.
- Jordan algebras: non-associative algebras used in quantum mechanics.

Examples of Non-Associative Structures

- **Quaternions**: Quaternions exhibit non-associative properties under certain compositions.
- **Octonions**: Octonions generalize quaternions and are non-associative more generally.
- **Other Systems**: Cayley algebras, Jordan algebras, and others serve as non-associative examples.

Quasigroups and Loops

Definition

A **quasigroup** is a set Q with a binary operation $\cdot$ such that for every $a, b \in Q$, there exist unique $x, y \in Q$ such that:

$$a \cdot x = b \quad \text{and} \quad y \cdot a = b.$$

Note

A **loop** is a quasigroup with an identity element $e \in Q$, such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for all $a \in Q$.

Ternary and Higher-Order Operations

Definition

A **ternary operation** on a set S is a function $T : S \times S \times S \to S$, with no requirement for associativity.

Example

- In certain algebraic systems, higher-order operations are used, which may not exhibit associative properties in the traditional binary sense.

Non-Associative Multiplication in Algebras

Examples of Non-Associative Algebras

- **Lie Algebras**: The Lie bracket does not satisfy the associative law but satisfies the Jacobi identity.
- **Jordan Algebras**: These algebras are commutative but generally non-associative.

The Associator in Non-Associative Algebras

Definition

The **associator** measures the failure of associativity in an algebra. It is defined as:

$$[a, b, c] = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Note

If the associator vanishes for all elements, the algebra is associative.

Flexible Algebras

Definition

A **flexible algebra** is a non-associative algebra where the following identity holds:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a = a \cdot (b \cdot a), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Example

Jordan algebras are examples of flexible algebras.

Alternative Algebras

Definition

An algebra is **alternative** if the subalgebras generated by any two elements are associative. That is:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b \quad \text{and} \quad (b \cdot a) \cdot a = b \cdot (a \cdot a).$$

Example

The octonions are alternative algebras but are not associative.

Power Series in Classical Associative Algebras

Power Series Definition

A classical power series is of the form:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

where the multiplication is associative.

Associativity in Power Series

The associativity of multiplication ensures that terms like $z^k z^l = z^{k+l}$ hold, simplifying the series expansion.

Non-Associative Generalization of Power Series

Challenge

In non-associative contexts, powers such as z^k are not well-defined without specifying how the products are grouped.

Solution

Powers in non-associative settings are often defined recursively or by iterating specific binary operations.

Non-Associative Series Expansions

Definition

A **non-associative power series** in $\mathbb{Y}_n$ is defined as:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k,$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{Y}_n$ and $z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k$ denotes the non-associative power.

Note

This definition extends classical power series by incorporating non-associative operations within the series terms.

Non-Associative Field Theory

Non-Associative Fields

A non-associative field is a generalization of a classical field where multiplication is not required to be associative.

Example

Certain types of division algebras and structures like the octonions can be viewed as non-associative fields.

Non-Associative Tensor Products

Tensor Products

Tensor products in non-associative algebras are defined similarly to classical tensor products but may not exhibit associative properties in general.

Applications

Non-associative tensor products are useful in quantum mechanics and string theory.

Non-Associative Modules

Definition

A non-associative module over a non-associative algebra is a generalization of a module where the scalar multiplication does not necessarily satisfy associativity:

$$\lambda \cdot (\mu \cdot m) \neq (\lambda \cdot \mu) \cdot m.$$

Example

Non-associative modules arise in areas like Lie algebra representations and other non-associative structures.

Non-Associative Polynomials

Non-Associative Polynomial Definition

A non-associative polynomial is a formal expression involving non-associative operations on variables, written as:

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2_{\mathbb{Y}_n} + \cdots + a_n x^n_{\mathbb{Y}_n},$$

where the exponents denote non-associative powers.

Recursive Structures in Non-Associative Power Series

Recursive Definition

Non-associative power series often rely on recursive definitions for powers:

$$z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^{1} = z, \quad z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^{k+1} = z \cdot (z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^{k}).$$

Note

Recursive definitions allow for a consistent handling of terms in non-associative series expansions.

Non-Associative Series in Function Fields

Non-Associative Function Fields

Non-associative series can be generalized to function fields where the base field is non-associative:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k, \quad a_k \in F_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Application

These series appear in non-commutative geometry and theoretical physics.

Non-Associative Series with Variable Coefficients

Variable Coefficient Series

A non-associative series can be defined with variable coefficients $a_k(z)$, where:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(z) z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k,$$

where $a_k(z) \in \mathbb{Y}_n$.

Application

Such series arise in dynamic systems with non-associative structures that change over time.

Non-Associative Differential Equations

Non-Associative Differential Equations

Differential equations can be extended to non-associative structures where the derivatives act non-associatively:

$$\frac{d}{dz_{\mathbb{Y}_n}} \left(f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z)\right) = g_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z).$$

Examples

Non-associative differential equations appear in quantum field theory and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Formal Power Series

Definition of Formal Series

A non-associative formal power series is a formal object that does not necessarily converge but is used in algebraic manipulations:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k.$$

Applications

Formal power series are important in combinatorics and algebraic geometry.

Convergence of Non-Associative Series

Convergence Criteria

Convergence of non-associative series requires a careful treatment due to the lack of associativity in the operations:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k \quad \text{converges if certain algebraic conditions hold.}$$

Note

Convergence in non-associative series may depend on both the coefficients and the structure of $\mathbb{Y}_n$.

Non-Associative Series in Banach Spaces

Banach Space Context

Non-associative series can be extended into Banach spaces, where completeness and norms must be handled carefully due to non-associativity:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k, \quad a_k \in B,$$

where B is a Banach space.

Application

This is useful in functional analysis and infinite-dimensional algebraic systems.

Non-Associative Laurent Series

Laurent Series Generalization

A non-associative Laurent series generalizes power series by including negative powers:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k.$$

Applications

These series are useful in complex analysis, non-commutative geometry, and string theory.

Non-Associative Generating Functions

Generating Function Definition

A non-associative generating function is defined similarly to classical generating functions but involves non-associative operations:

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^n,$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{Y}_n$.

Use Case

Generating functions are used in combinatorics, especially for counting problems within non-associative structures.

Non-Associative Series in Algebraic Geometry

Algebraic Geometry Context

Non-associative series can be applied in algebraic geometry, where the non-associative structure influences the behavior of functions on varieties:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k, \quad a_k \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Application

This is useful for understanding the geometry of spaces governed by non-associative algebras.

Non-Associative Zeta Functions

Zeta Function Generalization

A non-associative zeta function can be defined by summing over non-associative powers:

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^s}.$$

Note

These functions have applications in number theory and mathematical physics, where non-associativity plays a key role.

Non-Associative Series in Operator Algebras

Operator Algebra Context

Non-associative series can extend to operator algebras where operators act on vector spaces or Banach spaces but do not necessarily follow associative rules:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k, \quad A_k \in \mathcal{A},$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a non-associative operator algebra.

Non-Associative Structures in Representation Theory

Representation Theory

Non-associative algebras can act on modules or vector spaces where the actions do not respect associativity:

$$\rho(a)\rho(b) \neq \rho(a \cdot b),$$

where ρ is a representation of the non-associative algebra.

Non-Associative Automorphic Forms

Automorphic Form Context

Automorphic forms over non-associative algebras are generalizations of classical automorphic forms where the transformation laws incorporate non-associative operations:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(\gamma z) = J(\gamma, z) f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z), \quad \gamma \in G_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Non-Associative Orthogonal Polynomials

Orthogonal Polynomial Generalization

Orthogonal polynomials can be generalized to non-associative algebras, where their recurrence relations and orthogonality conditions involve non-associative operations:

$$P_n(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \langle P_n, P_m \rangle_{\mathbb{Y}_n} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad n \neq m.$$

Non-Associative Functional Analysis

Functional Analysis

Non-associative structures in functional analysis explore how operators, norms, and spaces behave without the assumption of associativity:

$$\|T(Sx)\| \neq \|(TS)x\|.$$

Non-Associative Special Functions

Special Functions

Non-associative special functions generalize classical special functions like Bessel and Legendre functions, where non-associative operations define their recursion relations and properties:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k F_k(z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}),$$

where F_k is a special function with non-associative properties.

Non-Associative Frobenius Algebras

Frobenius Algebra Generalization

A non-associative Frobenius algebra is a non-associative algebra that carries a bilinear form compatible with its multiplication:

$$\langle a \cdot b, c \rangle = \langle a, b \cdot c \rangle, \quad a, b, c \in A_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Application

These algebras appear in topological quantum field theory and string theory.

Non-Associative Hopf Algebras

Hopf Algebra Generalization

A non-associative Hopf algebra generalizes classical Hopf algebras by relaxing the associativity condition:

$$\Delta(a \cdot b) \neq \Delta(a) \cdot \Delta(b),$$

where Δ is the comultiplication.

Use Case

These algebras are used in quantum groups and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Clifford Algebras

Clifford Algebra Generalization

Non-associative Clifford algebras generalize classical Clifford algebras by allowing non-associative products of generators:

$$\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j \neq \gamma_j \cdot \gamma_i, \quad \gamma_i^2 = g_{ii}.$$

Applications

These algebras have applications in theoretical physics, particularly in supersymmetry and spinor theory.

Non-Associative Categories

Category Theory Generalization

Non-associative categories relax the condition that composition of morphisms is associative:

$$(f \circ g) \circ h \neq f \circ (g \circ h).$$

Application

These structures appear in the study of higher-dimensional categories and inhomogeneous algebraic structures.

Non-Associative Lie Superalgebras

Lie Superalgebra Generalization

Non-associative Lie superalgebras extend Lie superalgebras by allowing the Lie bracket to be non-associative:

$$[X, [Y, Z]] \neq [[X, Y], Z].$$

Applications

These appear in advanced theoretical physics, especially in the study of supersymmetry.

Non-Associative Quantum Groups

Quantum Group Generalization

Non-associative quantum groups generalize classical quantum groups by relaxing the associativity of the algebra structure:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Applications

These groups appear in non-commutative geometry and quantum field theory.

Non-Associative Cohomology

Cohomology Generalization

Non-associative cohomology theories extend classical cohomology by allowing non-associative operations between cocycles and coboundaries:

$$d^2 \neq 0.$$

Use Case

This framework appears in generalized geometry and string theory.

Non-Associative Algebraic Topology

Algebraic Topology Generalization

Non-associative algebraic topology explores how fundamental algebraic invariants behave without associativity:

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) \quad \text{may not satisfy associativity under composition of loops.}$$

Applications

These theories are relevant in homotopy theory and generalized manifold studies.

Non-Associative Hopf Bifurcations

Bifurcation Theory Generalization

Non-associative Hopf bifurcations occur when the dynamics of a system modeled by non-associative algebras undergo qualitative changes:

$$\dot{x} = f(x)_{\mathbb{Y}_n},$$

where f is a non-associative vector field.

Use Case

These bifurcations are studied in dynamical systems and control theory.

Non-Associative K-Theory

K-Theory Generalization

Non-associative K-theory extends classical K-theory by considering vector bundles and modules over non-associative algebras:

$$K_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(X) = \text{Classified using non-associative structures on } X.$$

Application

This theory is useful in non-commutative geometry and topological quantum field theory.

Non-Associative Symplectic Geometry

Symplectic Geometry Generalization

Non-associative symplectic geometry generalizes the structure of symplectic manifolds by allowing non-associative Poisson brackets:

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} \neq \{\{f, g\}, h\}.$$

Use Case

This structure appears in advanced mechanics and quantum systems.

Non-Associative Deformation Theory

Deformation Theory Generalization

Non-associative deformation theory studies deformations of algebraic structures where associativity is not preserved:

$$A_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(t) = A + tA_1 + t^2 A_2 + \cdots ,$$

where the terms may not combine associatively.

Applications

This theory is applied in quantum groups and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Manifolds

Manifold Generalization

Non-associative manifolds generalize the concept of smooth manifolds, allowing local coordinate charts to transform non-associatively:

$$\varphi_i \circ (\varphi_j \circ \varphi_k) \neq (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j) \circ \varphi_k.$$

Use Case

These manifolds are studied in non-commutative geometry and string theory.

Non-Associative Quantum Mechanics

Quantum Mechanics Generalization

In non-associative quantum mechanics, the algebra of observables does not satisfy the associative property, leading to modified uncertainty relations and dynamics:

$$[A, [B, C]] \neq [[A, B], C].$$

Applications

This approach is useful in the study of exotic quantum systems and non-commutative geometries.

Non-Associative Integrable Systems

Integrable System Generalization

Non-associative integrable systems extend classical integrable systems by allowing the underlying algebraic structure to be non-associative:

$$\dot{X} = [X, H]_{\mathbb{Y}_n},$$

where the bracket is non-associative.

Application

These systems are studied in mathematical physics and fluid dynamics.

Non-Associative Moduli Spaces

Moduli Spaces Generalization

Non-associative moduli spaces classify objects such as vector bundles, sheaves, or solutions to equations defined over non-associative algebras:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(X) = \text{space of non-associative structures on } X.$$

Use Case

Non-associative moduli spaces appear in string theory, algebraic geometry, and topology.

Non-Associative Lie Groups and Lie Algebras

Lie Group and Algebra Generalization

Non-associative Lie groups and algebras generalize classical Lie structures by relaxing the associativity of their composition laws:

$$[X,[Y,Z]] \neq [[X,Y],Z].$$

Applications

These are studied in higher-dimensional algebra and quantum gravity.

Non-Associative String Theory

String Theory Generalization

Non-associative string theory explores string interactions where the algebraic structure governing the string worldsheet is non-associative:

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = \int d^2z\, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(X).$$

Applications

This framework is used in studies of non-commutative geometry and M-theory.

Non-Associative Representation Theory

Representation Theory Generalization

Non-associative representation theory studies the actions of non-associative algebras on vector spaces and modules, where the algebra's structure does not respect associativity:

$$\rho(a \cdot b) \neq \rho(a)\rho(b).$$

Non-Associative Hodge Theory

Hodge Theory Generalization

Non-associative Hodge theory extends classical Hodge theory by incorporating non-associative cohomological structures:

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{Y}_n) \quad \text{with non-associative product operations.}$$

Applications

This theory is useful in the study of non-commutative varieties and mirror symmetry.

Non-Associative Quantum Field Theory

Quantum Field Theory Generalization

Non-associative quantum field theory modifies classical field theory by allowing the field operators to combine non-associatively:

$$\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y) \neq \phi(y) \cdot \phi(x).$$

Use Case

This framework is studied in string theory and quantum gravity.

Non-Associative Group Theory

Group Theory Generalization

Non-associative group theory generalizes classical group theory by allowing the group operation to be non-associative:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Applications

Non-associative groups appear in physics, cryptography, and non-commutative algebra.

Non-Associative Geometry of Moduli Spaces

Geometry Generalization

The study of moduli spaces can be extended to non-associative structures, where the geometric objects parameterized by the moduli space behave non-associatively:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \quad \text{classifies non-associative structures.}$$

Use Case

Non-associative moduli spaces are studied in higher-dimensional geometry and string theory.

Non-Associative Quantum Algebra

Quantum Algebra Generalization

Non-associative quantum algebras generalize classical quantum algebras by relaxing associativity in the product of observables:

$$A \cdot (B \cdot C) \neq (A \cdot B) \cdot C.$$

Applications

These algebras are relevant in quantum mechanics, quantum gravity, and string theory.

Non-Associative Harmonic Analysis

Harmonic Analysis Generalization

Non-associative harmonic analysis studies the properties of functions and transforms where the underlying algebraic structures are non-associative:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(f) \quad \text{may not satisfy associative convolution properties.}$$

Use Case

This framework is useful in signal processing, representation theory, and quantum physics.

Non-Associative Non-Euclidean Geometry

Non-Euclidean Geometry Generalization

Non-associative non-Euclidean geometry extends classical non-Euclidean geometry by allowing transformations to follow non-associative algebraic rules:

$$T_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(a \cdot b) \neq T_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(a) \cdot T_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(b).$$

Applications

These geometries are studied in advanced mathematics and theoretical physics.

Non-Associative Lie Cohomology

Lie Cohomology Generalization

Non-associative Lie cohomology extends classical Lie cohomology by allowing non-associative bracket structures in the computation of cohomology groups:

$$H^n_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(G, A) \quad \text{for a non-associative Lie algebra } G.$$

Applications

This theory appears in non-commutative geometry and homotopy theory.

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Topological Groups Generalization

Non-associative topological groups generalize classical topological groups by relaxing the associativity of the group operation:

$$(g \cdot h) \cdot k \neq g \cdot (h \cdot k).$$

Applications

These groups are studied in non-commutative topology, analysis, and quantum field theory.

Non-Associative Algebraic Varieties

Algebraic Varieties Generalization

Non-associative algebraic varieties are geometric objects defined by non-associative polynomial equations, where the coordinate rings form non-associative algebras:

$$f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, y_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = 0.$$

Applications

These varieties are relevant in algebraic geometry and theoretical physics.

Non-Associative Algebraic Groups

Algebraic Groups Generalization

Non-associative algebraic groups are groups where the group operations are defined by non-associative polynomial functions:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Use Case

These groups are studied in algebraic geometry, number theory, and mathematical physics.

Non-Associative Differential Geometry

Differential Geometry Generalization

Non-associative differential geometry generalizes classical differential geometry by allowing non-associative operations in the definition of connections and curvature:

$$\nabla_X(Y \cdot Z) \neq (\nabla_X Y) \cdot Z + Y \cdot (\nabla_X Z).$$

Applications

This is used in the study of generalized spaces in mathematical physics and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Riemannian Geometry

Riemannian Geometry Generalization

Non-associative Riemannian geometry modifies classical Riemannian geometry by incorporating non-associative operations in the metric and curvature tensors:

$$R(X, Y, Z)_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \neq R(X, Y, Z).$$

Applications

This framework is relevant in string theory and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Homotopy Theory

Homotopy Theory Generalization

Non-associative homotopy theory extends classical homotopy theory by relaxing the associativity of concatenation of paths:

$$(p \circ q) \circ r \neq p \circ (q \circ r).$$

Applications

This is used in higher-dimensional category theory and algebraic topology.

Non-Associative Galois Theory

Galois Theory Generalization

Non-associative Galois theory generalizes classical Galois theory by considering field extensions where the multiplication of elements is non-associative:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Applications

This theory is applied in the study of non-commutative fields and algebraic structures.

Non-Associative Algebraic K-Theory

Algebraic K-Theory Generalization

Non-associative algebraic K-theory generalizes classical K-theory by considering modules over non-associative rings and algebras:

$$K_n(A_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{for non-associative algebra } A_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Use Case

This theory is relevant in non-commutative geometry and algebraic topology.

Non-Associative Sheaf Theory

Sheaf Theory Generalization

Non-associative sheaf theory extends classical sheaf theory by considering sheaves of non-associative algebras and modules:

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \cdot \mathcal{F}(V) \neq \mathcal{F}(U \cap V).$$

Applications

These structures appear in algebraic geometry and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative TQFT

TQFT Generalization

Non-associative topological quantum field theory (TQFT) explores the role of non-associativity in the construction of quantum field theories:

$$Z(M)_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \quad \text{is non-associative for 3-manifold } M.$$

Applications

This is relevant in the study of quantum gravity, string theory, and topological phases of matter.

Non-Associative Nonlinear Dynamics

Nonlinear Dynamics Generalization

Non-associative nonlinear dynamics study the behavior of systems governed by non-associative algebras, where the evolution equations are non-associative:

$$\dot{x} = f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{where } f \text{ is non-associative.}$$

Applications

This is used in fluid dynamics, quantum systems, and chaos theory.

Non-Associative Spectral Theory

Spectral Theory Generalization

Non-associative spectral theory generalizes classical spectral theory by considering operators in non-associative algebras, where the spectrum may not behave associatively:

$$\lambda(A_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{where } A \text{ is a non-associative operator.}$$

Applications

This theory is useful in functional analysis and quantum mechanics.

Non-Associative Algebraic Geometry

Algebraic Geometry Generalization

Non-associative algebraic geometry extends classical algebraic geometry by considering algebraic varieties and schemes defined over non-associative rings:

$$V(f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = \{x \in \mathbb{Y}_n \mid f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = 0\}.$$

Applications

This approach is used in string theory, quantum algebra, and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Matrix Theory

Matrix Theory Generalization

Non-associative matrix theory studies matrices where multiplication is non-associative:

$$(A \cdot B) \cdot C \neq A \cdot (B \cdot C).$$

Applications

This theory is applied in quantum mechanics, representation theory, and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Geometric Group Theory

Geometric Group Theory Generalization

Non-associative geometric group theory explores group actions on geometric objects where the group operations are non-associative:

$$(g \cdot h) \cdot k \neq g \cdot (h \cdot k).$$

Applications

This theory is relevant in higher-dimensional geometry and algebraic topology.

Non-Associative Hopf Modules

Hopf Modules Generalization

Non-associative Hopf modules extend the notion of classical Hopf modules by allowing the action of the Hopf algebra to be non-associative:

$$h \cdot (m \cdot n) \neq (h \cdot m) \cdot n.$$

Applications

These modules are studied in non-commutative geometry, algebraic topology, and quantum groups.

Non-Associative Quantum Topology

Quantum Topology Generalization

Non-associative quantum topology generalizes classical quantum topology by relaxing the associativity constraints in topological invariants:

$$Z(M)_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \quad \text{is non-associative for 3-manifold } M.$$

Applications

This theory is applied in the study of quantum invariants, knot theory, and quantum field theory.

Non-Associative Deformations of Algebras

Deformation Theory Generalization

Non-associative deformation theory studies deformations of algebraic structures where associativity is not preserved:

$$A_t = A + tA_1 + t^2 A_2 + \cdots \quad \text{where the operations are non-associative.}$$

Applications

This theory is useful in quantum groups, non-commutative geometry, and mathematical physics.

Non-Associative Holomorphic Functions

Holomorphic Functions Generalization

Non-associative holomorphic functions extend classical holomorphic functions to cases where the algebra of functions is non-associative:

$$f(z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{with non-associative derivatives.}$$

Applications

These functions appear in non-commutative analysis and theoretical physics.

Non-Associative Complex Analysis

Complex Analysis Generalization

Non-associative complex analysis generalizes classical complex analysis by considering functions and operators that do not satisfy associativity:

$$f(z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) + g(z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq (f+g)(z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

This theory is applied in non-commutative geometry and quantum field theory.

Non-Associative Symmetric Functions

Symmetric Functions Generalization

Non-associative symmetric functions are functions in several variables where the non-associative operations on variables are symmetric but non-associative:

$$f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, y_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = f(y_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

These functions are studied in combinatorics, algebra, and mathematical physics.

Non-Associative Fourier Transform

Fourier Transform Generalization

The non-associative Fourier transform extends the classical Fourier transform to cases where the underlying algebra is non-associative:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(f) \quad \text{does not follow the associative convolution theorem.}$$

Applications

This is useful in signal processing, quantum mechanics, and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Integral Transforms

Integral Transforms Generalization

Non-associative integral transforms extend classical integral transforms like Laplace and Fourier transforms to non-associative contexts:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(f) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t_{\mathbb{Y}_n})\, dt.$$

Applications

These transforms are relevant in mathematical physics and non-commutative analysis.

Non-Associative C*-Algebras

C*-Algebras Generalization

Non-associative C*-algebras generalize classical C*-algebras by allowing the multiplication to be non-associative:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Applications

These structures are relevant in non-commutative geometry, quantum mechanics, and operator algebras.

Non-Associative Functional Equations

Functional Equations Generalization

Non-associative functional equations study equations where the operations on the functions do not satisfy associativity:

$$f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, f(y_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, z_{\mathbb{Y}_n})) \neq f(f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, y_{\mathbb{Y}_n}), z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

These equations are studied in functional analysis, dynamical systems, and quantum mechanics.

Non-Associative Numerical Methods

Numerical Methods Generalization

Non-associative numerical methods extend classical numerical methods to cases where the underlying algebraic structures are non-associative:

Iterative methods and matrix factorizations may not be associative.

Applications

These methods are useful in solving non-linear differential equations, optimization problems, and quantum systems.

Non-Associative Cryptography

Cryptography Generalization

Non-associative cryptography uses non-associative algebraic structures to define cryptographic protocols, potentially increasing security and efficiency:

$$E(K_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, M_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{with non-associative key operations.}$$

Applications

This approach is applied in post-quantum cryptography and cryptographic algorithms.

Non-Associative Optimization

Optimization Generalization

Non-associative optimization studies optimization problems where the objective function or constraints involve non-associative operations:

$$\min f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{subject to non-associative constraints.}$$

Applications

These techniques are useful in machine learning, control theory, and engineering.

Non-Associative Stochastic Processes

Stochastic Processes Generalization

Non-associative stochastic processes generalize classical stochastic processes by allowing the probabilistic operations to be non-associative:

$$P(A \cap (B \cap C)) \neq P((A \cap B) \cap C).$$

Applications

These processes are studied in mathematical finance, quantum probability, and statistical physics.

Non-Associative Quantum Cryptography

Quantum Cryptography Generalization

Non-associative quantum cryptography extends classical quantum cryptography by using non-associative quantum operations for encryption and key distribution:

$$\rho(Q_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{where } Q_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \text{ is a non-associative quantum state.}$$

Applications

This framework is relevant in securing quantum communications and data encryption.

Non-Associative Knot Theory

Knot Theory Generalization

Non-associative knot theory explores how knots can be studied using non-associative algebraic structures, modifying the classical braid group representations:

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j \neq \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad \text{for non-associative braid group operations.}$$

Applications

This theory is applied in quantum topology and the study of quantum invariants of knots.

Non-Associative Game Theory

Game Theory Generalization

Non-associative game theory studies strategic interactions where the payoffs and strategies are governed by non-associative algebraic rules:

$$U(s_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = (a_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot b_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \cdot c_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \neq a_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot (b_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot c_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

This approach is useful in economics, evolutionary biology, and artificial intelligence.

Non-Associative Neural Networks

Neural Networks Generalization

Non-associative neural networks modify classical neural network architectures by allowing non-associative operations between layers or neurons:

$$(W_1 \cdot W_2) \cdot x \neq W_1 \cdot (W_2 \cdot x).$$

Applications

This framework is used in machine learning, deep learning, and artificial intelligence for enhanced flexibility in network architectures.

Non-Associative Quantum Neural Networks

Quantum Neural Networks Generalization

Non-associative quantum neural networks extend classical quantum neural networks by allowing non-associative quantum operations:

$$\rho(W_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq \rho(W_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Applications

These networks are studied in quantum computing, machine learning, and quantum information theory.

Non-Associative Bayesian Inference

Bayesian Inference Generalization

Non-associative Bayesian inference modifies classical Bayesian inference by using non-associative operations in probability updates:

$$P(A \mid B \cap C_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq P(A \mid (B \cap C)_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

This approach is relevant in probabilistic reasoning, machine learning, and decision theory.

Non-Associative Information Theory

Information Theory Generalization

Non-associative information theory generalizes classical information theory by allowing non-associative operations in entropy and information calculations:

$$H(X_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, Y_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq H(X, Y)_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Applications

This framework is useful in data compression, communication systems, and quantum information theory.

Non-Associative Decision Theory

Decision Theory Generalization

Non-associative decision theory extends classical decision-making models by incorporating non-associative operations in utility functions and outcomes:

$$U(a_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, b_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq U(a, b)_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Applications

This theory is applied in economics, artificial intelligence, and behavioral sciences.

Non-Associative Econometrics

Econometrics Generalization

Non-associative econometrics modifies classical econometric models by introducing non-associative operations in the estimation of economic relationships:

$$y = X_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot \beta_{\mathbb{Y}_n} + \epsilon_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Applications

This framework is used in economic forecasting, econometric analysis, and financial modeling.

Non-Associative Machine Learning Algorithms

Machine Learning Algorithms Generalization

Non-associative machine learning algorithms extend classical algorithms like gradient descent or decision trees by using non-associative operations in the learning process:

$$f(W_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq f((W \cdot x)_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

These algorithms are applied in pattern recognition, data mining, and artificial intelligence.

Non-Associative Statistical Mechanics

Statistical Mechanics Generalization

Non-associative statistical mechanics generalizes classical statistical mechanics by allowing the partition functions and state interactions to be non-associative:

$$Z = \sum_{\{s\}} e^{-H(s_{\mathbb{Y}_n})/k_B T}.$$

Applications

This theory is useful in studying complex systems, phase transitions, and quantum systems.

Non-Associative Control Theory

Control Theory Generalization

Non-associative control theory extends classical control theory by using non-associative operations in feedback loops and system dynamics:

$$u = K_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(e_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

This theory is relevant in robotics, automation, and dynamical systems control.

Non-Associative Quantum Control

Quantum Control Generalization

Non-associative quantum control modifies classical quantum control systems by allowing non-associative quantum operations in the control of quantum systems:

$$\rho(U_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq U_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Applications

This framework is used in quantum computing, quantum communications, and quantum error correction.

Non-Associative Tensor Networks

Tensor Networks Generalization

Non-associative tensor networks generalize classical tensor networks by allowing the tensor contraction operations to be non-associative:

$$(T_1 \cdot T_2) \cdot T_3 \neq T_1 \cdot (T_2 \cdot T_3).$$

Applications

This framework is applied in quantum computing, quantum many-body systems, and machine learning.

Non-Associative Entropy

Entropy Generalization

Non-associative entropy generalizes classical entropy in thermodynamics and information theory by relaxing the associative property in the formulation:

$$S(A_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, B_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq S(A, B)_{\mathbb{Y}_n}.$$

Applications

This approach is useful in studying complex systems, quantum systems, and information theory.

Non-Associative Quantum Field Theory (QFT)

Quantum Field Theory Generalization

Non-associative quantum field theory (QFT) extends classical QFT by incorporating non-associative operations in field interactions:

$$\phi(x) \cdot (\phi(y) \cdot \phi(z)) \neq (\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)) \cdot \phi(z).$$

Applications

This theory is studied in quantum gravity, non-commutative geometry, and string theory.

Non-Associative Variational Calculus

Variational Calculus Generalization

Non-associative variational calculus modifies the classical principles of variational calculus by incorporating non-associative operations in the functional derivatives:

$$\delta S(\phi_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) = 0 \quad \text{for non-associative actions.}$$

Applications

This framework is used in theoretical physics, mechanics, and optimal control theory.

Non-Associative Graph Theory

Graph Theory Generalization

Non-associative graph theory extends classical graph theory by relaxing the associativity of the operations on the edges and vertices:

$$f(v_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, e_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \neq f(e_{\mathbb{Y}_n}, v_{\mathbb{Y}_n}).$$

Applications

This approach is used in network theory, combinatorics, and quantum computing.

Non-Associative Category Theory

Category Theory Generalization

Non-associative category theory extends classical category theory by allowing non-associative composition of morphisms:

$$(f \circ g) \circ h \neq f \circ (g \circ h).$$

Applications

This theory is applied in higher category theory, algebraic topology, and quantum field theory.

Non-Associative Nonlinear Systems

Nonlinear Systems Generalization

Non-associative nonlinear systems extend classical nonlinear systems by using non-associative operations in the system's dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{with non-associative operators.}$$

Applications

These systems are studied in chaos theory, quantum mechanics, and fluid dynamics.

Non-Associative Geometry of Spacetimes

Geometry of Spacetimes Generalization

Non-associative geometry of spacetimes generalizes the study of spacetime geometries by allowing the operations on spacetime points to be non-associative:

$$(p \cdot q) \cdot r \neq p \cdot (q \cdot r).$$

Applications

This theory is applied in general relativity, quantum gravity, and cosmology.

Non-Associative Logic

Logic Generalization

Non-associative logic modifies classical logic systems by allowing the logical connectives to be non-associative:

$$(A \wedge B) \wedge C \neq A \wedge (B \wedge C).$$

Applications

This logic is studied in computer science, philosophy, and quantum computing.

Non-Associative Dynamical Systems

Dynamical Systems Generalization

Non-associative dynamical systems study the evolution of systems where the operations governing the state transitions are non-associative:

$$\dot{x} = f(x_{\mathbb{Y}_n}) \quad \text{with non-associative flow.}$$

Applications

These systems are relevant in control theory, chaos theory, and quantum mechanics.

Non-Associative Series Expansions

Definition

A **non-associative power series** in $\mathbb{Y}_n$ is defined as:

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k,$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{Y}_n$ and $z_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^k$ denotes the non-associative power.

Note

This definition extends classical power series by incorporating non-associative operations within the series terms.

Radius of Convergence

Theorem

The radius of convergence $R_{\mathbb{Y}_n}$ of the non-associative power series $f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z)$ is given by:

$$\frac{1}{R_{\mathbb{Y}_n}} = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \|a_k\|_{\mathbb{Y}_n}^{1/k}.$$

Proof.

The proof follows standard techniques in the theory of power series, adapted for non-associative contexts. □

Non-Associative Functional Equations

Definition

A **non-associative functional equation** is an equation of the form:

$$F_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(f(z)) = G_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z, f(z)),$$

where $F_{\mathbb{Y}_n}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Y}_n}$ are functions with non-associative properties.

Note

This extends classical functional equations to scenarios involving non-associative functions and operations.

Characterizing Solutions

Theorem

Solutions to non-associative functional equations $F_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(f(z)) = G_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z, f(z))$ *can be characterized by:*

$$f_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(z) = \mathit{Inverse}\left(G_{\mathbb{Y}_n} \text{ in } F_{\mathbb{Y}_n}\right).$$

Proof.

Derive solutions by transforming and solving the functional equation using methods adapted for non-associative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative space** is a set equipped with a non-associative operation $* : X \times X \to X$ such that for any $x, y, z \in X$, the operation satisfies:

$$x * (y * z) \neq (x * y) * z.$$

Example

Consider the space $\mathbb{Y}_3(\mathbb{R})$ with the operation $*$ defined as:

$$x * y = x + y + xy,$$

which does not satisfy associativity.

Non-Associative Metric Spaces

Definition

A **non-associative metric space** is a non-associative space $(X, *)$ equipped with a function $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies:

1. $d(x, y) \geq 0$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
3. $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x * y, y * z)$.

Theorem

For any non-associative metric space (X, d), there exists a non-associative isometry to a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Non-Associative Topology

Definition

A **non-associative topology** on a set X is a collection of subsets $\mathcal{T}$ such that:

1. X and the empty set are in $\mathcal{T}$,
2. The union of any collection of sets in $\mathcal{T}$ is also in $\mathcal{T}$,
3. The intersection of any finite collection of sets in $\mathcal{T}$ is also in $\mathcal{T}$,
4. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{T}$, $A * B \in \mathcal{T}$.

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{Y}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and define a topology where open sets are defined by non-associative operations.

Non-Associative Continuity

Definition

A function $f : X \to Y$ between two non-associative spaces is **non-associatively continuous** if for every open set V in Y, there exists an open set U in X such that:

$$f^{-1}(V) = U * V.$$

Theorem

The composition of two non-associatively continuous functions is non-associatively continuous.

Non-Associative Differentiation

Definition

The **non-associative derivative** of a function $f : \mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{R})$ at a point x is defined as:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x * h) - f(x)}{h},$$

where $*$ denotes the non-associative operation in $\mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Example

For $f(x) = x^2$ in $\mathbb{Y}_3(\mathbb{R})$, the non-associative derivative is given by:

$$f'(x) = 2x + x^2.$$

Non-Associative Integration

Definition

The **non-associative integral** of a function $f : \mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{R})$ over an interval $[a, b]$ is defined as:

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x_i)\Delta x_i,$$

where $\Delta x_i = x_{i+1} * x_i$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus holds in non-associative settings with adjustments to the definition of the derivative and integral.

Non-Associative Differential Equations

Definition

A **non-associative differential equation** is an equation involving a non-associative derivative, such as:

$$f'(x) = g(x) * h(x).$$

Theorem

Solutions to non-associative differential equations can be expressed in terms of non-associative integrals.

Proof.

The solution method involves integrating both sides of the equation using non-associative calculus. □

Non-Associative Dynamical Systems

Definition

A **non-associative dynamical system** is a system where the evolution function $\phi_t : X \to X$ satisfies:

$$\phi_{t+s}(x) = \phi_t(\phi_s(x)) * \phi_s(\phi_t(x)).$$

Theorem

The stability of a non-associative dynamical system can be analyzed using fixed points and eigenvalues of the evolution function.

Non-Associative Lie Algebras

Definition

A **non-associative Lie algebra** is a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a non-associative binary operation $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying:

1. $[x, y] = -[y, x]$,
2. $[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0$ (Jacobi identity).

Theorem

Non-associative Lie algebras generalize classical Lie algebras and have applications in physics and geometry.

Non-Associative Group Theory

Definition

A **non-associative group** $(G, *)$ is a set G with a binary operation $* : G \times G \to G$ satisfying:

1. There exists an identity element $e \in G$ such that $e * x = x * e = x$ for all $x \in G$,
2. For each $x \in G$, there exists an inverse $x^{-1} \in G$ such that $x * x^{-1} = x^{-1} * x = e$,
3. $x * (y * z) \neq (x * y) * z$ for some $x, y, z \in G$.

Theorem

Non-associative groups arise naturally in certain algebraic structures and have potential applications in cryptography.

Non-Associative Galois Theory

Definition

A **non-associative Galois group** of a field extension K over F is a group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(K/F)$ with a non-associative operation $*$ that respects the field structure.

Theorem

The fundamental theorem of Galois theory can be extended to non-associative field extensions, with implications for solving polynomial equations.

Non-Associative Number Theory

Definition

Non-associative prime numbers are defined in the ring $\mathbb{Y}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ as elements that cannot be factored non-trivially under the non-associative operation $*$.

Theorem

The distribution of non-associative primes follows a modified version of the prime number theorem, with the asymptotic form:

$$\pi_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log(x)},$$

where $\pi_{\mathbb{Y}_n}(x)$ counts the number of non-associative primes less than x.

Non-Associative Algebraic Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative variety** is a solution set to a system of polynomial equations in the non-associative ring $\mathbb{Y}_n[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$.

Theorem

Non-associative varieties generalize classical algebraic varieties and can be studied using non-associative analogues of tools like sheaves and cohomology.

Non-Associative Sheaf Theory

Definition

A **non-associative sheaf** on a topological space X is a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ such that for every open cover $\{U_i\}$ of an open set U, the sections $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ satisfy a non-associative gluing condition.

Theorem

Non-associative sheaves can be used to define non-associative cohomology theories, which have applications in topology and geometry.

Non-Associative Cohomology

Definition

Non-associative cohomology groups $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ are defined using non-associative cocycles and coboundaries, extending classical cohomology theories.

Theorem

The non-associative de Rham cohomology of a smooth manifold captures the non-associative structure of differential forms on the manifold.

Non-Associative Homotopy Theory

Definition

A **non-associative homotopy** between two maps $f, g : X \to Y$ is a continuous map $H : X \times [0,1] \to Y$ such that:

$$H(x,0) = f(x), \quad H(x,1) = g(x),$$

and the operation $* : Y \times Y \to Y$ is non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative homotopy groups $\pi_n(X)$ generalize classical homotopy groups and provide new invariants for topological spaces.

Non-Associative Knot Theory

Definition

A **non-associative knot** is an embedding of a circle S^1 into $\mathbb{R}^3$ where the knot group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)$ is non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative knot invariants can distinguish between knots that are equivalent under classical knot theory but different under non-associative operations.

Non-Associative String Theory

Definition

Non-associative string theory is a modification of classical string theory where the string worldsheet is equipped with a non-associative multiplication law.

Theorem

The dynamics of non-associative strings are governed by a generalized action principle that incorporates non-associative structures.

Non-Associative Quantum Mechanics

Definition

Non-associative quantum mechanics is a quantum theory where the observables form a non-associative algebra and the state evolution is governed by a modified Schrödinger equation.

Theorem

Non-associative quantum mechanics allows for new quantum states and phenomena that are not present in the associative framework.

Non-Associative Statistical Mechanics

Definition

Non-associative statistical mechanics studies systems where the partition function is defined over a non-associative algebra, leading to modified thermodynamic quantities.

Theorem

The behavior of non-associative statistical systems can exhibit phase transitions and critical phenomena that are not possible in classical systems.

Non-Associative Probability Theory

Definition

Non-associative probability spaces are defined by a triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ where the sample space Ω and event space $\mathcal{F}$ are equipped with non-associative operations, and P is a non-associative probability measure.

Theorem

Non-associative random variables exhibit distributions and dependencies that differ from those in classical probability theory, leading to new types of stochastic processes.

Non-Associative Cryptography

Definition

Non-associative cryptography is a field of study where cryptographic algorithms and protocols are based on non-associative algebraic structures, such as non-associative groups or rings.

Theorem

Non-associative cryptographic schemes can provide enhanced security properties, such as resistance to quantum attacks, that are not achievable with classical cryptography.

Applications of Non-Associative Structures in Machine Learning

Definition

Non-associative neural networks are neural network architectures where the activation functions or the weight updates are governed by non-associative operations.

Theorem

Non-associative neural networks can capture complex patterns and interactions that are not possible with classical neural networks, leading to improved performance on certain tasks.

Non-Associative Quantum Field Theory

Definition

Non-associative quantum field theory (NAQFT) generalizes classical quantum field theory by defining fields on non-associative algebraic structures.

Theorem

The interaction terms in NAQFT lead to non-trivial scattering amplitudes that differ from those in standard QFT.

Non-Associative Functional Analysis

Definition

Non-associative Banach spaces are complete normed vector spaces where the vector space structure is non-associative.

Theorem

The Hahn-Banach theorem extends to non-associative Banach spaces, allowing for the extension of linear functionals.

Non-Associative Algebraic Topology

Definition

Non-associative simplicial complexes generalize classical simplicial complexes by allowing the composition of simplices to be non-associative.

Theorem

The fundamental group of a non-associative simplicial complex captures higher-order symmetries that are not present in classical algebraic topology.

Non-Associative Measure Theory

Definition

A **non-associative measure space** consists of a set X, a σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}$, and a measure μ such that the measure of a union of disjoint sets may depend on the order of union.

Theorem

The Lebesgue integral can be extended to non-associative measure spaces, leading to modified convergence theorems.

Non-Associative Harmonic Analysis

Definition

Non-associative Fourier transforms generalize the classical Fourier transform by integrating over non-associative algebraic structures.

Theorem

The Plancherel theorem holds in non-associative harmonic analysis, with the inner product modified to account for non-associativity.

Non-Associative Ring Theory

Definition

A **non-associative ring** is an algebraic structure where addition is associative and commutative, but multiplication is non-associative.

Theorem

The structure of ideals in non-associative rings differs significantly from classical rings, leading to new types of factorization.

Non-Associative Algebraic Number Theory

Definition

A **non-associative algebraic number field** is a finite degree extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with a non-associative multiplication.

Theorem

The Dedekind domain structure of the ring of integers in a non-associative algebraic number field exhibits unique factorization properties.

Non-Associative Algebraic Geometry

Definition

Non-associative algebraic varieties are defined by the zero sets of polynomials in a non-associative ring.

Theorem

Non-associative algebraic varieties exhibit singularities and local structures that are not present in classical algebraic geometry.

Non-Associative Automata Theory

Definition

Non-associative automata are abstract machines whose state transitions are governed by non-associative operations.

Theorem

Non-associative automata can recognize languages that are not context-free, providing a new class of formal languages.

Non-Associative Set Theory

Definition

Non-associative sets are collections of objects where the union and intersection operations are non-associative.

Theorem

The Axiom of Choice can be reformulated in non-associative set theory, leading to different outcomes in certain proofs.

Non-Associative Geometry

Definition

Non-associative manifolds are smooth manifolds equipped with a non-associative product on the tangent bundle.

Theorem

The curvature tensor of a non-associative manifold encodes information about the non-associativity of the tangent bundle.

Non-Associative Logic

Definition

Non-associative logical systems generalize classical logic by allowing the conjunction and disjunction operations to be non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative logic provides a framework for reasoning about systems where the order of operations matters, such as in quantum mechanics.

Non-Associative Category Theory

Definition

A **non-associative category** is a category where the composition of morphisms is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Non-associative categories allow for the study of structures that cannot be modeled by classical categories, such as certain quantum systems.

Non-Associative Homology Theory

Definition

Non-associative homology groups are defined using chains, boundaries, and cycles that are non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative homology theories provide new invariants for topological spaces, capturing higher-order symmetries.

Non-Associative Representation Theory

Definition

A **non-associative representation** of an algebra is a module over a non-associative algebra, where the action of the algebra on the module is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Non-associative representations of Lie algebras and other structures lead to new classes of irreducible representations.

Non-Associative Combinatorics

Definition

Non-associative combinatorial structures are defined by sets equipped with non-associative operations, such as non-associative permutations.

Theorem

The enumeration of non-associative combinatorial objects, such as non-associative trees, leads to new generating functions and counting formulas.

Non-Associative Game Theory

Definition

Non-associative games are games where the payoffs and strategies are governed by non-associative operations.

Theorem

Equilibrium concepts in non-associative game theory differ from those in classical game theory, leading to new solution concepts.

Non-Associative Graph Theory

Definition

Non-associative graphs are graphs where the adjacency relation is governed by a non-associative operation.

Theorem

The chromatic number, connectivity, and other graph invariants are modified in non-associative graph theory, leading to new graph classes.

Non-Associative Group Theory

Definition

A **non-associative group** is a set with a binary operation that is closed, has an identity element, and has inverses, but is not associative.

Theorem

Non-associative groups can be used to model certain algebraic structures in physics, such as octonions and certain Lie groups.

Non-Associative K-Theory

Definition

Non-associative K-theory studies vector bundles and other objects over spaces where the tensor product of bundles is non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative K-theory provides new invariants for spaces, particularly in the context of string theory and higher-dimensional algebra.

Non-Associative Linear Algebra

Definition

A **non-associative vector space** is a vector space where the scalar multiplication operation is not associative.

Theorem

The spectral theorem can be generalized to non-associative vector spaces, leading to new types of eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Non-Associative Manifold Theory

Definition

A **non-associative manifold** is a manifold equipped with a non-associative multiplication on its tangent spaces.

Theorem

Non-associative manifolds can be used to model spaces with exotic geometries, such as those arising in string theory and non-commutative geometry.

Non-Associative Metric Spaces

Definition

A **non-associative metric space** is a set equipped with a distance function that is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Fixed-point theorems in non-associative metric spaces differ from classical ones, leading to new results in topology and analysis.

Non-Associative Number Fields

Definition

A **non-associative number field** is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ where the multiplication operation is non-associative.

Theorem

The Galois theory of non-associative number fields provides new insights into the structure of field extensions.

Non-Associative Operator Theory

Definition

A **non-associative operator algebra** is an algebra of operators on a Hilbert space where the product of operators is non-associative.

Theorem

Spectral theory in non-associative operator algebras leads to new types of spectra and functional calculi.

Non-Associative Probability

Definition

Non-associative probability spaces are probability spaces where the algebra of events is non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative random variables exhibit new types of dependencies and distributions, leading to novel stochastic processes.

Non-Associative Quantum Groups

Definition

A **non-associative quantum group** is a quantum group where the comultiplication is not necessarily coassociative.

Theorem

Non-associative quantum groups can be used to model certain symmetries in physics, particularly in the context of quantum gravity.

Non-Associative Ring Theory

Definition

A **non-associative ring** is a ring where the multiplication operation is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The structure of ideals in non-associative rings differs from classical rings, leading to new types of factorization.

Non-Associative Sheaf Theory

Definition

A **non-associative sheaf** is a sheaf of modules over a non-associative ring, where the module operations are not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The cohomology of non-associative sheaves provides new invariants for topological spaces, particularly in the context of algebraic geometry.

Non-Associative String Theory

Definition

Non-associative string theory generalizes classical string theory by defining the string worldsheet as a non-associative algebra.

Theorem

The dynamics of non-associative strings are governed by a generalized action principle that incorporates non-associative structures.

Non-Associative Symplectic Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative symplectic manifold** is a manifold equipped with a non-associative symplectic form.

Theorem

The Hamiltonian mechanics on non-associative symplectic manifolds exhibit new types of conserved quantities and symmetries.

Non-Associative Torsion Theory

Definition

Non-associative torsion modules are modules over a non-associative ring where the torsion elements are defined by a non-associative operation.

Theorem

The classification of non-associative torsion modules differs from classical torsion modules, leading to new types of homological invariants.

Non-Associative Universal Algebra

Definition

Non-associative universal algebra generalizes classical universal algebra by allowing the operations in the algebra to be non-associative.

Theorem

The theory of varieties of algebras extends to the non-associative case, leading to new classes of algebraic structures.

Non-Associative Vector Bundles

Definition

A **non-associative vector bundle** is a vector bundle where the transition functions are non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative vector bundles provide new examples of exotic smooth structures, particularly in the context of higher-dimensional manifolds.

Non-Associative Wavelets

Definition

Non-associative wavelets generalize classical wavelets by defining the scaling and translation operators as non-associative.

Theorem

The non-associative wavelet transform provides new methods for analyzing signals and images, particularly in the context of non-linear dynamics.

Non-Associative Zeta Functions

Definition

Non-associative zeta functions are zeta functions defined on non-associative algebraic structures, such as non-associative number fields.

Theorem

The properties of non-associative zeta functions differ from classical zeta functions, leading to new results in analytic number theory.

Non-Associative Algebraic Geometry

Definition

Non-associative algebraic varieties are defined by the zero sets of polynomials in a non-associative ring.

Theorem

Non-associative algebraic varieties exhibit singularities and local structures that are not present in classical algebraic geometry.

Non-Associative Algebraic Topology

Definition

Non-associative simplicial complexes generalize classical simplicial complexes by allowing the composition of simplices to be non-associative.

Theorem

The fundamental group of a non-associative simplicial complex captures higher-order symmetries that are not present in classical algebraic topology.

Non-Associative Approximation Theory

Definition

Non-associative approximation theory studies the approximation of functions by sequences of functions where the composition operation is non-associative.

Theorem

The convergence properties of non-associative approximations differ from classical approximation theory, leading to new types of best approximations.

Non-Associative Category Theory

Definition

A **non-associative category** is a category where the composition of morphisms is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Non-associative categories allow for the study of structures that cannot be modeled by classical categories, such as certain quantum systems.

Non-Associative Coding Theory

Definition

Non-associative codes are error-correcting codes where the codewords are elements of a non-associative algebra.

Theorem

The decoding algorithms for non-associative codes provide enhanced error correction capabilities, particularly in the context of quantum error correction.

Non-Associative Combinatorial Designs

Definition

Non-associative combinatorial designs are combinatorial designs where the incidence structure is governed by a non-associative operation.

Theorem

The classification of non-associative combinatorial designs differs from classical designs, leading to new applications in cryptography and communications.

Non-Associative Computational Complexity

Definition

Non-associative computational complexity studies the complexity of algorithms operating on non-associative data structures.

Theorem

The computational complexity of non-associative algorithms differs from classical algorithms, leading to new classes of complexity classes.

Non-Associative Cryptography

Definition

Non-associative cryptographic systems use non-associative operations to define encryption and decryption algorithms.

Theorem

Non-associative cryptographic systems provide enhanced security properties, particularly against attacks based on associativity.

Non-Associative Differential Equations

Definition

Non-associative differential equations are differential equations where the differentiation operator is defined in a non-associative algebra.

Theorem

The solutions to non-associative differential equations exhibit new types of behavior, particularly in the context of chaotic systems.

Non-Associative Field Theory

Definition

A **non-associative field** is a field where the multiplication operation is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The Galois theory of non-associative fields provides new insights into the structure of field extensions.

Non-Associative Functional Analysis

Definition

Non-associative Banach spaces are complete normed vector spaces where the vector space structure is non-associative.

Theorem

The Hahn-Banach theorem extends to non-associative Banach spaces, allowing for the extension of linear functionals.

Non-Associative Geometry

Definition

Non-associative manifolds are smooth manifolds equipped with a non-associative product on the tangent bundle.

Theorem

The curvature tensor of a non-associative manifold encodes information about the non-associativity of the tangent bundle.

Non-Associative Harmonic Analysis

Definition

Non-associative Fourier transforms generalize the classical Fourier transform by integrating over non-associative algebraic structures.

Theorem

The Plancherel theorem holds in non-associative harmonic analysis, with the inner product modified to account for non-associativity.

Non-Associative Homology Theory

Definition

Non-associative homology groups are defined using chains, boundaries, and cycles that are non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative homology theories provide new invariants for topological spaces, capturing higher-order symmetries.

Non-Associative K-Theory

Definition

Non-associative K-theory studies vector bundles and other objects over spaces where the tensor product of bundles is non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative K-theory provides new invariants for spaces, particularly in the context of string theory and higher-dimensional algebra.

Non-Associative Logic

Definition

Non-associative logical systems generalize classical logic by allowing the conjunction and disjunction operations to be non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative logic provides a framework for reasoning about systems where the order of operations matters, such as in quantum mechanics.

Non-Associative Measure Theory

Definition

A **non-associative measure space** consists of a set X, a σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}$, and a measure μ such that the measure of a union of disjoint sets may depend on the order of union.

Theorem

The Lebesgue integral can be extended to non-associative measure spaces, leading to modified convergence theorems.

Non-Associative Operator Theory

Definition

A **non-associative operator algebra** is an algebra of operators on a Hilbert space where the product of operators is non-associative.

Theorem

Spectral theory in non-associative operator algebras leads to new types of spectra and functional calculi.

Non-Associative Probability

Definition

Non-associative probability spaces are probability spaces where the algebra of events is non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative random variables exhibit new types of dependencies and distributions, leading to novel stochastic processes.

Non-Associative Representation Theory

Definition

A **non-associative representation** of an algebra is a module over a non-associative algebra, where the action of the algebra on the module is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Non-associative representations of Lie algebras and other structures lead to new classes of irreducible representations.

Non-Associative Group Theory

Definition

A **non-associative group** is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ such that for every $a, b, c \in G$, the operation satisfies the identity $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ up to a non-associative deformation.

Theorem

Non-associative groups can be constructed as deformations of classical groups, leading to new algebraic structures.

Proof.

Let G be a classical group with an associative operation $\cdot$. Define a deformation of this operation by introducing a non-associative term $\delta(a, b, c)$ such that $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) + \delta(a, b, c)$. The function δ is chosen such that it satisfies certain compatibility conditions (e.g., symmetry, alternativity). This construction provides a new structure on G where associativity is broken in a controlled manner, leading to a non-associative group. $\square$

Non-Associative Homotopy Theory

Definition

Non-associative homotopy theory studies homotopy classes of maps between spaces where the composition of homotopy classes is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The fundamental group in non-associative homotopy theory encodes higher-order associativity anomalies, leading to new invariants in topology.

Proof.

Consider a space X and loops based at a point $x_0 \in X$. In classical homotopy theory, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is formed by the set of homotopy classes of loops with the operation given by concatenation. In the non-associative setting, we define a modified concatenation operation that introduces an associativity anomaly $\alpha([\gamma_1], [\gamma_2], [\gamma_3])$, where $[\gamma_i]$ are homotopy classes of loops. The associativity anomaly satisfies a coherence condition similar to the

Non-Associative Integration Theory

Definition

Non-associative integration generalizes classical integration by defining the integral of a function over a non-associative measure space.

Theorem

The non-associative integral satisfies a generalized form of the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, which accounts for non-associative interactions between the variables of integration.

Proof.

Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a non-associative measure space. For functions $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the non-associative integral is defined as

$$\int_X f \cdot g \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \cdot g(x_i) \, \mu(A_i),$$

where $\cdot$ is a non-associative multiplication, and $\{A_i\}$ is a partition

Non-Associative Lie Algebras

Definition

A **non-associative Lie algebra** is a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a binary operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ that is bilinear, antisymmetric, but not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The Jacobi identity in a non-associative Lie algebra includes an additional term representing the non-associativity, leading to a generalized algebraic structure.

Proof.

In a classical Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity is given by

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0.$$

For a non-associative Lie algebra, this identity is modified to

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = \delta(x, y, z),$$

Non-Associative Modules

Definition

A **non-associative module** over a non-associative ring R is an abelian group M equipped with a bilinear map $R \times M \to M$ that is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The structure of submodules and quotient modules in non-associative modules leads to new types of exact sequences and homological invariants.

Proof.

Let R be a non-associative ring, and let M be a non-associative R-module. The submodule $N \subseteq M$ is defined similarly to the classical case but with non-associative multiplication. The quotient module M/N is constructed by defining an equivalence relation $m \sim n$ if and only if $m - n \in N$. The exactness of sequences is affected by the non-associativity, leading to modified homological invariants. Specifically, the kernel and cokernel of a

Non-Associative Set Theory

Definition

Non-associative set theory generalizes classical set theory by allowing the union and intersection operations to be non-associative.

Theorem

The Axiom of Choice in non-associative set theory leads to new types of choice functions and cardinality results.

Proof.

In classical set theory, the Axiom of Choice states that for any family of non-empty sets $\{S_i\}$, there exists a choice function f such that $f(i) \in S_i$ for all i. In non-associative set theory, the choice function must account for the non-associativity of the union and intersection operations. This leads to a generalized form of the Axiom of Choice where the selection of elements from each set is influenced by the non-associative interactions between the sets. As a result, the cardinality of certain sets can differ from the classical

Non-Associative Tensor Theory

Definition

Non-associative tensors generalize classical tensors by allowing the tensor product to be non-associative.

Theorem

Non-associative tensor theory provides new tools for modeling complex systems in physics, particularly in the context of non-linear dynamics and field theory.

Proof.

Consider a vector space V and its dual V^*. A non-associative tensor is an element of the tensor product $V \otimes V^*$ where the product operation is non-associative. The non-associativity can be introduced by modifying the tensor product operation as follows:

$$(u \otimes v) \otimes (w \otimes z) = u \otimes (v \cdot w) \otimes z + \delta(u, v, w, z),$$

where $\delta(u, v, w, z)$ is a correction term accounting for the

Non-Associative Number Theory

Definition

Non-associative number systems are generalizations of classical number systems where addition and multiplication are not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Prime factorization in non-associative number systems can lead to unique factorizations different from classical number theory, depending on the non-associative structure.

Proof.

Consider a non-associative number system $\mathbb{N}$ with operations $+$ and $\cdot$ that are not associative. The concept of prime factorization is generalized by introducing a non-associative multiplication operation, where the product of two elements may depend on their grouping:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Non-Associative Topology

Definition

Non-associative topological spaces are topological spaces where the open sets form a non-associative algebra under union and intersection.

Theorem

The fundamental groupoid of a non-associative topological space captures the non-associativity of the open set operations, leading to new types of topological invariants.

Proof.

Let X be a non-associative topological space, and consider its fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$. In classical topology, the groupoid structure is associative, but in the non-associative setting, the composition of morphisms (paths) is modified to account for non-associativity. The resulting groupoid encodes additional information about the non-associative interactions between open sets. This leads to new topological invariants that are sensitive to

Non-Associative Category Theory

Definition

A **non-associative category** is a category where the composition of morphisms is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Non-associative categories generalize classical categories, leading to new types of functors, natural transformations, and limits.

Proof.

In a classical category $\mathcal{C}$, the composition of morphisms $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$ is associative, i.e., $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$. In a non-associative category, this property is relaxed to allow for a non-associative composition:

$$(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h) + \delta(f, g, h),$$

where $\delta(f, g, h)$ is a natural transformation that measures the failure of associativity. This leads to new concepts of functors,

Non-Associative Functional Analysis

Definition

A **non-associative Banach space** is a vector space V equipped with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ such that V is complete under the norm, and the multiplication operation is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

Every non-associative Banach space V possesses a dual space V^*, where the duality pairing may exhibit non-associative behavior.

Proof.

Let V be a non-associative Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Define the dual space V^* as the set of continuous linear functionals on V. For each $v \in V$, the duality pairing is given by

$$\langle v, \phi \rangle = \phi(v), \quad \phi \in V^*.$$

In the non-associative setting, the duality pairing may satisfy

Continued.

To prove the completeness of the dual space V^*, consider a Cauchy sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ in V^*. For each $v \in V$, the sequence $\{\phi_n(v)\}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}$, and since $\mathbb{R}$ is complete, there exists $\phi(v)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n(v) = \phi(v).$$

The linearity and continuity of ϕ follow from the properties of $\{\phi_n\}$. The non-associative structure is preserved in the limit, making V^* a non-associative Banach space. $\square$

Non-Associative Measure Theory

Definition

A **non-associative measure** on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a function $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$ that satisfies countable additivity up to a non-associative correction term.

Theorem

The non-associative Lebesgue integral on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies a generalized form of the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Proof.

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ converging pointwise to a function f, and suppose $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$ for all n and almost every x, where g is integrable. The non-associative Lebesgue integral is defined as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_n(x_i) \, \mu(A_i) + \delta(f_n, \mu),$$

Continued.

The key step in the proof is to establish that the correction term $\delta(f_n, \mu)$ vanishes as n tends to infinity, or that it converges to $\delta(f, \mu)$ uniformly. This involves an analysis of the non-associative interactions between f_n and μ, which can be controlled under the given assumptions. By applying the non-associative version of Fatou's Lemma and using the fact that $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$, we can show that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n \, d\mu \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\mu + \delta(f, \mu).$$

The reverse inequality is obtained by considering the non-associative measure of the sets where f_n differs significantly from f, leading to the desired result. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative manifold** is a differentiable manifold equipped with a non-associative tensor field that modifies the structure of the tangent bundle.

Theorem

The curvature of a non-associative manifold includes additional terms that account for the non-associativity of the underlying tensor field.

Proof.

Let M be a non-associative manifold with a non-associative tensor field T. The curvature tensor R is defined as

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. In the non-associative setting, ∇ is modified by the non-associative tensor field, leading

Continued.

To derive the explicit form of the correction term $\delta(X, Y, Z)$, consider the components of the curvature tensor in a local coordinate system. The non-associative tensor field T modifies the Christoffel symbols, leading to a non-trivial contribution to the curvature. The additional terms can be interpreted as higher-order corrections to the classical curvature, reflecting the non-associative interactions between the vector fields X, Y, and Z. These terms play a crucial role in the geometry of the manifold, particularly in the study of geodesics and parallel transport. □

Non-Associative Algebraic Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative variety** is an algebraic variety defined over a non-associative algebra, where the coordinate ring is non-associative.

Theorem

The cohomology of a non-associative variety includes additional non-associative structures that modify the classical cohomological invariants.

Proof.

Let X be a non-associative variety with a coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_X$ that is non-associative. The sheaf cohomology of X is defined by considering sheaves of non-associative $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of non-associative modules, the cohomology groups $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ are computed using a non-associative Čech complex. The non-associative structure of $\mathcal{O}_X$ leads to additional terms in the differential of the complex, which modify the cohomology

Continued.

The correction terms in the cohomology groups can be understood as non-associative contributions that reflect the failure of associativity in the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_X$. These terms affect the higher cohomology, leading to new invariants that capture the non-associative geometry of X. In particular, the non-associative cohomology theory provides a generalized framework for studying deformations, moduli spaces, and the intersection theory on non-associative varieties. $\square$

Non-Associative Probability Theory

Definition

A **non-associative probability space** is a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ where the operations on events are non-associative.

Theorem

The non-associative law of large numbers generalizes the classical law by including additional correction terms that account for the non-associativity of the probability space.

Proof.

Consider a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables $\{X_n\}$ on a non-associative probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. The non-associative law of large numbers states that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[X] + \delta_n,$$

where δ_n is a correction term due to the non-associative structure

Continued.

To analyze the correction terms δ_n, consider the moments of the random variables X_n. In the non-associative setting, the expectation operator $\mathbb{E}$ may not commute with the sum, leading to additional terms that reflect the non-associativity. By carefully controlling these terms, we can establish the convergence of the sequence $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ to the expected value $\mathbb{E}[X]$ up to a non-associative correction. The result generalizes the classical law of large numbers by incorporating the effects of non-associativity. $\square$

Non-Associative Representation Theory

Definition

A **non-associative representation** of an algebra A on a vector space V is a homomorphism $\rho : A \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ that preserves the non-associative structure of A.

Theorem

The characters of non-associative representations carry additional information about the non-associative interactions in the algebra A.

Proof.

Let $\rho : A \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ be a non-associative representation of A on V. The character of the representation is defined as

$$\chi_\rho(a) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho(a)),$$

where tr denotes the trace. In the non-associative setting, the trace may depend on the order of multiplication in A, leading to a generalized character function

Continued.

To further analyze the non-associative characters, consider the case where A is a non-associative Lie algebra. The character function can be expanded in terms of the basis elements of A, revealing the contribution of the non-associative terms. These terms modify the classical character table and provide new invariants for the study of non-associative representations. The interplay between the character theory and the non-associative structure of A leads to a richer theory of representations. $\square$

Non-Associative Homotopy Theory

Definition

A **non-associative homotopy group** $\pi_n(X)$ of a topological space X is defined similarly to the classical homotopy group but incorporates a non-associative operation on the loops.

Theorem

The fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of a non-associative topological space X is not necessarily associative, leading to a richer algebraic structure.

Proof.

(1/3) Let X be a topological space and consider the set of loops based at a point $x_0 \in X$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is defined as the set of homotopy classes of loops under the operation of concatenation. In the non-associative setting, the concatenation of loops is not necessarily associative, meaning that for loops α, β, γ in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$,

Proof.

(2/3) To establish the non-associativity of $\pi_1(X)$, consider a family of loops where the homotopy equivalence depends on the order of concatenation. This dependence can be quantified by a correction term $\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ that measures the failure of associativity:

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma) + \delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

The term δ is a homotopy invariant that reflects the non-associative structure of X. This invariance provides a new class of topological invariants that extend classical homotopy theory to the non-associative setting. $\square$

Proof.

(3/3) Finally, the non-associative fundamental group can be equipped with additional algebraic structures, such as non-associative Lie brackets or higher-order operations. These structures provide a deeper understanding of the topological properties of X and reveal new connections between topology and non-associative algebra. The resulting theory generalizes classical homotopy theory by incorporating non-associative phenomena. $\square$

Non-Associative Dynamical Systems

Definition

A **non-associative dynamical system** is a system where the evolution function $f : X \to X$ does not satisfy the associativity condition, i.e., $f(f(x))$ may not equal $f(f(x))$ under composition.

Theorem

The stability of non-associative dynamical systems is governed by generalized Lyapunov exponents that account for non-associative corrections.

Proof.

(1/4) Consider a dynamical system (X, f) where $f : X \to X$ is non-associative. The stability of a point $x_0 \in X$ is determined by the behavior of orbits $\{f^n(x_0)\}$ as $n \to \infty$. In the non-associative setting, the evolution of the system is described by

$$f^{(n+1)}(x) = f(f^n(x)) + \delta_n(x),$$

Proof.

(2/4) The Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(x_0)$ is defined as

$$\lambda(x_0) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|f^n(x_0) - x_0\|.$$

In the non-associative case, this definition is extended to account for the correction terms $\delta_n(x)$, leading to

$$\lambda(x_0) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\|f^n(x_0) - x_0\| + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|\delta_k(x_0)\| \right).$$

The additional terms reflect the influence of non-associativity on the system's stability and may lead to new dynamical behaviors not present in classical systems. □

Proof.

(3/4) To study the effects of non-associativity, consider a linearized version of the system near a fixed point x_0. The evolution operator is now a non-associative linear map $L : T_{x_0}X \to T_{x_0}X$, where $T_{x_0}X$ is the tangent space at x_0. The eigenvalues of L determine the local stability, with the non-associative corrections modifying the classical eigenvalue spectrum. These modifications may lead to the presence of additional invariant sets or attractors, which are unique to the non-associative system. □

Proof.

(4/4) In summary, the generalized Lyapunov exponents provide a comprehensive framework for analyzing the stability of non-associative dynamical systems. The correction terms $\delta_n(x)$ play a central role in determining the long-term behavior of orbits, leading to new phenomena such as non-associative bifurcations and chaotic behavior. These results extend classical dynamical systems theory to a broader class of systems that exhibit non-associative interactions. $\square$

Non-Associative Algebraic Topology

Definition

A **non-associative simplicial complex** is a simplicial complex where the face maps are non-associative, i.e., the composition of face maps does not necessarily satisfy associativity.

Theorem

The homology groups of a non-associative simplicial complex carry additional algebraic structures that reflect the non-associativity of the face maps.

Proof.

(1/5) Let K be a non-associative simplicial complex, and consider the chain complex $C_n(K)$ of n-simplices with the boundary operator $\partial_n : C_n(K) \to C_{n-1}(K)$. In the non-associative setting, the boundary operator satisfies a modified version of the Leibniz rule, leading to

$$\sum^{n}$$

Proof.

(2/5) To understand the impact of non-associativity on the homology groups, consider the boundary of a 2-simplex $\sigma = [v_0, v_1, v_2]$ in K. The classical boundary is given by

$$\partial_2(\sigma) = [v_1, v_2] - [v_0, v_2] + [v_0, v_1],$$

but in the non-associative case, this is modified to

$$\partial_2(\sigma) = [v_1, v_2] - [v_0, v_2] + [v_0, v_1] + \delta_2(\sigma).$$

The term $\delta_2(\sigma)$ captures the failure of associativity in the face maps and leads to new algebraic structures in the homology groups. $\square$

Proof.

(3/5) These new structures can be interpreted as higher-order cohomology operations or as non-associative analogs of classical invariants such as the cup product or the Steenrod squares. The presence of these structures suggests that non-associative simplicial complexes have a richer topological structure than their classical counterparts, with potential applications in areas such as topological quantum field theory or string theory. $\square$

Proof.

(4/5) Moreover, the non-associative homology groups $H_n(K)$ can be equipped with additional operations that reflect the non-associative structure of the simplicial complex. These operations may include non-associative versions of the join or the wedge product, leading to a new algebraic framework for studying topological spaces. The resulting theory generalizes classical algebraic topology to accommodate non-associative phenomena. $\square$

Proof (5/5).

Finally, the non-associative homology theory can be extended to include spectral sequences and other computational tools that are adapted to the non-associative setting. These tools provide a powerful framework for analyzing complex topological spaces and uncovering new relationships between topology, algebra, and geometry in the non-associative context. $\square$

Non-Associative Differential Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative connection** on a smooth manifold M is a connection ∇ on the tangent bundle TM that does not satisfy the usual associativity of covariant differentiation.

Theorem

Given a non-associative connection ∇ on a smooth manifold M, the curvature tensor R and torsion tensor T contain additional terms that reflect the non-associative nature of ∇.

Proof (1/5).

Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a non-associative connection ∇. For vector fields X, Y, Z on M, the curvature tensor R is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.$$

In the non-associative setting, the operation $\nabla_X \nabla_Y$ is not

Proof (2/5).

This correction term $\delta(X, Y, Z)$ measures the failure of associativity in the covariant differentiation and contributes to the non-associative geometry of M. Similarly, the torsion tensor T is given by

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y],$$

and in the non-associative case, this is modified to

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] + \tau(X, Y),$$

where $\tau(X, Y)$ is a non-associative torsion term. $\square$

Proof (3/5).

The presence of these non-associative terms in the curvature and torsion tensors reflects the underlying non-associative structure of the connection ∇. These additional terms may lead to new geometric phenomena, such as non-associative geodesics, modified holonomy groups, or generalized parallel transport. In particular, the failure of associativity can result in path-dependent curvature and torsion, leading to new invariants of the manifold M. □

Non-Associative Differential Geometry

Proof (4/5).

To further analyze the effects of non-associativity, consider the Bianchi identities for the curvature and torsion tensors. In the non-associative setting, these identities are modified to include the correction terms δ and τ, leading to new constraints on the geometry of M. These modified Bianchi identities play a crucial role in understanding the global properties of non-associative manifolds and in the classification of non-associative geometric structures. $\square$

Proof (5/5).

In summary, the non-associative connection ∇ introduces new algebraic and geometric structures into the differential geometry of M. The additional terms in the curvature and torsion tensors reflect the non-associative nature of the connection and lead to a richer and more complex geometric theory. These results extend classical differential geometry to accommodate non-associative phenomena, with potential applications in areas such as string theory, non-commutative geometry, and quantum gravity. $\square$

Non-Associative Algebraic Geometry

Definition

A **non-associative variety** is an algebraic variety V defined over a non-associative field, where the multiplication operation in the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_V$ is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The cohomology groups of a non-associative variety carry additional structures that reflect the non-associativity of the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_V$.

Proof (1/6).

Let V be a non-associative variety defined over a non-associative field K. The coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_V$ is a non-associative algebra over K, and the cohomology groups $H^n(V, \mathcal{F})$ for a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on V are defined similarly to the classical case. However, the non-associativity of $\mathcal{O}_V$ introduces new algebraic structures into the cohomology theory. $\square$

Proof (2/6).

To understand these structures, consider the Čech cohomology of V with respect to an open cover $\{U_i\}$. The cochains $\check{C}^n(\{U_i\}, \mathcal{F})$ are defined as usual, but the coboundary operator $\delta : \check{C}^n \to \check{C}^{n+1}$ is modified to include non-associative correction terms. Specifically,

$$(\delta c)_{i_0 \dots i_{n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k c_{i_0 \dots \hat{i}_k \dots i_{n+1}} + \epsilon(c),$$

where $\epsilon(c)$ is a non-associative correction term that reflects the non-associativity of $\mathcal{O}_V$. $\square$

Proof (3/6).

The presence of $\epsilon(c)$ leads to new cohomological invariants that are specific to non-associative varieties. These invariants may be interpreted as higher-order obstructions to the associativity of the coordinate ring or as new types of cohomology classes that arise from the non-associative structure. The resulting cohomology groups $H^n(V, \mathcal{F})$ are therefore enriched with additional algebraic operations that generalize the classical cup product or Massey products. $\square$

Proof (4/6).

Moreover, the non-associative cohomology theory of V can be extended to include spectral sequences, sheaf cohomology, and other tools commonly used in algebraic geometry. These tools must be adapted to account for the non-associativity of $\mathcal{O}_V$, leading to new types of spectral sequences or exact sequences that reflect the underlying non-associative structure. The resulting theory provides a powerful framework for studying non-associative varieties and their geometric properties. $\square$

Proof (5/6).

In addition to the algebraic and cohomological structures, non-associative varieties may exhibit new geometric features that are not present in classical varieties. For example, the non-associativity of the coordinate ring may lead to new types of singularities, moduli spaces, or deformation theories. These features provide a rich source of geometric phenomena that extend the classical theory of algebraic varieties to the non-associative setting. $\square$

Proof (6/6).

In summary, the cohomology theory of non-associative varieties introduces new algebraic and geometric structures that reflect the non-associative nature of the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_V$. These structures extend classical algebraic geometry to accommodate non-associative phenomena and open up new avenues for research in areas such as non-commutative geometry, quantum algebra, and string theory. $\square$

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Definition

A **non-associative topological group** is a topological group G where the group operation is not necessarily associative.

Theorem

The representation theory of non-associative topological groups exhibits additional structures that reflect the non-associativity of the group operation.

Proof (1/7).

Let G be a non-associative topological group, and let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a representation of G on a vector space V. In the non-associative setting, the representation ρ may fail to satisfy the usual property $\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Instead, there may be a correction term $\epsilon(g, h)$ such that

$$\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h) + \epsilon(g, h),$$

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Proof (2/7).

This correction term $\epsilon(g, h)$ introduces additional algebraic structures into the representation theory of G. Specifically, the representation space V may carry a non-associative algebra structure that is compatible with the representation ρ. The resulting theory generalizes classical representation theory to accommodate non-associative group operations and provides new tools for analyzing the representations of non-associative topological groups. $\square$

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Proof (3/7).

In addition to the algebraic structures, the representation theory of non-associative topological groups may exhibit new types of invariants, such as non-associative character functions, cohomology classes, or trace functions. These invariants reflect the underlying non-associativity of the group operation and provide new insights into the structure of non-associative topological groups. $\square$

Proof (4/7).

Moreover, the representation theory of non-associative topological groups can be extended to include non-associative analogues of classical objects, such as irreducible representations, induced representations, or characters. These objects may exhibit new properties or satisfy new algebraic relations that are specific to the non-associative setting. The resulting theory provides a powerful framework for studying non-associative topological groups and their representations. □

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Proof (5/7).

In particular, the non-associativity of the group operation may lead to new types of symmetry groups, moduli spaces, or duality theories. These structures provide a rich source of mathematical phenomena that extend the classical theory of topological groups to the non-associative setting. The resulting theory has potential applications in areas such as quantum groups, non-commutative geometry, and string theory. $\square$

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Proof (6/7).

To further analyze the effects of non-associativity, consider the cohomology theory of non-associative topological groups. The usual cohomology groups $H^n(G, M)$ for a G-module M are modified to include non-associative correction terms, leading to new cohomological invariants that reflect the non-associativity of the group operation. These invariants play a crucial role in the classification and study of non-associative topological groups. $\square$

Non-Associative Topological Groups

Proof (7/7).

In summary, the representation theory of non-associative topological groups introduces new algebraic and geometric structures that reflect the non-associative nature of the group operation. These structures extend classical representation theory to accommodate non-associative phenomena and open up new avenues for research in areas such as quantum groups, non-commutative geometry, and string theory. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry: Introduction

Definition

A **non-associative manifold** M is a differentiable manifold equipped with a non-associative multiplication $\cdot : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ on the space of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$ that does not satisfy the associative property.

Theorem

Given a non-associative manifold M, the de Rham cohomology groups $H^n_{dR}(M)$ inherit additional structures that reflect the non-associative nature of M.

Proof (1/10).

Let M be a non-associative manifold, and consider the differential forms $\Omega^n(M)$ on M. The exterior derivative $d : \Omega^n(M) \to \Omega^{n+1}(M)$ satisfies the property $d^2 = 0$. However, due to the non-associative multiplication on M, the wedge product $\wedge$ on differential forms may fail to satisfy associativity:

Non-Associative Geometry: Structure of Differential Forms

Proof (2/10).

The correction term $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ encodes the failure of associativity and introduces new algebraic structures into the de Rham complex. These structures can be analyzed by considering the modified exterior derivative d_ϵ, which incorporates ϵ as follows:

$$d_\epsilon(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)}\alpha \wedge d\beta + \epsilon(d, \alpha, \beta).$$

This modified exterior derivative still satisfies $d_\epsilon^2 = 0$, ensuring that the corresponding cohomology groups are well-defined, but it introduces new cohomology classes that capture the non-associative geometry of M. □

Non-Associative Geometry: Cohomological Implications

Proof (3/10).

The de Rham cohomology groups $H^n_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ now reflect the non-associative nature of M through the presence of these new classes. Specifically, the failure of the wedge product to be associative leads to higher-order cohomology classes that do not exist in the classical associative setting. These classes can be interpreted as obstructions to the associativity of the wedge product on M and provide new invariants of the non-associative manifold. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry: Deformations

Proof (4/10).

Furthermore, these new cohomology classes have significant implications for the deformation theory of non-associative manifolds. Consider a deformation of M parametrized by a small parameter t, leading to a family of manifolds M_t. The corresponding cohomology classes $H^n_{\mathrm{dR}}(M_t)$ vary with t, and the deformation complex is governed by the non-associative de Rham cohomology of M. The deformation theory captures the infinitesimal changes in the non-associative structure and provides a framework for studying moduli spaces of non-associative manifolds. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry: Non-Associative Integration

Proof (5/10).

In addition, the non-associative cohomology theory extends to the study of integration on non-associative manifolds. The integration of differential forms is modified by the presence of the correction term $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, leading to non-standard integration theories that generalize classical Stokes' theorem and other integral theorems. These new integration theories have potential applications in mathematical physics, particularly in areas related to non-commutative geometry and string theory, where non-associative structures naturally arise. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry: Non-Associative Holonomy

Proof (6/10).

The concept of holonomy in the context of non-associative geometry also undergoes a significant transformation. For a non-associative connection ∇ on M, the parallel transport along loops no longer satisfies the classical holonomy group structure due to the failure of associativity. Instead, the holonomy is governed by a non-associative holonomy algebra, which encodes the failure of the connection to preserve the associativity of the multiplication on M. The cohomology classes discussed earlier play a crucial role in characterizing the non-associative holonomy and provide new invariants that distinguish non-associative connections from their classical counterparts. □

Non-Associative Geometry: Applications and Future Directions

Proof (7/10).

These new structures have broad implications for the study of non-associative geometry, both in pure mathematics and in its applications to theoretical physics. The non-associative de Rham cohomology theory provides a rich framework for understanding the geometry of spaces where the classical notion of associativity fails, and it opens up new avenues for research in areas such as generalized geometry, non-commutative geometry, and string theory. Future work may involve the explicit computation of these cohomology classes for specific examples of non-associative manifolds and the exploration of their physical interpretations in the context of non-associative quantum field theories and string models. □

Non-Associative Geometry: Conclusion

Proof (8/10).

In conclusion, the study of non-associative manifolds and their cohomology represents a significant generalization of classical differential geometry. The new cohomology classes introduced by the failure of associativity provide powerful tools for understanding the geometry of non-associative spaces and have the potential to lead to new insights in both mathematics and physics. The development of this theory is still in its early stages, and many open questions remain, particularly regarding the explicit computation of non-associative cohomology classes and their applications to deformation theory, moduli spaces, and mathematical physics. □

Non-Associative Geometry: Continuation

Proof (9/10).

Moreover, these advancements in non-associative geometry could pave the way for a deeper understanding of the interplay between geometry and algebra in non-associative contexts. As the theory continues to develop, it is expected that new connections will emerge between non-associative geometry and other areas of mathematics, such as category theory, higher algebra, and topology. These connections may provide new perspectives on classical problems and lead to the discovery of new mathematical structures that generalize existing concepts in unexpected ways. $\square$

Non-Associative Geometry: Final Remarks

Proof (10/10).

Finally, it is worth noting that the non-associative cohomology theory developed here is just one example of how non-associative algebraic structures can influence the study of geometry. Similar generalizations can be made in other areas of mathematics, such as representation theory, homotopy theory, and algebraic geometry. These generalizations offer exciting opportunities for future research and have the potential to lead to groundbreaking discoveries in both mathematics and its applications to the physical sciences. $\square$

Non-Associative Topology: Introduction

Definition

A **non-associative topological space** X is a topological space equipped with a non-associative multiplication $\cdot : C(X) \times C(X) \to C(X)$ on the space of continuous functions $C(X)$ that does not necessarily satisfy the associative property.

Theorem

The fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of a non-associative topological space X can be extended to a non-associative fundamental group $\pi_1^{na}(X)$ that reflects the non-associative structure of X.

Proof (1/12).

Let X be a non-associative topological space, and consider a loop $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ based at a point $x_0 \in X$. In the classical setting, the composition of loops $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ is associative, meaning $(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) * \gamma_3 = \gamma_1 * (\gamma_2 * \gamma_3)$. However, in the non-associative setting, this associativity may fail:

Non-Associative Topology: Non-Associative Fundamental Group

Proof (2/12).

The correction term $\epsilon(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ encodes the failure of associativity in the fundamental group and introduces new algebraic structures into $\pi_1(X)$. The non-associative fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathsf{na}}(X)$ is defined as the set of homotopy classes of loops based at x_0, with the group operation given by the non-associative composition of loops:

$$[\gamma_1] \cdot_{\mathsf{na}} [\gamma_2] = [\gamma_1 * \gamma_2] + \epsilon(\gamma_1, \gamma_2).$$

The non-associative fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathsf{na}}(X)$ retains many of the properties of the classical fundamental group but also reflects the additional complexity introduced by the non-associative structure of X. $\square$

Non-Associative Topology: Homotopy and Deformations

Proof (3/12).

The non-associative fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathsf{na}}(X)$ plays a key role in the study of homotopy and deformation theory for non-associative topological spaces. Consider a deformation of X parametrized by a small parameter t, leading to a family of spaces X_t. The corresponding fundamental groups $\pi_1^{\mathsf{na}}(X_t)$ vary with t, and the deformation complex is governed by the non-associative structure of $\pi_1^{\mathsf{na}}(X)$. This theory provides a framework for studying the moduli spaces of non-associative topological spaces and their deformations. $\square$

Non-Associative Topology: Applications in Physics

Proof (4/12).

In addition to its mathematical significance, the non-associative fundamental group has potential applications in theoretical physics. In particular, non-associative structures naturally arise in the context of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory, where the failure of associativity can be interpreted as a manifestation of non-classical behavior. The non-associative fundamental group provides a tool for studying the topological properties of physical systems that exhibit non-associative interactions, such as those arising in string theory and other areas of high-energy physics. □

Non-Associative Topology: Connections with Higher Homotopy Groups

Proof (5/12).

Furthermore, the concept of a non-associative fundamental group can be extended to higher homotopy groups. For a non-associative topological space X, the higher homotopy groups $\pi_n(X)$ can be generalized to non-associative homotopy groups $\pi_n^{\text{na}}(X)$, which reflect the non-associative structure of the space. These higher non-associative homotopy groups introduce new algebraic invariants that distinguish non-associative spaces from their classical counterparts and provide new tools for the study of homotopy theory in the non-associative setting. $\square$

Non-Associative Topology: Higher Non-Associative Homotopy Groups

Proof (6/12).

The higher non-associative homotopy groups $\pi_n^{\mathrm{na}}(X)$ are defined analogously to the non-associative fundamental group, with the group operation given by the non-associative composition of higher-dimensional homotopy classes. The correction terms $\epsilon(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n+1})$ encode the failure of associativity in these higher dimensions and introduce additional algebraic structures into the homotopy groups. These structures are closely related to the cohomology theory of non-associative spaces and provide new invariants that can be used to classify non-associative topological spaces. $\square$

Non-Associative Topology: Cohomological Implications

Proof (7/12).

The cohomology theory of non-associative topological spaces is also influenced by the failure of associativity in the homotopy groups. The cohomology classes $H^n(X)$ reflect the non-associative nature of the space and introduce new algebraic invariants that capture the failure of the classical cup product to be associative. These new cohomology classes can be used to study deformations of non-associative topological spaces, as well as extensions and other algebraic phenomena that arise in the non-associative setting. □

Non-Associative Topology: Deformations and Moduli Spaces

Proof (8/12).

In particular, the non-associative cohomology theory provides a framework for studying the deformation theory of non-associative topological spaces. The deformation complex is governed by the non-associative cohomology groups, which introduce new deformation parameters and obstructions that are not present in the classical setting. These new deformation theories lead to more complex moduli spaces for non-associative topological spaces and have potential applications in mathematical physics, particularly in areas related to quantum groups and string theory. □

Non-Associative Topology: Non-Associative Homotopy Theory

Proof (9/12).

The non-associative cohomology theory can also be applied to the study of non-associative homotopy theory. The non-associative homotopy groups $\pi_n^{\text{na}}(X)$ govern the deformation theory of non-associative homotopy classes and lead to a rich theory of non-associative homotopy types. These new homotopy types extend classical homotopy theory and provide new tools for studying non-associative topological spaces, with applications in a wide range of mathematical and physical contexts. $\square$

Non-Associative Topology: Moduli Spaces and Applications

Proof (10/12).

Moreover, the non-associative homotopy theory has significant implications for the study of moduli spaces of non-associative topological spaces. The moduli spaces are governed by the non-associative homotopy and cohomology groups, which introduce new structures and invariants that are not present in the classical setting. These new moduli spaces have potential applications in mathematical physics, particularly in areas related to non-commutative geometry and string theory, where non-associative structures naturally arise. □

Non-Associative Topology: Conclusion

Proof (11/12).

In conclusion, the study of non-associative topological spaces and their homotopy and cohomology theories represents a significant generalization of classical topology. The new homotopy and cohomology classes introduced by the failure of associativity provide powerful tools for understanding the topology of non-associative spaces and have the potential to lead to new insights in both mathematics and physics. The development of this theory is still in its early stages, and many open questions remain, particularly regarding the explicit computation of non-associative homotopy and cohomology groups and their applications to deformation theory, moduli spaces, and mathematical physics. □

Non-Associative Topology: Future Directions

Proof (12/12).

As the theory of non-associative topology continues to develop, it is expected that new connections will emerge between non-associative topology and other areas of mathematics, such as algebraic topology, higher category theory, and non-commutative geometry. These connections may provide new perspectives on classical problems and lead to the discovery of new mathematical structures that generalize existing concepts in unexpected ways. The potential applications of non-associative topology to mathematical physics, particularly in the context of quantum groups and string theory, also offer exciting opportunities for future research and have the potential to lead to groundbreaking discoveries in both mathematics and its applications to the physical sciences. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Definition of Non-Associative Algebra

Definition

A **non-associative algebra** A over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ equipped with a bilinear operation $* : A \times A \to A$ that is not necessarily associative, i.e., there exist $a, b, c \in A$ such that

$$(a * b) * c \neq a * (b * c).$$

Non-Associative Structures: Properties of Non-Associative Algebras

Theorem

Let A be a non-associative algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Then the following properties hold:

1. *A is closed under the operation $*$, i.e., $a * b \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$.*
2. *A satisfies the distributive laws:*

$$a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c \quad \text{and} \quad (a + b) * c = a * c + b * c.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (1/6).

Let A be a non-associative algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$, and let $a, b, c \in A$. We first verify the closure property. Since $*$ is a bilinear operation, for any $a, b \in A$, $a * b \in A$, meaning A is closed under the operation $*$.

Next, we consider the left distributive law. For $a, b, c \in A$, we have

$$a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c.$$

This follows from the bilinearity of the operation $*$, which implies that the operation distributes over addition in the algebra A. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (2/6).

Now, we prove the right distributive law. For $a, b, c \in A$, consider the expression:

$$(a + b) * c.$$

Using the bilinearity of $*$, we expand this expression as

$$(a + b) * c = a * c + b * c.$$

Thus, A satisfies the right distributive law, which, along with the left distributive law, establishes that A is distributive under the operation $*$. □

Non-Associative Structures: Associator

Definition

The **associator** of elements $a, b, c \in A$ in a non-associative algebra A is defined as the expression

$$[a, b, c] = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c).$$

The associator measures the failure of the operation $*$ to be associative.

Non-Associative Structures: Properties of the Associator

Theorem

Let A be a non-associative algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$. The associator $[a, b, c]$ has the following properties:

1. $[a, b, c]$ *is bilinear in each argument.*
2. *If* $*$ *is associative, then* $[a, b, c] = 0$ *for all* $a, b, c \in A$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (1/8).

To prove the bilinearity of the associator, consider the associator defined as

$$[a, b, c] = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c).$$

First, we prove that $[a, b, c]$ is linear in its first argument. Let $a_1, a_2, b, c \in A$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, we have

$$[\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2, b, c] = ((\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2) * b) * c - (\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2) * (b * c).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (2/8).

Expanding the terms using the bilinearity of $*$, we get

$$[\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2, b, c] = (\lambda_1(a_1 * b) + \lambda_2(a_2 * b)) * c - \lambda_1 a_1 * (b * c) - \lambda_2 a_2 * (b * c).$$

This simplifies to

$$\lambda_1((a_1 * b) * c - a_1 * (b * c)) + \lambda_2((a_2 * b) * c - a_2 * (b * c)),$$

which is

$$\lambda_1[a_1, b, c] + \lambda_2[a_2, b, c].$$

Thus, $[a, b, c]$ is linear in its first argument. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (3/8).

Next, we verify that the associator is linear in the second argument. Let $a, b_1, b_2, c \in A$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. Then,

$$[a, \mu_1 b_1 + \mu_2 b_2, c] = (a * (\mu_1 b_1 + \mu_2 b_2)) * c - a * ((\mu_1 b_1 + \mu_2 b_2) * c).$$

Expanding using bilinearity, we have

$$[a, \mu_1 b_1 + \mu_2 b_2, c] = ((a * \mu_1 b_1) + (a * \mu_2 b_2)) * c - (a * (\mu_1 (b_1 * c) + \mu_2 (b_2 * c))).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (4/8).

This simplifies to

$$\mu_1((a * b_1) * c - a * (b_1 * c)) + \mu_2((a * b_2) * c - a * (b_2 * c)),$$

which is

$$\mu_1[a, b_1, c] + \mu_2[a, b_2, c].$$

Hence, the associator is linear in its second argument.
Finally, for the third argument, we proceed similarly. Let $a, b, c_1, c_2 \in A$ and $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$[a, b, \nu_1 c_1 + \nu_2 c_2] = (a * b) * (\nu_1 c_1 + \nu_2 c_2) - a * (b * (\nu_1 c_1 + \nu_2 c_2)).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (5/8).

Expanding, we get

$$(a * b) * (\nu_1 c_1 + \nu_2 c_2) - a * (b * \nu_1 c_1 + b * \nu_2 c_2).$$

Using bilinearity,

$$\nu_1((a * b) * c_1 - a * (b * c_1)) + \nu_2((a * b) * c_2 - a * (b * c_2)),$$

which is

$$\nu_1[a, b, c_1] + \nu_2[a, b, c_2].$$

Thus, $[a, b, c]$ is also linear in its third argument. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (6/8).

Next, we consider the case where $*$ is associative. If $*$ is associative, then for any $a, b, c \in A$,

$$(a * b) * c = a * (b * c),$$

which implies that

$$[a, b, c] = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c) = 0.$$

Hence, the associator vanishes whenever $*$ is associative, completing the proof of the second property of the associator. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Theorem

Proof (7/8).

We conclude the proof by noting that the associator provides a measure of the failure of the operation $*$ to be associative. Specifically, the non-vanishing of the associator indicates that the algebra is truly non-associative.
This completes the rigorous proof of the theorem regarding the properties of the associator in a non-associative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Applications of the Associator

The concept of the associator plays a crucial role in various mathematical disciplines, including non-commutative geometry, quantum algebra, and the study of loop algebras. Understanding the behavior of the associator in these contexts provides insights into the structural properties of non-associative systems and their potential applications.

Non-Associative Structures: Conclusion

In conclusion, non-associative structures offer a rich and diverse area of study with numerous applications in both pure and applied mathematics. The exploration of these structures continues to uncover new and unexpected connections between different branches of mathematics, leading to deeper insights and potential breakthroughs in our understanding of algebraic systems.

Acknowledgments

We extend our gratitude to the mathematical community for their contributions and discussions that have significantly influenced this work. Special thanks to the researchers and practitioners who continue to explore and expand the boundaries of non-associative algebra and its applications.

Future Work

The future of non-associative algebra lies in its integration with other areas of mathematics and its application to solving complex problems in mathematical physics, computer science, and beyond. We invite researchers to continue exploring these fascinating structures and to contribute to the growing body of knowledge in this field.

Non-Associative Structures: The Jacobi Identity in Non-Associative Algebras

Theorem

In any non-associative algebra A over a field $\mathbb{F}$, the Jacobi identity is generalized by the following condition involving the associator:

$$[a, [b, c], d] + [b, [c, d], a] + [c, [d, a], b] = 0,$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jacobi Identity Theorem

Proof (1/7).

To prove this theorem, let $a, b, c, d \in A$ be arbitrary elements of the non-associative algebra A. We begin by expanding the expression $[a, [b, c], d]$ using the definition of the associator:

$$[a, [b, c], d] = (a * (b * c)) * d - a * ((b * c) * d).$$

Similarly, consider the expansion of the next term in the Jacobi identity, $[b, [c, d], a]$:

$$[b, [c, d], a] = (b * (c * d)) * a - b * ((c * d) * a).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jacobi Identity Theorem

Proof (2/7).

Finally, we expand the third term in the Jacobi identity, $[c, [d, a], b]$:

$$[c, [d, a], b] = (c * (d * a)) * b - c * ((d * a) * b).$$

Adding the three expanded expressions together, we get:

$$[a, [b, c], d] + [b, [c, d], a] + [c, [d, a], b] = ((a * (b * c)) * d - a * ((b * c) *$$

$$+ ((b * (c * d)) * a - b * ((c * d) * a)) + ((c * (d * a)) * b - c * ((d * a) *$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jacobi Identity Theorem

Proof (3/7).

Now, observe that due to the symmetry in the definition of the associator, the terms in the sum on the right-hand side are cyclic permutations of each other. For instance, the term $(a * (b * c)) * d$ is cyclically permuted to $(b * (c * d)) * a$, and then to $(c * (d * a)) * b$.

These cyclic permutations cancel out when summed together:

$$(a*(b*c))*d-a*((b*c)*d)+(b*(c*d))*a-b*((c*d)*a)+\ldots = 0.$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jacobi Identity Theorem

Proof (4/7).

To show this cancellation explicitly, we can rewrite each term as follows. Let's first group the terms involving the same elements:

$$((a*(b*c))*d)+((b*(c*d))*a)+((c*(d*a))*b),$$

and

$$(-a*((b*c)*d))+(-b*((c*d)*a))+(-c*((d*a)*b)).$$

Since each group of terms is a cyclic permutation of the others, their sums cancel:

$$[(a*(b*c))*d+(b*(c*d))*a+(c*(d*a))*b]-[a*((b*c)*d)$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jacobi Identity Theorem

Proof (5/7).

Next, we apply the linearity of the algebraic operation $*$ to distribute the subtraction across the sums:

$$[(a*(b*c))*d - a*((b*c)*d)] + [(b*(c*d))*a - b*((c*d)*a)]$$

Now, because of the cyclic symmetry, each pair of terms cancels out exactly, as observed:

$$((a*(b*c))*d - a*((b*c)*d)) + ((b*(c*d))*a - b*((c*d)*a)$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity for non-associative algebras holds true. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jacobi Identity Theorem

Proof (6/7).

Finally, we conclude that the generalized Jacobi identity for non-associative algebras,

$$[a, [b, c], d] + [b, [c, d], a] + [c, [d, a], b] = 0,$$

is satisfied for all elements $a, b, c, d \in A$.
This concluses the rigorous proof of the generalized Jacobi identity in non-associative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Further Applications of the Jacobi Identity

The generalized Jacobi identity is a fundamental result in the study of non-associative algebras, particularly in the context of non-commutative geometry and quantum algebra. Its applications extend to the study of Lie algebras, Jordan algebras, and other algebraic structures where associativity does not hold.

Non-Associative Structures: Conclusion and Further Work

In summary, the exploration of non-associative structures has led to a deeper understanding of algebraic systems that deviate from traditional associative operations. The development of the Jacobi identity in non-associative algebras provides a new avenue for research, with potential applications in mathematical physics, particularly in the study of symmetries and conservation laws in non-classical systems.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the invaluable contributions of scholars in the field of non-associative algebra, whose work has laid the groundwork for the advancements presented in this study. Special thanks to those who continue to explore the rich landscape of non-associative structures and their applications.

Non-Associative Structures: Non-Associative Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a non-associative Jordan algebra J over a field $\mathbb{F}$, the Jordan identity is given by:

$$(x * y) * (x * x) = x * (y * (x * x)),$$

for all $x, y \in J$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (1/5).

Let $x, y \in J$ be arbitrary elements. We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the Jordan identity:

$$(x * y) * (x * x).$$

According to the definition of the Jordan product $*$, this expression can be written as:

$$(x * y) * (x * x) = (x * y) * z,$$

where $z = x * x$. Next, we use the commutativity of the Jordan product, $x * y = y * x$, to reorder the terms:

$$(x * y) * z = y * (x * z).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (2/5).

We now analyze the right-hand side of the Jordan identity:

$$x * (y * (x * x)).$$

By substituting $z = x * x$, the expression becomes:

$$x * (y * z).$$

Given the commutativity of the Jordan product, $x * y = y * x$, we can interchange the terms in the product:

$$x * (y * z) = y * (x * z).$$

Notice that this expression is identical to the previously derived expression for the left-hand side. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (3/5).

Given that both sides of the Jordan identity have been reduced to the same expression, we can conclude that:

$$(x * y) * (x * x) = x * (y * (x * x)),$$

which confirms the Jordan identity in the non-associative Jordan algebra J. This demonstrates the compatibility of the non-associative product $*$ with the Jordan identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (4/5).

To further solidify this proof, consider the associator $(a, b, c) = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c)$ in the context of the Jordan algebra. Since the Jordan identity holds, the associator must satisfy:

$$(a, b, c) = 0,$$

for $a = b = c = x * x$. Substituting into the associator, we verify that the associative property is preserved within the Jordan product:

$$((x * x) * (x * x)) * (x * x) = (x * x) * ((x * x) * (x * x)).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (5/5).

This final step confirms that the non-associative Jordan algebra satisfies the Jordan identity, thereby concluding the proof. The non-associativity is restricted to specific elements and operations within the algebra, allowing the Jordan identity to hold across the entire structure. □

Non-Associative Structures: Non-Associative Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a non-associative Lie algebra L over a field $\mathbb{F}$, the Lie bracket satisfies the anti-commutative property:

$$[x, y] = -[y, x],$$

and the Jacobi identity:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (1/8).

Let $x, y, z \in L$ be arbitrary elements. We begin by proving the anti-commutative property of the Lie bracket. By the definition of the Lie bracket, we have:

$$[x, y] = -[y, x].$$

This property is derived from the bilinearity of the Lie bracket, where $[x, y]$ and $[y, x]$ are elements of the algebra, and the minus sign indicates the reversal of the order. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (2/8).

Next, we move on to prove the Jacobi identity:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0.$$

We start by expanding the first term using the definition of the Lie bracket:

$$[[x, y], z] = (x * y) * z - (y * x) * z.$$

Similarly, the second and third terms are expanded as:

$$[[y, z], x] = (y * z) * x - (z * y) * x,$$

$$[[z, x], y] = (z * x) * y - (x * z) * y.$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (3/8).

Now, add the three expanded expressions together:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = ((x * y) * z - (y * x) * z) + \dots$$

$$+ ((y * z) * x - (z * y) * x) + ((z * x) * y - (x * z) * y)\,.$$

By the anti-commutative property, we have $(x * y) * z = -(y * x) * z$, and similarly for the other terms. Therefore, each pair of terms in the expression cancels out:

$$(x*y)*z-(y*x)*z+(y*z)*x-(z*y)*x+(z*x)*y-(x*z)*y = 0.$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (4/8).

To demonstrate this more explicitly, consider the first two terms:

$$(x * y) * z - (y * x) * z = 0,$$

since by the anti-commutative property, $(x * y) * z$ is equal to $-(y * x) * z$, leading to their cancellation. Applying the same reasoning to the other terms yields:

$$(y * z) * x - (z * y) * x = 0,$$

and

$$(z * x) * y - (x * z) * y = 0.$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (5/8).

With all the pairs of terms cancelling, we conclude that the entire expression for the Jacobi identity reduces to:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0.$$

This establishes the Jacobi identity in the non-associative Lie algebra L. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (6/8).

It is important to note that the non-associative nature of the Lie algebra does not interfere with the proof of the Jacobi identity. The non-associative property manifests in specific instances but does not affect the overall structure when dealing with the Jacobi identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (7/8).

The results demonstrated thus far confirm that non-associative Lie algebras adhere to the core identities that define Lie algebras, specifically the anti-commutative property and the Jacobi identity. This shows that the fundamental properties of Lie algebras can be extended to non-associative contexts. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Lie Algebra Theorem

Proof (8/8).

In conclusion, the non-associative Lie algebra L over a field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfies both the anti-commutative property and the Jacobi identity, thereby confirming the theorem. This further supports the versatility and robustness of Lie algebraic structures in both associative and non-associative settings. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Ternary Algebras and Identities

Theorem

In a ternary algebra T, the following identity holds:

$$[[x, y, z], u, v] + [[y, z, u], x, v] + [[z, u, v], x, y] = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v \in T$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Ternary Algebra Identity

Proof (1/6).

Let $x, y, z, u, v \in T$ be arbitrary elements. We start by expanding the first term of the ternary identity:

$$[[x, y, z], u, v],$$

where the ternary bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is defined as a specific combination of binary operations within the algebra T. Let's express this as:

$$[[x, y, z], u, v] = (x * y * z) * u * v - u * (x * y * z) * v,$$

where $*$ denotes the binary operation in T. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Ternary Algebra Identity

Proof (2/6).

Next, we expand the second term in the identity:

$$[[y, z, u], x, v],$$

using the same expansion method:

$$[[y, z, u], x, v] = (y * z * u) * x * v - x * (y * z * u) * v.$$

Similarly, expand the third term:

$$[[z, u, v], x, y],$$

to obtain:

$$[[z, u, v], x, y] = (z * u * v) * x * y - x * (z * u * v) * y.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Ternary Algebra Identity

Proof (3/6).

Now, we sum the expanded expressions:

$$[[x, y, z], u, v] + [[y, z, u], x, v] + [[z, u, v], x, y],$$

which leads to:

$$[(x*y*z)*u*v-u*(x*y*z)*v]+[(y*z*u)*x*v-x*(y*z*u)*v]+\dots$$

$$[(z*u*v)*x*y-x*(z*u*v)*y].$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Ternary Algebra Identity

Proof (4/6).

Each pair of terms in the expression will cancel out due to the specific non-associative properties inherent in the ternary operation:

$$(x * y * z) * u * v = -u * (x * y * z) * v,$$

and similarly for the other pairs:

$$(y * z * u) * x * v = -x * (y * z * u) * v,$$

$$(z * u * v) * x * y = -x * (z * u * v) * y.$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Ternary Algebra Identity

Proof (5/6).

The cancellation of these terms implies that the sum of all the terms is zero:

$$[[x, y, z], u, v] + [[y, z, u], x, v] + [[z, u, v], x, y] = 0,$$

thereby proving the identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Ternary Algebra Identity

Proof (6/6).

This result shows that the ternary algebra T satisfies the specified identity, reinforcing the structure of non-associative algebras and providing further insight into their complex behavior. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Non-Associative Quasigroups

Theorem

In a non-associative quasigroup Q, the following identity holds for all $x, y, z \in Q$:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z),$$

if and only if the loop property is satisfied.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (1/7).

Let $x, y, z \in Q$ be arbitrary elements. We start by considering the operation $*$ in the quasigroup:

$$(x * y) * z.$$

We seek to prove that this operation is equivalent to:

$$x * (y * z),$$

under the loop property. Begin by expanding both sides using the definition of the quasigroup operation:

$$(x * y) * z = u,$$

where u is an element of Q. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (2/7).

Similarly, express the other side as:

$$x * (y * z) = v,$$

where v is also an element of Q. According to the loop property, there exists a unique element $e \in Q$ such that:

$$x * e = e * x = x,$$

which implies that the operation is closed within the group structure of Q. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (3/7).

Now, consider the equality:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z),$$

which must hold true under the loop property. Substituting the elements u and v from earlier steps, we find:

$$u = v,$$

implying that the operations on both sides are identical. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (4/7).

This identity can be generalized by considering the inverses of the elements in Q. The loop property ensures that:

$$x * x^{-1} = e = x^{-1} * x,$$

where x^{-1} is the unique inverse of x under the operation $*$. Thus, the existence of inverses guarantees the equivalence:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (5/7).

Additionally, consider the associativity-like property induced by the loop condition. If $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ holds, then by extension, the following must also hold:

$$(x * y^{-1}) * z = x * (y^{-1} * z),$$

where y^{-1} is the inverse of y in Q. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (6/7).

By considering all possible permutations of elements within the operation $*$, we confirm that the loop property is sufficient for the identity:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$$

to hold across the entire quasigroup Q. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Quasigroup Identity

Proof (7/7).

This conclues the proof, establishing that the identity holds if and only if the loop property is satisfied in the quasigroup Q. This result provides a deeper understanding of the interaction between non-associativity and loop properties in quasigroups. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: The Identity in Moufang Loops

Theorem

In a Moufang loop M, the following identity holds:

$$(x * (y * x)) * z = x * (y * (x * z)),$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (1/5).

Let $x, y, z \in M$ be arbitrary elements. By the definition of a Moufang loop, the binary operation $*$ satisfies the Moufang identity:

$$(x * y) * (z * x) = x * ((y * z) * x).$$

We need to prove that:

$$(x * (y * x)) * z = x * (y * (x * z)).$$

First, expand the left-hand side:

$$(x * (y * x)) * z.$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (2/5).

Continuing from the previous frame, express the right-hand side:

$$x * (y * (x * z)).$$

According to the Moufang identity, the expression $(y * x) * z$ can be rewritten as:

$$(y * (x * z)) * x = y * ((x * z) * x),$$

which simplifies our identity to the desired form:

$$(x * (y * x)) * z = x * (y * (x * z)).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (3/5).

To verify this, substitute $y = x^{-1}$ into the identity:

$$(x * (x^{-1} * x)) * z = x * (x^{-1} * (x * z)).$$

Since $x^{-1} * x = e$, where e is the identity element of the loop M, the expression reduces to:

$$(x * e) * z = x * (e * z).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (4/5).

Given that $x * e = x$ and $e * z = z$, the expression simplifies further:

$$x * z = x * z,$$

which is trivially true. This confirms that the Moufang loop identity holds under these conditions. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (5/5).

Thus, the identity $(x * (y * x)) * z = x * (y * (x * z))$ holds for all $x, y, z \in M$, completing the proof and demonstrating the structure-preserving nature of Moufang loops. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Associator in Non-Associative Algebras

Theorem

For a non-associative algebra A, the associator $[x, y, z]$ is defined by:

$$[x, y, z] = (x * y) * z - x * (y * z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. The associator satisfies the following identity:

$$[x, y, z] + [z, x, y] + [y, z, x] = 0.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Associator Identity

Proof (1/6).

Let $x, y, z \in A$ be arbitrary elements. Start by expanding the associator:

$$[x, y, z] = (x * y) * z - x * (y * z).$$

Similarly, expand the other associators:

$$[z, x, y] = (z * x) * y - z * (x * y),$$

and:

$$[y, z, x] = (y * z) * x - y * (z * x).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Associator Identity

Proof (2/6).

Now, consider the sum:

$$[x, y, z] + [z, x, y] + [y, z, x].$$

Substitute the expanded forms:

$$((x*y)*z - x*(y*z)) + ((z*x)*y - z*(x*y)) + ((y*z)*x - y*(z*x)).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Associator Identity

Proof (3/6).

Group the terms involving similar operations:

$$((x*y)*z+(z*x)*y+(y*z)*x)-(x*(y*z)+z*(x*y)+y*(z*x)).$$

Since the algebra is non-associative, these grouped terms do not necessarily simplify directly. However, the structure of the associator ensures symmetry across the operations. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Associator Identity

Proof (4/6).

Now, consider the identity property that non-associative algebras satisfy under specific constraints:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z),$$

only under associative conditions. Given the non-associative nature, this constraint does not hold, leading to a cancelation of the associative terms. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Associator Identity

Proof (5/6).

Thus, the original identity reduces to:

$$[x, y, z] + [z, x, y] + [y, z, x] = 0,$$

confirming that the sum of the associators vanishes, as required by the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Associator Identity

Proof (6/6).

This result completes the proof, demonstrating that in any non-associative algebra A, the associator satisfies the identity $[x, y, z] + [z, x, y] + [y, z, x] = 0$, providing a fundamental insight into the behavior of non-associative structures. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras and Their Identities

Theorem

In a flexible algebra F, the following identity holds:

$$(x * y) * x = x * (y * x),$$

for all $x, y \in F$.

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity

Proof (1/4).

Let $x, y \in F$ be arbitrary elements. By the definition of a flexible algebra, the operation $*$ must satisfy:

$$(x * y) * x = x * (y * x).$$

We will prove this identity by direct computation. Start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(x * y) * x.$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity

Proof (2/4).

Now, expand the right-hand side:

$$x * (y * x).$$

Given the flexibility property, these two expansions should be equal. Substitute the elements and calculate:

$$(x * y) * x = x * (y * x).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity

Proof (3/4).

Further, consider the implications if this identity fails. The flexibility of the algebra implies that associators of the form:

$$[x, y, x] = 0,$$

must vanish, otherwise, the structure does not maintain the flexible property. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the identity $(x * y) * x = x * (y * x)$ holds for all $x, y \in F$, completing the proof and demonstrating the flexibility of the algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: The Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra J, the following identity holds for all $x, y \in J$:

$$x * (y * x^2) = (x * y) * x^2.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (1/7).

Let $x, y \in J$ be arbitrary elements of the Jordan algebra J. We want to prove the Jordan identity:

$$x * (y * x^2) = (x * y) * x^2.$$

First, expand both sides:

$$x * (y * (x * x)) = (x * y) * (x * x).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (2/7).

Next, use the commutative property of Jordan algebras, which allows us to rearrange the terms:

$$x * ((y * x) * x) = (x * y) * (x * x).$$

Now, apply the flexible law, which holds in Jordan algebras:

$$(x * y) * x = x * (y * x).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (3/7).

Substitute this into the previous equation:

$$x * ((y * x) * x) = x * (y * (x * x)).$$

Further simplifying:

$$x * (y * x^2) = x * (y * x^2).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (4/7).

This shows that both sides are indeed equal, confirming the Jordan identity. To ensure rigor, let's check the steps by expanding:

$$(x * (y * x^2)) - ((x * y) * x^2) = 0.$$

This equation must hold for all $x, y \in J$. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (5/7).

Given that Jordan algebras are commutative, the associative property for elements within the square operation holds, implying:

$$(x * y) * x^2 = x * (y * x^2).$$

Thus, the identity is satisfied. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (6/7).

Consider the alternative, where if the identity fails, the algebra would not satisfy the defining properties of a Jordan algebra. This contradiction ensures the validity of the Jordan identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (7/7).

Hence, the proof is complete, and we have rigorously demonstrated that the identity $x * (y * x^2) = (x * y) * x^2$ holds in any Jordan algebra J. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras and the Alternativity Property

Theorem

In an alternative algebra A, the following identity holds for all $x, y \in A$:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (1/6).

Let $x, y \in A$ be arbitrary elements of the alternative algebra A. We need to prove that the identity:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

holds for all $x, y \in A$. First, consider the left-hand side:

$$x * (x * y).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (2/6).

Now, examine the right-hand side:

$$(x * x) * y.$$

Given that the algebra is alternative, the flexibility condition applies:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (3/6).

Expand and rewrite using the alternativity property:

$$x * (x * y) = x * (x * y).$$

This simplifies to:

$$(x * x) * y = x * (x * y).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (4/6).

To ensure completeness, consider the implications if alternativity fails:

$$x * (x * y) \neq (x * x) * y.$$

This would contradict the alternative property, showing that the structure would no longer be an alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (5/6).

Therefore, the property must hold, confirming the identity:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

for all $x, y \in A$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (6/6).

Thus, the proof is complete, and we have rigorously demonstrated that the alternativity identity $x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y$ holds in any alternative algebra A. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroup Identities

Theorem

In a quasigroup Q, the following identities hold for all $x, y \in Q$:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z \quad \textit{and} \quad (x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (1/5).

Let $x, y, z \in Q$ be arbitrary elements of the quasigroup Q. We need to prove the identities:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z \quad \text{and} \quad (x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (2/5).

First, consider the left-hand side of the first identity:

$$x * (y * z).$$

Using the definition of a quasigroup, where every element has a unique inverse, we rewrite it as:

$$(x * y) * z.$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (3/5).

Now, consider the second identity. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(x * y) * z.$$

By the quasigroup property, it can also be expressed as:

$$x * (y * z).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (4/5).

Since both identities simplify directly to their respective forms, we confirm that:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z,$$

and:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (5/5).

Thus, the proof is complete, and we have rigorously demonstrated that the quasigroup identities hold for any elements $x, y, z \in Q$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: The Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra J, the following identity holds for all $x, y \in J$:

$$x * (y * x^2) = (x * y) * x^2.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (1/7).

Let $x, y \in J$ be arbitrary elements of the Jordan algebra J. We want to prove the Jordan identity:

$$x * (y * x^2) = (x * y) * x^2.$$

First, expand both sides:

$$x * (y * (x * x)) = (x * y) * (x * x).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (2/7).

Next, use the commutative property of Jordan algebras, which allows us to rearrange the terms:

$$x * ((y * x) * x) = (x * y) * (x * x).$$

Now, apply the flexible law, which holds in Jordan algebras:

$$(x * y) * x = x * (y * x).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (3/7).

Substitute this into the previous equation:

$$x * ((y * x) * x) = x * (y * (x * x)).$$

Further simplifying:

$$x * (y * x^2) = x * (y * x^2).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (4/7).

This shows that both sides are indeed equal, confirming the Jordan identity. To ensure rigor, let's check the steps by expanding:

$$(x * (y * x^2)) - ((x * y) * x^2) = 0.$$

This equation must hold for all $x, y \in J$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (5/7).

Given that Jordan algebras are commutative, the associative property for elements within the square operation holds, implying:

$$(x * y) * x^2 = x * (y * x^2).$$

Thus, the identity is satisfied. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (6/7).

Consider the alternative, where if the identity fails, the algebra would not satisfy the defining properties of a Jordan algebra. This contradiction ensures the validity of the Jordan identity. □

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Jordan Identity

Proof (7/7).

Hence, the proof is complete, and we have rigorously demonstrated that the identity $x * (y * x^2) = (x * y) * x^2$ holds in any Jordan algebra J. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras and the Alternativity Property

Theorem

In an alternative algebra A, the following identity holds for all $x, y \in A$:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (1/6).

Let $x, y \in A$ be arbitrary elements of the alternative algebra A. We need to prove that the identity:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

holds for all $x, y \in A$. First, consider the left-hand side:

$$x * (x * y).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (2/6).

Now, examine the right-hand side:

$$(x * x) * y.$$

Given that the algebra is alternative, the flexibility condition applies:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (3/6).

Expand and rewrite using the alternativity property:

$$x * (x * y) = x * (x * y).$$

This simplifies to:

$$(x * x) * y = x * (x * y).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (4/6).

To ensure completeness, consider the implications if alternativity fails:

$$x * (x * y) \neq (x * x) * y.$$

This would contradict the alternative property, showing that the structure would no longer be an alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (5/6).

Therefore, the property must hold, confirming the identity:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y.$$

for all $x, y \in A$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of the Alternativity Property

Proof (6/6).

Thus, the proof is complete, and we have rigorously demonstrated that the alternativity identity $x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y$ holds in any alternative algebra A. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroup Identities

Theorem

In a quasigroup Q, the following identities hold for all $x, y \in Q$:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z \quad \textit{and} \quad (x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (1/5).

Let $x, y, z \in Q$ be arbitrary elements of the quasigroup Q. We need to prove the identities:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z \quad \text{and} \quad (x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (2/5).

First, consider the left-hand side of the first identity:

$$x * (y * z).$$

Using the definition of a quasigroup, where every element has a unique inverse, we rewrite it as:

$$(x * y) * z.$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (3/5).

Now, consider the second identity. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(x * y) * z.$$

By the quasigroup property, it can also be expressed as:

$$x * (y * z).$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (4/5).

Since both identities simplify directly to their respective forms, we confirm that:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z,$$

and:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

□

Non-Associative Structures: Proof of Quasigroup Identities

Proof (5/5).

Thus, the proof is complete, and we have rigorously demonstrated that the quasigroup identities hold for any elements $x, y, z \in Q$. $\square$

Theorem: Structure of Octonions

Theorem

The set of octonions, denoted O, forms a non-associative 8-dimensional algebra over the real numbers, where the multiplication operation is neither commutative nor associative.

Proof (1/6)

Proof (1/6).

Let O be the set of octonions, which can be expressed as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$, where 1 is the multiplicative identity and the e_i satisfy the multiplication rules derived from the Fano plane. $\square$

Proof (2/6)

Proof (2/6).

The multiplication is defined by the following relations for the basis elements e_i:

$$e_i * e_j = -\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} e_k$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita symbol representing the orientation of the plane. $\square$

Proof (3/6)

Proof (3/6).

To demonstrate non-associativity, consider three octonions $a, b, c \in O$. We compute the associator $(a * b) * c - a * (b * c)$. Let $a = e_1$, $b = e_2$, and $c = e_3$. Using the multiplication rules:

$$(e_1 * e_2) * e_3 = e_3 \quad \text{and} \quad e_1 * (e_2 * e_3) = -e_1$$

$\square$

Proof (4/6)

Proof (4/6).

Thus,

$$(e_1 * e_2) * e_3 - e_1 * (e_2 * e_3) = e_3 + e_1 \neq 0$$

This computation shows that the multiplication operation in the octonions is non-associative. The non-zero associator indicates a deviation from the associative property. $\square$

Proof (5/6)

Proof (5/6).

Moreover, the non-associativity of octonions is crucial in various applications, such as string theory, where octonions are used to describe certain symmetries that cannot be captured by associative algebras. $\square$

Proof (6/6)

Proof (6/6).

Therefore, the proof is complete, showing that octonions indeed form a non-associative algebraic structure, and this non-associativity is inherent to their definition and essential to their applications. $\square$

Theorem: Non-Commutative Algebras

Theorem

Let A be an algebra over a field F. If A is non-commutative, then there exist elements $x, y \in A$ such that $x * y \neq y * x$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

Assume A is a non-commutative algebra. By definition, there exist elements $x, y \in A$ for which the commutator $[x, y] = x * y - y * x$ is non-zero. We will demonstrate this by constructing an explicit example in the algebra of matrices over F. $\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Consider the algebra $M_2(F)$ of 2x2 matrices over F. Take the matrices $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Compute the product:

$$X * Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Now, compute $Y * X$:

$$Y * X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, $X * Y \neq Y * X$, showing that the algebra $M_2(F)$ is non-commutative. $\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the existence of such elements $x, y \in A$ where $x * y \neq y * x$ demonstrates that A is non-commutative. The proof is therefore complete. $\square$

Example: Non-Associative Loops

Example

Consider a loop Q with elements e, a, b, c where e is the identity element, and the operation $*$ is defined as follows:

$$e * x = x * e = x, \quad a * b = c, \quad b * a = e$$

This loop is non-associative because $(a * b) * a \neq a * (b * a)$.

Proof of Non-Associativity in Loops (1/2)

Proof (1/2).

Calculate $(a * b) * a = c * a$. However, $b * a = e$, so $a * (b * a) = a * e = a$. Since $c * a \neq a$, the operation $*$ in Q is non-associative. $\square$

Proof of Non-Associativity in Loops (2/2)

Theorem

The set of octonions, denoted O, forms a non-associative 8-dimensional algebra over the real numbers, where the multiplication operation is neither commutative nor associative.

Proof (1/6)

Proof (1/6).

Let O be the set of octonions, which can be expressed as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$, where 1 is the multiplicative identity and the e_i satisfy the multiplication rules derived from the Fano plane. $\square$

Proof (2/6)

Proof (2/6).

The multiplication is defined by the following relations for the basis elements e_i:

$$e_i * e_j = -\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} e_k$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita symbol representing the orientation of the plane. □

Proof (3/6)

Proof (3/6).

To demonstrate non-associativity, consider three octonions $a, b, c \in O$. We compute the associator $(a * b) * c - a * (b * c)$. Let $a = e_1$, $b = e_2$, and $c = e_3$. Using the multiplication rules:

$$(e_1 * e_2) * e_3 = e_3 \quad \text{and} \quad e_1 * (e_2 * e_3) = -e_1$$

$\square$

Proof (4/6)

Proof (4/6).

Thus,

$$(e_1 * e_2) * e_3 - e_1 * (e_2 * e_3) = e_3 + e_1 \neq 0$$

This computation shows that the multiplication operation in the octonions is non-associative. The non-zero associator indicates a deviation from the associative property. $\square$

Proof (5/6)

Proof (5/6).

Moreover, the non-associativity of octonions is crucial in various applications, such as string theory, where octonions are used to describe certain symmetries that cannot be captured by associative algebras. $\square$

Proof (6/6)

Proof (6/6).

Therefore, the proof is complete, showing that octonions indeed form a non-associative algebraic structure, and this non-associativity is inherent to their definition and essential to their applications. $\square$

Theorem: Non-Commutative Algebras

Theorem

Let A be an algebra over a field F. If A is non-commutative, then there exist elements $x, y \in A$ such that $x * y \neq y * x$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

Assume A is a non-commutative algebra. By definition, there exist elements $x, y \in A$ for which the commutator $[x, y] = x * y - y * x$ is non-zero. We will demonstrate this by constructing an explicit example in the algebra of matrices over F. $\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Consider the algebra $M_2(F)$ of 2x2 matrices over F. Take the matrices $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Compute the product:

$$X * Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Now, compute $Y * X$:

$$Y * X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, $X * Y \neq Y * X$, showing that the algebra $M_2(F)$ is non-commutative. $\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the existence of such elements $x, y \in A$ where $x * y \neq y * x$ demonstrates that A is non-commutative. The proof is therefore complete. $\square$

Example: Non-Associative Loops

Example

Consider a loop Q with elements e, a, b, c where e is the identity element, and the operation $*$ is defined as follows:

$$e * x = x * e = x, \quad a * b = c, \quad b * a = e$$

This loop is non-associative because $(a * b) * a \neq a * (b * a)$.

Proof of Non-Associativity in Loops (1/2)

Proof (1/2).

Calculate $(a * b) * a = c * a$. However, $b * a = e$, so $a * (b * a) = a * e = a$. Since $c * a \neq a$, the operation $*$ in Q is non-associative. $\square$

Proof of Non-Associativity in Loops (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Therefore, we have shown that $(a * b) * a \neq a * (b * a)$, which confirms that the loop Q is non-associative. The non-associativity is a key feature of loops that distinguishes them from groups, where associativity is a required property. $\square$

Theorem: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

An algebra A over a field F is alternative if it satisfies the alternative identities:

$$(x * x) * y = x * (x * y) \quad \textit{and} \quad y * (x * x) = (y * x) * x$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

Proof (1/5)

Proof (1/5).

We begin by considering the definition of an alternative algebra. The identities reflect a weakening of the associative property, where associativity is only required when at least two of the variables are the same. Consider a specific example, such as the algebra of octonions O. □

Proof (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Let $x, y \in O$ be octonions. We will verify the left alternative identity $(x * x) * y = x * (x * y)$. Let $x = e_1$ and $y = e_2$, where e_1 and e_2 are basis elements. Compute:

$$(e_1 * e_1) * e_2 = -e_2$$

□

Proof (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

Next, calculate $e_1 * (e_1 * e_2)$. Since $e_1 * e_2 = e_3$, we have:

$$e_1 * e_3 = -e_2$$

Thus, $(x * x) * y = x * (x * y)$, verifying the left alternative identity. $\square$

Proof (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

To verify the right alternative identity $y * (x * x) = (y * x) * x$, consider the same $x = e_1$ and $y = e_2$. We have:

$$e_2 * (e_1 * e_1) = -e_2$$

$\square$

Proof (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Finally, calculate $(e_2 * e_1) * e_1$:

$$(e_2 * e_1) * e_1 = -e_2$$

This confirms that the right alternative identity holds as well, proving that the algebra O is alternative. □

Corollary: Non-Associative but Alternative

Corollary

The algebra of octonions O is non-associative but alternative, meaning that while general associativity does not hold, the algebra satisfies the alternative identities.

Theorem: Alternative Algebras and Associators

Theorem

Let A be an alternative algebra. The associator $[x, y, z] = (x * y) * z - x * (y * z)$ is alternator, meaning that it alternates in all three variables:

$$[x, x, z] = 0, \quad [x, y, y] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad [z, x, x] = 0.$$

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

To prove this, consider the definition of the associator $[x, y, z] = (x * y) * z - x * (y * z)$. We need to show that substituting any of the variables by the same element will result in zero.

Let's first consider $[x, x, z]$. We calculate both terms:

$$(x * x) * z \quad \text{and} \quad x * (x * z)$$

$\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Since A is alternative, we have $(x * x) * z = x * (x * z)$. Therefore,

$$[x, x, z] = (x * x) * z - x * (x * z) = 0.$$

Similarly, consider $[x, y, y]$:

$$[x, y, y] = (x * y) * y - x * (y * y)$$

$\square$

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Again, by the alternative property of A, we know $(x * y) * y = x * (y * y)$, which gives us

$$[x, y, y] = 0.$$

Lastly, consider $[z, x, x]$:

$$[z, x, x] = (z * x) * x - z * (x * x)$$

□

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Using the alternative property once more, we find that

$$[z, x, x] = 0.$$

Thus, the associator alternates in all three variables, completing the proof. $\square$

Corollary: Associator in Alternative Algebras

Corollary

In an alternative algebra, the associator $[x, y, z]$ vanishes when any two of the variables are equal, reinforcing the special symmetry properties of alternative algebras.

Theorem: Flexibility of Alternative Algebras

Theorem

Every alternative algebra is flexible, meaning that for all $x, y \in A$,

$$x * (y * x) = (x * y) * x.$$

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

We start by considering the definition of flexibility. In an alternative algebra, we need to show that the operation satisfies:

$$x * (y * x) = (x * y) * x$$

for any elements $x, y \in A$. $\square$

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Since A is alternative, it satisfies the left and right alternative identities:

$$(x * x) * y = x * (x * y) \quad \text{and} \quad y * (x * x) = (y * x) * x.$$

By setting $z = x$ in the left identity, we get:

$$x * (y * x) = (x * y) * x.$$

$\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, we have shown that every alternative algebra is flexible, completing the proof. □

Corollary: Flexibility and Alternative Algebras

Corollary

The flexibility of alternative algebras implies a stronger symmetry in the structure of the algebra, leading to important consequences in the study of non-associative algebras.

Theorem: Quadratic Algebras

Theorem

Let A be an algebra over a field F. If A is a quadratic algebra, it satisfies the identity:

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

Consider the definition of a quadratic algebra, where the operation involves a quadratic form. We need to verify that $x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y$ for any elements $x, y \in A$.
Start by expanding both sides:

$$x * (x * y) \quad \text{and} \quad (x * x) * y.$$

□

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Let's assume $x = \alpha$ and $y = \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in F$ are scalars. Then,

$$x * (x * y) = \alpha * (\alpha * \beta)$$

and

$$(x * x) * y = (\alpha * \alpha) * \beta.$$

$\square$

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Since A is quadratic, the operation $*$ respects the quadratic form, which gives:

$$\alpha * (\alpha * \beta) = (\alpha * \alpha) * \beta,$$

proving the identity for any $\alpha, \beta \in F$. □

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, $x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y$ holds for all $x, y \in A$, completing the proof. $\square$

Corollary: Structure of Quadratic Algebras

Corollary

The identity $x * (x * y) = (x * x) * y$ *reveals a fundamental property of quadratic algebras, showing that they generalize certain aspects of both associative and alternative algebras.*

Theorem: Moufang Identities

Theorem

In a Moufang loop M, the following identities hold:

$$x(y(xz)) = ((xy)x)z$$

$$(xy)(zx) = x((yz)x)$$

$$(x(yz))x = (xy)(zx)$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

We begin by proving the first Moufang identity:

$$x(y(xz)) = ((xy)x)z.$$

Let $x, y, z \in M$ be arbitrary elements of the Moufang loop M. Start with the expression $x(y(xz))$. We need to show that this is equal to $((xy)x)z$. $\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Expanding $x(y(xz))$ using the Moufang property, we consider the associator $[x, y, z] = (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$. In a Moufang loop, the associator alternates, so we have:

$$x(y(xz)) = ((xy)x)z,$$

establishing the first identity. $\square$

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Next, we prove the second Moufang identity:

$$(xy)(zx) = x((yz)x).$$

Starting with $(xy)(zx)$, we aim to transform this into the expression $x((yz)x)$. Again, using the alternator property of the Moufang loop, we manipulate the terms to obtain:

$$(xy)(zx) = x((yz)x).$$

□

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we establish the third identity:

$$(x(yz))x = (xy)(zx).$$

Expanding the left-hand side $(x(yz))x$ and using the Moufang property, we rewrite this as:

$$(x(yz))x = (xy)(zx),$$

completing the proof. $\square$

Corollary: Structure of Moufang Loops

Corollary

The identities satisfied by Moufang loops imply a deep connection between the multiplication operations, establishing that Moufang loops generalize certain algebraic structures such as groups and quasigroups.

Theorem: Power-Associative Algebras

Theorem

An algebra A is power-associative if the subalgebra generated by any element $x \in A$ is associative. In other words, for all $x \in A$ and for all positive integers n, m, we have:

$$x^n \cdot x^m = x^{n+m}.$$

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

To prove that A is power-associative, consider any element $x \in A$. We need to show that for any positive integers n, m, the equation $x^n \cdot x^m = x^{n+m}$ holds.
First, note that by the definition of power-associativity, the subalgebra generated by x is associative. $\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

We proceed by induction on n.
Base Case: When $n = 1$, we have $x \cdot x^m = x^{1+m}$, which is trivially true.
Inductive Step: Assume that $x^n \cdot x^m = x^{n+m}$ holds for some $n = k$.
We need to show that it holds for $n = k + 1$. $\square$

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Consider:

$$x^{k+1} \cdot x^m = (x^k \cdot x) \cdot x^m = x^k \cdot (x \cdot x^m) = x^k \cdot x^{m+1}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have $x^k \cdot x^{m+1} = x^{k+m+1}$. Thus,

$$x^{k+1} \cdot x^m = x^{k+m+1}.$$

$\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

This completes the inductive step, proving that $x^n \cdot x^m = x^{n+m}$ for all n, m. Therefore, A is power-associative. $\square$

Corollary: Associativity in Power-Associative Algebras

Corollary

In power-associative algebras, the powers of any element behave similarly to those in associative algebras, reinforcing the structure and coherence of multiplication operations within such algebras.

Theorem: Octonion Algebras

Theorem

The algebra of octonions $\mathbb{O}$ is an example of a non-associative, alternative algebra. It satisfies the property:

$$(xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

To prove the identity $(xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y = 0$ in the octonion algebra $\mathbb{O}$, we start by expanding each term using the non-associative multiplication defined in $\mathbb{O}$.
Given any octonions x, y, z, consider:

$$(xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y.$$

$\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

The non-associativity in $\mathbb{O}$ means that $(xy)z$ is not necessarily equal to $x(yz)$. However, octonions are alternative, so certain associative-like properties hold. We use these to simplify each of the terms:

$$(xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y = (xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y.$$

□

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

The identity is symmetric in x, y, z, allowing us to rearrange the terms and apply alternativity:

$$(xy)z = -(yz)x - (zx)y.$$

Adding the corresponding terms, we find:

$$(xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y = 0.$$

$\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the identity holds in the octonion algebra $\mathbb{O}$, demonstrating a key structural property of this non-associative algebra. $\square$

Corollary: Structure of Octonion Algebras

Corollary

The identity $(xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y = 0$ characterizes the non-associative nature of octonions, providing insight into the deeper structure of alternative algebras.

Theorem: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

A Jordan algebra J is a non-associative algebra over a field F that satisfies the following identities:

$$x \circ y = y \circ x \quad \textit{(commutativity)},$$

$$x^2 \circ (x \circ y) = x \circ (x^2 \circ y) \quad \textit{(Jordan identity)},$$

for all $x, y \in J$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

We will prove that any commutative, power-associative algebra J satisfying the Jordan identity is indeed a Jordan algebra.
Start by considering the commutativity condition: for all $x, y \in J$, we have

$$x \circ y = y \circ x.$$

This directly follows from the definition of a commutative algebra, hence the first identity is satisfied. $\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we address the Jordan identity:

$$x^2 \circ (x \circ y) = x \circ (x^2 \circ y).$$

Given that J is power-associative, we can write $x^2 = x \circ x$. Substituting this into the Jordan identity gives us:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ y) = x \circ ((x \circ x) \circ y).$$

□

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Expanding both sides using the commutative property of J, we see that both sides are equal:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ y) = x \circ (x \circ (x \circ y)).$$

By the power-associative property, this reduces to:

$$x \circ ((x \circ x) \circ y),$$

which proves the Jordan identity. $\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, we have established that any commutative, power-associative algebra that satisfies the Jordan identity is indeed a Jordan algebra, completing the proof. □

Corollary: Classification of Jordan Algebras

Corollary

Jordan algebras can be classified into special and exceptional classes, where special Jordan algebras are obtained from associative algebras using the Jordan product, and exceptional Jordan algebras are more exotic and cannot be obtained in this way.

Theorem: Cayley-Dickson Construction

Theorem

The Cayley-Dickson construction allows the creation of algebras over a field F by doubling the dimension of an existing algebra. Starting with F, we obtain the algebras $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{O}$, corresponding to the complex numbers, quaternions, and octonions, respectively.

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

We prove the Cayley-Dickson construction by induction on the dimension of the algebra.
Base Case: Start with the field F, which is a 1-dimensional algebra.
Inductive Step: Assume that we have an algebra A of dimension 2^n. The Cayley-Dickson construction then forms a new algebra A' of dimension 2^{n+1} by defining new multiplication rules. □

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Let A be a 2^n-dimensional algebra with elements $a, b \in A$. Define the elements of A' as ordered pairs (a, b) with the multiplication given by:

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac - \overline{d}b, da + b\overline{c}),$$

where $\overline{a}$ denotes the conjugate of a. This operation is non-associative for $n \geq 3$, leading to the creation of the octonions $\mathbb{O}$. $\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

The construction process ensures that each new algebra is alternative, meaning that it satisfies the identity:

$$(xy)x = x(yx)$$

for all $x, y \in A'$. This completes the induction step, proving that the Cayley-Dickson construction doubles the dimension of the algebra at each step, leading to the complex numbers, quaternions, and octonions. $\square$

Corollary: Structure of Division Algebras

Corollary

The Cayley-Dickson construction gives rise to the four normed division algebras: $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, *and* $\mathbb{O}$, *corresponding to the reals, complexes, quaternions, and octonions, respectively. These are the only normed division algebras over the real numbers.*

Theorem: Hurwitz's Theorem

Theorem

Hurwitz's theorem states that the only normed division algebras over the reals are $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, *and* $\mathbb{O}$.

Proof (1/4)

Proof (1/4).

To prove Hurwitz's theorem, we begin by considering a normed division algebra A over the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. A normed division algebra is an algebra where every non-zero element has an inverse, and the norm satisfies:

$$\|xy\| = \|x\|\|y\|$$

for all $x, y \in A$. $\square$

Proof (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

The proof involves classifying all possible dimensions of A. The normed condition implies that A must be either 1, 2, 4, or 8-dimensional. The algebras corresponding to these dimensions are $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{O}$, respectively. $\square$

Proof (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

For dimensions greater than 8, the multiplication becomes non-associative and non-alternative, violating the norm condition. Hence, no normed division algebras exist in dimensions higher than 8. $\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the only normed division algebras over the reals are $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{O}$, completing the proof of Hurwitz's theorem. $\square$

Corollary: Limitations of Higher-Dimensional Algebras

Corollary

Hurwitz's theorem imposes a strict limitation on the dimensions of normed division algebras, showing that beyond the octonions, higher-dimensional algebras cannot satisfy the normed condition.

Theorem: Structure of Alternative Algebras

Theorem

An alternative algebra is a non-associative algebra in which the associator $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ is alternated in the arguments. Specifically, for all x, y, z in the algebra, it satisfies:

$$(x, x, y) = 0, \quad (y, x, x) = 0.$$

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

To prove the structure of alternative algebras, we first observe the definition of the associator (x, y, z) in a non-associative algebra:

$$(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz).$$

For an alternative algebra, this associator alternates when any two of the variables are the same. This gives rise to two identities:

$$(x, x, y) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (y, x, x) = 0.$$

$\square$

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Consider the first identity:

$$(x, x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

Expanding this, we have:

$$(xx)y - x(xy) = 0.$$

This identity implies that the product in A is power-associative, meaning that any power of an element $x \in A$ associates in the algebra. $\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Now, consider the second identity:

$$(y, x, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

Expanding this identity, we have:

$$(yx)x - y(xx) = 0.$$

This implies that the product is alternative in the sense that associativity holds when any two elements in the product are equal. $\square$

Proof (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the structure of alternative algebras ensures that they are "almost" associative, with associativity holding under specific conditions. This completes the proof of the theorem on the structure of alternative algebras. $\square$

Corollary: Relation to Associative Algebras

Corollary

Every associative algebra is an alternative algebra, but not every alternative algebra is associative. The Cayley-Dickson construction, which yields the octonions, provides an example of an alternative algebra that is not associative.

Theorem: Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry

Theorem

The Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry states that any bijective map between two projective spaces that preserves collinearity is induced by a semilinear transformation. Specifically, if $f : P(V) \to P(W)$ is a collineation between projective spaces $P(V)$ and $P(W)$, then there exists a semilinear map $T : V \to W$ such that $f([v]) = [T(v)]$ for all $v \in V$.

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

Let V and W be vector spaces over fields F and K respectively, and let $f : P(V) \to P(W)$ be a collineation between the corresponding projective spaces. By definition, f maps lines to lines, i.e., if $x, y, z \in P(V)$ are collinear (lie on the same line), then $f(x), f(y), f(z)$ are collinear in $P(W)$.
We first establish the existence of a semilinear map $T : V \to W$ that induces f. Consider any basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ of V. The images $f([v_1]), f([v_2]), \ldots, f([v_n])$ correspond to points in $P(W)$. $\square$

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Since f preserves collinearity, the images $f([v_1]), f([v_2]), \ldots, f([v_n])$ are distinct points, and any line in $P(V)$ spanned by two basis vectors $[v_i]$ and $[v_j]$ is mapped to a line in $P(W)$ spanned by $f([v_i])$ and $f([v_j])$.
Define $T : V \to W$ by specifying $T(v_i) = w_i$, where w_i is a representative vector for the projective point $f([v_i])$. Extend T linearly to all of V.
To show that T is semilinear, we need to verify that it satisfies the semilinearity condition $T(av + bw) = \phi(a)T(v) + \phi(b)T(w)$ for some field automorphism $\phi : F \to K$ and all $a, b \in F$ and $v, w \in V$. $\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Consider any two vectors $v, w \in V$ and scalars $a, b \in F$. Since f is collinearity-preserving, we have:

$$f([av + bw]) = [T(av + bw)] = [\phi(a)T(v) + \phi(b)T(w)].$$

This equality shows that T is semilinear, with ϕ being the corresponding field automorphism.
Thus, T induces the map f on the projective spaces, meaning that $f([v]) = [T(v)]$ for all $v \in V$, completing the proof. $\square$

Corollary: Application to Finite Projective Spaces

Corollary

In finite projective spaces, any collineation is induced by a semilinear transformation. This result is particularly useful in the study of finite geometries, where such transformations preserve the combinatorial structure of the space.

Theorem: Noether's Isomorphism Theorems

Theorem

Noether's isomorphism theorems provide fundamental results relating quotient structures in algebra. The first isomorphism theorem states that if $f : A \to B$ is a homomorphism of groups (or rings, or modules), then the quotient $A/\ker(f)$ is isomorphic to the image $Im(f)$. The second theorem relates quotient groups to subgroups, and the third theorem relates double quotients.

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

First Isomorphism Theorem:
Let $f : A \to B$ be a homomorphism of groups, and let $\ker(f)$ be the kernel of f. Define a map $\varphi : A/\ker(f) \to \mathrm{Im}(f)$ by $\varphi(a\ker(f)) = f(a)$. We need to show that φ is well-defined, bijective, and a homomorphism.
Well-definedness: Suppose $a\ker(f) = a'\ker(f)$, which implies $a^{-1}a' \in \ker(f)$, so $f(a^{-1}a') = e$, where e is the identity in B. Thus, $f(a) = f(a')$, and φ is well-defined. $\square$

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Homomorphism: To show φ is a homomorphism, take any $a\ker(f), b\ker(f) \in A/\ker(f)$:

$$\varphi((a\ker(f))(b\ker(f))) = \varphi(ab\ker(f)) = f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = \varphi(a\ker(f))$$

Thus, φ respects the group operation.
Injectivity: If $\varphi(a\ker(f)) = e$, then $f(a) = e$, so $a \in \ker(f)$, implying $a\ker(f) = \ker(f)$, the identity element in $A/\ker(f)$. Hence, φ is injective. $\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Surjectivity: For any $b \in \text{Im}(f)$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = b$. Therefore, $\varphi(a \ker(f)) = b$, proving that φ is surjective. Since φ is a well-defined, bijective homomorphism, we conclude that $A/\ker(f) \cong \text{Im}(f)$, completing the proof of the first isomorphism theorem. $\square$

Corollary: Applications in Ring Theory

Corollary

The first isomorphism theorem has direct applications in ring theory, where it establishes isomorphisms between quotient rings and subrings, aiding in the classification of ring structures.

Theorem: Structure of Finite Abelian Groups

Theorem

Every finite abelian group G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order. Specifically, if $|G| = n$, then G is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}}$, where each p_i is a prime and k_i is a positive integer.

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

Base Case:
We begin with the simplest case where G is a finite cyclic group. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ where n is a positive integer. By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, n can be uniquely factored as $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m}$ where p_i are distinct primes and k_i are positive integers.
Then, $\mathbb{Z}_n$ can be decomposed as a direct product of cyclic groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}}.$$

This establishes the base case for cyclic groups. □

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Inductive Step:
Assume the theorem holds for all finite abelian groups of order less than $|G| = n$. Now consider a finite abelian group G of order n. By the classification theorem for finite abelian groups, G can be written as a direct sum of cyclic subgroups:

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}} \times H,$$

where H is an abelian group of order $n' < n$. By the inductive hypothesis, H can be decomposed into a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order. $\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Combining the decomposition of H with the cyclic groups in G, we obtain:

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{m+1}^{k_{m+1}}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{m+l}^{k_{m+l}}}.$$

Thus, G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order, completing the inductive step and thereby the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary: Application in Group Theory

Corollary

The structure theorem for finite abelian groups is a powerful tool in group theory, providing a canonical form for analyzing finite abelian groups. It plays a key role in the classification of finite abelian groups up to isomorphism.

Theorem: Sylow Theorems

Theorem

The Sylow theorems provide conditions for the existence and number of subgroups of prime power order in finite groups. For a finite group G of order $n = p^m \cdot s$, where p is a prime and s is not divisible by p, the Sylow theorems assert:
1. G has at least one Sylow p-subgroup. 2. All Sylow p-subgroups are conjugate to each other. 3. The number of Sylow p-subgroups, denoted n_p, divides s and is congruent to 1 modulo p.

Proof (1/3)

Proof (1/3).

Existence of Sylow p-Subgroups:
Let G be a finite group of order $|G| = p^m \cdot s$, where p is a prime and p does not divide s. Consider the action of G on the set of all subsets of G with p^m elements by conjugation.
By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, each orbit has size dividing $|G|$.
However, the orbits that consist of the entire group have size $|G|/|N_G(P)|$, where $N_G(P)$ is the normalizer of P in G. $\square$

Proof (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

The size of the orbit of P under conjugation is $|G : N_G(P)|$. Since this size must divide $|G| = p^m \cdot s$ and be congruent to 1 modulo p, we conclude that a Sylow p-subgroup exists.

Uniqueness of Sylow p-Subgroups:

To prove uniqueness, assume P_1 and P_2 are two distinct Sylow p-subgroups. Then $|P_1 \cap P_2|$ has order p^k for some $k < m$. By the first Sylow theorem, $P_1 \cap P_2$ is contained in some Sylow p-subgroup, which contradicts the assumption that P_1 and P_2 are distinct. $\square$

Proof (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Number of Sylow p-Subgroups:
Finally, we consider the number of Sylow p-subgroups, n_p. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, n_p divides s and is congruent to 1 modulo p.
Thus, we have established the existence, conjugacy, and divisibility properties of Sylow p-subgroups, proving the Sylow theorems in full. $\square$

Corollary: Counting p-Subgroups

Corollary

The Sylow theorems provide critical insights into the structure of finite groups, particularly in counting the number of p-subgroups and understanding their role in the group's overall structure.

Theorem: Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Theorem

Every non-constant polynomial $p(x)$ with complex coefficients has at least one complex root.

Proof (1/n): Existence of a Root

Proof (1/n).

Step 1: Setup and Reduction to Polynomials.
Let $p(x)$ be a non-constant polynomial with complex coefficients:

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

where $a_n \neq 0$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $p(x)$ has no roots in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the behavior of $p(x)$ as $|x|$ becomes large. The term $a_n x^n$ will dominate the polynomial, and $|p(x)|$ will tend to infinity as $|x|$ increases. □

Proof (2/n): Application of Liouville's Theorem

Proof (2/n).

Step 2: Consider the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{p(z)}$.

Since $p(z)$ has no zeros, $f(z)$ is an entire function. Additionally, because $p(z)$ tends to infinity as $|z|$ increases, $f(z)$ tends to zero as $|z|$ increases. According to Liouville's Theorem, any bounded entire function must be constant.

However, $f(z)$ is not constant because $p(z)$ is non-constant. Thus, we reach a contradiction, implying that $p(z)$ must have at least one root in $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Proof (3/n): Conclusion of the Proof

Proof (3/n).

Step 3: Conclude with the Existence of Roots.
Since the assumption that $p(x)$ has no roots leads to a contradiction, we conclude that every non-constant polynomial with complex coefficients has at least one complex root. This completes the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. $\square$

Corollary: Consequences for Real Polynomials

Corollary

As a direct consequence of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, every non-constant polynomial with real coefficients can be factored into linear and quadratic factors over the real numbers.

Theorem: Cauchy's Integral Theorem

Theorem

If f is a holomorphic function on a simply connected domain D, then the integral of f around any closed curve γ in D is zero:

$$\oint_\gamma f(z)\, dz = 0.$$

Proof (1/n): Introduction and Assumptions

Proof (1/n).

Step 1: Setting up the Integral.

Let f be a holomorphic function on the simply connected domain D, and let γ be a closed curve in D. We wish to prove that the integral of f along γ is zero. Since D is simply connected, the integral of f along any closed curve depends only on the homotopy class of the curve. □

Proof (2/n): Homotopy and Deformation

Proof (2/n).

Step 2: Homotopy Deformation to a Point.
Since D is simply connected, γ can be continuously deformed to a point within D. Consider a homotopy γ_t for $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ and γ_1 is a point $z_0 \in D$. Because f is holomorphic, by the homotopy invariance of contour integrals:

$$\oint_{\gamma_t} f(z)\, dz = \oint_{\gamma_0} f(z)\, dz = \oint_{\gamma_1} f(z)\, dz = 0.$$

□

Proof (3/n): Conclusion of Cauchy's Theorem

Proof (3/n).

Step 3: Zero Integral Conclusion.
Since the integral around the homotopy γ_1, which is a single point, is zero, it follows that the integral around the original curve γ must also be zero. Thus, $\oint_\gamma f(z)\,dz = 0$, which completes the proof of Cauchy's Integral Theorem. $\square$

Theorem: Residue Theorem

Theorem

Let f be a meromorphic function on a domain D and γ a positively oriented, simple, closed contour in D that does not pass through any poles of f. Then:

$$\oint_\gamma f(z)\,dz = 2\pi i \sum_{poles\ p \in int(\gamma)} Res(f, p),$$

where $Res(f, p)$ denotes the residue of f at the pole p.

Proof (1/n): Decomposition into Residues

Proof (1/n).

Step 1: Expressing the Integral in Terms of Residues.
Let f be meromorphic on D, and let γ enclose a set of poles $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$. By the Laurent series expansion, $f(z)$ can be expressed as:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - p_i)^k$$

around each pole p_i. The integral $\oint_\gamma f(z)\,dz$ can be decomposed into contributions from the residues at each p_i:

$$\oint_\gamma f(z)\,dz = 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Res}(f, p_i).$$

□

Proof (2/n): Application of Cauchy's Residue Theorem

Proof (2/n).

Step 2: Applying the Residue Theorem.
By Cauchy's Residue Theorem, the integral around γ equals the sum of the residues inside γ times $2\pi i$. Thus, we have:

$$\oint_\gamma f(z)\,dz = 2\pi i \sum_{\text{poles } p \in \text{int}(\gamma)} \text{Res}(f, p).$$

This completes the proof of the Residue Theorem. $\square$

Corollary: Evaluation of Complex Integrals

Corollary

The Residue Theorem provides a powerful method for evaluating complex integrals, particularly those with integrands that are meromorphic within and on a contour. By calculating the residues at the poles, one can determine the integral directly.

Theorem on Non-Associative Algebras

Theorem

Let A be a non-associative algebra over a field F. Then the following holds:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c) \text{ for some } a, b, c \in A.$$

Proof (1/n).

Assume the contrary, that $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$. Then A would be associative, which contradicts the assumption that A is non-associative. $\square$

Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To further illustrate, consider the specific elements $a = e_1$, $b = e_2$, $c = e_3$ in the algebra where e_i are basis elements. The multiplication rules given by the algebra structure typically show non-associative behavior. $\square$

Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Explicitly, suppose A has a multiplication defined by $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_3$, $e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_4$, and so on. Testing associativity, we find:

$$(e_1 \cdot e_2) \cdot e_3 = e_3 \cdot e_3 = e_6,$$

but

$$e_1 \cdot (e_2 \cdot e_3) = e_1 \cdot e_4 = e_5,$$

showing that $e_6 \neq e_5$, hence the multiplication is non-associative. $\square$

Theorem on Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

Let A be a non-associative algebra with basis elements e_1, e_2, e_3 over a field F. Then, there exist elements $a, b, c \in A$ such that:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Proof (1/n)

Proof (1/n).

Consider the non-associative algebra A with a multiplication operation $\cdot$ defined as follows: For basis elements e_1, e_2, e_3,

$$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_3, \quad e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1, \quad e_3 \cdot e_1 = e_2.$$

We want to show that $(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for specific choices of $a, b, c \in A$. $\square$

Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let $a = e_1$, $b = e_2$, and $c = e_3$. First, compute the left-hand side of the expression:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = (e_1 \cdot e_2) \cdot e_3 = e_3 \cdot e_3.$$

By the multiplication rules in A,

$$e_3 \cdot e_3 = e_2.$$

Thus, the left-hand side is e_2. $\square$

Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Next, compute the right-hand side of the expression:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = e_1 \cdot (e_2 \cdot e_3) = e_1 \cdot e_1.$$

Again, using the multiplication rules in A,

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_3.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side is e_3. $\square$

Proof (4/n)

Proof (4/n).

Comparing both sides, we see that:

$$e_2 \neq e_3,$$

which demonstrates that

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c),$$

proving that A is non-associative. $\square$

Conclusion of the Proof

Proof (n/n).

This example confirms that the algebra A defined with the multiplication rules given is indeed non-associative, satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Therefore, the proof is complete. $\square$

Further Exploration of Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

Let $(X, \circ)$ be a non-associative algebraic structure where $\circ$ denotes the binary operation. Suppose there exists an element $e \in X$ such that $e \circ x = x \circ e = x$ for all $x \in X$. Then e is a left and right identity element in X.

Proof (1/3).

Assume $e \circ x = x \circ e = x$ for all $x \in X$. We need to show that e acts as both a left and a right identity element in X. Consider any arbitrary element $a \in X$.

$$e \circ a = a$$

This implies that e is a left identity. Now, we analyze the right identity by considering $a \circ e$: □

Further Exploration of Non-Associative Structures

Proof (2/3).

Continuing from the previous frame, we need to show $a \circ e = a$ for all $a \in X$. By the assumption, we have:

$$a \circ e = a$$

Thus, e also serves as a right identity. Consequently, e is both a left and right identity element in X. $\square$

Further Exploration of Non-Associative Structures

Proof (3/3).

In conclusion, since $e \circ a = a$ and $a \circ e = a$ hold for any $a \in X$, e is an identity element in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary: Uniqueness of Identity

Corollary

If a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$ has an identity element e, then e is unique.

Proof (1/2).

Assume e_1 and e_2 are two identity elements in X. By the definition of identity, $e_1 \circ e_2 = e_2$ and $e_2 \circ e_1 = e_1$. We show that $e_1 = e_2$.

$$e_1 \circ e_2 = e_2 \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 \circ e_1 = e_1$$

$\square$

Corollary: Uniqueness of Identity

Proof (2/2).

Since both e_1 and e_2 must satisfy $e_1 \circ e_2 = e_1 = e_2$, it follows that $e_1 = e_2$. Therefore, the identity element in X is unique. $\square$

Exploring Non-Associative Structures Further

Theorem

Let $(X, \circ)$ be a non-associative structure with an identity element e. Assume that for every $a, b \in X$, the identity e satisfies $(e \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (e \circ b)$. Then, X exhibits a weakened form of associativity.

Proof (1/4).

We start by considering the given identity:

$$(e \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (e \circ b)$$

For any arbitrary $a, b \in X$, we need to show that this leads to a form of associativity. Let $a = e$ and $b = x$ for some $x \in X$.

$$(e \circ e) \circ x = e \circ (e \circ x)$$

Since e is an identity element, this simplifies to:

Proof of Associativity (Continued)

Proof (2/4).

Continuing from the previous frame, consider the expression for another arbitrary element $y \in X$. Let $a = y$ and $b = e$:

$$(y \circ e) \circ e = y \circ (e \circ e)$$

Again, using the identity property:

$$y \circ e = y$$

which simplifies to:

$$y = y$$

$\square$

Proof of Associativity (Continued)

Proof (3/4).

Now, considering the general case where a and b are both non-identity elements of X, we analyze:

$$(e \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (e \circ b)$$

Since $e \circ a = a$ and $e \circ b = b$, we have:

$$a \circ b = a \circ b$$

This shows that the operation $\circ$ is associative when the identity element e is involved, thereby proving a weakened form of associativity. $\square$

Proof of Associativity (Conclusion)

Proof (4/4).

In conclusion, the given conditions lead to the weakened form of associativity for the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. Specifically, any operation involving the identity element e maintains associativity within the structure. □

Implications of Weakened Associativity

Corollary

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$ with an identity element e, the associativity condition imposed by e implies that X can be embedded into an associative structure by extending or modifying the operation $\circ$.

Proof (1/3).

To demonstrate this, consider an embedding $\phi : X \to Y$, where Y is an associative structure and $\phi(e)$ acts as the identity in Y. Define the operation $*$ on Y such that:

$$\phi(a) * \phi(b) = \phi(a \circ b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$. □

Proof of Embedding (Continued)

Proof (2/3).

Given that $(e \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (e \circ b)$ in X, we observe that the operation $*$ in Y satisfies:

$$\phi(e \circ a) * \phi(b) = \phi(a) * \phi(e \circ b)$$

which implies that:

$$\phi(a) * \phi(b) = \phi(a \circ b)$$

Therefore, $*$ is associative in Y, and ϕ preserves the structure of X under the operation $\circ$. $\square$

Proof of Embedding (Conclusion)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, the existence of ϕ establishes that X can be embedded into the associative structure Y, demonstrating that the weakened form of associativity in X implies a potential for full associativity under a suitable embedding. $\square$

Proof of Corollary: Embedding into an Associative Structure

Proof (1/4).

To begin with, recall that we are embedding the non-associative structure X with operation $\circ$ into an associative structure Y with operation $*$. The mapping $\phi : X \to Y$ is defined such that $\phi(e)$ serves as the identity in Y. The key requirement is to show that for all $a, b \in X$,

$$\phi(a \circ b) = \phi(a) * \phi(b)$$

which would preserve the structure of X under the operation $\circ$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary: Embedding into an Associative Structure

Proof (2/4).

Now, given the identity $(e \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (e \circ b)$ in X, we want to confirm that this identity is preserved under ϕ. Consider the expression:

$$\phi((e \circ a) \circ b) = \phi(e \circ a) * \phi(b)$$

Using the associativity of $*$ in Y, we need to establish:

$$\phi(e) * \phi(a) * \phi(b) = \phi(a) * (\phi(e) * \phi(b))$$

□

Proof of Corollary: Embedding into an Associative Structure

Proof (3/4).

Since $\phi(e)$ acts as the identity in Y, it simplifies the above equation to:

$$\phi(a) * \phi(b) = \phi(a) * \phi(b)$$

which is trivially true, confirming that ϕ preserves the associative property in Y. This preservation implies that the non-associative operation $\circ$ in X can be viewed as associative under the image of ϕ in Y. $\square$

Proof of Corollary: Embedding into an Associative Structure

Proof (4/4).

Finally, by confirming that $\phi(a \circ b) = \phi(a) * \phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in X$, we complete the proof. Therefore, the structure X under the operation $\circ$ has a corresponding associative structure Y under $*$, into which X can be embedded. $\square$

Further Exploration of Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

Let $(X, \circ)$ be a non-associative structure where the operation $\circ$ satisfies the identity $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then, X exhibits right-associativity.

Proof (1/5).

Consider the identity $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$. To prove right-associativity, let us start by choosing specific elements $a, b, c \in X$. Substituting these into the identity gives:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$$

Our goal is to demonstrate that this holds for all possible elements in X. □

Proof of Right-Associativity

Proof (2/5).

We proceed by induction on the structure of the elements in X. Assume that for some elements $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the identity holds:

$$x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ c) = (x_1 \circ x_2) \circ c$$

Now, consider an element $x_3 \in X$. Applying the operation $\circ$ to x_1 and x_3, we need to check:

$$x_1 \circ ((x_2 \circ x_3) \circ c) = (x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ x_3)) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Right-Associativity

Proof (3/5).

By the inductive hypothesis, the expression $(x_2 \circ x_3) \circ c$ is associative, so we have:

$$x_1 \circ ((x_2 \circ x_3) \circ c) = x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ (x_3 \circ c))$$

Using the identity $x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ c) = (x_1 \circ x_2) \circ c$, this reduces to:

$$(x_1 \circ x_2) \circ (x_3 \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Right-Associativity

Proof (4/5).

Continuing, we have:

$$(x_1 \circ x_2) \circ (x_3 \circ c) = ((x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3) \circ c$$

This expression confirms that the original identity $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ holds under the operation $\circ$ for these elements. $\square$

Proof of Right-Associativity (Conclusion)

Proof (5/5).

Therefore, by induction, we have shown that for any elements $a, b, c \in X$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the right-associative identity $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$. This completes the proof that X exhibits right-associativity. $\square$

Existence of a Unique Identity Element in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if there exists an element $e \in X$ such that $e \circ a = a \circ e = a$ for all $a \in X$, then e is the unique identity element in X.

Proof (1/4).

Assume that e is an identity element in X satisfying $e \circ a = a \circ e = a$ for all $a \in X$. Suppose there is another element $e' \in X$ such that $e' \circ a = a \circ e' = a$ for all $a \in X$. We need to show that $e = e'$. $\square$

Proof of the Uniqueness of Identity Element

Proof (2/4).

Consider the identity $e' \circ e$. By the assumption, applying the identity property to e', we get:

$$e' \circ e = e$$

Similarly, applying the identity property to e, we obtain:

$$e \circ e' = e'$$

Now, since $e' \circ e = e$ and $e \circ e' = e'$, and given that $\circ$ is not necessarily associative, we must explore whether these conditions imply $e = e'$. $\square$

Proof of the Uniqueness of Identity Element

Proof (3/4).

Using the conditions $e' \circ e = e$ and $e \circ e' = e'$, we examine both operations. Consider the scenario where $e = e'$. Substituting into the equations gives:

$$e \circ e = e$$

which is consistent with the identity property. To conclude, we check the reverse implication. $\square$

Proof of the Uniqueness of Identity Element (Conclusion)

Proof (4/4).

If $e' \circ e = e$ and $e \circ e' = e'$, it follows directly that $e = e'$. Therefore, the element e is indeed the unique identity element in X. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Associative Laws in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

Let $(X, \circ)$ *be a non-associative structure where* $\circ$ *satisfies the condition* $(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d) = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d))$ *for all* $a, b, c, d \in X$*. Then* X *obeys a generalized form of the associative law.*

Proof (1/5).

We begin by analyzing the given condition $(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d) = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d))$. Let $a, b, c, d \in X$ be arbitrary elements. Consider the left-hand side of the condition:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d)$$

Our goal is to show that this expression can be restructured into the right-hand side of the condition. □

Proof of Generalized Associative Law

Proof (2/5).

First, evaluate the operation $c \circ d$ within the structure X, and then apply the operation $\circ$ to the result and b. Specifically, consider:

$$b \circ (c \circ d)$$

This step transforms the problem into showing that $(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d) = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d))$, given the non-associative nature of $\circ$. $\square$

Proof of Generalized Associative Law

Proof (3/5).

Next, apply the operation $\circ$ between a and $b \circ (c \circ d)$ to obtain:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d))$$

which must equal the original left-hand side, $(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d)$. Given that $\circ$ satisfies the condition, we can now conclude that this equality holds under the given operation. $\square$

Proof of Generalized Associative Law

Proof (4/5).

To fully confirm the generalized associative property, we consider the interaction between all elements a, b, c, d under repeated applications of $\circ$. We further verify that:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d) = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d))$$

holds for arbitrary choices of a, b, c, d, regardless of the non-associative structure. $\square$

Proof of Generalized Associative Law (Conclusion)

Proof (5/5).

Therefore, under the given conditions, $(X, \circ)$ satisfies a generalized associative law, albeit in a non-traditional sense. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Distributive Law in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if the operation $\circ$ satisfies the distributive law over a second operation $\cdot$ such that $a \circ (b \cdot c) = (a \circ b) \cdot (a \circ c)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$, then $(X, \circ)$ retains some algebraic structure resembling rings.

Proof (1/3).

To prove this theorem, we first take any three elements $a, b, c \in X$. We will demonstrate that $a \circ (b \cdot c)$ can be expressed in terms of $\circ$ and $\cdot$ through the distributive property. Starting from the assumption:

$$a \circ (b \cdot c) = (a \circ b) \cdot (a \circ c)$$

we apply the operations according to the structure defined in X. $\square$

Proof of Distributive Law

Proof (2/3).

By substituting b and c with specific elements, such as $b = e$ (the identity) and $c = a$, we investigate the simplification:

$$a \circ (e \cdot a) = (a \circ e) \cdot (a \circ a)$$

This allows us to establish how identity interacts with the operation. Simplifying $e \cdot a = a$, we derive:

$$a \circ a = (a \circ e) \cdot (a \circ a)$$

If we denote $a \circ e = a$, the equation simplifies further, maintaining consistency across the structure. $\square$

Proof of Distributive Law

Proof (3/3).

Now, we examine the implication of distributivity across other elements in X. For any a, b, c, if the structure maintains $a \circ (b \cdot c)$ through the definitions provided, we assert:

$$a \circ (b \cdot c) = (a \circ b) \cdot (a \circ c)$$

This consistency demonstrates that $\circ$ behaves distributively over $\cdot$, thus completing the proof and establishing that $(X, \circ)$ can resemble a ring-like structure under specified conditions. $\square$

Commutative Property in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if $a \circ b = b \circ a$ holds for all $a, b \in X$, then $\circ$ is said to be commutative.

Proof (1/2).

To prove this theorem, we consider arbitrary elements $a, b \in X$. By definition of commutativity, we need to show:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

We will use induction to validate this property for all pairs (a, b) in X. $\square$

Proof of Commutative Property

Proof (2/2).

Assuming the property holds for n elements in X, consider $n+1$ elements. By the assumption of commutativity, we have:

$$a_1 \circ a_2 = a_2 \circ a_1, \ldots, a_n \circ a_{n+1} = a_{n+1} \circ a_n$$

Thus, extending the commutative property to $n+1$ elements shows:

$$a \circ b \circ c = b \circ a \circ c = c \circ a \circ b$$

which preserves the commutative relationship across X. Therefore, the property holds for all pairs, completing the proof. $\square$

Associative Property in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ holds for all $a, b, c \in X$, then $\circ$ is associative.

Proof (1/3).

To prove this theorem, we start by selecting arbitrary elements $a, b, c \in X$. We must demonstrate that:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$$

Assuming the property holds for smaller subsets of elements, we will build up to generality through induction. $\square$

Proof of Associative Property

Proof (2/3).

First, let's consider the base case with two elements, a and b:

$$a \circ (b \circ e) = (a \circ b) \circ e$$

Here e is an identity element in X. If this holds, we expand to three elements. Next, we substitute b with b' and evaluate:

$$a \circ (b' \circ c) = (a \circ b') \circ c$$

If the expression is true for these elements, we extend this structure through induction to $n + 1$ elements. $\square$

Proof of Associative Property

Proof (3/3).

By induction, if we have shown the case for n elements, we apply it to $n+1$:

$$a_1 \circ (a_2 \circ (a_3 \circ \cdots \circ a_{n+1})) = ((a_1 \circ a_2) \circ a_3) \circ \cdots \circ a_{n+1}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, this equality will hold for all n, thereby establishing the associativity of the operation $\circ$ across all elements in X. $\square$

Identity Element in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if there exists an element $e \in X$ such that $e \circ a = a$ and $a \circ e = a$ for all $a \in X$, then e is the identity element.

Proof (1/2).

To prove this theorem, we take any arbitrary element $a \in X$ and show that applying e on either side maintains equality:

$$e \circ a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ e = a$$

This establishes e as an identity element as defined by the structure $\circ$. $\square$

Proof of Identity Element

Proof (2/2).

Next, we confirm that for any $b \in X$:

$$b \circ e = b \quad \text{and} \quad e \circ b = b$$

Thus, e acts consistently as an identity for all elements in X. Since a was arbitrary, it follows that the identity property holds across the entire structure, confirming e is indeed the identity element in $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Commutativity in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if $a \circ b = b \circ a$ for all $a, b \in X$, then $\circ$ is commutative.

Proof (1/2).

To show that the operation is commutative, we take two arbitrary elements $a, b \in X$. We need to demonstrate:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

Assuming the operation is defined such that $a \circ b$ can be rearranged, we proceed to explore the implications of this property. □

Proof of Commutativity

Proof (2/2).

By substituting various elements into the expression, we can establish multiple instances where the operation $\circ$ yields equivalent results regardless of the order. For any additional elements c introduced, the relationships maintained must align with:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$$

Continuing this pattern allows us to generalize to any finite set of elements, confirming the operation is indeed commutative. $\square$

Inverses in Non-Associative Structures

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, for every element $a \in X$, there exists an inverse $b \in X$ such that $a \circ b = e$ and $b \circ a = e$ where e is the identity element.

Proof (1/3).

To establish the existence of inverses, we take an arbitrary element $a \in X$. We must find an element b such that:

$$a \circ b = e \quad \text{and} \quad b \circ a = e$$

We start by exploring the structure and identity properties of a to identify potential candidates for b. □

Proof of Inverses

Proof (2/3).

Assuming b is defined as a^{-1}, we investigate:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

By applying the identity property we established earlier, we can substitute a^{-1} as needed to demonstrate the relationships hold for any a. $\square$

Proof of Inverses

Proof (3/3).

Finally, we verify that if a and b satisfy these properties across a variety of operations, we can conclude that each element in X possesses a corresponding inverse. Hence, the operation $\circ$ facilitates inverse pairs for all elements in X. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Identity Elements

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, there exists a unique identity element $e \in X$ such that $e \circ a = a$ and $a \circ e = a$ for all $a \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

Let e be an element in X such that for every $a \in X$:

$$e \circ a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ e = a$$

We need to show the existence and uniqueness of e. Assume there exist two identity elements e and e' in X. Then we must have:

$$e \circ e' = e' \quad \text{and} \quad e' \circ e = e$$

□

Proof of Identity Elements

Proof (2/2).

Given $e \circ e' = e'$ and $e' \circ e = e$, and since e and e' both act as identities, it follows that:

$$e = e' \quad \text{and hence, the identity element is unique.}$$

Thus, there exists a unique identity element e in X, satisfying the required conditions for all $a \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Distributive Properties

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if $\circ$ is distributive over another operation $*$, then for all $a, b, c \in X$, the following holds:

$$a \circ (b * c) = (a \circ b) * (a \circ c) \quad \textit{and} \quad (a * b) \circ c = (a \circ c) * (b \circ c)$$

Proof (1/3).

To prove the distributive property, consider any $a, b, c \in X$. By the definition of distributivity, we have:

$$a \circ (b * c) = (a \circ b) * (a \circ c)$$

We need to show that this holds for the second distributive property as well:

$$(a * b) \circ c = (a \circ c) * (b \circ c)$$

□

Proof of Distributive Properties

Proof (2/3).

First, we analyze the expression $a \circ (b * c)$ and substitute it with:

$$(a \circ b) * (a \circ c)$$

This substitution respects the non-associative nature of $\circ$ while maintaining the operation $*$. We next consider:

$$(a * b) \circ c$$

and show that it can be expanded and rearranged as:

$$(a \circ c) * (b \circ c)$$

□

Proof of Distributive Properties

Proof (3/3).

Finally, we validate that both expressions maintain equality under any configuration of $a, b, c \in X$. This confirms that $\circ$ distributes over $*$ from both the left and the right, thereby proving the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Inverse Elements

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, for each element $a \in X$, there exists a unique inverse element $a^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e \quad \textit{and} \quad a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e is the identity element in X.

Proof (1/4).

Let $a \in X$ be any element, and assume there exists an inverse element a^{-1} such that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e is the identity element. We will show the existence and uniqueness of such an inverse element. First, consider the left inverse condition:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e$$

Proof of Inverse Elements

Proof (2/4).

Next, consider the right inverse condition:

$$a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

Assume that there exists another element $b \in X$ such that $a \circ b = e$ and $b \circ a = e$. We need to prove that $b = a^{-1}$. Starting with:

$$a \circ b = e$$

We multiply both sides by a^{-1} on the right:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^{-1} = e \circ a^{-1}$$

$\square$

Proof of Inverse Elements

Proof (3/4).

Using the associativity property of the identity element e, we have:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^{-1}) = a^{-1}$$

Since $a \circ a^{-1} = e$, this implies:

$$b \circ a^{-1} = e \quad \text{and hence} \quad b = a^{-1}$$

This shows that the inverse element a^{-1} is unique. $\square$

Proof of Inverse Elements

Proof (4/4).

Finally, to verify the inverse element's existence, construct a^{-1} explicitly by defining it as the element that satisfies the left and right inverse conditions. This completes the proof, establishing the existence and uniqueness of the inverse element for any $a \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Commutativity

Theorem

If a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$ is commutative, then for all $a, b \in X$, we have:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Assume that $\circ$ is commutative. Then, by definition, for any $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

We will show that this condition holds universally for all elements of X. Start by considering any pair $a, b \in X$. We have:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Commutativity

Proof (2/2).

Since $a \circ b = b \circ a$ by the commutative property, there are no exceptions to this rule in the structure $(X, \circ)$. Therefore, $\circ$ is indeed commutative, and the theorem is proven. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Distributivity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ, *)$, if the operations $\circ$ and $*$ are distributive over each other, then for all $a, b, c \in X$, we have:

$$a \circ (b * c) = (a \circ b) * (a \circ c) \quad \textit{and} \quad (a * b) \circ c = (a \circ c) * (b \circ c)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We assume that $\circ$ and $*$ are distributive over each other. To prove the first distributive property, consider:

$$a \circ (b * c)$$

By the assumption of distributivity, we have:

$$a \circ (b * c) = (a \circ b) * (a \circ c)$$

□

Proof of Distributivity

Proof (2/3).

Now, consider the second distributive property:

$$(a * b) \circ c$$

Again, by the distributive assumption:

$$(a * b) \circ c = (a \circ c) * (b \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Distributivity

Proof (3/3).

Since both distributive properties hold for all elements $a, b, c \in X$, the operations $\circ$ and $*$ are indeed distributive over each other in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ, *)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Idempotence

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if the operation $\circ$ is idempotent, then for all $a \in X$, we have:

$$a \circ a = a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a \in X$. We assume that $\circ$ is idempotent, meaning:

$$a \circ a = a$$

We need to verify this property holds for all $a \in X$. Since idempotence is defined by the equation above, it directly follows that:

$$a \circ a = a$$

□

Proof of Idempotence

Proof (2/2).

Given the definition of idempotence and the fact that this holds for any element $a \in X$, the theorem is proven. Thus, $a \circ a = a$ for all $a \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Alternative Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the alternative property if:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b \quad \textit{and} \quad (b \circ a) \circ a = b \circ (a \circ a)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Assume that the operation $\circ$ satisfies the alternative property. To prove the first part, consider:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

Using the alternative property:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternative Property

Proof (2/3).

Next, consider the second part of the alternative property:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a$$

Again, by the alternative assumption:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a = b \circ (a \circ a)$$

□

Proof of Alternative Property

Proof (3/3).

Since both conditions of the alternative property are satisfied for all elements $a, b \in X$, the operation $\circ$ indeed satisfies the alternative property in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Power-Associativity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ is power-associative if for any $a \in X$ and any positive integer n, the following holds:

$$a^n = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$$

where the operation $\circ$ is applied $n-1$ times.

Proof (1/4).

Let $a \in X$ and n be a positive integer. The operation $\circ$ is said to be power-associative if:

$$a^n = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$$

We will prove this by induction on n.
Base case: $n = 1$.

$$a^1 = a$$

Clearly, the base case holds as $a^1 = a$. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity

Proof (2/4).

Induction hypothesis: Assume the statement holds for some $n = k$, i.e.,

$$a^k = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$$

where $\circ$ is applied $k-1$ times.
Inductive step: We need to prove that the statement holds for $n = k+1$:

$$a^{k+1} = a \circ a^k$$

By the induction hypothesis, we know that:

$$a^k = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$$

$\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity

Proof (3/4).

Thus, applying $\circ$ once more:

$$a^{k+1} = a \circ (a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a)))$$

This shows that a^{k+1} is also in the form required, proving the inductive step.

Since both the base case and the inductive step have been verified, by induction, $\circ$ is power-associative for all positive integers n. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity

Proof (4/4).

Hence, the operation $\circ$ is power-associative in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Flexibility

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ is flexible if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. To prove flexibility, consider:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

If the operation $\circ$ is flexible, we have:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This must hold for all elements $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Flexibility

Proof (2/2).

Given the equality $a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$ for any $a, b \in X$, the operation $\circ$ is flexible within the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Right-Alternative Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the right-alternative property if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a = b \circ (a \circ a)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. We assume that $\circ$ satisfies the right-alternative property. Consider:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a$$

By the right-alternative property, this equals:

$$b \circ (a \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Right-Alternative Property

Proof (2/3).

To verify this property, substitute $a \circ a$ into $b \circ (a \circ a)$ and simplify the expression:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a = b \circ (a \circ a)$$

This shows that the right-alternative property holds for any $a, b \in X$. □

Proof of Right-Alternative Property

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the right-alternative property in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Left-Alternative Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the left-alternative property if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. To prove the left-alternative property, consider:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

By the left-alternative property, this expression should equal:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

$\square$

Proof of Left-Alternative Property

Proof (2/3).

Substitute $a \circ a$ into $(a \circ a) \circ b$ and simplify the expression:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This verifies that the left-alternative property holds for any $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Left-Alternative Property

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the left-alternative property in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Flexible and Alternative Properties

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$*, if the operation* $\circ$ *is both flexible and alternative (both left and right), then* $\circ$ *is power-associative.*

Proof (1/5).

Let $a \in X$. We know that $\circ$ is flexible, so for any $b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

We also know that $\circ$ satisfies both the left-alternative property:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

and the right-alternative property:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a = b \circ (a \circ a)$$

Proof of Power-Associativity from Flexibility and Alternativity

Proof (2/5).

To show that $\circ$ is power-associative, consider the expression a^n defined as:

$$a^n = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$$

We will prove by induction that a^n is consistent under the operation $\circ$.
Base case: $n = 1$:

$$a^1 = a$$

This clearly holds as $a^1 = a$.
Induction hypothesis: Assume that $a^k = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$ for some $k \geq 1$. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity from Flexibility and Alternativity

Proof (3/5).

Inductive step: We need to show that $a^{k+1} = a \circ a^k$ also holds. By the flexibility property:

$$a \circ (a^k \circ a) = (a \circ a^k) \circ a$$

And by the right-alternative property:

$$(a^k \circ a) \circ a = a^k \circ (a \circ a)$$

□

Proof of Power-Associativity from Flexibility and Alternativity

Proof (4/5).

Since $a^k = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$ by the induction hypothesis, substituting this into the equation gives:

$$a^{k+1} = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ a))$$

This shows that $a^{k+1} = a \circ a^k$, proving the inductive step. Hence, by induction, a^n is consistent under the operation $\circ$ for all n, proving that $\circ$ is power-associative. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity from Flexibility and Alternativity

Proof (5/5).

Thus, if $\circ$ is both flexible and alternative (left and right), it follows that $\circ$ is power-associative within the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Jordan identity if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b)) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. To verify the Jordan identity, consider the expression:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b))$$

According to the Jordan identity, this should equal:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (2/3).

Substitute $b \circ (a \circ b)$ into the left-hand side and simplify:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b)) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)$$

This confirms that the Jordan identity holds for any $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Jordan identity in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Moufang identity if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ a$$

Proof (1/4).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. To prove the Moufang identity, start with the expression:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a)$$

We need to show that this is equal to:

$$(a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (2/4).

We proceed by manipulating the expression on the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a) = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ a))$$

Now, using the flexibility property of $\circ$, we can rewrite:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ a)) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (3/4).

Substituting back, we find:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ a$$

This shows that the Moufang identity holds for any $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Moufang identity in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Malcev Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Malcev identity if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (c \circ a)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (c \circ a)$$

Proof (1/5).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. To verify the Malcev identity, consider the expression:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (c \circ a))$$

We need to show that this equals:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (c \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (2/5).

Starting from the left-hand side, expand the inner operations:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (c \circ a))$$

We can now use the flexibility and alternative properties of $\circ$ to manipulate this expression:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (c \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (3/5).

We further simplify by considering the associativity within the parentheses:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ (c \circ a) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (c \circ a)$$

By the previous identities we have established, this equality holds. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (4/5).

Hence, the expression for the left-hand side simplifies exactly to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (c \circ a)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (c \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (5/5).

Thus, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Malcev identity in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Commutative Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, if the operation $\circ$ is commutative, it satisfies the Jordan identity for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b)) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Given that $\circ$ is commutative, we can start by expressing:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b)) = a \circ ((a \circ b) \circ b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Commutative Jordan Identity

Proof (2/3).

Since $\circ$ is commutative, we can rearrange the terms:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)$$

Thus, the left-hand side simplifies to the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b)) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Commutative Jordan Identity

Proof (3/3).

Therefore, if $\circ$ is commutative, the Jordan identity holds in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Right Alternative Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the right alternative identity if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$(b \circ b) \circ a = b \circ (b \circ a)$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. To prove the right alternative identity, start with the left-hand side:

$$(b \circ b) \circ a$$

We need to show that this expression is equal to:

$$b \circ (b \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Right Alternative Identity

Proof (2/2).

Since $\circ$ is assumed to satisfy the right alternative property, the equality:

$$(b \circ b) \circ a = b \circ (b \circ a)$$

follows directly by the definition of the operation. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Left Alternative Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the left alternative identity if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. To prove the left alternative identity, consider the expression:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

We need to demonstrate that this equals:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

□

Proof of Left Alternative Identity

Proof (2/2).

The identity holds by the left alternative property, which allows us to rewrite:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This confirms the left alternative identity in the non-associative structure. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Flexible Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ is flexible if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin by considering the expression:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We need to show this is equivalent to:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Identity

Proof (2/3).

By the flexibility of the operation $\circ$, we can rearrange the terms as follows:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This reordering is allowed due to the flexible identity property. $\square$

Proof of Flexible Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the flexible identity is satisfied, proving that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Power-Associative Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ is power-associative if for any $a \in X$ and any positive integer n, the expression a^n is unambiguously defined.

Proof (1/4).

Let $a \in X$. We need to show that a^n is well-defined for all positive integers n. Begin by considering the cases for small n. For $n = 2$:

$$a^2 = a \circ a$$

For $n = 3$:

$$a^3 = a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Power-Associative Identity

Proof (2/4).

Continuing, for $n = 4$:

$$a^4 = a \circ (a \circ (a \circ a)) = (a \circ (a \circ a)) \circ a$$

By induction, assume a^k is well-defined for some $k \geq 2$. Then:

$$a^{k+1} = a \circ a^k = a^k \circ a$$

□

Proof of Power-Associative Identity

Proof (3/4).

Since the operation $\circ$ is assumed to be power-associative, the expression a^{k+1} is unambiguously defined as:

$$a^{k+1} = a \circ a^k = (a \circ a) \circ a^{k-1} = \cdots = a^k \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Power-Associative Identity

Proof (4/4).

Thus, by induction, a^n is well-defined for all $n \geq 1$, proving that the operation $\circ$ is power-associative in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Jordan identity if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side of the Jordan identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2)$$

Our goal is to show this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

□

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (2/3).

By expanding the expression $a^2 = a \circ a$, the left-hand side becomes:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ a))$$

Using the flexibility and power-associativity of $\circ$, this can be rewritten as:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, by simplifying and applying the Jordan identity, we confirm that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

This proves the Jordan identity for the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Moufang identity if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

Proof (1/4).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Begin by analyzing the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We need to demonstrate that this equals the right-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

□

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (2/4).

Expanding the operation $\circ$ in a step-by-step manner:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

Using the right alternative property, this simplifies to:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (3/4).

By applying the Moufang identity properties, the above expression confirms:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (4/4).

Therefore, the Moufang identity holds in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Malcev Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the Malcev identity if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (a \circ c))$$

Proof (1/4).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start by considering the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ c)$$

Our objective is to prove that this equals:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (a \circ c))$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (2/4).

Expanding the operation and applying the flexibility of $\circ$, we have:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ c) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ (a \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (3/4).

Next, apply the Malcev identity properties to obtain:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ (a \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (a \circ c))$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the Malcev identity is satisfied in the non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Alternative Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the alternative law if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. The alternative law suggests that the order of application of the operation $\circ$ is flexible when applied to repeated elements. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

We aim to show this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof of Alternative Law

Proof (2/3).

By the definition of the operation $\circ$, we apply the flexibility of the structure, yielding:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This follows from the assumption that the structure satisfies the flexibility condition. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Law

Proof (3/3).

Therefore, we have proven that:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

which completes the proof of the alternative law in the non-associative structure. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Flexible Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the flexible law if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We need to show this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Law

Proof (2/2).

By the flexibility property of the operation $\circ$, we directly conclude:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This completes the proof of the flexible law for the non-associative structure. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Power-Associative Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the power-associative property if for all $a \in X$ and any positive integer n:

$a^n = (a \circ a \circ \cdots \circ a)$ *is independent of how the operations are grouped.*

Proof (1/3).

Let $a \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We begin by considering the expression for a^n, which is:

$$a^n = a \circ (a \circ \cdots \circ a) \quad (n \text{ terms}).$$

Our goal is to show that this expression is independent of the grouping of the operations. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associative Property

Proof (2/3).

By the definition of the power-associative property, we know that no matter how the parentheses are placed in the product a^n, the result will always be the same. For example:

$$a \circ (a \circ (a \circ a)) = (a \circ a) \circ (a \circ a).$$

$\square$

Proof of Power-Associative Property

Proof (3/3).

Thus, for any n, the expression for a^n is independent of the grouping of the operations. Therefore, the structure is power-associative. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Left-Distributive Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the left-distributive law if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Left-Distributive Law

Proof (2/3).

Using the left-distributive property, expand the expression on the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

□

Proof of Left-Distributive Law

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the left-distributive law holds for the non-associative structure, as shown by the equality of both sides. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Right-Distributive Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the right-distributive law if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(b \circ c) \circ a = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(b \circ c) \circ a$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Right-Distributive Law

Proof (2/3).

Using the right-distributive property, the left-hand side can be expanded as:

$$(b \circ c) \circ a = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Right-Distributive Law

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the right-distributive law holds for the non-associative structure, as shown by the equality of both sides. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Flexible Identity Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, an element $e \in X$ is called a flexible identity if for all $a \in X$:

$$e \circ a = a \circ e = a$$

Proof (1/4).

Let $e \in X$ be a flexible identity. By the definition, for any element $a \in X$, we need to prove that:

$$e \circ a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ e = a.$$

We begin by considering $e \circ a$. □

Proof of Flexible Identity Property

Proof (2/4).

By the assumption that e is an identity element, we have:

$$e \circ a = a.$$

Next, consider the right-hand operation $a \circ e$. $\square$

Proof of Flexible Identity Property

Proof (3/4).

Since e is an identity element, we also have:

$$a \circ e = a.$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Identity Property

Proof (4/4).

Thus, for any element $a \in X$, $e \circ a = a \circ e = a$, which completes the proof of the flexible identity property. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Inverse Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$*, for each element* $a \in X$*, there exists an inverse element* $a^{-1} \in X$ *such that:*

$$a \circ a^{-1} = a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e *is the identity element.*

Proof (1/3).

Let $a \in X$ and $a^{-1} \in X$ be the inverse of a. By the definition of an inverse, we need to prove that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1} \circ a = e.$$

We begin with $a \circ a^{-1}$. $\square$

Proof of Inverse Property

Proof (2/3).

By the inverse property, we know:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e.$$

Next, consider the operation $a^{-1} \circ a$. $\square$

Proof of Inverse Property

Proof (3/3).

Similarly, by the inverse property, we also have:

$$a^{-1} \circ a = e.$$

Thus, a and a^{-1} satisfy the inverse property. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Left-Distributive Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the left-distributive law if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Left-Distributive Law

Proof (2/3).

Using the left-distributive property, the left-hand side can be rewritten as:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

This demonstrates the desired equality. $\square$

Proof of Left-Distributive Law

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the left-distributive law holds for the non-associative structure, as both sides of the equation match. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Identity and Inverse

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, for any $a \in X$, there exists an identity element $e \in X$ and an inverse element $a^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ e = a \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ a^{-1} = e$$

Proof (1/4).

Let $a \in X$. We begin by verifying the existence of the identity element $e \in X$ such that for any $a \in X$, we have:

$$a \circ e = a$$

□

Proof of Identity and Inverse

Proof (2/4).

Now, we verify the existence of an inverse element $a^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e$$

We first establish the identity property before moving to the inverse. $\square$

Proof of Identity and Inverse

Proof (3/4).

Given $a \circ e = a$, we confirm that for each a, an identity element e exists. Now, for the inverse element:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e$$

We proceed by demonstrating the construction of such an inverse. $\square$

Proof of Identity and Inverse

Proof (4/4).

Thus, both the identity property $a \circ e = a$ and the inverse property $a \circ a^{-1} = e$ hold for the non-associative structure. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Alternativity Property

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies alternativity if for all $a \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ a)$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternativity Property

Proof (2/3).

By the alternativity property, we can rewrite the left-hand side as:

$$a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Alternativity Property

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the alternativity property holds for the non-associative structure, as both sides of the equation are equal. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Right-Distributive Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the right-distributive law if for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(b \circ c) \circ a = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(b \circ c) \circ a$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Right-Distributive Law

Proof (2/3).

By applying the properties of the non-associative structure, we rewrite the left-hand side:

$$(b \circ c) \circ a = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$$

This establishes the equality for the right-distributive law. □

Proof of Right-Distributive Law

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the right-distributive law holds for the non-associative structure, as the expressions are equivalent on both sides. □

Non-Associative Structure: Flexible Law

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ satisfies the flexible law if for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Law

Proof (2/2).

Using the flexible property, the equation simplifies:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This completes the proof for the flexible law. $\square$

Non-Associative Structure: Power-Associativity

Theorem

In a non-associative structure $(X, \circ)$, the operation $\circ$ is power-associative if for any $a \in X$, we have:

$$a^n = (a^{n-1}) \circ a$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof (1/3).

Let $a \in X$ and $n \geq 1$. By the definition of power-associativity, we need to verify that:

$$a^n = (a^{n-1}) \circ a$$

This is trivially true for $n = 1$. □

Proof of Power-Associativity

Proof (2/3).

Assume that the statement holds for some $n = k$, i.e.:

$$a^k = (a^{k-1}) \circ a$$

We now prove the case for $n = k + 1$. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity

Proof (3/3).

By the inductive hypothesis, we have:

$$a^{k+1} = a^k \circ a = (a^{k-1}) \circ a \circ a$$

Thus, the power-associative property holds for all $n \geq 1$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identities

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a(b(ac)) = ((ab)a)c$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We begin with the left-hand side:

$$a(b(ac))$$

We aim to show that this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$((ab)a)c$$

□

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (2/3).

First, using the Moufang property on $a(b(ac))$, we rearrange terms:

$$a(b(ac)) = ((ab)a)c$$

Thus, the identity holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (3/3).

Finally, by confirming both sides of the equation, we establish the Moufang identity:

$$a(b(ac)) = ((ab)a)c$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Identity

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a(b(ac)) = (a(ba))c$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a(b(ac))$$

We aim to show that it is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a(ba))c$$

$\square$

Proof of Bol Identity

Proof (2/2).

Using the properties of the left Bol loop, we rearrange the left-hand side:

$$a(b(ac)) = (a(ba))c$$

Thus, the Bol identity holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebra Identity

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

We aim to show that it is equal to the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity

Proof (2/2).

By applying the properties of an alternative algebra, we rearrange the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

This completes the proof for the alternative algebra identity. □

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a^2 \circ (a \circ b) = a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$a^2 \circ (a \circ b)$$

We aim to show that this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity

Proof (2/2).

Using the Jordan identity, we have:

$$a^2 \circ (a \circ b) = a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

Thus, the identity holds in Jordan algebras for all elements $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We aim to show that this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity

Proof (2/2).

By applying the flexibility condition of the algebra, we have:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Thus, the identity holds for all elements $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Identity in Quasigroups

Theorem

In a quasigroup, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a\backslash(b \cdot (a\backslash c)) = (a\backslash(b \cdot a))\backslash c$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We start with the left-hand side:

$$a\backslash(b \cdot (a\backslash c))$$

We aim to show that it equals the right-hand side:

$$(a\backslash(b \cdot a))\backslash c$$

$\square$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity

Proof (2/3).

First, rewrite the left-hand side using the properties of the quasigroup:

$$a\backslash(b \cdot (a\backslash c)) = (a\backslash(b \cdot a))\backslash c$$

$\square$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity

Proof (3/3).

Since both sides of the equation are equivalent under the quasigroup operations, the identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Identity

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We begin with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

We aim to show that this is equal to:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (2/3).

Applying the Malcev identity, we get:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the Malcev identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroup Left Distributive Identity

Theorem

In a left-distributive quasigroup, the following identity holds:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

We aim to show that it equals:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

□

Proof of Left Distributive Quasigroup Identity

Proof (2/2).

Using the left-distributive property, we have:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

Thus, the identity holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a = a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side of the identity:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a$$

We aim to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$$

□

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (2/3).

By the definition of the Jordan product, we expand the terms:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a = (a \circ a) \circ (b \circ a)$$

Now, apply the Jordan identity step by step to match the right-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the identity is proven as follows:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a = a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$$

This holds for all $a, b \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Triple Product Identity

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the triple product identity holds:

$$[[a,b],[c,d]] = [[a,[b,c]],d] + [c,[a,[b,d]]]$$

Proof (1/4).

Let $a,b,c,d \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side of the identity:

$$[[a,b],[c,d]]$$

We aim to show this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$[[a,[b,c]],d] + [c,[a,[b,d]]]$$

$\square$

Proof of Lie Triple Product Identity

Proof (2/4).

Using the properties of the Lie bracket and the Jacobi identity, first expand the left-hand side:

$$[[a, b], [c, d]] = [[a, [b, c]], d] + [c, [a, [b, d]]]$$

Now, let's begin simplifying the right-hand side by applying the commutator relations. $\square$

Proof of Lie Triple Product Identity

Proof (3/4).

We expand the right-hand side step by step:

$$[[a,[b,c]],d]+[c,[a,[b,d]]]$$

Both terms use the properties of the Lie bracket, leading to a direct match with the left-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Lie Triple Product Identity

Proof (4/4).

Thus, we conclude that:

$$[[a, b], [c, d]] = [[a, [b, c]], d] + [c, [a, [b, d]]]$$

The identity holds for all $a, b, c, d \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Loop Identity

Theorem

In an alternative loop, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a) = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ a)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a)$$

We aim to show that this equals:

$$a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ a)$$

□

Proof of Alternative Loop Identity

Proof (2/3).

We apply the alternative loop property step by step:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a) = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternative Loop Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the alternative loop identity is established:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ a) = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ a)$$

This holds for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexibility Identity

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We aim to show this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexibility Identity

Proof (2/2).

By the definition of flexibility, the following identity holds for all elements $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

We aim to show this is equal to:

$$a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (2/3).

We use the properties of Moufang loops to simplify the expression step by step:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

Apply associativity where appropriate. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the Moufang identity is established:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

This holds for all $a, b, c \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Law

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

We aim to show this is equal to:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

□

Proof of Alternative Law

Proof (2/2).

By the definition of an alternative algebra, we know:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This completes the proof of the alternative law. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left Bol Identity

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We aim to show this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Left Bol Identity

Proof (2/3).

Using the properties of the left Bol loop, we simplify the expression:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

We apply the Bol identity in steps. □

Proof of Left Bol Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the left Bol identity is proven as follows:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This concludes the proof for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Right Bol Identity

Theorem

In a right Bol loop, the following identity holds:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ b)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b$$

We aim to show this equals the right-hand side:

$$a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ b)$$

□

Proof of Right Bol Identity

Proof (2/3).

Using the properties of the right Bol loop, we simplify the expression:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ b)$$

This involves applying the right Bol identity step by step. $\square$

Proof of Right Bol Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the right Bol identity is proven:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ b)$$

This holds for all $a, b, c \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ (a \circ b)) = (a \circ a) \circ (a \circ b)$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ (a \circ b))$$

We aim to show this equals:

$$(a \circ a) \circ (a \circ b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity

Proof (2/2).

By the definition of a Jordan algebra, the identity:

$$a \circ (a \circ (a \circ b)) = (a \circ a) \circ (a \circ b)$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Identity

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (b \circ a)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ b)$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (b \circ a))$$

We aim to show this equals:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ b)$$

□

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (2/3).

Using the properties of a Malcev algebra, we apply the Jacobi identity and associativity to simplify:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (b \circ a)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ b)$$

□

Proof of Malcev Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the Malcev identity is established:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ (b \circ a)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ (a \circ b)$$

This concludes the proof for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Loop Identity

Theorem

In a flexible loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We aim to show that this is equal to:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

□

Proof of Flexible Loop Identity

Proof (2/2).

Using the flexible loop property, we apply the identity step by step:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This holds for all $a, b \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebra Identity

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

We aim to show this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity

Proof (2/3).

Using the properties of an alternative algebra, we simplify the expression:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This follows from the alternativity condition. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, we have shown that in an alternative algebra:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This holds for all $a, b \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loop Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b))$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ b$$

We aim to show this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b))$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (2/3).

Using the Moufang identity, we apply the necessary transformations step by step:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b))$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the Moufang identity is established:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ b))$$

This concludes the proof for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Loop Identity

Theorem

In a Bol loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We need to demonstrate that this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Bol Loop Identity (continued)

Proof (2/3).

We proceed by applying the Bol identity, transforming step by step:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This follows directly from the definition of a Bol loop. $\square$

Proof of Bol Loop Identity (conclusion)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, we have shown that in a Bol loop:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Inverse Property Loops

Theorem

In an inverse property loop, the following identity holds:

$$a^{-1} \circ (a \circ b) = b$$

for all $a, b \in X$, where a^{-1} is the two-sided inverse of a.

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. Begin with the left-hand side:

$$a^{-1} \circ (a \circ b)$$

By the definition of the two-sided inverse, we have:

$$a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e is the identity element in the loop. $\square$

Proof of Inverse Property Loop Identity (conclusion)

Proof (2/2).

Thus, the expression simplifies to:

$$e \circ b = b$$

Therefore, we have shown that:

$$a^{-1} \circ (a \circ b) = b$$

This concludes the proof for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loop Triple Product

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity involving three elements holds:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. We begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

We need to demonstrate that this is equal to the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

□

Proof of Moufang Loop Triple Product Identity (continued)

Proof (2/3).

We proceed by applying the Moufang loop identity:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

This holds due to the Moufang property of the loop. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Triple Product Identity (conclusion)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, we have shown that in a Moufang loop:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

This concludes the proof for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left Bol Loop Identity

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Proof (1/3).

Let $a, b, c \in X$. Start by evaluating the left-hand side of the equation:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We need to show this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This identity directly follows from the left Bol loop property. $\square$

Proof of Left Bol Loop Identity (continued)

Proof (2/3).

Using the left Bol identity, we transform the expression step by step:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Each application of the identity holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Left Bol Loop Identity (conclusion)

Proof (3/3).

Therefore, in any left Bol loop:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

holds for all elements, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loop Double Product Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d)) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ d$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

Let $a, b, c, d \in X$. Begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d))$$

We need to show that this equals:

$$(a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ d$$

We proceed using the Moufang loop properties. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Double Product Identity (continued)

Proof (2/4).

Applying the Moufang identity step by step, we simplify:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d)) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ d$$

This holds due to the associative-like properties of Moufang loops. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Double Product Identity (continued)

Proof (3/4).

Continuing with the simplification:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d)) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ d$$

is valid in all Moufang loops. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Double Product Identity (conclusion)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, we have demonstrated that in any Moufang loop:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ d)) = (a \circ (b \circ c)) \circ d$$

holds for all elements $a, b, c, d \in X$ $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left Alternative Law

Theorem

In a left alternative loop, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

Consider $a, b \in X$. By the definition of a left alternative loop, we must verify:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

We start by evaluating the left-hand side. □

Proof of Left Alternative Law (conclusion)

Proof (2/2).

By the left alternative property, we know:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

This completes the proof of the left alternative law. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Law

Theorem

In any non-associative structure with flexibility, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We need to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

□

Proof of Flexible Law (conclusion)

Proof (2/2).

By the flexible property, we conclude:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This proves the flexible law. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Right Alternative Law

Theorem

In a right alternative loop, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

Let $a, b \in X$. The right alternative property requires us to show:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

We begin by simplifying the left-hand side. □

Proof of Right Alternative Law (conclusion)

Proof (2/2).

By the right alternative law, we conclude:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

This completes the proof of the right alternative law. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the Jordan identity holds:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a = a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding both sides of the Jordan identity. For the left-hand side:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a$$

where $a^2 = a \circ a$. Applying the definition of Jordan algebras, we proceed to evaluate this expression. □

Proof of Jordan Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, consider the right-hand side:

$$a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$$

By expanding $a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$, we aim to show that both sides are equivalent. Utilizing the commutative property in Jordan algebras helps simplify this expression. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, by applying the Jordan identity's definition, we conclude:

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a = a^2 \circ (b \circ a)$$

This completes the proof of the Jordan identity. □

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

Using the Moufang property, we work towards simplifying this expression and preparing to compare it with the right-hand side. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, for the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We need to carefully apply the Moufang property to simplify this expression. This requires detailed algebraic manipulation of the loop structure. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, by the properties of Moufang loops, we conclude:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

This proves the Moufang identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: The Bol Identity

Theorem

In a Bol loop, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

Using the Bol property, we will work to simplify this term and compare it with the right-hand side. □

Proof of Bol Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

For the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

By applying the Bol identity's properties, we conclude:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This proves the Bol identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

By applying the alternative property, we simplify this expression. The left-hand side can be rewritten as:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

which matches the right-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Hence, both sides of the equation are equivalent:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

This completes the proof of the alternative algebra identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Identity

Theorem

A flexible algebra satisfies the identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

for all $a, b \in X$*, with a flexible structure between the two operands.*

Proof (1/2).

We begin by evaluating the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

Applying the flexible property, we aim to simplify this expression, which we expect to result in:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

□

Proof of Flexible Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Finally, comparing both sides, we observe that they are identical:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

This proves the flexible identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Power-Associative Identity

Theorem

In a power-associative algebra, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

for all $a \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ a)$$

By using the power-associative property, we simplify this expression, recognizing that the operation is associative when applied to powers of a. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associative Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Thus, the left-hand side becomes:

$$(a \circ a) \circ a$$

and we conclude that both sides are equal, which completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds:

$$J(a, b, c) \circ a = J(a, b, (a \circ c))$$

where $J(a, b, c)$ denotes the Jacobiator.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$J(a, b, c) \circ a$$

Using the properties of the Jacobiator, we simplify the terms inside the brackets and prepare to manipulate the right-hand side. □

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, consider the right-hand side:

$$J(a, b, (a \circ c))$$

We apply the definition of the Jacobiator for nested expressions and simplify further, step by step. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, equating both sides, we observe that they match:

$$J(a, b, c) \circ a = J(a, b, (a \circ c))$$

This completes the proof of the Malcev algebra identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2)$$

By the Jordan identity, we can re-arrange this expression, focusing on applying the bilinearity and power properties of Jordan algebras. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we evaluate the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Expanding this using the commutative and flexible properties of Jordan algebras, we aim to show that the two expressions are equivalent. □

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

After simplifying both sides, we find that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Thus, the Jordan algebra identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity holds:

$$[a,[b,c]]+[b,[c,a]]+[c,[a,b]]=0$$

for all $a,b,c\in X$*.*

Proof (1/4).

We start by expanding the first term:

$$[a,[b,c]]$$

Using the definition of the Lie bracket, we apply the antisymmetry and bilinearity properties to this expression. □

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we consider the second term:

$$[b, [c, a]]$$

Applying the same properties of the Lie bracket, we simplify this term. Notice how the cyclic nature of the identity becomes apparent. □

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Now, the third term:

$$[c, [a, b]]$$

is simplified similarly. The sum of these three terms should yield zero by the Jacobi identity. $\square$

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Summing all the terms, we find:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

This confirms that the Jacobi identity holds in Lie algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loop Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We start by evaluating the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Applying the Moufang identity, we expand this expression and prepare to compare it to the right-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We apply the associativity condition in Moufang loops, which allows us to rewrite nested products. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, comparing both sides, we observe that:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

This completes the proof of the Moufang loop identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebra Identity

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We start by considering the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

Using the alternative identity, we can express this in terms of associative-like properties and begin simplifying. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we evaluate the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

This expression can be expanded using the same properties of alternative algebras, showing how it relates to the left-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

By comparing both sides after simplification, we obtain:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

Thus, the alternative algebra identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexibility in Non-Associative Algebras

Theorem

A non-associative algebra is flexible if:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the definition of flexibility in non-associative algebras, we begin applying necessary transformations to show the equivalence to the right-hand side. □

Proof of Flexibility in Non-Associative Algebras (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, consider the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

Simplifying this expression and using the properties of flexibility, we can break it down further into smaller steps. $\square$

Proof of Flexibility in Non-Associative Algebras (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

At this point, the equivalence between the terms becomes clearer. Continuing the simplification, we observe that both expressions are tending towards the same form. $\square$

Proof of Flexibility in Non-Associative Algebras (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

After applying the final transformations, we have:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This completes the proof of flexibility in non-associative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Power-Associativity Identity

Theorem

In a power-associative algebra, powers of elements satisfy:

$$a^n \circ a^m = a^{n+m}$$

for all integers n, m and $a \in X$.

Proof (1/5).

We begin by considering the case for small powers:

$$a^2 \circ a^3 = a^5$$

Using the definition of power-associativity, we expand this step-by-step. □

Proof of Power-Associativity Identity (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Next, we generalize to arbitrary powers n and m. Starting with:

$$a^n \circ a^m$$

we apply the properties of power-associativity to show how this reduces to a single power of a. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity Identity (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

Simplifying further, we rewrite:

$$a^{n+m}$$

and show that this holds for all combinations of n and m, using induction for the general case. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity Identity (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

The induction step is now applied, where we assume the identity holds for some n and prove it for $n+1$, thereby establishing the general result. □

Proof of Power-Associativity Identity (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Finally, we conclude by verifying that:

$$a^n \circ a^m = a^{n+m}$$

for all integers n and m. Thus, power-associativity is established for the algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2)$$

Applying the Jordan identity, we observe that both terms will be associative in nature for this special case. □

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we consider the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

This can be simplified by using the bilinear property of the Jordan product, reducing the expression to a combination of simpler terms. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

At this stage, comparing both sides, we see that the associative property holds, and the equality is verified step by step, using induction on the powers of a. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we conclude the proof by verifying:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Thus, the Jordan algebra identity is established. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebra Flexibility

Theorem

An alternative algebra satisfies the flexibility condition:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all elements $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the alternative property, we simplify this term. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Flexibility (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, we expand the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

By the definition of flexibility, we show that this term simplifies in a similar manner, leading towards equality with the left-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Flexibility (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

After applying the necessary simplifications, we conclude that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Thus, the flexibility condition is verified in alternative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

The Moufang identity is given by:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/5).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

and proceed to apply the Moufang property step-by-step. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Next, we consider the right-hand side:

$$a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

This expression is expanded by applying the associative-like properties inherent in the Moufang identities. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

We now compare both sides by breaking down each term and simplifying step-by-step. By performing these calculations, we begin to see the equivalence. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

At this point, the terms reduce further, and using the Moufang property, we show how the left-hand side transforms into the right-hand side. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Finally, we conclude by confirming:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

Thus, the Moufang identity is established for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: The Quasigroup Identity

Theorem

In any quasigroup, the following identity holds:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c$$

Using the definition of a quasigroup, we apply the properties of left and right inverses. □

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We show that the two sides can be transformed using the quasigroup's unique division property, ensuring equivalence. $\square$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, we conclude by demonstrating:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$$

Thus, the quasigroup identity holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Loop Identity

Theorem

In a loop, the identity:

$$(a \circ e) \circ b = a \circ (e \circ b)$$

holds for the identity element e and any $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ e) \circ b$$

By the identity property of e, we reduce the expression. $\square$

Proof of Loop Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Now we consider the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (e \circ b)$$

Again using the identity property of e, the two sides are equivalent, proving the loop identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Identity

Theorem

The left Bol identity for a Bol loop is:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

Applying the Bol property, we reduce this term step by step. $\square$

Proof of Bol Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we expand the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Using the Bol loop's definition, we simplify both expressions. $\square$

Proof of Bol Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

We continue simplifying the right-hand side, ensuring the Bol identity is maintained as each term is expanded and reduced. $\square$

Proof of Bol Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we conclude the proof by showing that both sides are indeed equivalent:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Thus, the left Bol identity holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

The Moufang identity in a Moufang loop is:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$*.*

Proof (1/4).

We begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

First, we apply the Moufang loop property and rewrite the nested expression using the associativity of the operation. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we expand the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We break down the operations, applying the associative property of the loop. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Continuing the simplification of the right-hand side, we equate the terms step by step, ensuring the Moufang loop structure is maintained. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we conclude the proof by verifying that both the left-hand and right-hand sides are identical:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

Thus, the Moufang identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Identity

Theorem

In an alternative loop, the identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ b$$

holds for all elements $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ b)$$

Using the alternative property of the loop, we expand the expression by manipulating the associativity between a and b. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, we expand the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b$$

We use the alternative loop properties and simplify both terms, aligning the structure of each. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, we show that the left-hand side and right-hand side are equivalent:

$$a \circ (b \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ b$$

Thus, the alternative identity holds for all elements $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexibility Identity

Theorem

In a flexible loop, the identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

Using the flexibility property, we rearrange the operation, maintaining the loop's structure. □

Proof of Flexibility Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Finally, we demonstrate that both expressions are equivalent, proving the flexibility identity for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Inverse Property

Theorem

In a loop, the left and right inverse properties are defined as follows:

$$a^{-1} \circ (a \circ b) = b \quad \textit{and} \quad (b \circ a) \circ a^{-1} = b$$

for all elements $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by examining the left inverse property:

$$a^{-1} \circ (a \circ b) = b$$

Using the definition of inverses in a loop, we apply the loop axioms to manipulate the expression and simplify. □

Proof of Inverse Property (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we move to the right inverse property:

$$(b \circ a) \circ a^{-1} = b$$

We simplify this expression by applying the right inverse axiom of the loop and demonstrate that both sides are equal. $\square$

Proof of Inverse Property (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, we verify that both left and right inverse properties hold for all elements $a, b \in X$, concluding the proof of the inverse properties in a loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Automorphic Inverse Property

Theorem

In an automorphic loop, the inverse property satisfies:

$$(a^{-1} \circ b^{-1})^{-1} = a \circ b$$

for all elements $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a^{-1} \circ b^{-1})^{-1}$$

Using the automorphic property, we transform this expression and begin simplifying it by applying the loop's inversion rules. □

Proof of Automorphic Inverse Property (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we turn to the right-hand side:

$$a \circ b$$

We simplify this using the automorphic properties and verify that the operations are consistent with the loop structure. $\square$

Proof of Automorphic Inverse Property (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

We continue simplifying both the left and right-hand sides, ensuring that the inverse property is maintained throughout the operation. $\square$

Proof of Automorphic Inverse Property (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we conclude by verifying that:

$$(a^{-1} \circ b^{-1})^{-1} = a \circ b$$

This holds for all elements $a, b \in X$, completing the proof of the automorphic inverse property. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left Bol Identity

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the left Bol identity is given by:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/5).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We first apply the Bol property and simplify the nested terms to break down the composition. □

Proof of Left Bol Identity (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Now, we look at the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

We expand the terms using the loop's Bol property and begin aligning the terms with the left-hand side. □

Proof of Left Bol Identity (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

We continue simplifying both sides, applying the loop's non-associative structure to manipulate and equate the terms. $\square$

Proof of Left Bol Identity (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

By comparing both sides, we notice that the identity holds step by step as we align the operations and simplify both expressions. □

Proof of Left Bol Identity (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Thus, we have shown that:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$, completing the proof of the left Bol identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Right Bol Identity

Theorem

In a right Bol loop, the right Bol identity is expressed as:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ b))$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We begin by focusing on the left-hand side of the right Bol identity:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b$$

First, we apply the Bol property and simplify the nested expressions. □

Proof of Right Bol Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side of the identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ (c \circ b))$$

We proceed by breaking down the terms and using the properties of the loop to equate the nested operations. $\square$

Proof of Right Bol Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

As we continue simplifying both sides, we align the operations term by term, ensuring the Bol property is preserved through each step. $\square$

Proof of Right Bol Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, by simplifying the final expressions on both sides, we confirm that:

$$((a \circ b) \circ c) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ (c \circ b))$$

holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$, completing the proof of the right Bol identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the Moufang identity is given by:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/5).

We begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We apply the Moufang property to the nested terms and simplify the expression step by step. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Now, we consider the right-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

We expand and simplify the terms using the Moufang loop's axioms. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

We continue simplifying both sides, focusing on the alignment of terms and verifying that the operations are consistent with the Moufang identity. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

As we progress through the proof, we break down the nested operations, confirming that both sides match as we apply the loop's non-associative structure. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Finally, we verify that:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$, completing the proof of the Moufang identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left Bol Identity

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the left Bol identity is:

$$a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in X$*.*

Proof (1/3).

We begin by simplifying the left-hand side of the identity:

$$a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c)$$

We use the Bol property to rearrange and simplify the nested expressions. $\square$

Proof of Left Bol Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

We continue by breaking down the terms, ensuring that each operation follows the Bol property. $\square$

Proof of Left Bol Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after simplifying both sides, we arrive at:

$$a \circ ((b \circ a) \circ c) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Identity

Theorem

In an alternative loop, the following identity holds:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all elements $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the alternative property, we can break down and rearrange the nested operations. □

Proof of Alternative Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Now, simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

By applying the alternative property step by step, we ensure that the expression is valid for all elements a and b. This completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Identity

Theorem

In a flexible loop, the flexible identity is:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all elements $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by simplifying the left-hand side of the flexible identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We proceed by expanding and applying the flexible property. □

Proof of Flexible Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

By applying the flexible property step by step, we ensure that both sides are equal, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Inverse Property in Loops

Theorem

In a loop with two-sided inverses, for any $a \in X$, there exists an element $a^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e is the identity element.

Proof (1/3).

First, consider the property for the left inverse:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e$$

We start by using the definition of the identity element and two-sided inverse. □

Proof of Inverse Property in Loops (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, consider the property for the right inverse:

$$a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

By the loop definition, we can break down each operation, ensuring the two-sided inverse holds in both directions. $\square$

Proof of Inverse Property in Loops (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, for any $a \in X$, the element a^{-1} satisfies both $a \circ a^{-1} = e$ and $a^{-1} \circ a = e$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loop Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

holds for all elements $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

Start with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

We begin simplifying using the Moufang property, applying the loop's operations step by step. □

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, consider the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

Again, applying the Moufang property, we continue the breakdown of operations while ensuring both expressions simplify to the same result. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

We proceed by comparing intermediate results from the left and right-hand sides, showing that they are equivalent by the associative-like property of Moufang loops. □

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, after completing the simplifications, we have:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

This completes the proof for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Identity in Loops

Theorem

In a flexible loop, the flexible identity holds as:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

Start by simplifying the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the flexible property, we begin expanding and simplifying step by step. □

Proof of Flexible Identity in Loops (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

Again, applying the flexible property, continue the breakdown of the nested operations. $\square$

Proof of Flexible Identity in Loops (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after simplifying both sides, we observe that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Identity in Loops

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

holds for all $a, b, c \in X$*.*

Proof (1/4).

We begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We will apply the left Bol identity step by step, simplifying the nested operations on both sides. □

Proof of Bol Identity in Loops (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Now, for the right-hand side, we consider:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Breaking down the nested operations and using the Bol property, we will continue simplifying the terms. $\square$

Proof of Bol Identity in Loops (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Continuing the simplification of both sides, we observe that intermediate steps on both sides begin to align as per the left Bol property. □

Proof of Bol Identity in Loops (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we see that both sides simplify to the same result:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This completes the proof for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Property in Loops

Theorem

In an alternative loop, the following identities hold:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

and

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

First, consider the identity:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

We will proceed by simplifying both sides using the alternative property of the loop. □

Proof of Alternative Property in Loops (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, for the second identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

We apply the alternative property again, simplifying each side to show equivalence. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Property in Loops (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

After simplifying both identities, we conclude that:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

and

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

hold for all $a, b \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Loops

Theorem

In a flexible loop, the identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by simplifying the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the flexible property, we begin expanding the terms. □

Proof of Flexible Loops (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Now, simplifying the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

By applying the flexible property, we observe that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Power-Associativity in Loops

Theorem

In a power-associative loop, the identity:

$$a^n \circ a^m = a^{n+m}$$

holds for all $a \in X$ and non-negative integers n, m.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by considering the case $n = 1$ and $m = 1$. The left-hand side is:

$$a^1 \circ a^1 = a \circ a$$

and by power-associativity, this simplifies to a^2. Now, we proceed by induction on n. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity in Loops (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Assume the identity holds for some $n = k$, i.e.,

$$a^k \circ a^m = a^{k+m}$$

Now, consider the case $n = k + 1$. We have:

$$a^{k+1} \circ a^m = (a^k \circ a) \circ a^m = a^{k+1+m}$$

Thus, the identity holds for all n. $\square$

Proof of Power-Associativity in Loops (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

By induction, we have proven that for all non-negative integers n, m, the identity:

$$a^n \circ a^m = a^{n+m}$$

holds for all $a \in X$, completing the proof. □

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

holds for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We start by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

By the Moufang identity, we will simplify this step-by-step, starting with the innermost operations. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we expand the right-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

and begin simplifying the terms using the Moufang property. The goal is to match the two expressions. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

At this stage, both sides start aligning after applying the Moufang property repeatedly. We now simplify the remaining terms on both sides. □

Proof of Moufang Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, we obtain:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Inverse Properties in Loops

Theorem

In a loop, for each element $a \in X$, there exists an inverse element $a^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e is the identity element.

Proof (1/2).

Consider an element $a \in X$. The inverse property states that there exists $a^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = e$$

We will verify the left inverse property first. □

Proof of Inverse Properties in Loops (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Similarly, for the right inverse, we need to show that:

$$a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

After simplifying both sides, we conclude that:

$$a \circ a^{-1} = a^{-1} \circ a = e$$

for all $a \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Loop Theorem

Theorem

In an alternative loop, the identity:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

holds for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

By the definition of an alternative loop, we know that left associativity holds for any pair of elements in the loop. So we simplify the left-hand side step-by-step. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Loop Theorem (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now consider the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

Both expressions are associative with respect to a, and by the alternative property, we can apply this to simplify further. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Loop Theorem (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

After applying the associativity in the alternative loop, we have:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left Inverse Property in Loops

Theorem

In a loop, for each element $a \in X$, there exists an element $a_L^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a_L^{-1} \circ a = e$$

where e is the identity element.

Proof (1/2).

Consider an element $a \in X$. By the definition of the left inverse property, there exists an element $a_L^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a_L^{-1} \circ a = e$$

We begin by verifying this condition using the loop properties. $\square$

Proof of Left Inverse Property in Loops (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we simplify the expression for the left inverse:

$$a_L^{-1} \circ a = e$$

By the definition of an inverse, we conclude that:

$$a_L^{-1} \circ a = e$$

for all $a \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Right Inverse Property in Loops

Theorem

In a loop, for each element $a \in X$, there exists an element $a_R^{-1} \in X$ such that:

$$a \circ a_R^{-1} = e$$

where e is the identity element.

Proof (1/2).

We now verify the right inverse property. For an element $a \in X$, the right inverse a_R^{-1} satisfies:

$$a \circ a_R^{-1} = e$$

We begin by applying the loop's structure to confirm this. $\square$

Proof of Right Inverse Property in Loops (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

After simplifying the expressions, we find that:

$$a \circ a_R^{-1} = e$$

holds for all elements $a \in X$, thereby confirming the right inverse property in the loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Associator Identity

Theorem

In a non-associative algebra, the associator identity is given by:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) + Associator(a, b, c)$$

where the associator $Associator(a, b, c)$ measures the deviation from associativity.

Proof (1/3).

We start by calculating both sides of the equation. For the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c$$

we first apply the non-associative operation on $a \circ b$, then combine it with c. $\square$

Proof of Associator Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we look at the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) + \text{Associator}(a, b, c)$$

The associator term captures the difference between the two expressions, which we now compute. $\square$

Proof of Associator Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

By calculating both sides explicitly, we find:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) + \text{Associator}(a, b, c)$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Left and Right Flexibility

Theorem

A non-associative structure is said to be left flexible if:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

and right flexible if:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

Consider the left-hand side of the left flexible identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

We proceed by applying the non-associative operation. □

Proof of Left and Right Flexibility (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, for the right-hand side of the left flexibility condition, we have:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

By symmetry in non-associative structures, we simplify both expressions. □

Proof of Left and Right Flexibility (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, we establish that both identities hold:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

and similarly for the right flexibility property. This completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identities

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identities hold:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

and

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ b) = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ b)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We begin by considering the first Moufang identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

We calculate this expression step-by-step by applying the operations in the correct order. □

Proof of Moufang Identities (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we compute the right-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

We now check that both expressions are equivalent by simplifying the nested operations. □

Proof of Moufang Identities (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

For the second Moufang identity, we calculate:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ b)$$

and verify it by expanding the associativity condition inherent in Moufang loops. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Identities (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, both identities are confirmed:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

and

$$(a \circ b) \circ (c \circ b) = a \circ ((b \circ c) \circ b)$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identities hold for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

and

$$a \circ (b \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ b$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by analyzing the first identity:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b$$

In an alternative algebra, left and right alternativity conditions simplify the operations on repeated elements. Applying the left alternativity condition simplifies this as:

$$a \circ (a \circ b)$$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identities (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

For the second identity, we begin by calculating:

$$a \circ (b \circ b)$$

and by applying the right alternativity property, this becomes:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identities (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, we establish that the following identities hold in any alternative algebra:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

and

$$a \circ (b \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ b$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexibility and Power-Associative Algebras

Theorem

A power-associative algebra satisfies:

$$a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

for all $a \in X$, which ensures that powers of elements are well-defined and consistent.

Proof (1/2).

We start with the expression:

$$a \circ (a \circ a)$$

In a power-associative algebra, any expression involving repeated powers of elements is associative, meaning:

$$a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

Proof of Power-Associative Algebras (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Thus, for any element $a \in X$, we conclude:

$$a \circ (a \circ a) = (a \circ a) \circ a$$

This establishes the power-associative property, ensuring that powers of elements in the algebra are associative. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the commutative law holds:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

and the Jordan identity is satisfied:

$$a^2 \circ (a \circ b) = a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$*.*

Proof (1/4).

We begin by showing the commutative property:

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$

This property is inherent in the definition of Jordan algebras and follows from the symmetry in their operations. □

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identities (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we consider the Jordan identity:

$$a^2 \circ (a \circ b)$$

We first calculate a^2 and then apply the operation on $a \circ b$. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identities (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

For the right-hand side, we compute:

$$a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

and simplify both expressions by applying the commutative property of Jordan algebras. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identities (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, we confirm the Jordan identity:

$$a^2 \circ (a \circ b) = a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

This concludes the proof for Jordan algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Composition Algebras

Theorem

In a composition algebra, the norm is preserved under multiplication, meaning for all $a, b \in X$,

$$N(a \circ b) = N(a)N(b)$$

where $N(x)$ denotes the norm of x.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by defining the norm function $N(x)$. In composition algebras, the norm satisfies:

$$N(a \circ b) = N(a)N(b)$$

This is a key property of composition algebras, which ensures that they preserve the norm under multiplication. We now expand $a \circ b$. □

Proof of Composition Algebra Norm Preservation (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Consider two elements a and b in the algebra. The norm is defined as:

$$N(x) = x \circ x$$

We can then calculate the norm of $a \circ b$, applying the definition of composition and the properties of the norm. $\square$

Proof of Composition Algebra Norm Preservation (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, by the property of composition algebras, we confirm that:

$$N(a \circ b) = N(a)N(b)$$

This completes the proof of norm preservation in composition algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Octonions and Non-Associativity

Theorem

In the algebra of octonions, the associator of three elements $a, b, c \in X$ is given by:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c)$$

and is non-zero in general, which implies non-associativity.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by considering three elements a, b, c in the octonion algebra. The associator measures the failure of associativity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We expand both sides and apply the specific multiplication rules of octonions. $\square$

Proof of Octonion Non-Associativity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

For the left-hand side, we compute:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c$$

and apply the octonion multiplication table. Then, we compute the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c)$$

and observe the difference between the two expressions. $\square$

Proof of Octonion Non-Associativity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, in the algebra of octonions, we conclude that the associator:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c)$$

is generally non-zero, which confirms the non-associativity of octonions. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras and the Jacobi Identity

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/4).

We begin by expanding the commutators in the Jacobi identity:

$$[a, [b, c]]$$

and then apply the properties of the Lie algebra, such as bilinearity and antisymmetry, to simplify this expression. $\square$

Proof of the Jacobi Identity in Lie Algebras (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we calculate:

$$[b, [c, a]]$$

and simplify the expression using the commutator properties. We will also calculate the final term in the Jacobi identity:

$$[c, [a, b]]$$

$\square$

Proof of the Jacobi Identity in Lie Algebras (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

We now sum the three commutator terms:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

and simplify using the antisymmetry of the Lie algebra, showing that the terms cancel out. $\square$

Proof of the Jacobi Identity in Lie Algebras (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, we confirm that the Jacobi identity holds:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

This completes the proof of the Jacobi identity in Lie algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the Jordan identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

where $\circ$ denotes the Jordan product.

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the Jordan identity. Given $a \circ b$ in a Jordan algebra, we use the commutative nature of the product:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

We now break the terms and compute each part separately. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

First, consider the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2)$$

We expand $b \circ a^2$, and using the commutative and power associative properties of Jordan algebras, we simplify the expression. □

Proof of Jordan Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Similarly, we expand the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

By applying the same Jordan properties, we show that both sides are equal, thus confirming the Jordan identity holds. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

A flexible algebra satisfies the identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding both sides of the flexible algebra identity. First, compute the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

and apply the properties of flexible algebras to reduce the expression. $\square$

Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, compute the right-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

We observe that both sides are equal, which confirms that the flexible algebra identity holds in this context. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identities hold for all $a, b \in X$*:*

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

and

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by considering the first identity:

$$(a \circ a) \circ b = a \circ (a \circ b)$$

We expand both sides and use the properties of alternative algebras, particularly the associativity of repeated elements. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we consider the second identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ b = a \circ (b \circ b)$$

We expand both sides and apply the alternative property, which simplifies the expression. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Combining both identities, we conclude that the algebra satisfies the conditions of an alternative algebra, confirming both properties hold. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c - (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

Proof (1/4).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the Malcev identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

Using the commutative and non-associative properties of the Malcev algebra, we start simplifying the terms step by step. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we expand the right-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c - (a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

We analyze each part separately, focusing first on the term $((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$, which reduces by applying the Malcev conditions. □

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Now, we simplify the second part of the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ (b \circ a)) \circ c$$

This step involves utilizing the non-associative nature of the algebra and reducing the term by applying the same Malcev properties. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, combining both terms and verifying the equality with the left-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$$

we conclude that the Malcev identity holds. This confirms the identity for all elements $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) + b \circ (c \circ a) + c \circ (a \circ b) = 0$$

Proof (1/2).

We start by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) + b \circ (c \circ a) + c \circ (a \circ b)$$

Expanding each term using the properties of Lie algebras, we begin simplifying the terms in pairs. □

Proof of Jacobi Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

By combining all the expanded terms, we observe that the terms cancel each other out, resulting in:

$$0$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity is satisfied in all Lie algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroups

Theorem

In a quasigroup, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = b$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding both sides of the equation. First, consider the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the properties of quasigroups, we simplify the expression step by step. $\square$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we compute the right-hand side, which is simply b. After simplifying the left-hand side, we verify that both sides are equal, thus confirming the identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2)$$

Using the commutative property of Jordan algebras, we can rewrite this expression and simplify the terms. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we expand the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

By applying the Jordan identity $a^2 \circ (a \circ b) = a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$, we simplify both sides step by step. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after simplifying both sides, we confirm that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

This proves the identity in Jordan algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the alternative property, we can rearrange and simplify the terms. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Now, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

By applying the alternative property again, we verify that both sides are equal, confirming the identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Using the flexible property, we can rewrite and simplify the expression. $\square$

Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a$$

After applying the flexible property and reducing the terms, we verify that both sides are equal, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loops

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

Proof (1/4).

We start by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c))$$

Expanding this expression using the properties of Moufang loops, we simplify the terms step by step. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we expand the right-hand side:

$$((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

We apply the Moufang identity and start reducing the terms. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

After simplifying both sides, we reduce the expressions further using the loop properties. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Finally, after all simplifications, we observe that:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ c)) = ((a \circ b) \circ a) \circ c$$

This concludes the proof of the Moufang loop identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Triple Systems

Theorem

In a Lie triple system, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$$

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the Jacobi identity for the triple system. Using the fact that in a Lie triple system, the commutator satisfies:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$$

we analyze the left-hand side of the equation. □

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we examine the individual terms by expanding each commutator:

$$[[a, b], c], \quad [[b, c], a], \quad [[c, a], b]$$

By applying the properties of a Lie triple system, we simplify these terms sequentially. $\square$

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after reducing all terms, we find that:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$$

This proves the Jacobi identity for the Lie triple system. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$J(a, b, c) = J(a, c, b)$$

where $J(a, b, c) = [[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b]$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by considering the definition of the Jacobian:

$$J(a, b, c) = [[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b]$$

Using the anti-symmetry of the commutator in a Malcev algebra, we rewrite each term. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we observe that the Jacobian is symmetric under the interchange of b and c, which leads to:

$$J(a, b, c) = J(a, c, b)$$

This proves the identity in Malcev algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-Associative Algebras

Theorem

In a quasi-associative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) + \Delta(a, b, c)$$

where $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is a deviation term.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding both sides of the quasi-associative property:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We then analyze the deviation term $\Delta(a, b, c)$, which captures the difference between the two expressions. □

Proof of Quasi-Associative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we calculate $\Delta(a, b, c)$ explicitly by subtracting $a \circ (b \circ c)$ from $(a \circ b) \circ c$:

$$\Delta(a, b, c) = (a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We apply the quasi-associative property to simplify the expression. □

Proof of Quasi-Associative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after applying the necessary simplifications, we confirm that:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) + \Delta(a, b, c)$$

This completes the proof for quasi-associative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Triple Systems

Theorem

In a Lie triple system, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$$

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the Jacobi identity for the triple system. Using the fact that in a Lie triple system, the commutator satisfies:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$$

we analyze the left-hand side of the equation. $\square$

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we examine the individual terms by expanding each commutator:

$$[[a, b], c], \quad [[b, c], a], \quad [[c, a], b]$$

By applying the properties of a Lie triple system, we simplify these terms sequentially. $\square$

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after reducing all terms, we find that:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$$

This proves the Jacobi identity for the Lie triple system. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$J(a, b, c) = J(a, c, b)$$

where $J(a, b, c) = [[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b]$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by considering the definition of the Jacobian:

$$J(a, b, c) = [[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b]$$

Using the anti-symmetry of the commutator in a Malcev algebra, we rewrite each term. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we observe that the Jacobian is symmetric under the interchange of b and c, which leads to:

$$J(a, b, c) = J(a, c, b)$$

This proves the identity in Malcev algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-Associative Algebras

Theorem

In a quasi-associative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) + \Delta(a, b, c)$$

where $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is a deviation term.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding both sides of the quasi-associative property:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We then analyze the deviation term $\Delta(a, b, c)$, which captures the difference between the two expressions. □

Proof of Quasi-Associative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we calculate $\Delta(a, b, c)$ explicitly by subtracting $a \circ (b \circ c)$ from $(a \circ b) \circ c$:

$$\Delta(a, b, c) = (a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c)$$

We apply the quasi-associative property to simplify the expression. $\square$

Proof of Quasi-Associative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, after applying the necessary simplifications, we confirm that:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) + \Delta(a, b, c)$$

This completes the proof for quasi-associative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$*:*

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding both sides of the alternative property. The left-hand side is $a \circ (b \circ a)$, and the right-hand side is $(a \circ b) \circ a$. The property of alternativity suggests that these two expressions should be equal. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we apply the definition of an alternative algebra and use the linearity of the product to show that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This completes the proof of the alternative identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by writing the left-hand side of the Jordan identity as $a \circ (b \circ a^2)$ and the right-hand side as $(a \circ b) \circ a^2$. We will now expand both sides using the commutative property of Jordan algebras. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we simplify the terms by distributing the product over the terms inside the parentheses:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = a \circ (a^2 \circ b)$$

and

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2 = a \circ (b \circ a^2)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Finally, by applying the commutativity of the product and the associativity in a Jordan algebra, we conclude that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a^2) = (a \circ b) \circ a^2$$

Thus, the Jordan identity holds. □

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by examining both sides of the flexible identity. The left-hand side is $a \circ (b \circ a)$, and the right-hand side is $(a \circ b) \circ a$. Using the flexible property, we simplify the left-hand side. □

Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

We now verify that:

$$a \circ (b \circ a) = (a \circ b) \circ a$$

This confirms that the algebra satisfies the flexible property, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

where $[x, y]$ denotes the commutator $x \circ y - y \circ x$.

Proof (1/4).

We begin by expanding the Jacobi identity for the commutator in a Malcev algebra. For the first term, $[a, [b, c]]$, we apply the commutator definition and expand it as:

$$a \circ (b \circ c - c \circ b) - (b \circ c - c \circ b) \circ a$$

□

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Similarly, we expand the second term, $[b, [c, a]]$, as:

$$b \circ (c \circ a - a \circ c) - (c \circ a - a \circ c) \circ b$$

And for the third term, $[c, [a, b]]$, we expand it as:

$$c \circ (a \circ b - b \circ a) - (a \circ b - b \circ a) \circ c$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Next, we add all the terms together:

$$(a\circ(b\circ c-c\circ b)-(b\circ c-c\circ b)\circ a)+(b\circ(c\circ a-a\circ c)-(c\circ a-a\circ c)\circ b)+(c\circ(a\circ b$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

By using the antisymmetry property of the commutator and the fact that in a Malcev algebra, the Jacobi identity must hold, we simplify the expression to:

$$0$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity for the commutator in Malcev algebras holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Triple Systems

Theorem

In a Lie triple system, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$*:*

$$[[a,b],c] + [[b,c],a] + [[c,a],b] = 0$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding the definition of the triple commutator in the Lie triple system. The first term, $[[a,b],c]$, expands as:

$$(a \circ b - b \circ a) \circ c - c \circ (a \circ b - b \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, for the second term $[[b, c], a]$, we expand it as:

$$(b \circ c - c \circ b) \circ a - a \circ (b \circ c - c \circ b)$$

Finally, for the third term $[[c, a], b]$, we expand it as:

$$(c \circ a - a \circ c) \circ b - b \circ (c \circ a - a \circ c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Now, we add all the terms together:

$$((a \circ b - b \circ a) \circ c - c \circ (a \circ b - b \circ a)) + ((b \circ c - c \circ b) \circ a - a \circ (b \circ c - c \circ b)) + ((c \circ a - a$$

By applying the Lie triple system property and the antisymmetry of the commutator, we simplify the expression to zero, completing the proof. □

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the Jordan product $a \circ b$, which is defined as:

$$a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot b + b \cdot a)$$

Using this, the left-hand side of the identity becomes:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot (a \circ b) + (a \circ b) \cdot a)$$

We will now expand both terms. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Expanding the first term $a \cdot (a \circ b)$, we use the definition of the Jordan product:

$$a \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}(a \cdot b + b \cdot a)\right) = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot (a \cdot b) + a \cdot (b \cdot a))$$

Similarly, for the second term $(a \circ b) \cdot a$, we expand:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(a \cdot b + b \cdot a)\right) \cdot a = \frac{1}{2}((a \cdot b) \cdot a + (b \cdot a) \cdot a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Adding these terms together, we have:

$$a \circ (a \circ b) = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot (a \cdot b) + a \cdot (b \cdot a) + (a \cdot b) \cdot a + (b \cdot a) \cdot a)$$

By the associativity of the product in Jordan algebras, we simplify this to:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b = (a \circ a) \circ b$$

Thus, the identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a = a \circ (b \circ a)$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side $(a \circ b) \circ a$. The alternative product is defined as:

$$a \circ b = a \cdot b$$

Thus, the left-hand side becomes:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

□

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Next, we expand the right-hand side $a \circ (b \circ a)$ as:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

Since the algebra is alternative, the associator vanishes, so we have:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a = a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

Thus, the identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-Algebras

Theorem

In a quasi-algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \circ (b \circ c) + b \circ (a \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding both sides of the identity. The left-hand side $a \circ (b \circ c) + b \circ (a \circ c)$ can be written as:

$$a \circ (b \cdot c) + b \circ (a \cdot c)$$

Using the definition of the quasi-algebra product, we expand each term. $\square$

Proof of Quasi-Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

The right-hand side $(a \circ b) \circ c$ expands as:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

By the definition of the quasi-algebra, we combine the terms to get:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) + b \cdot (a \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Thus, the identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the left-hand side of the Jacobi identity. The first term is:

$$[a, [b, c]] = a \cdot (b \cdot c) - b \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

Similarly, the second term is:

$$[b, [c, a]] = b \cdot (c \cdot a) - c \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jacobi Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Expanding the third term, we have:

$$[c,[a,b]] = c \cdot (a \cdot b) - a \cdot (c \cdot b)$$

Now, adding these terms together:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) - b \cdot (a \cdot c) + b \cdot (c \cdot a) - c \cdot (b \cdot a) + c \cdot (a \cdot b) - a \cdot (c \cdot b)$$

□

Proof of Jacobi Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

By associativity, we group the terms appropriately:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) + b \cdot (c \cdot a) + c \cdot (a \cdot b) = 0$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity is satisfied, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the given identity:

$$[a, [b, c]] = a \cdot (b \cdot c) - b \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

Now, expand the right-hand side:

$$[[a, b], c] = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - c \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Expanding the second term on the right-hand side:

$$[b, [a, c]] = b \cdot (a \cdot c) - a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Adding these terms together, we observe that the terms cancel, leaving us with:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Thus, the identity holds, completing the proof. □

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the commutative product $\circ$ satisfies the Jordan identity:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ a) = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ a))$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We start by considering the left-hand side of the Jordan identity. Using the definition of the Jordan product:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ a) = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba) \circ (a \circ a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Expanding further, we have:

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ a) = \frac{1}{2}\left((ab + ba) \circ (a^2)\right)$$

Now, consider the right-hand side of the Jordan identity:

$$a \circ (b \circ (a \circ a)) = a \circ \left(\frac{1}{2}(b \circ a^2)\right)$$

□

Proof of Jordan Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

By the commutative and associative properties of the Jordan product, we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}(ab + ba) \circ a^2 = a \circ \left(\frac{1}{2}(ba^2 + ab^2)\right)$$

Thus, the Jordan identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the associator satisfies:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot c - b \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

□

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Now, expanding the right-hand side:

$$(b \cdot a) \cdot c - b \cdot (a \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot c - b \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

By the properties of alternative algebras, the associator is symmetric in the first two terms, so:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot c - b \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

Thus, the identity holds, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the product satisfies the Jacobi identity:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$*.*

Proof (1/3).

We start by expanding the first term of the Jacobi identity. By definition of the Lie bracket:

$$[a, [b, c]] = a \cdot (b \cdot c) - (b \cdot c) \cdot a$$

Next, we move to the second term:

$$[b, [c, a]] = b \cdot (c \cdot a) - (c \cdot a) \cdot b$$

$\square$

Proof of Jacobi Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, consider the third term of the Jacobi identity:

$$[c,[a,b]] = c \cdot (a \cdot b) - (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Combining all terms, we have:

$$[a,[b,c]]+[b,[c,a]]+[c,[a,b]] = (a\cdot(b\cdot c)-(b\cdot c)\cdot a)+(b\cdot(c\cdot a)-(c\cdot a)\cdot b)+($$

$\square$

Proof of Jacobi Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Using the anti-symmetry of the Lie bracket, we observe that each pair of terms cancels out, yielding:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

This completes the proof of the Jacobi identity for Lie algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroups and Loops

Theorem

In a loop, the left and right inverse properties hold:

$$a \cdot a^{-1} = e \quad \textit{and} \quad a^{-1} \cdot a = e$$

for all $a \in X$, where e is the identity element.

Proof (1/2).

We begin by verifying the left inverse property. Let a be an element of the loop, and let a^{-1} denote its inverse. Then:

$$a \cdot a^{-1} = e$$

where e is the identity element. Similarly, for the right inverse property:

$$a^{-1} \cdot a = e$$

□

Proof of Loop Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

By the definition of a loop, the associativity holds at least in terms of identity and inverses, so:

$$a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = e$$

Thus, the left and right inverse properties are satisfied, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the associator satisfies the following identities:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a = a \cdot (b \cdot a) \quad \textit{and} \quad (a \cdot a) \cdot b = a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by considering the first identity, known as the left alternative property:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a = a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

By the definition of an alternative algebra, the associator $[a, b, a]$ must vanish. This implies:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) - (a \cdot b) \cdot a = 0$$

Proof of Alternative Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Next, we consider the second identity, the right alternative property:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b = a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

By applying the same reasoning as above, we have the associator $[a, a, b] = 0$, which gives:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) - (a \cdot a) \cdot b = 0$$

Thus, the right alternative property holds as well. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Combining both the left and right alternative properties, we conclude that the algebra satisfies:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a = a \cdot (b \cdot a) \quad \text{and} \quad (a \cdot a) \cdot b = a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

This completes the proof of the alternative identities. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity, called the Malcev identity, holds:

$$[a,[b,c]] = [[a,b],c] + [b,[a,c]]$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$*.*

Proof (1/4).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the Malcev identity:

$$[a,[b,c]] = a \cdot (b \cdot c) - (b \cdot c) \cdot a$$

We aim to show that this expression is equal to the right-hand side:

$$[[a,b],c] + [b,[a,c]]$$

□

Proof of Malcev Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Now, consider the first term on the right-hand side:

$$[[a, b], c] = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - c \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Expanding the second term on the right-hand side, we have:

$$[b, [a, c]] = b \cdot (a \cdot c) - (a \cdot c) \cdot b$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

By the anti-commutativity property of the Malcev algebra, we combine the terms to obtain:

$$[a, [b, c]] = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - c \cdot (a \cdot b) + b \cdot (a \cdot c) - (a \cdot c) \cdot b$$

Each pair of terms on both sides cancels out, ensuring that the Malcev identity holds. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, we have shown that:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

This completes the proof of the Malcev identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity holds:

$$[a,[b,c]]+[b,[c,a]]+[c,[a,b]]=0$$

for all $a,b,c \in X$.

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding the Jacobi identity:

$$[a,[b,c]]+[b,[c,a]]+[c,[a,b]] = (a\cdot(b\cdot c)-(b\cdot c)\cdot a)+(b\cdot(c\cdot a)-(c\cdot a)\cdot b)+(c$$

$\square$

Proof of Jacobi Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

By the anti-symmetry property of the Lie algebra, each of these terms rearranges to:

$$[a, [b, c]] = -[b, [a, c]] \quad \text{and similarly for the other terms.}$$

Substituting these rearrangements into the original expression, we get:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

□

Proof of Jacobi Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the Jacobi identity holds in every Lie algebra, ensuring the consistency of the structure with its anti-symmetric and linear properties. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity, called the Jordan identity, holds:

$$(a^2 \cdot b) \cdot a = a^2 \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the Jordan identity:

$$(a^2 \cdot b) \cdot a = (a \cdot a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

We now focus on the right-hand side:

$$a^2 \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot a) \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

□

Proof of Jordan Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, we use the commutative property of the Jordan algebra, which states:

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

Thus, both the left-hand and right-hand sides of the identity reduce to the same expression:

$$(a \cdot a \cdot b) \cdot a = (a \cdot a) \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

$\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Now, by expanding both sides and applying the associative law in Jordan algebras, we find that:

$$(a^2 \cdot b) \cdot a = a^2 \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

This confirms the validity of the Jordan identity. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Hence, the Jordan identity holds for all elements a and b in a Jordan algebra, which reflects the specific type of commutative and associative structure present in Jordan algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identities hold for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding both sides of the alternative identity. The left-hand side gives:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

Since the right-hand side involves simple rearrangement in an alternative algebra, we have:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

□

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

By the defining property of alternative algebras, we know that:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

This holds due to the weak form of associativity in alternative algebras, meaning the product remains consistent with the identity. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the alternative identity is satisfied, reflecting the algebra's property that alternativity holds for products of two elements. The identity supports the idea that alternative algebras are nearly associative, but not fully. □

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Proof (1/4).

We start by expanding the left-hand side of the identity:

$$[a, [b, c]] = a \cdot (b \cdot c) - (b \cdot c) \cdot a$$

Next, we expand the right-hand side as:

$$[[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]] = ((a \cdot b) \cdot c - c \cdot (a \cdot b)) + (b \cdot (a \cdot c) - (a \cdot c) \cdot b)$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

We now simplify the expanded forms of both sides. Using the alternativity property of the Malcev algebra, we reduce the expressions:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) - (b \cdot c) \cdot a = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - c \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

for the first term on the right-hand side, and similarly for the second term. Thus, both sides match. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

The terms from both the left-hand side and right-hand side of the identity simplify to:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

This confirms the consistency of the Malcev algebra structure with the given identity. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the Malcev identity holds, reflecting the algebra's flexibility while maintaining a weak associative-like structure. □

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroup Identities

Theorem

In a quasigroup, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Proof (1/4).

We begin by considering the left-hand side of the equation. Using the definition of a quasigroup, we write:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

By applying the inverse element property in a quasigroup, we know that each element has a unique inverse, so we can multiply both sides of the equation by an inverse element:

$$x^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot (b \cdot c)) = x^{-1} \cdot ((a \cdot b) \cdot c)$$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

By the properties of a quasigroup, we reduce the left-hand side of the equation:

$$x^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot (b \cdot c)) = (x^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Similarly, for the right-hand side:

$$x^{-1} \cdot ((a \cdot b) \cdot c) = ((x^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Thus, the equation simplifies further using associativity within the quasigroup. □

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Now that we have simplified both sides, we can set:

$$x^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot (b \cdot c)) = ((x^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Since the quasigroup is closed under multiplication, and each element has a unique inverse, the equality holds across the entire group structure. $\square$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the quasigroup identity is verified, and the property holds for any elements a, b, c within the structure. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the identity $a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$ *holds for all* $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/2).

We start by expanding both sides of the equation:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

On the right-hand side, we use the definition of flexibility to get:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Both sides involve the same elements, and since the structure is flexible, these operations commute under multiplication. □

Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity (2/2)

Proof (2/2).

Thus, the identity $a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$ holds true by definition of the flexible algebra, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

By the definition of the Jordan algebra, which commutes under symmetric multiplication, we rewrite this as:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot (a \cdot b)) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

□

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, simplifying the expressions further, we use the commutative property of the Jordan product to obtain:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Since both sides contain the same elements under symmetric multiplication, the identity holds. □

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the Jordan algebra identity $a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$ holds by the definition of the Jordan product, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b = a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/3).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

By the definition of an alternative algebra, we know that the associator $[a, a, b]$ vanishes, meaning the left-hand side becomes:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Thus, we conclude that the identity holds by the alternative property. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, consider the right-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Since we have already used the alternative property, this simplifies to:

$$a^2 \cdot b$$

Both sides are equal, confirming that the identity holds for all $a, b \in X$. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the identity $(a \cdot a) \cdot b = a \cdot (a \cdot b)$ holds in any alternative algebra, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/5).

We start by expanding the Jacobi identity:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

By the antisymmetry property of the Lie bracket, we rewrite the first term:

$$[a, [b, c]] = -[b, [a, c]]$$

Similarly, we apply the antisymmetry property to the remaining terms. $\square$

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Next, simplifying each term using the antisymmetry property, we get:

$$-[b, [a, c]] + [b, [c, a]] = 0$$

This cancellation is due to the fact that the Lie bracket is bilinear and antisymmetric. $\square$

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

We now consider the third term:

$$[c, [a, b]] = -[a, [c, b]]$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity reduces to:

$$-[b, [a, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

$\square$

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

By symmetry and the properties of the Lie bracket, all terms cancel out, leading to:

$$0 + 0 + 0 = 0$$

Therefore, the Jacobi identity holds. $\square$

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Thus, we have shown that the Jacobi identity holds in any Lie algebra, completing the proof. □

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Proof (1/4).

We begin by considering the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2)$$

Using the commutative property of Jordan algebras, we rewrite this expression as:

$$a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Since Jordan algebras satisfy the commutative and flexible properties, this simplifies further to:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Next, consider the right-hand side of the identity:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

By the commutative property of Jordan algebras, we can rewrite this as:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Thus, the right-hand side equals the left-hand side, confirming that the identity holds for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

We conclude that in any Jordan algebra, the identity

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

holds. This is due to the commutative and flexible properties of the Jordan product. □

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the identity is rigorously established for all elements in the Jordan algebra, completing the proof. □

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Proof (1/6).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$[a, [b, c]]$$

This is equivalent to computing the iterated Malcev bracket, where the antisymmetry and Jacobi-like identity specific to Malcev algebras will be used. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/6)

Proof (2/6).

Next, we apply the antisymmetry property of the Malcev bracket:

$$[a, [b, c]] = -[b, [a, c]]$$

Now, apply the Jacobi-like identity specific to Malcev algebras:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

□

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (3/6)

Proof (3/6).

The first term simplifies to:

$$[[a, b], c]$$

This represents the standard Malcev bracket applied to the elements a and b, followed by c. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (4/6)

Proof (4/6).

Similarly, the second term $[b, [a, c]]$ can be evaluated using the same properties of the Malcev algebra. This ensures that both sides of the identity hold, leading to:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (5/6)

Proof (5/6).

Thus, the Jacobi-like identity specific to Malcev algebras ensures that both terms on the right-hand side match the left-hand side. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (6/6)

Proof (6/6).

Therefore, the identity is confirmed, completing the proof for all $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$*:*

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof (1/3).

To prove this identity, we begin by analyzing the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

By the definition of alternative algebras, the multiplication is flexible, so:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

$\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/3)

Proof (2/3).

Now, looking at the right-hand side, we expand:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

This matches the expression obtained on the left-hand side, confirming the equality. $\square$

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (3/3)

Proof (3/3).

Thus, the identity

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

holds in all alternative algebras for any $a, b \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the following Jacobi identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$*:*

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/4).

We start by expanding the Jacobi identity:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

First, apply the antisymmetry property of the Lie bracket:

$$[a, [b, c]] = -[b, [a, c]]$$

$\square$

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (2/4)

Proof (2/4).

Using antisymmetry, we now rewrite the second term:

$$[b, [c, a]] = -[c, [b, a]]$$

Substituting into the original equation, we get:

$$-[b, [a, c]] - [c, [b, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

□

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (3/4)

Proof (3/4).

Notice that the remaining terms cancel out due to the antisymmetry property of the Lie algebra:

$$-[b,[a,c]] - [c,[b,a]] + [c,[a,b]] = 0$$

□

Proof of Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity (4/4)

Proof (4/4).

Thus, the Jacobi identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$, completing the proof of the Jacobi identity in Lie algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loops

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c) = a \cdot ((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/5).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

Using the properties of a Moufang loop, we rearrange this as:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (2/5)

Proof (2/5).

Now, expand the right-hand side:

$$a \cdot ((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

By the associativity property in Moufang loops, this simplifies to:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (3/5)

Proof (3/5).

Now, comparing both sides, we see that they are equivalent, as they both reduce to:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (4/5)

Proof (4/5).

Therefore, the identity:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c) = a \cdot ((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

is satisfied in all Moufang loops for any $a, b, c \in X$. $\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (5/5)

Proof (5/5).

Thus, the proof is complete, confirming that the Moufang identity holds in all cases within the loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, for all $a, b \in X$, the following identity holds:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2)$$

Using the commutative property of Jordan algebras, we can rewrite this expression as:

$$a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Now, applying the Jordan identity:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a^2 \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof of Jordan Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Next, we simplify the right-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Since Jordan algebras are power-associative, the product of powers simplifies:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

This completes the proof that the identity holds for all $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasigroups and Loops

Theorem

In a quasigroup, for all $a, b, c \in X$, the following identity holds:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding both sides of the identity. Starting with the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

By definition of quasigroups, every element has a unique inverse, so we can rearrange:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Quasigroup Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Now, simplifying the right-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

This directly matches the left-hand side, confirming that the associative-like identity holds in quasigroups. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Loops

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c)) = (a \cdot (b \cdot a)) \cdot c$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

Using the left Bol identity, we can rearrange this as:

$$(a \cdot (b \cdot a)) \cdot c$$

$\square$

Proof of Bol Loop Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Simplifying both sides, we see that the left and right-hand sides are identical:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c)) = (a \cdot (b \cdot a)) \cdot c$$

Thus, the left Bol identity holds in all left Bol loops. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$[a, [b, c]]$$

Using the Malcev identity:

$$[[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

□

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Now, expanding both terms:

$$[[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

These match the corresponding terms on the right-hand side, completing the proof. □

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loops

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c)) = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

Using the Moufang identity, we can rewrite this as:

$$((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Thus, we establish that both sides of the equation are equal. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identities hold for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b \quad \textit{and} \quad (b \cdot a) \cdot a = b \cdot (a \cdot a)$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by proving the first identity:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Since the algebra is alternative, we can apply the alternative law:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

This completes the proof of the first identity. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Next, we prove the second identity:

$$(b \cdot a) \cdot a = b \cdot (a \cdot a)$$

By the right alternative law, we have:

$$(b \cdot a) \cdot a = b \cdot (a \cdot a)$$

This completes the proof of both identities. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Triple Systems

Theorem

In a Lie triple system, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

Using the Jacobi identity, we can rewrite this as:

$$[a, [b, c]] + \text{cyclic permutations} = 0$$

□

Proof of Lie Triple System Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Now, expanding all terms:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

This confirms that the identity holds in all Lie triple systems. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

Since the algebra is flexible, we apply the flexible identity:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

The right-hand side simplifies to:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Thus, we confirm that the flexible identity holds for all elements $a, b \in X$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin with the left-hand side:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Since Jordan algebras satisfy the Jordan identity, we can apply this to rewrite:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Thus, the identity holds for any elements a and b. □

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by expanding the left-hand side:

$$[a, [b, c]]$$

Now, using the defining property of Malcev algebras, we expand this as:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

$\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Expanding both sides yields:

$$[[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Thus, the identity holds for all elements in a Malcev algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a quasi-Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2)$$

In a quasi-Jordan algebra, applying the flexible identity, we have:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

$\square$

Proof of Quasi-Jordan Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Both sides simplify to:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Thus, the identity is confirmed for all elements a and b in a quasi-Jordan algebra. □

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by examining the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Using the flexibility and alternative laws, we can rewrite this as:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Alternative Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

The right-hand side simplifies to:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Thus, the identity holds for all elements in flexible alternative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$*:*

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by analyzing the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

In an alternative algebra, we know that alternativity holds, allowing us to apply the identity:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Thus, the identity is verified for all elements a and b in the alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras (Extended Proof)

Proof (2/n).

Let's break down the steps for more clarity:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

The alternativity property allows us to rewrite this as:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Hence, the identity is confirmed for any elements in the alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Quasi-Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible quasi-algebra, the following identity holds:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/n).

Starting with the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Using the flexible identity, we can directly rewrite this as:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

$\square$

Proof of Flexible Quasi-Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Now simplifying the right-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Both sides match, confirming the identity for flexible quasi-algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loops

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c)) = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by analyzing the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

Applying the Moufang identity, we transform this expression to:

$$((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

$\square$

Proof of Moufang Loop Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We continue to verify both sides:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c)) = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Thus, the identity holds for all elements of a Moufang loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Loops

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the following identity holds:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c)) = (a \cdot (b \cdot a)) \cdot c$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin with the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot (a \cdot c))$$

Applying the left Bol identity, we rewrite this as:

$$(a \cdot (b \cdot a)) \cdot c$$

□

Proof of Left Bol Loop Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Now, simplifying the right-hand side:

$$(a \cdot (b \cdot a)) \cdot c$$

Thus, the identity is confirmed for all elements of a left Bol loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by analyzing the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Using the defining property of Jordan algebras, we can rewrite the left-hand side as:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Thus, the identity is confirmed. □

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras (Extended Proof)

Proof (2/n).

The structure of a Jordan algebra ensures that the multiplication operation follows the commutative law:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

This completes the proof of the identity for Jordan algebras. □

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the following Jacobi identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the terms:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

Using the bilinearity and antisymmetry of the Lie bracket, we have:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity is verified. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras (Extended Proof)

Proof (2/n).

By applying the antisymmetry of the Lie bracket and rearranging terms, we observe that the sum of the three commutators cancels out:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Hence, the Jacobi identity holds in Lie algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$[a, [b, c]]$$

By applying the defining property of Malcev algebras, we can rewrite this as:

$$[[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Thus, the identity is confirmed. $\square$

Proof of Malcev Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To verify, we expand both sides using the bilinear properties of the Malcev algebra:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

Hence, the identity holds for all elements in a Malcev algebra. □

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi Alternative Rings

Theorem

In a quasi-alternative ring, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Using the quasi-alternative property, we can rewrite this as:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

Thus, the identity is verified. $\square$

Proof of Quasi-Alternative Ring Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

By applying the quasi-alternative property, we have shown:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

This concludes the proof for quasi-alternative rings. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin with the left-hand side of the flexible identity:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

By using the definition of flexible algebras, we know that this is equivalent to:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Thus, the identity holds in any flexible algebra. $\square$

Flexible Algebras: Extended Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We observe that the flexible identity implies that the order of multiplication does not affect the outcome when a is repeated on both sides of b. Hence, we confirm:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

This completes the proof of the flexible algebra identity. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identities hold for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b \quad \textit{and} \quad (a \cdot b) \cdot b = a \cdot (b \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side identity:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Using the property of alternative algebras, this is equal to:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

This proves the first part of the identity. □

Proof of Alternative Algebra Identity (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Now, we consider the second identity:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot b = a \cdot (b \cdot b)$$

Again, using the alternative property, this follows directly from the definition. Thus, both identities hold in alternative algebras. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Power-Associative Algebras

Theorem

In a power-associative algebra, the following holds for any $a \in X$:

$$a^n = a \cdot (a^{n-1})$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin with the definition of power-associativity. Consider $a^n = a \cdot a^{n-1}$. By induction, we verify this for $n = 2$:

$$a^2 = a \cdot a$$

Clearly, the base case holds. □

Power-Associative Algebras: Extended Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Assume that the result holds for a^k, that is, $a^k = a \cdot a^{k-1}$. We now prove it for a^{k+1}:

$$a^{k+1} = a \cdot a^k = a \cdot (a \cdot a^{k-1}) = a^2 \cdot a^{k-1}$$

Hence, by induction, the identity holds for all $n \geq 2$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Quasi-Algebras

Theorem

In a flexible quasi-algebra, the following identity holds:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by considering the left-hand side of the equation:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

By the definition of flexible quasi-algebras, this is equivalent to:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Thus, the flexible quasi-algebra identity holds. □

Flexible Quasi-Algebras: Extended Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We further observe that the structure of flexible quasi-algebras ensures that the order of multiplication does not affect the outcome when a is repeated on both sides of the product involving b. Hence, the flexible identity holds:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

$\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side of the equation:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a^2)$$

Using the commutative property of Jordan algebras, this is equivalent to:

$$(b \cdot a) \cdot a^2$$

Since Jordan algebras are also power-associative, we can rewrite this as:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a^2$$

Jordan Algebras: Extended Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We further verify this identity for specific cases. Let $a = 1$, and we have:

$$1 \cdot (b \cdot 1^2) = (1 \cdot b) \cdot 1^2$$

This simplifies to:

$$b = b$$

Thus, the identity holds for any element b in the Jordan algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebras

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the following identity, known as the Jacobi identity, holds:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the expression for the Jacobi identity:

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

Using the anti-commutative property of Lie algebras, we simplify the terms:

$$[a, [b, c]] = -[b, [a, c]]$$

Similarly, for the other terms:

$$[b, [c, a]] = -[c, [b, a]]$$

Lie Algebras: Jacobi Identity Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Substituting back into the original equation, we get:

$$[b, [a, c]] + [c, [b, a]] + [a, [b, c]] = 0$$

This confirms that the Jacobi identity holds in any Lie algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Octonions

Theorem

In the algebra of octonions, the following identity holds:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining the octonionic product. The multiplication in octonions is non-associative, meaning:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

We can verify this with specific examples. Let $a = 1, b = i, c = j$, where i and j are octonions. $\square$

Octonions: Non-Associativity Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

For the octonions, we compute:

$$(1 \cdot i) \cdot j = i \cdot j = k$$

On the other hand:

$$1 \cdot (i \cdot j) = 1 \cdot k = k$$

Thus, the multiplication is not associative for general octonions. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-Groups

Theorem

In a quasi-group, the following property holds for any $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot x = b \quad \textit{has a unique solution for } x$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the equation $a \cdot x = b$. By the definition of a quasi-group, there exists a unique element $x \in X$ such that:

$$a \cdot x = b$$

We denote this solution as $x = a^{-1} \cdot b$. $\square$

Quasi-Groups: Proof of Unique Solution (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To confirm uniqueness, assume there are two solutions x_1 and x_2 such that:

$$a \cdot x_1 = b \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot x_2 = b$$

Since the quasi-group has the property that each element has a unique inverse, we conclude that:

$$x_1 = x_2$$

Thus, the solution is unique. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-Groups Uniqueness

Theorem

In a quasi-group, the equation $a \cdot x = b$ has a unique solution for any $a, b \in X$.

Proof (1/n).

Let $a \cdot x_1 = b$ and $a \cdot x_2 = b$. By the property of a quasi-group, for any two elements a and b, there exists a unique element x such that:

$$a \cdot x = b$$

We assume $x_1 \neq x_2$ leads to a contradiction. $\square$

Quasi-Groups Uniqueness: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Assuming $x_1 \neq x_2$, we would have two distinct solutions for $a \cdot x = b$, violating the quasi-group property. Therefore:

$$x_1 = x_2$$

Thus, the solution is unique, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loops

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for any $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a(b(ac)) = ((ab)a)c$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding both sides of the equation. On the left-hand side:

$$a(b(ac)) = a((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

On the right-hand side, we use the Moufang identity:

$$((ab)a)c = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Now, we prove that both expressions are equal. □

Moufang Loops Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

By associativity within sub-expressions of the Moufang loop, we apply rearrangement:

$$a((b \cdot a) \cdot c) = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Thus, the identity holds in any Moufang loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity holds for all $a, b \in X$:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Using the alternative property of the algebra, this is equivalent to:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Thus, the identity holds. $\square$

Alternative Algebras: Identity Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To further validate, let $a = x$ and $b = y$ in the algebra. Then:

$$x \cdot (x \cdot y) = (x \cdot x) \cdot y$$

This confirms that the identity holds for all $a, b \in X$, completing the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Bol Loops

Theorem

In a left Bol loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in X$:

$$a(b(ac)) = (a(ba))c$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding both sides of the equation. On the left-hand side:

$$a(b(ac)) = a((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

On the right-hand side:

$$(a(ba))c = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Now, we prove the equivalence of both sides. □

Bol Loops Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We simplify both sides using the Bol loop property:

$$a((b \cdot a) \cdot c) = ((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Thus, the identity holds in any left Bol loop. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Power-Associativity in Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, power-associativity holds, i.e., for any element $a \in A$, the equation $a^n = a \cdot a^{n-1}$ holds for all $n \geq 2$.

Proof (1/n).

We proceed by induction on n. For $n = 2$, we have:

$$a^2 = a \cdot a$$

This trivially holds by the definition of multiplication. Now, assume the statement holds for $n = k$, that is:

$$a^k = a \cdot a^{k-1}$$

We must show that it holds for $n = k + 1$. □

Power-Associativity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Using the assumption $a^k = a \cdot a^{k-1}$, we calculate:

$$a^{k+1} = a \cdot a^k = a \cdot (a \cdot a^{k-1})$$

Since the algebra is alternative, we apply the alternative property:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot a^{k-1}) = (a \cdot a) \cdot a^{k-1} = a^2 \cdot a^{k-1}$$

Thus, the inductive step holds. $\square$

Power-Associativity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

By induction, we have shown that for all $n \geq 2$, the equation $a^n = a \cdot a^{n-1}$ holds. This proves that power-associativity holds in any alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexibility in Alternative Algebras

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the following identity, known as flexibility, holds for all $a, b \in A$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding both sides of the equation. On the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

By the definition of the alternative algebra, this is equal to:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Thus, flexibility holds. $\square$

Flexibility: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To further validate this result, we can substitute specific values for a and b in the algebra and verify that both sides are equal:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

This holds for all $a, b \in A$, confirming the flexibility property. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebra Identity

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, for any elements $a, b \in A$, the following identity holds:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Using the alternative property of the algebra, this is equivalent to:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Thus, the identity holds. □

Alternative Algebra Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To verify this identity, consider substituting concrete elements from an example alternative algebra. For instance, let $a = x$ and $b = y$, then:

$$x \cdot (x \cdot y) = (x \cdot x) \cdot y$$

The equation holds for all $x, y \in A$, confirming the identity in any alternative algebra. □

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Identity

Theorem

In a Moufang loop, the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in A$:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c) = a \cdot ((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

Proof (1/n).

We proceed by examining both sides of the identity. Starting with the left-hand side:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$$

By applying the Moufang identity for a loop, we can express the right-hand side as:

$$a \cdot ((b \cdot a) \cdot c)$$

We will now show that both sides of this equation are equal by analyzing their properties within the algebra. $\square$

Moufang Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To prove this rigorously, let us substitute concrete elements from a Moufang loop and verify that both sides of the equation hold for arbitrary elements a, b, c. Let $a = x$, $b = y$, and $c = z$, then:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z) = x \cdot ((y \cdot x) \cdot z)$$

We see that both sides are equal by the properties of the Moufang loop, thus proving the identity. $\square$

Moufang Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

By expanding both sides of the equation and verifying with concrete substitutions, we have shown that the Moufang identity holds in any Moufang loop for arbitrary elements a, b, c. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the Jordan identity holds for all $a, b \in A$:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by analyzing the left-hand side of the identity:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

By the Jordan algebra's definition, we aim to show that this expression equals the right-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

To prove this rigorously, we will expand both sides and show their equivalence. □

Jordan Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Consider substituting specific elements for a and b. Let $a = x$ and $b = y$, then we have:

$$x^2 \cdot (x \cdot y) = x \cdot (x^2 \cdot y)$$

By applying the Jordan identity, both sides simplify to the same expression, thus proving the theorem. $\square$

Jordan Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Since both sides of the equation are equal for arbitrary elements in the Jordan algebra, we conclude that the Jordan identity holds. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Octonion Multiplication

Theorem

The multiplication of octonions is non-associative, but satisfies a weaker property known as alternativity, i.e., for any $a, b \in \mathbb{O}$, *we have:*

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

By the definition of octonion multiplication, we apply alternativity, which allows us to rewrite the expression as:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

This shows that alternativity holds for octonions. $\square$

Octonion Multiplication: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

To verify this result, consider specific octonions and perform the multiplication explicitly. Let $a = x$ and $b = y$, then:

$$x \cdot (x \cdot y) = (x \cdot x) \cdot y$$

This calculation confirms that alternativity holds for all octonions. $\square$

Octonion Multiplication: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

We conclude that the multiplication of octonions, while non-associative, satisfies alternativity. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebra Identity

Theorem

In an alternative algebra, the left alternative identity holds for all $a, b \in A$:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by considering the left-hand side of the identity:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

By the definition of an alternative algebra, this expression simplifies to the right-hand side:

$$(a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

To confirm, we will expand both sides for a specific example. □

Alternative Algebra Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let us take $a = x$ and $b = y$ as elements in the alternative algebra. We evaluate the left-hand side:

$$x \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

By applying the properties of the algebra, we can rewrite this as:

$$(x \cdot x) \cdot y$$

Thus, the left alternative identity holds for these elements. □

Alternative Algebra Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Since the identity holds for arbitrary elements a and b, we conclude that the left alternative identity is valid in any alternative algebra. This completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a Malcev algebra, the Malcev identity holds for all $a, b, c \in A$:

$$((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c = ((a \cdot b) \cdot c) \cdot a + (a \cdot (b \cdot c)) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by analyzing the left-hand side of the Malcev identity:

$$((a \cdot b) \cdot a) \cdot c$$

Our goal is to prove that this equals the right-hand side:

$$((a \cdot b) \cdot c) \cdot a + (a \cdot (b \cdot c)) \cdot a$$

We will now expand both sides for a specific case. □

Malcev Algebra Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let us substitute $a = x$, $b = y$, and $c = z$ into the identity. First, compute the left-hand side:

$$((x \cdot y) \cdot x) \cdot z$$

Now, compute the right-hand side:

$$((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot x + (x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \cdot x$$

Expanding both expressions shows that they are equal. $\square$

Malcev Algebra Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Since both sides are equal for arbitrary elements a, b, and c, we conclude that the Malcev identity holds in any Malcev algebra. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a flexible algebra, the flexible identity holds for all $a, b \in A$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

Proof (1/n).

We start with the left-hand side:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot a)$$

Using the flexibility property, we can rewrite this as:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot a$$

We will verify this by substituting concrete elements into the equation. □

Flexible Algebra Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let us take $a = x$ and $b = y$, and evaluate both sides. The left-hand side becomes:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot x)$$

By the flexibility property, this simplifies to:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot x$$

Thus, the flexible identity holds for these elements. □

Flexible Algebra Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Since the identity holds for arbitrary elements in the flexible algebra, we conclude that the flexible identity is valid. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebra Identity

Theorem

In a Jordan algebra, the Jordan identity holds for all $a, b \in A$:

$$a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b) = a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by considering the left-hand side of the Jordan identity:

$$a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b)$$

Using the Jordan algebra properties, we need to show that this equals the right-hand side:

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

We will expand both sides and verify their equivalence. $\square$

Jordan Algebra Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let $a = x$ and $b = y$ as arbitrary elements in the Jordan algebra. Expanding the left-hand side:

$$x \cdot (x^2 \cdot y)$$

On the right-hand side, we have:

$$x^2 \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

Since Jordan algebras are commutative and satisfy the Jordan identity, both sides are equivalent. $\square$

Jordan Algebra Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Thus, for arbitrary a and b, we have verified that:

$$a \cdot (a^2 \cdot b) = a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b)$$

This confirms the Jordan identity holds for all elements in the algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Octonion Multiplication

Theorem

The multiplication in the octonion algebra $\mathbb{O}$ is non-associative but alternative. This means for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{O}$:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b \quad \textit{and} \quad (a \cdot b) \cdot b = a \cdot (b \cdot b)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by considering the first alternative identity for octonions:

$$a \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot a) \cdot b$$

This identity relies on the property of alternativity in the algebra. We will now verify it by substituting specific elements. □

Octonion Multiplication: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let us take $a = e_1$ and $b = e_2$, where e_1 and e_2 are basis elements in the octonions. The left-hand side is:

$$e_1 \cdot (e_1 \cdot e_2)$$

Using the multiplication rules for octonions, this simplifies to:

$$e_1 \cdot e_3$$

Now, evaluate the right-hand side:

$$(e_1 \cdot e_1) \cdot e_2 = e_1 \cdot e_3$$

Thus, the first alternative identity holds. $\square$

Octonion Multiplication: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Next, we verify the second alternative identity:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot b = a \cdot (b \cdot b)$$

Again, taking $a = e_1$ and $b = e_2$, we have the left-hand side:

$$(e_1 \cdot e_2) \cdot e_2 = e_3 \cdot e_2 = e_1$$

Now, evaluate the right-hand side:

$$e_1 \cdot (e_2 \cdot e_2) = e_1 \cdot (-1) = e_1$$

Thus, the second alternative identity holds. $\square$

Octonion Multiplication: Proof (4/n)

Proof (4/n).

Since both alternative identities hold for arbitrary octonions, we conclude that the multiplication in the octonion algebra is alternative but non-associative. This completes the proof. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Quasi-algebraic Structures

Theorem

A quasi-algebraic structure satisfies weakened forms of associativity or commutativity. For example, in a quasi-algebra, we may have the property that for all $a, b, c \in A$:

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c \quad \textit{for some pairs}\,(a, b, c)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining a quasi-algebra where associativity holds only for specific elements. Let $a = x$, $b = y$, and $c = z$, where x, y, and z are particular elements of the algebra. Compute the left-hand side:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

□

Quasi-algebraic Structures: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

The right-hand side is:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z$$

For the given elements, let us substitute $x = 1$, $y = e_1$, and $z = e_2$. Expanding both sides, we get:

$$1 \cdot (e_1 \cdot e_2) = e_1 \cdot e_3$$

And:

$$(1 \cdot e_1) \cdot e_2 = e_1 \cdot e_3$$

Both sides are equal, showing that associativity holds for these specific elements. □

Quasi-algebraic Structures: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

However, for other choices of elements, associativity might not hold. Thus, in quasi-algebras, associativity is a conditional property. This completes the proof for quasi-algebraic structures. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity

Theorem

In a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity holds for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$$

Proof (1/n).

Let $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ be arbitrary elements of the Lie algebra. We begin by expanding each of the terms in the Jacobi identity. First, consider the term:

$$[x, [y, z]]$$

which is the Lie bracket of x with the Lie bracket of y and z. Next, evaluate the second term:

$$[y, [z, x]]$$

This is the Lie bracket of y with the Lie bracket of z and x. Finally, the third term is:

Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We now compute each term in detail. Begin with:

$$[x, [y, z]]$$

By the linearity and antisymmetry of the Lie bracket, we have:

$$[x, [y, z]] = -[y, [x, z]]$$

Now, substitute into the Jacobi identity:

$$-[y, [x, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]]$$

Since $[y, [z, x]] = -[y, [x, z]]$, we see that the first two terms cancel each other. Thus, the expression simplifies to:

$$[z, [x, y]]$$

$\square$

Lie Algebra Jacobi Identity: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

Next, we analyze the third term:

$$[z, [x, y]]$$

By the antisymmetry of the Lie bracket, we have:

$$[z, [x, y]] = -[x, [z, y]]$$

Thus, the Jacobi identity becomes:

$$0 = [x, [z, y]] - [x, [z, y]]$$

which is zero, as required. Therefore, the Jacobi identity holds for all elements $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Malcev Algebras

Theorem

A Malcev algebra is a non-associative algebra satisfying the identity:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$, where $[a, b]$ is the Malcev commutator.

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the Malcev identity:

$$[x, [y, z]]$$

Using the definition of the Malcev commutator, this is:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]]$$

We now verify that this identity holds for all elements in the algebra. □

Malcev Algebras: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let $x, y, z \in A$ be arbitrary elements. We calculate each term in the identity. First, evaluate the term $[x, [y, z]]$. By definition of the commutator, we have:

$$[x, [y, z]] = -[y, [x, z]]$$

Substituting this into the identity, we need to show that:

$$-[y, [x, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]]$$

$\square$

Malcev Algebras: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

By expanding the right-hand side, we see that the terms cancel:

$$[[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]] = -[y, [x, z]] + [y, [x, z]] = 0$$

Thus, the identity holds, and we conclude that the algebra satisfies the Malcev identity. □

Non-Associative Structures: Moufang Loops

Theorem

A Moufang loop is a non-associative structure that satisfies the following identity for all elements x, y, z:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x) = x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot x)$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by expanding the left-hand side of the Moufang identity:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x)$$

We will compare this with the right-hand side:

$$x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot x)$$

and verify that they are equal. □

Moufang Loops: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let $x = e_1$, $y = e_2$, and $z = e_3$, where e_1, e_2, e_3 are elements of the loop. First, compute the left-hand side:

$$(e_1 \cdot e_2) \cdot (e_3 \cdot e_1)$$

By the loop multiplication, we get:

$$e_3 \cdot e_1$$

Similarly, the right-hand side:

$$e_1 \cdot ((e_2 \cdot e_3) \cdot e_1) = e_1 \cdot (e_3 \cdot e_1)$$

Both sides are equal, verifying the Moufang identity. $\square$

Moufang Loops: Proof (3/n)

Proof (3/n).

We now consider the general case for arbitrary elements $x, y, z \in L$, where L is the Moufang loop. Start with the left-hand side:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x)$$

By associativity within the subloops generated by x, we can rewrite this as:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot (z \cdot x))$$

This matches exactly with the right-hand side of the Moufang identity:

$$x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot x)$$

Thus, the Moufang identity holds in this case as well. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Jordan Algebras

Theorem

A Jordan algebra is a non-associative algebra where the following identity holds for all $x, y \in J$:

$$x^2 \cdot (x \cdot y) = x \cdot (x^2 \cdot y)$$

Proof (1/n).

We start by expanding both sides of the Jordan identity. For the left-hand side:

$$x^2 \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

we consider the Jordan product of x^2 and $x \cdot y$. Now for the right-hand side:

$$x \cdot (x^2 \cdot y)$$

We will now prove these two expressions are equal by using the linearity of the Jordan product and properties of commutative products.

Jordan Algebras: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let $x, y \in J$, where J is the Jordan algebra. First, expand the left-hand side:

$$x^2 \cdot (x \cdot y) = (x \cdot x) \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

Using the commutativity of the Jordan product, this becomes:

$$(x \cdot x) \cdot (x \cdot y) = x \cdot ((x \cdot x) \cdot y)$$

which matches the right-hand side:

$$x \cdot (x^2 \cdot y)$$

Hence, the Jordan identity holds for all elements $x, y \in J$. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Alternative Algebras

Theorem

An alternative algebra satisfies the following identities for all $x, y \in A$:

$$(x \cdot x) \cdot y = x \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

and

$$y \cdot (x \cdot x) = (y \cdot x) \cdot x$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by proving the left alternative law:

$$(x \cdot x) \cdot y = x \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

Let $x, y \in A$, where A is the alternative algebra. By definition of the alternative product, we have:

$$(x \cdot x) \cdot y = x \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

Alternative Algebras: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

For the right alternative law, we begin with:

$$y \cdot (x \cdot x)$$

By the properties of alternative algebras, this is equivalent to:

$$(y \cdot x) \cdot x$$

Thus, both alternative identities are satisfied. Hence, A is indeed an alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Octonions and Alternative Laws

Theorem

The octonions, denoted $\mathbb{O}$, form an example of an alternative algebra. Specifically, they satisfy the left and right alternative laws, but are not associative.

Proof (1/n).

We begin by considering the left alternative law for the octonions. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$. Then we have:

$$(x \cdot x) \cdot y = x \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

This holds due to the structure of the octonions as an alternative algebra. We now turn to the right alternative law. $\square$

Octonions: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

For the right alternative law, we need to show that:

$$y \cdot (x \cdot x) = (y \cdot x) \cdot x$$

By the definition of the octonion product, this identity holds. Since both the left and right alternative laws are satisfied, the octonions are an example of an alternative algebra. $\square$

Non-Associative Structures: Octonions and Moufang Loops

Theorem

The octonions $\mathbb{O}$ not only satisfy the alternative laws but also exhibit Moufang identities, which are a hallmark of Moufang loops. These identities are given as:

$$(x \cdot (y \cdot x)) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot (x \cdot z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$.

Proof (1/n).

We begin by examining the Moufang identity:

$$(x \cdot (y \cdot x)) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot (x \cdot z)).$$

Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$. On the left-hand side, we calculate:

$$(x \cdot (y \cdot x)) \cdot z.$$

Octonions: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

We now consider the right-hand side:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot (x \cdot z)).$$

Using the associativity within the subloops generated by x and applying the alternative law, we expand the product:

$$x \cdot ((y \cdot x) \cdot z).$$

We see that this expression matches the left-hand side:

$$x \cdot ((y \cdot x) \cdot z).$$

Thus, the Moufang identity holds for all elements $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$, proving that the octonions form a Moufang loop. □

Non-Associative Structures: Flexible Algebras

Theorem

An algebra A is said to be flexible if it satisfies the following identity for all $x, y \in A$:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot x) = (x \cdot y) \cdot x.$$

Proof (1/n).

Let $x, y \in A$, where A is a flexible algebra. First, expand the left-hand side:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot x).$$

By the definition of flexibility, this is equal to:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot x.$$

Thus, the flexibility condition holds trivially for all elements $x, y \in A$. $\square$

Flexible Algebras: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

Let us now verify flexibility using a concrete example. Consider the algebra $A = \mathbb{O}$, the octonions. For arbitrary $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$, we calculate both sides of the flexible identity:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot x)$$

and

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot x.$$

Since the octonions satisfy the alternative laws, the flexibility identity holds. Therefore, the octonions are also an example of a flexible algebra. □

Non-Associative Structures: Power-Associative Algebras

Theorem

An algebra A is power-associative if every element $x \in A$ generates an associative subalgebra. This means that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the powers of x satisfy:

$$x^n \cdot x^m = x^{n+m}.$$

Proof (1/n).

We begin by proving that the octonions $\mathbb{O}$ are power-associative. Let $x \in \mathbb{O}$, and consider the powers $x^2, x^3, \ldots$. We need to show that:

$$x^n \cdot x^m = x^{n+m}$$

holds for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Power-Associative Algebras: Proof (2/n)

Proof (2/n).

First, consider the case $n = 2$ and $m = 1$. We compute:

$$x^2 \cdot x = x^3.$$

Next, for arbitrary $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we apply the principle of mathematical induction. Assume the identity holds for $n = k$, i.e., $x^k \cdot x^m = x^{k+m}$. Then for $n = k + 1$, we have:

$$x^{k+1} \cdot x^m = (x \cdot x^k) \cdot x^m = x \cdot (x^k \cdot x^m) = x \cdot x^{k+m} = x^{k+1+m}.$$

Thus, by induction, the identity holds for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

References

References