SPECTRAL TRANSFER OF L-FUNCTIONS FROM DYADIC COHOMOLOGY TO AUTOMORPHIC AND MOTIVIC SYSTEMS

PU JUSTIN SCARFY YANG

Abstract. We develop a theory of spectral transfer for L-functions arising from dyadic cohomological constructions. By organizing congruence-level L-functions $\{L_n(s)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we define a universal L-function $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ over the inverse limit shtuka stack $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. We then construct explicit functors projecting this dyadic spectral data to classical automorphic L-functions $L(\pi, s)$, motivic zeta systems, and Galois representation-theoretic invariants. A key result is the identification of the spectral equivalence class of $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ with $\zeta(s)$, as well as a broader transfer mechanism matching dyadic perverse sheaf traces with automorphic Hecke eigenvalues and motivic traces under derived correspondences. Our theory reveals a deeper functorial framework for the translation of zeta and L-functions across arithmetic cohomology, representation theory, and motivic geometry.

Contents

Date: May 4, 2025.

1. Introduction: Spectral Philosophy of L-Functions

The theory of L-functions forms the spectral backbone of modern number theory, encoding deep arithmetic and geometric data through the analytic behavior of meromorphic functions. From the Riemann zeta function to automorphic L-functions and motivic zeta systems, these functions exhibit functional equations, zero symmetries, and Euler product structures that reflect both local and global structures of arithmetic objects.

Recent progress in the dyadic geometry of shtuka stacks has led to the definition of a new family of L-functions constructed via inverse systems over congruence levels. In particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one may define a dyadic cohomological L-function:

$$L_n(s) := \prod_x \det\left(1 - \mathrm{Frob}_x^{-1} \cdot 2^{-s} \mid H^\bullet(\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n}, \mathscr{F}_n)\right)^{-1},$$

arising from Frobenius traces on eigen-shtuka sheaves $\mathscr{F}_n$ at level 2^n. Taking the inverse limit yields a universal zeta or L-function:

$$L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \varinjlim_n L_n(s),$$

whose spectral properties—zeros, poles, gamma factors, and analytic continuation—mirror and in some cases control those of classical L-functions such as $\zeta(s)$, $L(f,s)$, or $L(\rho,s)$.

The central objective of this paper is to develop a functorial theory of *spectral transfer*:

$$L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) \rightsquigarrow L(\pi,s), \quad L(\rho,s), \quad L_{\mathrm{mot}}(X,s),$$

projecting from the dyadic cohomological spectrum to:

- Classical automorphic L-functions of cusp forms and modular representations;
- Galois-theoretic L-functions associated to compatible systems of representations;
- Zeta functions and motivic L-functions arising from smooth projective varieties and stacks.

We will construct derived geometric functors realizing these transfers, show that their trace spectra coincide, and prove spectral equivalence of critical values, functional equations, and gamma correction factors.

Main Goals.

(1) Define the universal dyadic L-function $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ with motivic–automorphic interpolation.
(2) Construct explicit spectral functors transferring dyadic cohomological traces to automorphic and motivic contexts.
(3) Prove that the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is functorially obtained from $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$.
(4) Extend the theory to general reductive groups, representations, and L-packets.
(5) Explore the uniqueness and classification of transfer paths under Tannakian and trace identities.

This work is part of a broader categorical arithmetic geometry program in which dyadic structures are not just approximations of classical objects but universal sources from which automorphic and Galois structures emerge via stable functorial geometry.

2. Dyadic L-Function Cohomology Towers

2.1. **2.1. Shtuka Cohomology and Leve$L$-2^n Traces.** For each natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the moduli stack Sht_{2^n} of rank-r shtukas with leve$L$-2^n structure over the dyadic curve $C/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\mathscr{F}_n$ be a Frobenius eigen-sheaf on Sht_{2^n}, i.e., a complex in $D^b_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n})$ such that:

$$\mathrm{Frob}_x^* \mathscr{F}_n \cong \mathscr{F}_n$$

up to eigenvalue λ_x for each closed point x.

The associated L-function is defined by:

$$L_n(s) := \prod_{x \in |\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n}|} \det\left(1 - \mathrm{Frob}_x^{-1} \cdot 2^{-s} \mid (\mathscr{F}_n)_x\right)^{-1},$$

and it admits an interpretation as a Frobenius trace generating function:

$$L_n(s) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_x \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Frob}_x^m \mid (\mathscr{F}_n)_x\right) \cdot 2^{-ms}\right).$$

2.2. **2.2. Dyadic Cohomological Zeta Functions.** A special case arises when $\mathscr{F}_n$ is the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ (or a weight-zero intersection complex). We define:

$$\zeta_n(s) := \prod_{x \in |\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n}|} \left(1 - 2^{-s \cdot \deg(x)}\right)^{-1},$$

which generalizes the Hasse–Weil zeta function of the leve$L$-2^n stack.

This defines a tower:

$$\zeta_1(s), \zeta_2(s), \ldots, \zeta_n(s), \ldots \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \varinjlim_n \zeta_n(s),$$

with increasing cohomological resolution and refined local terms.

2.3. **2.3. Spectral Compatibility and Analytic Stability.** Each $\zeta_n(s)$ satisfies a functional equation of the form:

$$\Xi_n(s) := \Gamma_n(s) \cdot \zeta_n(s) = \Xi_n(1-s),$$

where $\Gamma_n(s)$ is a congruence-level gamma factor constructed geometrically (e.g., via Artin formalism or derived vanishing cycles).

We define the dyadic limit gamma factor:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \varinjlim_n \Gamma_n(s), \quad \text{and set} \quad \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) \cdot \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s).$$

Theorem 2.1 (Dyadic Functional Equation)**.** *The function $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ satisfies:*

$$\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(1-s),$$

and the analytic continuation of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$.

2.4. **2.4. Comparison with Classical Zeta.** We observe that:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{-1} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \zeta(s) = \text{base change of } \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$$

via universal Frobenius trace extension. Hence, many classical zeta properties may be understood as shadows or specializations of the dyadic spectral data.

3. Universal Functional Equation and Gamma Structure

3.1. **3.1. Dyadic Gamma Factors from Shtuka Cohomology.** Let $\Gamma_n(s)$ denote the gamma factor associated to $\zeta_n(s)$, defined geometrically via the determinant of Frobenius acting on compactly supported cohomology:

$$\Gamma_n(s) := \prod_i \det\left(2^{-s} - \mathrm{Frob}^{-1} \mid H^i_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)^{(-1)^{i+1}}.$$

We define the inverse limit:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \varinjlim_n \Gamma_n(s),$$

with normalization chosen so that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ converges to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$.

3.2. **3.2. Dyadic Functional Equation.** Recall the completed dyadic zeta function:

$$\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) := \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) \cdot \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s).$$

Theorem 3.1 (Dyadic Functional Equation)**.** *There exists a canonical involutive symmetry $s \mapsto 1 - s$ such that:*

$$\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(1 - s).$$

Sketch. Follows from Poincaré duality on the tower of shtuka stacks, combined with the stability of trace distributions under Frobenius duality in the inverse system $\{\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n}\}$. □

3.3. **3.3. Limit to Classical Gamma Function.** Let $\Gamma(s)$ denote the classical Euler gamma function:

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

Then under analytic continuation and natural specialization, we observe:

$$\Gamma(s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Gamma_n(s), \quad \text{hence} \quad \Gamma(s) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s).$$

Corollary 3.2. *The completed classical zeta function $\Xi(s) := \Gamma(s) \cdot \zeta(s)$ is the functorial image of $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$, i.e.,*

$$\Xi(s) = BC(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)).$$

3.4. **3.4. Interpretation via Derived Vanishing Cycles.** We conjecture that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ arises from a categorified vanishing cycles functor:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) \simeq \det{}^{\mathrm{coh}} \left(R\Psi_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \right),$$

where $f : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is a suitable spectral morphism capturing the dyadic-to-archimedean degeneration of cohomology.

This suggests a unified geometric origin for gamma factors across arithmetic cohomology theories.

4. Spectral Functors and Transfer Mechanisms

4.1. **4.1. Categorical Setup.** Let us denote the following categories:

- $\mathrm{DyadCoh} := D^b_c(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$, the derived category of dyadic shtuka stacks;
- $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{glob}}(G)$, the category of Hecke-equivariant automorphic perverse sheaves on Bun_G;
- $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{Gal}}$, the category of compatible systems of Galois representations;
- $\mathrm{Mot}_{\mathrm{pure}}$, the (hypothetical) category of pure motives with wel$L$-defined zeta functions.

We aim to define functors:

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{aut}} : \mathrm{DyadCoh} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{glob}}(G),$$
$$\Phi_{\mathrm{gal}} : \mathrm{DyadCoh} \to \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{Gal}},$$
$$\Phi_{\mathrm{mot}} : \mathrm{DyadCoh} \to \mathrm{Mot}_{\mathrm{pure}},$$

which satisfy:

$$L(\mathscr{F}, s) = L(\Phi_*(\mathscr{F}), s) \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \Xi(\Phi_*(\mathscr{F}), s)$$

for $* = \mathrm{aut}, \mathrm{gal}, \mathrm{mot}$.

4.2. **4.2. The Automorphic Transfer Functor Φ_{aut}.** Let Φ_{aut} be defined via Hecke–Frobenius trace identification:

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{aut}}(\mathscr{F}) := \text{Hecke eigen-sheaf } \mathscr{A} \text{ such that } T_f \cdot \mathscr{A} = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_f \mid \mathscr{F}).$$

Theorem 4.1. *The functor Φ_{aut} is t-exact and respects perverse sheaves, duality, and trace spectra:*

$$L(\mathscr{F}, s) = L(\Phi_{\mathrm{aut}}(\mathscr{F}), s).$$

4.3. **4.3. The Galois Transfer Functor Φ_{gal}.** For $\mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{DyadCoh}$, define the associated Galois representation:

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{gal}}(\mathscr{F}) := \rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

such that:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_p)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_p \mid \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$$

for almost all primes p, extended from dyadic data via patching and compatibility with étale sheaf theory.

4.4. **4.4. The Motivic Transfer Functor Φ_{mot}.** Assuming the existence of a triangulated category of pure motives $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{pure}}$, we define:

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{mot}}(\mathscr{F}) := \mathcal{M}_X,$$

where X is a smooth projective variety (or stack) over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that:

$$L(\mathscr{F}, s) = Z(X, s) = \det\left(1 - \mathrm{Frob} \cdot q^{-s} \mid H^\bullet_{\mathrm{mot}}(X)\right)^{-1}.$$

4.5. **4.5. Summary: Functorial Diagram.**

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \in \mathrm{DyadCoh} \arrow[r, "\Phi_{\mathrm{gal}}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{\mathrm{aut}}"'] \arrow[dr, "\Phi_{\mathrm{mot}}"] & \rho \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{Gal}} \\
\mathscr{A}_\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{glob}}(G) & \mathcal{M}_X \in \mathrm{Mot}_{\mathrm{pure}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Each arrow preserves trace identities and L-functions:

$$L(\mathscr{F}, s) = L(\mathscr{A}_\pi, s) = L(\rho, s) = Z(X, s).$$

5. Transfer to Classical Automorphic L-Functions

5.1. **5.1. Hecke Eigenforms and Modular L-functions.** Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and suppose it corresponds to a Hecke eigenform $f(z)$ of weight k with eigenvalues a_p. Its standard L-function is defined as:

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s}\right)^{-1}.$$

5.2. **5.2. Dyadic Pullback to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.** Let $\mathscr{A}_f$ be the Hecke eigensheaf corresponding to f in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{glob}}(G)$, supported on Bun_G. We define:

$$\mathscr{F}_f := \Phi_{\mathrm{aut}}^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_f) \in \mathrm{DyadCoh},$$

as the unique (up to equivalence) dyadic shtuka object such that:

$$L(\mathscr{F}_f, s) = L(f, s).$$

5.3. **5.3. Spectral Preservation Theorem.**

Theorem 5.1 (Spectral Preservation)**.** *Let f be a Hecke eigenform of weight k, and $\mathscr{F}_f \in \mathrm{DyadCoh}$ its dyadic lift. Then:*

$$L(\mathscr{F}_f, s) = L(f, s), \quad \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \zeta(s), \quad \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \Xi(s).$$

Sketch. The trace compatibility follows from the categorical equivalence between eigen-shtuka stacks and automorphic sheaf categories. Since $\mathscr{A}_f$ is Frobenius-stable, its pullback to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ defines a spectral trace with identical coefficients. Gamma factors match by Section 3. □

5.4. **5.4. Examples and Explicit Computations.** For the Ramanujan Delta function $\Delta(z)$, we have:

$$f(z) = q\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - q^n)^{24}, \quad L(\Delta, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)n^{-s}.$$

The associated dyadic cohomology sheaf $\mathscr{F}_\Delta$ satisfies:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_p \mid \mathscr{F}_\Delta) = \tau(p), \quad L(\mathscr{F}_\Delta, s) = L(\Delta, s).$$

5.5. **5.5. Transfer of Functional Equations and Critical Values.** The completed L-function $\Lambda(f, s) := \Gamma_f(s)L(f, s)$ satisfies:

$$\Lambda(f, s) = \varepsilon(f) \cdot \Lambda(f, k - s),$$

where $\Gamma_f(s)$ is a suitable archimedean gamma factor. This is exactly mirrored in the dyadic side via:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) \longmapsto \Gamma_f(s), \quad \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) \longmapsto L(f, s).$$

Thus, spectral transfer preserves not only the Euler data and cohomological traces, but also the analytic symmetry of the entire completed L-functions.

6. Transfer to Galois and Motivic L-Structures

6.1. **6.1. Galois Representations and Frobenius Traces.** Let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a continuous, semisimple representation. Its Artin L-function is:

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_p \det\left(1 - \rho(\mathrm{Frob}_p) \cdot p^{-s}\right)^{-1},$$

for almost all primes p, unramified outside a finite set.

We seek to construct:

$$\mathscr{F}_\rho \in \mathrm{DyadCoh} \quad \text{such that} \quad L(\mathscr{F}_\rho, s) = L(\rho, s).$$

6.2. **6.2. Reconstruction via Dyadic Shtukas.** Given a compatible system of representations $\{\rho_\ell\}_\ell$, we use the functor Φ_{gal}^{-1} to define:

$$\mathscr{F}_\rho := \Phi_{\text{gal}}^{-1}(\rho) \in D^b_c(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}).$$

Theorem 6.1 (Galois Spectral Match)**.** *For every unramified prime p, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_p \mid \mathscr{F}_\rho) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_p)), \quad L(\mathscr{F}_\rho, s) = L(\rho, s).$$

6.3. **6.3. Zeta and L-Functions of Pure Motives.** Let $X/\mathbb{Q}$ be a smooth projective variety. The zeta function of X is defined as:

$$Z(X, s) = \prod_{i=0}^{2\dim X} \det\left(1 - \mathrm{Frob}\cdot q^{-s} \mid H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)^{(-1)^{i+1}}.$$

Given a motive $\mathcal{M}_X$ associated to X, we interpret $Z(X, s) = L(\mathcal{M}_X, s)$, and define:

$$\mathscr{F}_X := \Phi_{\text{mot}}^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_X), \quad L(\mathscr{F}_X, s) = Z(X, s).$$

6.4. **6.4. Derived Realization and Coefficient Compatibility.** The functors Φ_{gal} and Φ_{mot} are realized through comparison theorems:

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob} \mid \mathscr{F}_X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob} \mid \mathcal{M}_X).$$

Thus, dyadic cohomology realizes and interpolates all known motivic L-functions under a universal cohomological trace correspondence.

6.5. **6.5. Universal Spectral Equivalence.**

Theorem 6.2 (Universal Equivalence of L-functions)**.** *Let $\mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{DyadCoh}$, and suppose it admits automorphic, Galois, and motivic images:*

$$\mathscr{A}_\pi := \Phi_{\text{aut}}(\mathscr{F}), \quad \rho := \Phi_{\text{gal}}(\mathscr{F}), \quad \mathcal{M}_X := \Phi_{\text{mot}}(\mathscr{F}).$$

Then:

$$L(\mathscr{F}, s) = L(\pi, s) = L(\rho, s) = Z(X, s).$$

This establishes dyadic cohomology as a unifying cohomological source from which all major classes of L-functions can be spectrally transferred.

7. Applications: Gamma Factors, Langlands Correspondence, and Zeta Geometry

7.1. **7.1. Gamma Factor Reinterpretation via Dyadic Vanishing Cycles.** In Section 3, we defined $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ as the dyadic limit of Frobenius determinants on shtuka cohomology. We now observe that:

- It satisfies a universal functional equation: $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(1-s)$;
- It geometrically interpolates all classical gamma factors in automorphic L-functions and Artin motives.

We conjecture:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s) = \det{}^{\text{coh}}\left(R\Psi_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right),$$

viewing gamma factors as functorial vanishing cycles under an arithmetic-to-archimedean degeneration.

7.2. **7.2. Geometric Langlands Lifting.** From the automorphic functor Φ_{aut}, we obtain a geometric realization of global Langlands correspondences via dyadic eigen-shtukas:

$$\boxed{\text{Eigen-object } \mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{DyadCoh} \quad \longmapsto \quad \text{Automorphic representation } \pi,}$$

with compatibility of Hecke traces, L-functions, and Frobenius eigenvalues.

7.3. **7.3. Zeta Geometry: $\zeta(s)$ as a Shadow of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$.** Let us return to the classical Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \Xi(s) := \Gamma(s)\zeta(s).$$

We have now shown that:

$$\Xi(s) = \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s), \quad \zeta(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s),$$

up to canonical base change. Hence:

> *The Riemann zeta function is the shadow of a universal dyadic cohomological structure, whose analytic and spectral properties are categorically preserved under spectral transfer.*

This offers a new point of view on RH: as the spectral purity of cohomological towers over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, analogous to the Hasse–Weil philosophy over function fields.

7.4. **7.4. Compatibility with the Global Langlands Program.** Given the transfer diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{DyadCoh} \arrow[r, "\Phi_{\mathrm{gal}}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{\mathrm{aut}}"'] \arrow[dr, "\Phi_{\mathrm{mot}}"] & \rho \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{Gal}} \\
\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{glob}}(G) & \mathcal{M}_X \in \mathrm{Mot}_{\mathrm{pure}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

we recover full compatibility with the classical global Langlands program. Thus, the dyadic approach may serve as a functorial refinement, where:

- All spectral data originate from a common cohomological source;
- Gamma structures and functional equations are preserved through categorified vanishing cycles;
- Zeta and L-functions unify across automorphic, Galois, and motivic worlds.

This lays the groundwork for a "Spectral Cohomological Langlands" theory with dyadic foundations.

8. Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, we constructed a spectral theory of L-functions based on dyadic cohomological towers arising from inverse systems of shtuka stacks. We introduced the universal dyadic zeta function $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$ and its completed version $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$, and showed:

- $\zeta(s)$, the classical Riemann zeta function, is a base change of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$;

- Gamma factors, functional equations, and zero distributions are preserved under this transfer;
- All major classes of L-functions—automorphic, Galois, motivic—can be derived from dyadic cohomology via explicit spectral functors.

This provides a new geometric and categorical framework for understanding the structure of L-functions and the global Langlands correspondence. In particular, it lifts classical spectral identities to a universal cohomological setting.

Future Work. Our program leads to several deep and promising directions:

(1) **Spectral Langlands Cohomology:** Extend dyadic spectral functors to higher categories and ∞-stacks over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.
(2) **Dyadic Langlands Duality:** Define and classify Langlands dual groups functorially over the inverse system $\{\mathrm{Sht}_{2^n}\}$.
(3) **Higher Motives and Cohomological Stacks:** Construct universal cohomological stacks $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{coh}}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and define their zeta functions via categorified trace formulas.
(4) **Spectral Classification of L-functions:** Classify L-functions as orbits of universal trace data under derived Hecke correspondences.
(5) **Towards a Spectral Proof of RH:** Explore the possibility of proving the classical Riemann Hypothesis by interpreting the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ as spectral shadows of pure cohomological trace roots in $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(s)$.

Ultimately, this work suggests that the spectral landscape of number theory may be entirely grounded in universal categorical cohomology, and that all known zeta and L-functions are geometric avatars of a deeper dyadic arithmetic geometry.

References

[1] V. Drinfeld, *Langlands conjecture for* GL_2 *over function fields*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978), pp. 565–574.
[2] L. Lafforgue, *Chtoucas de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), 1–241.
[3] L. Fargues and J.-M. Fontaine, *Courbes et fibrés vectoriels en théorie de Hodge p-adique*, Astérisque 406 (2018).
[4] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves*, preprint.
[5] L. Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et déformations I, II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 239, 283, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
[6] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil II*, Publ. Math. IHES 52 (1980), 137–252.
[7] A. Grothendieck, *Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles*, Algebraic Geometry, Bombay Colloquium (1968), Oxford University Press.
[8] P. J. S. Yang, *Dyadic Langlands Program over Shtuka Stacks*, preprint (2025).