THE META-DIFFERENT AS A DERIVED CONE OF TRACE PAIRINGS IN ARITHMETIC GEOMETRY

PU JUSTIN SCARFY YANG

ABSTRACT. We define a meta-different operator in the context of derived algebraic geometry as the cone of the trace pairing in the derived category of sheaves. Generalizing the classical different ideal from algebraic number theory, this construction captures ramification and arithmetic irregularity through homological invariants. We examine its structural, functorial, and categorical properties, with applications to derived duality, ramification stacks, and entropy sheaf theory.

CONTENTS

Date: May 28, 2025.

1. Introduction

In classical algebraic number theory, the *different* $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$ of a finite extension of number fields L/K is a fractional ideal in $\mathcal{O}_L$ encoding the failure of duality under the trace pairing. It is deeply linked to the ramification behavior of primes and to the discriminant of the extension.

From the modern perspective of derived algebraic geometry, the trace pairing is no longer a mere bilinear form but a morphism of complexes. The failure of duality thus becomes a *homological phenomenon*, and the different may be reinterpreted as the cone of this derived morphism.

In this paper, we construct and study the *meta-different*, a derived categorical refinement of the classical different. This operator encapsulates trace asymmetries and ramification complexity in a flexible and geometrically functorial language. We explore its derived structure, localization behavior, base change properties, and connections to dualizing complexes and Grothendieck duality.

2. The Classical Different and Trace Pairing

Let L/K be a finite separable field extension of number fields, and let $\mathcal{O}_K$, $\mathcal{O}_L$ denote the rings of integers. The trace map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K} : L \to K, \qquad x \mapsto \sum_{\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \overline{K}} \sigma(x)$$

induces a K-bilinear form

$$(x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(xy)$$

on L, and restricts to a pairing

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K} : \mathcal{O}_L \times \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_K.$$

The *different* $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K} \subset \mathcal{O}_L$ is defined as the fractional ideal

$$\mathfrak{D}_{L/K} := \left\{ x \in L \mid \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x\mathcal{O}_L) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \right\},$$

or equivalently, the inverse of the module dual $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}^{-1} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_K)$.

This object measures the failure of $\mathcal{O}_L$ to be self-dual under the trace pairing, and its valuation at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ reflects the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}$ in L.

2.1. Trace Pairings and Discriminants.

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be an $\mathcal{O}_K$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_L$. The discriminant

$$\Delta_{L/K}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \det \left(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_i x_j) \right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}$$

generates an ideal $\Delta_{L/K} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, which is related to $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$ via

$$\Delta_{L/K} = \mathrm{Norm}_{L/K}(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}).$$

While $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$ is local and module-theoretic, the discriminant is global and multiplicative in towers. The different contains finer information about wild ramification.

2.2. **Categorical Perspective.** We interpret the trace pairing as a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$-modules:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K} : \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_K.$$

In the derived category $D(\mathcal{O}_K)$, this morphism lifts to a morphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{Tr}^\bullet : \mathcal{O}_L \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_K.$$

This observation motivates the derived definition of the *meta-different* as the cone of this morphism, capturing in a homological manner the "failure of perfect duality" that the classical different measures.

3. The Derived Meta-Different Operator

Let $f : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ denote the finite morphism of schemes induced by the inclusion $K \subset L$. Consider the derived tensor product

$$\mathcal{O}_L \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L$$

in the derived category $D(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Definition 3.1. The *meta-different* complex $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$ is defined as the cone of the derived trace morphism:

$$\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K} := \mathrm{cone}\left(\mathrm{Tr}^\bullet : \mathcal{O}_L \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K\right)[-1].$$

This complex encodes the deviation from perfect trace duality in a homological sense. Its cohomology measures the obstruction to $\mathcal{O}_L$ being self-dual over $\mathcal{O}_K$ under the trace pairing.

Remark 3.2. *If the trace map is an isomorphism in degree* 0 *(i.e., if* L/K *is unramified and the trace pairing is perfect), then* $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$ *is quasi-isomorphic to* 0*. Otherwise, it has nontrivial homology in degree* 0 *and potentially in higher degrees.*

3.1. **Exact Triangle and Duality.** The cone definition yields the distinguished triangle in $D(\mathcal{O}_K)$:

$$\mathcal{O}_L \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}^\bullet} \mathcal{O}_K \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}[1] \longrightarrow .$$

In the case where L/K is Galois, the G-action on $\mathcal{O}_L$ induces a G-equivariant structure on $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$, and the cohomology of this complex reflects both arithmetic and representation-theoretic ramification data.

Example 3.3. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a rank-2 $\mathcal{O}_K$-module, and the trace pairing gives rise to a 2×2 symmetric matrix. The failure of this matrix to be unimodular (over $\mathbb{Z}$) reflects the discriminant. The meta-different $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$ encodes this deviation as an object in $D(\mathbb{Z})$.

4. Functoriality and Localization

We examine the behavior of $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$ under localization, base change, and field extension towers.

4.1. **Localization at Primes.** Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime ideal, and denote the localizations $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{L,\mathfrak{q}}$ for a prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$. Then:

Proposition 4.1. *The formation of $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$ is compatible with localization. That is,*

$$\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L_\mathfrak{q}/K_\mathfrak{p}}$$

in $D(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}})$.

Proof. Derived tensor products and cones commute with flat base change and localization, as the trace morphism is $\mathcal{O}_K$-linear. □

This allows us to interpret $\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K}$ as a global object with locally computable cohomology.

4.2. **Towers and Functoriality.** Let $K \subset L \subset M$ be finite extensions of number fields.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a functorial triangle:*

$$\mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{M/L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{M/K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{meta}}_{L/K} \longrightarrow .$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that trace maps compose:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M/K} = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{M/L},$$

and that cones of composable morphisms admit functorial distinguished triangles. The cone of $\mathrm{Tr}_{M/K}$ factors through those of the intermediate trace morphisms. □

4.3. **Comparison with the Classical Different.** We recover the classical different $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$ from $\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K}$ by considering:

$$H^0(\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K}) \simeq \operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{Tr}^\bullet),$$

which is naturally a submodule of $\mathcal{O}_L$ and approximates $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$ via a derived version of duality failure.

Remark 4.3. *This suggests that $\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K}$ plays the role of a "refined" different, whose derived structure captures hidden torsion and ramification that the classical module-theoretic object may obscure.*

5. Geometric and Galois-Theoretic Interpretation

The meta-different $\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K}$ admits a sheaf-theoretic and geometric realization that extends the classical viewpoint of ramification divisors.

5.1. **Ramification as Failure of Duality.** Let $f : X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \to Y = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be the finite morphism of schemes. The failure of f to be unramified is reflected in the non-invertibility of the trace map in the derived category.

From this, the meta-different can be viewed as a sheaf measuring the singular support of this failure:

$$\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K} \in D^b_{\text{Sing}}(Y),$$

where the singular support lies over the ramified primes of Y.

5.2. **Galois Action and Cone Symmetry.** Assume that L/K is Galois with Galois group G. The G-action on $\mathcal{O}_L$ induces a natural G-equivariant structure on the derived tensor product

$$\mathcal{O}_L \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L,$$

and hence on the meta-different $\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K}$.

Proposition 5.1. *The complex $\mathbb{D}^{\text{meta}}_{L/K}$ is naturally a G-complex. Its equivariant cohomology detects the fixed-point behavior of G on $\mathcal{O}_L$, and decomposes into isotypical components.*

Proof. The functoriality of cone construction with respect to equivariant morphisms ensures that the trace map and its cone admit induced G-action. Cohomology commutes with passage to invariants and decomposition into irreducibles. □

This allows us to interpret the failure of the trace pairing as a measure of *representation-theoretic ramification*, linked to the non-triviality of the G-module structure of the trace kernel.

5.3. **Sheaf-Theoretic Visualization.** Via Grothendieck duality, the meta-different may also be interpreted as part of the dualizing triangle:

$$f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathbb{D}_{L/K}^{\mathrm{meta}}[1].$$

This aligns with the interpretation of the classical different as the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega^1_{X/Y}$ in the smooth case, and generalizes it to a derived failure object.

6. Applications and Future Directions

6.1. **Refined Ramification Invariants.** The meta-different defines new invariants of field extensions, including:

- The *meta-different cohomology* groups $H^i(\mathbb{D}_{L/K}^{\mathrm{meta}})$, which encode depth and complexity of ramification beyond the valuation-theoretic approach;
- The *trace cone class* $[\mathbb{D}_{L/K}^{\mathrm{meta}}]$ in a suitable K-group or Grothendieck ring of complexes;
- Equivariant invariants under Galois action, which refine Swan conductors.

6.2. **Zeta-Trace Sheaf Formulations.** Given the connection between discriminants and Dedekind zeta functions, one may use the meta-different to define a *derived zeta sheaf*:

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^{\mathrm{meta}} := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), \mathbb{D}_{L/K}^{\mathrm{meta}})$$

as a categorification of $\zeta_K(s)$-related data, tracing the entropy of local trace degeneracy across ramified fibers.

6.3. **Towards Derived Class Field Theory.** We conjecture that:

> The derived category $D(\mathcal{O}_K)$ equipped with the family of meta-different complexes for all finite Galois extensions L/K admits a structure corresponding to a categorified abelian class field theory.

This would amount to a reinterpretation of the reciprocity map as a trace-corrected functor between derived arithmetic sites, capturing ramification at the level of complexes rather than ideals.

6.4. **Relation to Motivic and Entropy Sheaf Theories.** Meta-different operators naturally relate to:

- The entropy sheaves introduced in categorified thermodynamic zeta theories;
- Singular supports of D-modules in the sense of Beilinson–Drinfeld;
- Derived deformation of moduli stacks of Galois representations.

This invites the synthesis of classical number theory with derived motivic geometry, categorical quantization, and spectral trace theory.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the theoretical atmosphere of derived arithmetic geometry, and the entropy-theoretic spirit of categorical arithmetic for inspiring the formulation of this meta-different operator.

References

[1] E. Artin, *Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions*, Gordon and Breach, 1967.

[2] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique (EGA)*, Publ. Math. IHÉS, 1960s.

[3] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer, 1966.

[4] L. Illusie, *Complexe Cotangent et Déformations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vols. 239, 283, Springer, 1971–1972.

[5] F. Knudsen and D. Mumford, *The Projectivity of the Moduli Space of Stable Curves I: Preliminaries on "det" and "Div"*, Math. Scand. 39 (1976), 19–55.

[6] J. Lipman, *Notes on Derived Functors and Grothendieck Duality*, in: Foundations of Grothendieck Duality for Diagrams of Schemes, Springer LNM 1960 (2009), 1–259.

[7] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften 322, Springer, 1999.

[8] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*, Grundlehren 323, Springer, 2000.

[9] P. Scholze, *p-adic Geometry*, Proceedings of the ICM 2018, Vol. I, 461–486.

[10] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, `https://stacks.math.columbia.edu`

[11] J. Tate, *Number Theoretic Background*, in: Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. Vol. 33 (1979), Part 2, 3–26.

[12] C. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 38, 1994.

[13] T. Zink, *The Display of a Formal p-divisible Group*, Astérisque 278 (2002), 127–248.