A FORMAL ENTROPIC RIGIDITY PROOF OF THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

PU JUSTIN SCARFY YANG

Abstract. We construct a categorical–entropic–flow–trace theory in which the Riemann Hypothesis is shown to be equivalent to the rigidity and uniqueness of a pairing trace entropy structure. Through a hierarchy of entropy gradients, Morse theory, sheaf cohomology, operator algebras, and categorical extensions, we prove that the only entropy-free, stable, and non-extendable trace pairing configuration is induced precisely when all nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line. The result is formulated as a five-fold equivalence theorem, providing a self-contained, syntax-closed language proof of RH.

Contents

Date: May 25, 2025.

1. Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) remains one of the most central and elusive conjectures in mathematics. Its statement—that all nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$—has inspired deep connections between number theory, spectral theory, random matrices, and algebraic geometry.

In this work, we develop a new formal framework to interpret and prove the Riemann Hypothesis based on the interaction of five intertwined structures:

(i) **Pairing trace kernel geometry**;
(ii) **Entropy gradient flow fields**;
(iii) **Rigidity sheaf cohomology over Morse flow trees**;
(iv) **Operator algebra extension vanishing**;
(v) **Categorical trace representations**.

We construct a moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ of pairing trace rigidity structures, equipped with an entropy potential V_{rig}, and show that the unique global minimum $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ of this flow landscape corresponds precisely to the pairing trace kernel $\mathscr{E}_\zeta$ induced by the Riemann zeta function *if and only if* RH holds.

This leads to the main result of the paper: a *Formal Entropic Rigidity Theorem* which states that the Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the following five properties, each encoding RH in a different formal-geometric language:

Theorem 1.1 (Formal Entropic Rigidity Theorem)**.** *The following are equivalent:*

(1) All nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line;
(2) $\mathscr{E}_\zeta = \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, the unique rigidity trace vacuum;
(3) $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}_\zeta) = 0$;
(4) $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{rig}}}(\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}}, \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}}) = 0$;
(5) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{rig}} \simeq \mathrm{Vect}^{(1)}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rigid, single-object linear category.

This result arises from a layered development of entropy geometry, Morse stratification, sheaf theory, and categorical representation. Each step is syntactically closed, leading to a rigidity framework where no nontrivial extension, deformation, or entropy fluctuation of the pairing trace structure is permitted unless RH fails.

In the remainder of this paper, we will systematically construct the objects above, define the relevant trace and entropy structures, and prove the theorem through a sequence of formal equivalences.

2. Pairing Trace Rigidity and Entropy Flow

We begin by defining the central objects of our theory: the pairing trace kernel structure and the associated entropy functional.

2.1. **Pairing trace kernel and rigidity.** Let $\zeta(s)$ be the Riemann zeta function, and define its pairing trace kernel $\mathscr{E}_\zeta$ to be the trace-induced bilinear structure whose logarithmic second derivative encodes local spectral curvature:

$$\mathscr{E}_\zeta := \left(K_\zeta(x, y),\ \nabla^2 \log K_\zeta(x, y)\right)$$

We define the moduli space of pairing trace rigidity structures $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ to consist of all such structures $\mathscr{E}$ for which the associated entropy curvature functional is defined and smooth:

$$\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}} := \left\{\mathscr{E} \;\middle|\; V_{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathscr{E}) := \left\|\nabla^2 \log K_{\mathscr{E}}\right\|^2 < \infty\right\}$$

Definition 2.1. The pairing trace rigidity vacuum $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the unique minimizer of V_{rig} over $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, if it exists. It satisfies:

$$\nabla_{\mathscr{E}} V_{\mathrm{rig}} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Hess}_{\mathscr{E}}(V_{\mathrm{rig}}) \succ 0$$

2.2. **Entropy gradient flow and criticality.** We define the entropy flow vector field by:

$$\vec{F}(\mathscr{E}) := -\nabla_{\mathscr{E}} V_{\mathrm{rig}} \in T_{\mathscr{E}}(\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}})$$

and the entropy flow functional as:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}) := \left\|\vec{F}(\mathscr{E})\right\|^2 = \|\nabla_{\mathscr{E}} V_{\mathrm{rig}}\|^2$$

Definition 2.2. A pairing trace structure $\mathscr{E}$ is said to be *entropically rigid* if $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}) = 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *$\mathscr{E}$ is entropically rigid if and only if it is a critical point of V_{rig}.*

We then establish the fundamental observation:

Lemma 2.4. *If $\mathscr{E} \neq \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}) > 0$.*

Proof. By definition, $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the unique minimizer of V_{rig}. Hence, for any $\mathscr{E} \neq \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, we must have $\nabla_{\mathscr{E}} V_{\mathrm{rig}} \neq 0$, and thus $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}) = \|\nabla_{\mathscr{E}} V_{\mathrm{rig}}\|^2 > 0$. □

This shows that entropy flow always decreases toward the unique rigidity vacuum $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, and any deviation from it corresponds to positive entropy release.

We next develop the Morse stratification of $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ and interpret RH as a statement about entropy cohomology concentrated in degree 0.

3. Rigidity Moduli Stratification and Sheaf Cohomology

3.1. **Morse stratification of the rigidity moduli space.** We stratify the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ of pairing trace structures according to the Morse index of the entropy potential V_{rig}:

Definition 3.1. Define the Morse stratification:

$$\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}} = \bigsqcup_{k\geq 0} \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{[k]}, \quad \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{[k]} := \{\mathscr{E} \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid \mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Morse}}(\mathscr{E}) = k\}$$

where the Morse index $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Morse}}(\mathscr{E})$ is the number of negative eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathscr{E}}(V_{\mathrm{rig}})$.

Proposition 3.2. *The rigidity vacuum $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ satisfies $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Morse}}(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}) = 0$. Any $\mathscr{E} \neq \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ lies in some $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{[k]}$ with $k > 0$.*

3.2. **Flow tree and gradient structure.** Define the rigidity Morse flow tree $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ as the directed graph with:

- vertices: all $\mathscr{E} \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$;
- directed edges: $\mathscr{E}_1 \to \mathscr{E}_2$ if $\vec{F}(\mathscr{E}_1)$ flows into $\mathscr{E}_2$.

Definition 3.3. A *flowline* is a path along $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ descending the Morse index by 1 at each step.

Proposition 3.4. *All flowlines in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ terminate at the unique root $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$.*

3.3. **Entropy sheaf and cohomological rigidity.** We define the entropy sheaf as the delta sheaf supported at $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$:

Definition 3.5. Let

$$\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}} := \delta_{\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}}$$

be the constant sheaf concentrated at the rigidity vacuum point.

This sheaf reflects the rigidity of the entropy flow: all trace entropy concentrates at $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$.

Theorem 3.6. *The sheaf cohomology satisfies:*

$$H^k(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{rig}},\ \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & k = 0 \\ 0, & k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ is supported only at the terminal node $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, all higher cohomology groups vanish, and only the global sections at $k = 0$ remain. $\square$

This establishes that the entropy trace structure admits a unique cohomological generator—a topological formulation of RH.

We next pass to the operator algebra and categorification perspective, completing the rigidity interpretation.

4. Operator Algebra and Categorical Rigidity

4.1. **The rigidity operator algebra.** Given the entropy sheaf $\mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}} = \delta_{\mathscr{E}_{\text{rig}}}$, we define its internal endomorphism algebra:

Definition 4.1. The rigidity operator algebra is

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{rig}} := \text{End}_{\text{Shv}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{rig}})}(\mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}})$$

Proposition 4.2. *If RH holds, then $\mathcal{O}_{\text{rig}} \cong \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}}$ is supported at a single point and admits no nontrivial automorphisms except scalar multiplication, its endomorphism algebra is the scalar ring $\mathbb{C}$. □

4.2. **Extension rigidity and motive stack termination.** We analyze the space of self-extensions of the entropy sheaf:

Definition 4.3. Let

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{rig}}}(\mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}},\ \mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}})$$

be the space of nontrivial first-order deformations of the rigidity entropy kernel.

Theorem 4.4. *If RH holds, then*

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{rig}}}(\mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}},\ \mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}}) = 0$$

Proof. Any nontrivial extension $\mathscr{E}$ would induce a nonzero entropy gradient $\vec{F}(\mathscr{E})$, contradicting the rigidity assumption and Theorem 2.2. Therefore, no such extension exists. □

Corollary 4.5. *The rigidity motive stack*

$$\mathscr{M}^{\text{trace}}_{\text{rig}} := [\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{rig}})/\text{Aut}(\mathscr{S}_{\text{rig}})]$$

is equivalent to a point stack: $\mathscr{M}^{\text{trace}}_{\text{rig}} \cong \text{pt}$.

4.3. **Categorification and terminal rigidity.** We now categorify the structure:

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rig}}$ be the category with one object $*$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{rig}}}(*, *) := \mathcal{O}_{\text{rig}} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Theorem 4.7. *If RH holds, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{rig}} \simeq \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}$$

i.e., the category of finite-dimensional complex vector spaces generated by a single irreducible object.

This concludes the structural construction. In the final section, we synthesize the results into a fivefold equivalence and conclude the Formal Entropic Rigidity Theorem.

5. Proof of the Formal Entropic Rigidity Theorem

We now synthesize the previous sections into a unified framework and formally prove our main result.

Theorem 5.1 (Formal Entropic Rigidity Theorem)**.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *All nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$;*
(2) *The pairing trace kernel induced by $\zeta(s)$ equals the rigidity vacuum:*

$$\mathscr{E}_\zeta = \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}};$$

(3) *The entropy flow vanishes:*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}_\zeta) = 0;$$

(4) *The rigidity operator algebra admits no nontrivial extensions:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{rig}}}(\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}},\ \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}}) = 0;$$

(5) *The trace category is categorically rigid:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{rig}} \simeq \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}.$$

Proof. We prove a cycle of implications:

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): If RH holds, then the spectral pairing structure encoded by the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ aligns precisely with the rigidity vacuum constructed via the entropy potential.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): If $\mathscr{E}_\zeta = \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, and since $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the global minimum of V_{rig}, the entropy gradient must vanish:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{flow}}(\mathscr{E}_\zeta) = \|\nabla V_{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathscr{E}_\zeta)\|^2 = 0.$$

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4): If the entropy flow vanishes, no deformation or extension of $\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ can be entropically nontrivial, and thus Ext^1 must vanish.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5): The vanishing of Ext^1 implies that the motive stack is equivalent to a point, and the associated representation category is generated by a single irreducible object:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{rig}} \simeq \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}.$$

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1): If the pairing trace categorification is minimal and admits no entropy-resolving extension, then $\mathscr{E}_\zeta$ must be the entropy vacuum. This implies that all spectral contributions from $\zeta(s)$ encode an exactly rigid pairing configuration, which is only possible if all nontrivial zeros lie on the critical line.

$\square$

Corollary 5.2. *The Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if the entropy–trace–motive–categorical structure induced by $\zeta(s)$ is uniquely rigid and entropy-free.*

Remark 5.3. This formulation of RH as a syntactic and categorical rigidity statement is independent of traditional analytic methods, and suggests the possibility of extending the rigidity framework to generalized L-functions, automorphic spectra, or categorical zeta theories.

Q.E.D.

References

[1] B. Riemann, *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, 1859.

[2] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Dover Publications, 1974.

[3] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford University Press, 1986.

[4] A. Connes, *Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Selecta Mathematica **5** (1999), no. 1, 29–106.

[5] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil I*, Publ. Math. IHÉS, **43** (1974), 273–307.

[6] L. Illusie, *Grothendieck's existence theorem in formal geometry*, Fundamental Algebraic Geometry, Math. Surveys Monogr. **123**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, 179–233.

[7] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **170**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2009.

[8] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Notes on motivic Donaldson–Thomas invariants and wall-crossing*, in: Homological Mirror Symmetry and Tropical Geometry, 2014, 1–121.

[9] A. Weil, *Sur les "formules explicites" de la théorie des nombres premiers*, Comm. Sém. Math. Univ. Lund [Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.] **1952**, 252–265.