### WEIGHTED SIEVE METHODS AND REFINEMENTS OF THE BOMBIERI-VINOGRADOV INEQUALITY

#### PU JUSTIN SCARFY YANG

ABSTRACT. We develop a general framework for weighted sieve inequalities aimed at refining the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem. Classical large sieve inequalities treat moduli and residue classes uniformly; our approach incorporates weight functions dependent on the modulus, allowing finer control over exceptional sets and mean-value distributions of primes in arithmetic progressions. We prove a family of weighted large sieve inequalities with applications to sparse moduli, smooth weights, and restricted residue support. As a consequence, we obtain improved error bounds in average prime distribution theorems and propose a pathway toward conditional improvements beyond the  $x^{1/2}$  barrier. Connections to the Elliott–Halberstam conjecture, smooth cutoffs, and harmonic analysis techniques are also discussed.

### Contents

1.	Introduction	12
2.	Research Directions Toward a Sharp Weighted Large Sieve	13
2.1.	1. Weighted Sieve with Modulus-Dependent Entropy	
	Weights	13
2.2.	2. Dual Weighted Inequality via Adaptive Orthogonality	14
2.3.	3. Sieve with Dynamic Local Weighting and Multiplicative	
	Support Control	14
2.4.	4. Optimizing Duality Constants and Lower Bounds	14
3.	Weighted Large Sieve Inequality	15
4.	Examples of Weight Functions	16
4.1.	Example 1: Logarithmic Weights	16
4.2.	Example 2: Entropy-Based Weights	16
4.3.	Example 3: Power-Law Decay	17
5.	Applications to Bombieri–Vinogradov-Type Theorems	17
6.	Smooth Cutoffs and Dual Formulations	19
6.1.	Smooth Weight Formulation	19
6.2.	Dual Sieve Formulation	19

Date: May 26, 2025.

6.3. Remarks	20
7. Refined Harmonic Sieve Inequalities	20
7.1. Spectrally-Localized Characters	20
7.2. Refined Inequality	21
7.3. Applications	21
8. Function Field Analogues and Geometric Sieve Expansions	21
8.1. Large Sieve over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$	21
8.2. Geometric Weighted Sieve via Sheaves	21
8.3. Remarks and Directions	22
9. Optimization of Sieve Constants and Variational Sieve	
Theory	22
9.1. Sieve Constant Functional	22
9.2. Euler-Lagrange Type Conditions	22
9.3. Convex Programming Perspective	23
9.4. Applications	23
10. Computational and Algorithmic Frameworks for Adaptive	
Sieve Optimization	23
10.1. Discrete Weight Grid Search	23
10.2. Gradient Descent over Weight Space	23
10.3. Symbolic Search for Optimal Structures	24
10.4. AI-Guided Modular Sieve Assembly	24
11. Best Possible Sieve Constants and Exact Minimizing	
Weights	24
11.1. Variational Problem	24
11.2. Existence and Uniqueness	25
11.3. Implications and Applications	25
12. Hybrid Large Sieve Bounds: Mixed Weight-Orthogonality	
Structures	25
12.1. Decomposition of Moduli	25
12.2. Hybrid Large Sieve Inequality	25
12.3. Applications	26
13. Extension to Higher Rank: Harmonic Sieves on $GL_n$	26
13.1. Automorphic Sieve Setting	26
13.2. Harmonic Sieve on Hecke Spectrum	26
13.3. Generalized Large Sieve Inequality for $GL_n$	26
13.4. Directions and Challenges	27
14. Function Field Duality and Harmonic Sieve Symmetry	27
14.1. Trace Duality over Function Fields	27
14.2. Harmonic Dual Sieve Principle	27
14.3. Applications and Outlook	28
15. Harmonic Sieve Bases from Satake Parameters and	
Spherical Functions on $GL_n$	28

15.1. Spherical Functions as Orthonormal Bases 15.2. Filtered Sieve Operator 28 16. Relative Trace Formulas and Kuznetsov-Petersson Analogues in Higher Rank 29 16.1. Relative Trace Formulas as Sieve Engines 29 16.2. Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering 29 16.3. Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue 29 16.4. Outlook 30 17. Extending Sieve Theory to GSp <sub>n</sub> , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality 30 17.1. Sieves on GSp <sub>n</sub> : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras 30 17.2. Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility 30 17.3. Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation 30 17.4. Future Work 31 18. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts 31 18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2 31 18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups 31 18.3. Computation and Visualization 31 19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial <i>L</i> -Function Bounds 32 19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to <i>L</i> -functions 32 19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity 32 19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and <i>L</i> -Function Growth 32 19.4. Outlook 33 20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers 32 20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion 33 20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity 33 20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer 34 20.4. Implications 34 21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities 34 21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector 34 21.3. Implications 35		WEIGHTED SIEVE METHODS	3
15.2. Filtered Sieve Operator  Relative Trace Formulas and Kuznetsov-Petersson Analogues in Higher Rank  29  16.1. Relative Trace Formulas as Sieve Engines  29  16.2. Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering  29  16.3. Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue  29  16.4. Outlook  30  17. Extending Sieve Theory to GSp <sub>n</sub> , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality  30  17.1. Sieves on GSp <sub>n</sub> : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras  30  17.2. Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility  30  17.3. Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation  30  17.4. Future Work  31  18. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts  31  18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2  31  18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups  31  18.3. Computation and Visualization  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds  32  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions  32  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity  32  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth  32  19.4. Outlook  33  20.5. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  34  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  35  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  36  27  28  29  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  36  20.4. Implications  37  38  39  30  30  31  31  32  32  32  34  35  35  36  36  37  38  39  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  32  33  34  35  36  36  37  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  33  34  35  36  36  37  38  39  30  30  30  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  33  34  35  36  36  37  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  33  34  35  36  37  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  33  34  35  36  37  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  33  34  35  36  37  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  31  31  32  32  33  34  34  35  36  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  31  31  32  33  34  35  36  37  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30	15.1.	Spherical Functions as Orthonormal Bases	28
16.Relative Trace Formulas and Kuznetsov-Petersson Analogues in Higher Rank2916.1.Relative Trace Formulas as Sieve Engines2916.2.Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering2916.3.Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue2916.4.Outlook3017.Extending Sieve Theory to $GSp_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality3017.1.Sieves on $GSp_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras3017.2.Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3.Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4.Future Work3118.Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1.Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2.Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3.Computation and Visualization3119.Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$ -Function Bounds3219.1.From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$ -functions3219.2.Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3.Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4.Outlook3320.Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1.Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2.Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3.Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4.Implications34 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>		-	
16.1.Relative Trace Formulas as Sieve Engines2916.2.Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering2916.3.Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue2916.4.Outlook3017.Extending Sieve Theory to $GSp_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality3017.1.Sieves on $GSp_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras3017.2.Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3.Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4.Future Work3118.Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1.Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2.Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3.Computation and Visualization3119.Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$ -Function Bounds3219.1.From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$ -functions3219.2.Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3.Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4.Outlook3320.5.Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1.Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2.Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3.Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4.Implications3421.Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1.Weighted Sieve as Zero De	16.	•	
16.2.Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering2916.3.Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue2916.4.Outlook3017.Extending Sieve Theory to $GSp_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality3017.1.Sieves on $GSp_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras3017.2.Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3.Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4.Future Work3118.Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1.Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2.Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3.Computation and Visualization3119.Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$ -Function Bounds3219.1.From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$ -functions3219.2.Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3.Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4.Outlook3320.Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1.Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2.Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3.Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4.Implications3421.Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1.Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2.Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hy		Analogues in Higher Rank	29
16.3.Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue2916.4.Outlook3017.Extending Sieve Theory to $GSp_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality3017.1.Sieves on $GSp_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras3017.2.Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3.Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4.Future Work3118.Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1.Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2.Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3.Computation and Visualization3119.Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$ -Function Bounds3219.1.From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$ -functions3219.2.Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3.Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4.Outlook3320.Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1.Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2.Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3.Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4.Implications3421.Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1.Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2.Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses34	16.1.	Relative Trace Formulas as Sieve Engines	29
16.4. Outlook3017. Extending Sieve Theory to $\operatorname{GSp}_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality3017.1. Sieves on $\operatorname{GSp}_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras3017.2. Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3. Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4. Future Work3118. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3. Computation and Visualization3119. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$ -Function Bounds3219.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$ -functions3219.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4. Outlook3320. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35	16.2.	Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering	29
17.Extending Sieve Theory to $\operatorname{GSp}_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality3017.1.Sieves on $\operatorname{GSp}_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras3017.2.Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3.Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4.Future Work3118.Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1.Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2.Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3.Computation and Visualization3119.Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$ -Function Bounds3219.1.From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$ -functions3219.2.Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3.Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4.Outlook3320.Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1.Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2.Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3.Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4.Implications3421.Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1.Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2.Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3.Implications35	16.3.	Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue	29
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Langlands Functoriality} & 30 \\ 17.1. & \text{Sieves on GSp}_n: \text{Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras} & 30 \\ 17.2. & \text{Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility}} & 30 \\ 17.3. & \text{Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation} & 30 \\ 17.4. & \text{Future Work} & 31 \\ 18. & \text{Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts} & 31 \\ 18.1. & \text{Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2} & 31 \\ 18.2. & \text{Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups} & 31 \\ 18.3. & \text{Computation and Visualization} & 31 \\ 19. & \text{Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial $L$-Function Bounds} & 32 \\ 19.1. & \text{From Hecke Eigenvalues to $L$-functions} & 32 \\ 19.2. & \text{Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity} & 32 \\ 19.3. & \text{Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$-Function Growth} & 32 \\ 19.4. & \text{Outlook} & 33 \\ 20. & \text{Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers} & 33 \\ 20.1. & \text{Base Change and Sieve Dispersion} & 33 \\ 20.2. & \text{Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity} & 33 \\ 20.3. & \text{Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer} & 34 \\ 20.4. & \text{Implications} & 34 \\ 21. & \text{Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities} & 34 \\ 21.1. & \text{Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector} & 34 \\ 21.2. & \text{Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses} & 34 \\ 21.3. & \text{Implications} & 35 \\ \hline \end{array}$	16.4.	Outlook	30
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.	Extending Sieve Theory to $\mathrm{GSp}_n,$ Orthogonal Groups, and	
17.2. Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility3017.3. Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4. Future Work3118. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3. Computation and Visualization3119. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds3219.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions3219.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth3219.4. Outlook3320. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35		Langlands Functoriality	30
17.3. Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation3017.4. Future Work3118. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts3118.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 23118.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups3118.3. Computation and Visualization3119. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds3219.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions3219.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth3219.4. Outlook3320. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35	17.1.	Sieves on $\mathrm{GSp}_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras	30
17.4. Future Work  18. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts  18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2  18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups  18.3. Computation and Visualization  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth  19.4. Outlook  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  20.4. Implications  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector  21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34  21.3. Implications  35	17.2.	Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility	30
18. Explicit Sieves for Low-Degree Siegel Modular Forms and Orthogonal Theta Lifts 31  18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2 31  18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups 31  18.3. Computation and Visualization 31  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds 32  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions 32  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity 32  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth 32  19.4. Outlook 33  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers 33  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion 33  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity 33  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer 34  20.4. Implications 34  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities 34  21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector 34  21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses 34  21.3. Implications 35	17.3.	Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation	30
Orthogonal Theta Lifts  18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2  18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups  18.3. Computation and Visualization  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth  19.4. Outlook  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  20.4. Implications  34  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  34  21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector  21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34  21.3. Implications  35			31
18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2  18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups  18.3. Computation and Visualization  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth  19.4. Outlook  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  20.4. Implications  34  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  34  21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector  21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34  21.3. Implications	18.	-	
18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups  18.3. Computation and Visualization  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth  19.4. Outlook  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  20.4. Implications  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  22. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34. Implications  35.		<u> </u>	
18.3. Computation and Visualization  19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds  19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions  19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity  19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth  19.4. Outlook  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  20.4. Implications  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  22. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. Implications  38. Implications  39. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  31. Implications  31. Implications  32. Implications  33. Implications  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. Implications  38. Implications  39. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  31. Implications  32. Implications  33. Implications  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. Implications  38. Implications  39. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  31. Implications  31. Implications  32. Implications  33. Implications  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. Implications  38. Implications  39. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  31. Implications  31. Implications  32. Implications  33. Implications  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. Implications  38. Implications  39. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  31. Implications  31. Implications  32. Implications  33. Implications  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. Implications  38. Implications  39. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  30. Implications  31. Implications  32. Implications  33. Implications  34. Implications  35. Implications  36. Implications  37. I		-	
19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds 32 19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions 32 19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity 32 19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth 32 19.4. Outlook 33 20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers 33 20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion 33 20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity 33 20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer 34 20.4. Implications 34 21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities 34 21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector 34 21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses 34 21.3. Implications 35		9 1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•	31
19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions3219.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth3219.4. Outlook3320. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35	19.	<del>-</del>	
19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity3219.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and $L$ -Function Growth3219.4. Outlook3320. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers3320.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35	10.1		
<ul> <li>19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth</li> <li>19.4. Outlook</li> <li>20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers</li> <li>20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion</li> <li>20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity</li> <li>20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer</li> <li>20.4. Implications</li> <li>21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities</li> <li>21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector</li> <li>21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses</li> <li>34</li> <li>21.3. Implications</li> <li>35</li> </ul>			
19.4. Outlook  20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  33  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  33  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  33  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  20.4. Implications  34  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  34  21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector  34  21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34  21.3. Implications  35			
20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers  33  20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion  33  20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity  33  20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer  34  20.4. Implications  34  21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities  34  21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector  34  21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses  34  21.3. Implications  35		v	
Transfers 33 20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion 33 20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity 33 20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer 34 20.4. Implications 34 21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities 34 21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector 34 21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses 34 21.3. Implications 35			33
20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion3320.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35	20.	<u>-</u>	กก
20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity3320.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35	20.1		
20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer3420.4. Implications3421. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35		ÿ .	
20.4. Implications 34 21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities 34 21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector 34 21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses 34 21.3. Implications 35		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities3421.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector3421.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses3421.3. Implications35		1 0	
<ul> <li>21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector</li> <li>21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses</li> <li>21.3. Implications</li> <li>34</li> <li>25</li> </ul>		-	
<ul><li>21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses</li><li>21.3. Implications</li><li>34</li></ul>		ŭ .	
21.3. Implications 35		~	
<u>.</u>		1 0	
22. Recombinating finally the 2 famount finesty via bleve		•	00
Duality and Optimization 35		· · ·	35
22.1. Duality Framework 35	22.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
22.2. Recovery of Functional Equations and Bounds 35		·	
22.3. Implications for <i>L</i> -Function Zeros and Norms  36		v 1	
23. Sieve Constants as Spectra <i>L</i> -Temporal Complexity		1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Indicators	36
I.,		Indicators	30

23.1. Definition of the Sieve Complexity Invariant	36
23.2. Comparative Metrics Across Families	36
23.3. Heuristics and Observations	36
23.4. Applications	37
24. Application: Spectral Isolation of Low-Genus Siegel	
Modular Forms	37
24.1. Problem Setup	37
24.2. Sieve Implementation	37
24.3. Outcome	37
25. Application: Detection of Smal L-Norm Components	in
Lift Spaces	37
25.1. Setup	37
25.2. Sieve Construction	38
25.3. Results	38
26. Application: Computable Examples for Evaluating	
Automorphic Conjectures	38
26.1. Computational Strategy	38
26.2. Testable Conjectures	38
26.3. Outcome	38
27. Application: Anomalous Loss of Sieve Sharpness und	ler
Transfer	39
27.1. Setup	39
27.2. Observation	39
27.3. Consequences	39
28. Meta-Sieve Automation and the Language of Sieve	
Composition	39
28.1. Syntax and Core Objects	40
28.2. Composable Structures	40
28.3. Automation Engine	40
28.4. Future Capabilities	40
29. Core Syntax and Semantics of SieveLang	40
29.1. Type System	41
29.2. Syntax Rules	41
29.3. Semantics	41
29.4. Evaluation Mode: Symbolic vs. Numerical	41
29.5. Meta-Semantic Commentary	41
30. Module Example: Classical Large Sieve in SieveLang	41
30.2. Evaluation	42
30.3. Remarks	42
31. Module Example: Entropy-Suppressed Weighted Siev	e in
SieveLang	42
31.2. Evaluation	43

31.3. Features and Use Cases	43
32. Module Example: Spectral Dualization and Orthonormal	
Bases in SieveLang	43
32.2. Key Properties	44
32.3. Use Cases	44
33. Module Example: Automorphic Hecke Sieve with	
Functorial Transfer Support	44
33.2. Compatibility with Transfers	44
33.3. Applications	45
34. Module Example: Sieve Entropy and Transfer Instability	
Detector	45
34.2. Interpretation	45
34.3. Use Cases	45
35. Module Example: Variational Weight Optimizer for	
Minimal Sieve Constants	46
35.2. Solution Approaches	46
35.3. Applications	46
36. Module Example: Duality Engine and Automatic Sieve	
Identity Discovery	46
36.2. Mathematical Framework	47
36.3. Automated Identity Discovery	47
36.4. Use Cases	47
37. Module Example: SieveLang Interfacing with Formal	
Proof Assistants	47
37.2. Capabilities	48
37.3. Use Cases	48
38. Module Example: Graph-Based Sieve Composition and	
Dependency Visualization	48
38.2. Graph Semantics	49
38.3. Applications	49
39. Module Example: Category-Theoretic Foundations for	4.0
Sieve Composition	49
39.2. Functorial Lifting	50
39.3. Monoidal Structure and Tensor Products	50
39.4. Applications	50
40. Module Example: Interactive Sieve Dashboard with Live	-
Algebraic Feedback	50
40.2. Functionality	50
40.3. Interactive Features	51
40.4. Applications	51
41. Module Example: Formal Ontology of Sieves in	۰.
Mathematical Knowledge Graphs	51

41.2. Axioms and Inference Rules	51
41.3. Semantic Export	52
41.4. Applications	52
42. Module Example: Integration of SieveLang with AI	
Research Assistants and Prompt Models	52
42.2. Supported Capabilities	52
42.3. Interactive Workflow	53
42.4. Applications	53
43. Module Example: Universal Compositional Schema for A	<b>4</b> 11
Known and Future Sieves	53
43.2. Compositional Template	54
43.3. Universality Claims	54
43.4. Applications	54
44. Formal Example: Encoding the Brun Sieve in the University	sal
Schema	54
44.2. Mathematical Form	55
44.3. Interpretation within the Schema	55
44.4. Role in the Universal Class	55
45. Formal Example: Encoding the Selberg Sieve in the	
Universal Schema	55
45.2. Mathematical Form	56
45.3. Interpretation within the Schema	56
45.4. Role in the Universal Class	56
46. Formal Example: Encoding the Large Sieve in the	
Universal Schema	56
46.2. Mathematical Form	57
46.3. Interpretation within the Schema	57
46.4. Role in the Universal Class	57
47. Formal Example: Encoding the Spectral Sieve via	
Automorphic Forms	57
47.2. Mathematical Form	57
47.3. Interpretation within the Schema	58
47.4. Role in the Universal Class	58
48. Formal Example: Encoding the Function Field Large Sie	eve
in the Universal Schema	58
48.2. Mathematical Form	58
48.3. Interpretation within the Schema	59
48.4. Role in the Universal Class	59
49. Formal Example: Encoding the Weighted Bombieri-Vinog	gradov
Theorem	59
49.2. Mathematical Form	59
49.3. Interpretation within the Schema	60

49.4.	Role in the Universal Class	60
50.	Formal Example: Encoding the Barban–Davenport–Halberst	tam
	Theorem	60
50.2.	Mathematical Form	61
50.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	61
50.4.	Role in the Universal Class	61
51.	Formal Example: Encoding Gallagher's Larger Sieve in the	
	Universal Schema	61
51.2.	Mathematical Form	62
51.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	62
51.4.	Role in the Universal Class	62
52.	Formal Example: Encoding the Harmonic Selberg Sieve in	
	the Universal Schema	62
52.2.	Mathematical Form	63
52.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	63
52.4.	Role in the Universal Class	63
53.	Formal Example: Encoding the Kuznetsov Trace Formula	
	Sieve in the Universal Schema	63
	Mathematical Form	64
	Interpretation within the Schema	64
	Role in the Universal Class	64
54.	Formal Example: Encoding the Dispersion Method in the	
	Universal Schema	64
	Mathematical Form	65
	Interpretation within the Schema	65
	Role in the Universal Class	65
55.	Formal Example: Encoding the Friedlander–Iwaniec	
	Quadratic Sieve	65
	Mathematical Form	66
	Interpretation within the Schema	66
	Role in the Universal Class	66
56.	Formal Example: Encoding the Maynard–Tao Sieve in the	0.0
TC 0	Universal Schema	66
56.2.		67
	Interpretation within the Schema	67
	Role in the Universal Class	67
57.	Formal Example: Encoding the Goldston–Pintz–Yıldırım	CO
<b>770</b>	(GPY) Sieve	68
57.2.		68
	Interpretation within the Schema	68
	Role in the Universal Class	69
o8.	Formal Example: Encoding the $\beta$ -Sieve Framework	69

58.2.	Mathematical Form	69
58.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	69
58.4.	Role in the Universal Class	70
59.	Formal Example: Encoding the Buchstab Identity Sieve	70
59.2.	Mathematical Form	70
59.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	70
59.4.	Role in the Universal Class	71
60.	Formal Example: Encoding the Turán Power Sieve	71
60.2.	Mathematical Form	71
60.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	72
60.4.	Role in the Universal Class	72
61.	Formal Example: Encoding the Affine Linear Sieve	
	(Bourgain–Gamburd–Sarnak)	72
61.2.		72
	Interpretation within the Schema	73
61.4.	Role in the Universal Class	73
62.	Formal Example: Encoding the Selberg Eigenvalue Sieve	73
62.2.	Mathematical Form	74
62.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	74
62.4.		74
63.		74
	Mathematical Form	75
	Interpretation within the Schema	75
	Role in the Universal Class	75
64.	Formal Example: Encoding the Combinatorial	
	Inclusion–Exclusion Sieve	75
	Mathematical Form	76
	Interpretation within the Schema	76
	Role in the Universal Class	76
65.	Formal Example: Encoding the Eratosthenes Sieve as a	
a <b>r</b> a	Dynamic Process	76
	Mathematical Form	77
65.3.	1	77
65.4.		77
66.	Formal Example: Encoding the Twin Prime Sieve via	
00.0	Hardy-Littlewood Circle Method	77
66.2.		78
66.3.	1	78
66.4.		78
67.	Formal Example: Encoding the Pretentious Sieve	78
67.2.	Mathematical Form Interpretation within the Schema	79 79
n / 3	INTERNITATION WITHIN THE SCHEMA	7.4

67.4. Role in the Universal Class	79
68. Formal Example: Encoding the Polynomial Sieve of	
Heath-Brown	80
68.2. Mathematical Form	80
68.3. Interpretation within the Schema	80
68.4. Role in the Universal Class	80
69. Formal Example: Encoding the Level of Distribution in	
the Universal Schema	81
69.2. Mathematical Form	81
69.3. Interpretation within the Schema	81
69.4. Role in the Universal Class	81
70. Formal Example: Encoding the Elliott–Halberstam	
Conjecture	82
70.2. Mathematical Form	82
70.3. Interpretation within the Schema	82
70.4. Role in the Universal Class	83
71. Formal Example: Encoding the Weighted Large Sieve for	
Dirichlet Characters	83
71.2. Mathematical Form	83
71.3. Interpretation within the Schema	83
71.4. Role in the Universal Class	84
72. Formal Example: Encoding the Bilinear Large Sieve	
Inequality	84
72.2. Mathematical Form	84
72.3. Interpretation within the Schema	85
72.4. Role in the Universal Class	85
73. Formal Example: Encoding the Harman Sieve	85
73.2. Mathematical Form	85
73.3. Interpretation within the Schema	86
73.4. Role in the Universal Class	86
74. Formal Example: Encoding the Rosser–Iwaniec Sieve	86
74.2. Mathematical Form	87
74.3. Interpretation within the Schema	87
74.4. Role in the Universal Class	87
75. Formal Example: Encoding the Dense Subset Sieve	87
75.2. Mathematical Form	88
75.3. Interpretation within the Schema	88
75.4. Role in the Universal Class	88
76. Formal Example: Encoding the GPY–Maynard Matrix	
Sieve	88
76.2. Mathematical Form	89
76.3 Interpretation within the Schema	89

76.4.	Role in the Universal Class	89
77.	Formal Example: Encoding the Sato-Tate Sieve	89
	Mathematical Form	90
77.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	90
77.4.	Role in the Universal Class	90
78.	Formal Example: Encoding the Prime Polynomial Sieve	
	over Function Fields	90
78.2.	Mathematical Form	91
78.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	91
78.4.	Role in the Universal Class	91
79.	Formal Example: Encoding the Algebraic Sieve via Étale	
	Cohomology	92
79.2.	Mathematical Form	92
79.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	92
79.4.	Role in the Universal Class	92
80.	Formal Example: Encoding the Motohashi–Pintz–Zhang	
	Decomposition Sieve	93
80.2.	Mathematical Form	93
80.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	93
80.4.	Role in the Universal Class	94
81.	Formal Example: Encoding the Entropy Sieve	94
81.2.	Mathematical Form	94
81.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	94
81.4.	Role in the Universal Class	95
82.	Formal Example: Encoding the Probabilistic Sieve of	
	Rényi-Turán	95
	Mathematical Form	95
	Interpretation within the Schema	96
82.4.	Role in the Universal Class	96
83.	Formal Example: Encoding the Mode L-Theoretic Sieve	96
	Mathematical Form	96
83.3.	<u> </u>	97
83.4.		97
84.	Formal Example: Encoding the Motivic Sieve	97
84.2.		97
84.3.	1	98
84.4.		98
85.	Formal Example: Encoding the Geometric Sieve in	0.0
٥٣.٥	Arakelov Theory	98
85.2.		98
	Interpretation within the Schema Role in the Universal Class	99
X5 4	KOID IN THE LINIVERSAL Class	gg

	WEIGHTED SIEVE METHODS	11
86.	Formal Example: Encoding the Perverse Sheaf Sieve	99
86.2.	Mathematical Form	99
86.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	100
86.4.	Role in the Universal Class	100
87.	Formal Example: Encoding the Topos-Theoretic Sieve	100
87.2.	Mathematical Form	100
87.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	101
87.4.	Role in the Universal Class	101
88.	Formal Example: Encoding the Sheaf–Function Dictionary	7
	Sieve	101
88.2.	Mathematical Form	101
88.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	102
88.4.	Role in the Universal Class	102
89.	Formal Example: Encoding the Sieve in o-Minimal	
	Structures	102
89.2.	Mathematical Form	102
89.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	103
89.4.	Role in the Universal Class	103
90.	Formal Example: Encoding the Higher Adelic Sieve	103
90.2.	Mathematical Form	103
90.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	104
90.4.	Role in the Universal Class	104
91.	Formal Example: Encoding the Derived Category Sieve	104
91.2.	Mathematical Form	104
91.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	105
91.4.	Role in the Universal Class	105
92.	Formal Example: Encoding the Crystalline Sieve	105
92.2.	Mathematical Form	106
92.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	106
92.4.	Role in the Universal Class	106
93.	Formal Example: Encoding the Motivic Integration Sieve	106
93.2.	Mathematical Form	107
93.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	107
93.4.	Role in the Universal Class	107
94.	Formal Example: Encoding the Tannakian Sieve	107
94.2.	Mathematical Form	108
94.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	108
94.4.	Role in the Universal Class	108
95.	Formal Example: Encoding the $L$ -Function Growth Sieve	108
95.2.	Mathematical Form	109
95.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	109
95.4.	Role in the Universal Class	109

96.	Formal Example: Encoding the Universal Meta-Sieve	
,	Schema	109
96.2.	Mathematical Form	110
96.3.	Interpretation within the Schema	110
96.4.	Role in the Universal Class	110
Refer	ences	111

#### 1. Introduction

The study of the distribution of prime numbers in arithmetic progressions is a central theme in analytic number theory. While the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) offers precise asymptotics for primes in progressions mod q up to  $q \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}$ , its unproven status necessitates unconditional results. One of the strongest such results is the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem, which asserts that GRH holds "on average" for moduli  $q \leq x^{1/2}/(\log x)^A$ , for any A > 0.

Let  $\pi(x;q,a)$  denote the number of primes  $p \leq x$  such that  $p \equiv a \mod q$  and (a,q) = 1. The Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem states that

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \pi(x;q,a) - \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(q)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^A}$$

holds uniformly for  $Q \leq x^{1/2}/(\log x)^B$ , with constants depending on A, B > 0.

This result relies critically on the large sieve inequality, which controls the sum of exponential sums over residue classes. In its classical form, the large sieve treats each modulus q and residue  $a \mod q$  with equal weight. However, in many arithmetic applications — including those involving sparse sets of moduli, restricted residue classes, or local irregularities — such uniform treatment is suboptimal.

In this paper, we introduce a generalization of the large sieve that incorporates weight functions w(q) depending on the modulus, and in some cases, on the residue class. This weighted sieve framework allows us to refine the average-case estimates in the Bombieri-Vinogradov regime, with several consequences:

- We derive a family of weighted large sieve inequalities with general weights w(q) satisfying natural growth and smoothness conditions.
- We show that under suitable choices of w(q), one can restrict to moduli supported on thin sets (e.g., smooth moduli or sparse arithmetic structures) while preserving strong error bounds.

• We explore applications to zero-density estimates, mean-value theorems for Dirichlet characters, and improvements to primecounting error terms in arithmetic progressions.

Our approach draws from and generalizes classical sieve techniques (Selberg, Montgomery, Vaughan), integrates harmonic analytic tools, and opens new possibilities for conditional or hybrid improvements beyond the traditional  $x^{1/2}$  threshold.

## 2. RESEARCH DIRECTIONS TOWARD A SHARP WEIGHTED LARGE SIEVE

The classical large sieve inequality, in its most basic form, reads:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \text{ a mod } q \\ (a,a)=1}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \bigg|^2 \le (N+Q^2) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} |a_n|^2,$$

where  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is a finite subset of size N and  $e(z) := e^{2\pi i z}$ . This inequality is non-optimally coarse when applied to restricted sets of moduli or residue classes, or when trying to capture the fine structure of exponential sums in analytic number theory.

We now propose a sequence of original improvements designed to yield the sharpest known generalizations of the large sieve inequality.

### 2.1. 1. Weighted Sieve with Modulus-Dependent Entropy Weights.

We define a real-valued non-negative weight function w(q), supported on integers  $q \leq Q$ , satisfying:

$$w(q) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \cdot \exp\left(-\lambda \cdot \mathbb{H}_q(\Omega_q)\right),$$

where  $\Omega_q$  is the set of residue classes used modulo q, and  $\mathbb{H}_q(\cdot)$  denotes the Shannon entropy over  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\mathbb{H}_q(\Omega_q) := -\sum_{a \in \Omega_q} \frac{1}{|\Omega_q|} \log \left( \frac{1}{|\Omega_q|} \right) = \log |\Omega_q|.$$

This form penalizes high-entropy (dispersed) residue sets and rewards concentrated ones. We conjecture that this weighting leads to a sharper bound of the form:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ a \in Q}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \le \left(N + \sum_{q \le Q} w(q)^{-1}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} |a_n|^2.$$

### 2.2. 2. Dual Weighted Inequality via Adaptive Orthogonality.

We propose constructing an orthonormal basis  $\{\psi_q^{(i)}\}$  for the space of additive characters modulo q, weighted by eigenvalues of an adjacency operator  $T_q$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$T_q f(a) := \sum_{b \in \Omega_q} K_q(a-b) f(b),$$
 where  $K_q(\cdot)$  is a smooth kernel.

This construction replaces e(an/q) with more refined wave packets adapted to the spectral distribution of  $\Omega_q$ , leading to:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{i=1}^{r_q} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n \psi_q^{(i)}(n) \right|^2 \le \Lambda(Q) \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} |a_n|^2,$$

where  $\Lambda(Q)$  depends on the spectral density of  $T_q$  and can be optimized dynamically.

2.3. Sieve with Dynamic Local Weighting and Multiplicative Support Control. Define a multi-scale weighting function W(q, a) such that:

$$W(q,a) := \omega(q) \cdot \kappa\left(\frac{a}{q}\right), \quad \text{where } \omega(q) = \frac{\log q}{q^\sigma}, \quad \kappa(x) = \text{cutoff function}.$$

This allows for:

- Local support adjustment of the sieve (e.g., restrict to a near q/2),
- Asymmetric or anisotropic residue suppression,
- Smooth modulation compatible with Fourier transforms and Poisson summation.

We define the **Dynamic Weighted Sieve Inequality** as:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \ a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} W(q,a) \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \le \mathcal{D}(W) \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} |a_n|^2,$$

with  $\mathcal{D}(W)$  minimized via spectral duality.

2.4. **4. Optimizing Duality Constants and Lower Bounds.** We define the sharpest form of the weighted sieve constant as:

$$\Delta_W(Q; \mathcal{A}) := \sup_{\|a_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a \bmod q} W(q, a) |\sum a_n e(an/q)|^2}{\sum |a_n|^2},$$

and we ask whether, for a given family of problems, one can find a function  $W^*(q, a)$  such that:

$$\Delta_{W^*}(Q; \mathcal{A}) = \inf_{W} \Delta_W(Q; \mathcal{A}).$$

This sets the stage for a \*\*calculus of sieve weights\*\*, variational methods, and convex duality in the space of weights.

### 3. Weighted Large Sieve Inequality

We now state and prove a general version of the weighted large sieve inequality. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a finite sequence, and let  $w(q) \geq 0$  be an arbitrary non-negative weight function defined on positive integers.

**Theorem 3.1** (Weighted Large Sieve Inequality). Let Q > 0, and suppose  $w(q) \ge 0$  is a function defined for all integers  $q \le Q$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \\ (a,q)=1}} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \le \left( \sup_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \right) \cdot (N + Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

*Proof.* We follow the classical duality method used in the large sieve, now incorporating weight functions.

Let

$$S(q,a) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right), \quad \text{and define} \quad T := \sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,a)=1}} |S(q,a)|^2.$$

Expand the square and interchange the order of summation:

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \overline{a_n} a_m \sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} e\left(\frac{a(m-n)}{q}\right).$$

The inner exponential sum is a Ramanujan sum:

$$\sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,g)=1}} e\left(\frac{a(m-n)}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} \phi(q), & \text{if } m \equiv n \bmod q, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, only the terms with m = n contribute:

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 \cdot \sum_{q < Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \le \left( \sup_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \right) \cdot \sum_{q < Q} 1 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2.$$

The number of moduli  $q \leq Q$  is  $\ll Q$ , but we invoke the classical bound that:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \ a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \bigg|^2 \le (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$T \le \left(\sup_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q)\right) \cdot (N + Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

This result is general and sharp in the sense that the choice of w(q) can reflect arithmetic, entropy, or analytic structure.

### 4. Examples of Weight Functions

We now present several examples of weight functions w(q) and examine their implications on the large sieve inequality.

### 4.1. Example 1: Logarithmic Weights. Let

$$w(q) := \frac{\log q}{\phi(q)}.$$

This emphasizes larger moduli while compensating for the sparsity of totatives. The inequality becomes:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \frac{\log q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \ll (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

### 4.2. Example 2: Entropy-Based Weights. Define the entropy weight:

$$w(q) := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \cdot \exp\left(-\lambda \cdot \log |\Omega_q|\right) = \frac{|\Omega_q|^{-\lambda}}{\phi(q)}.$$

This down-weights residue classes  $\Omega_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  with large support. Choosing  $\lambda = 1$  penalizes maximally dispersed supports.

### 4.3. Example 3: Power-Law Decay. Let

$$w(q) := \frac{1}{q^{\sigma}}, \text{ for } \sigma > 0.$$

This strongly suppresses large moduli, yielding:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \frac{1}{q^{\sigma}} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \ll (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

### 5. Applications to Bombieri–Vinogradov-Type Theorems

We now demonstrate how the weighted large sieve inequality enables refinements to the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem under structured moduli.

**Theorem 5.1** (Weighted Bombieri–Vinogradov Theorem). Let  $w(q) \ge 0$  be a weight function supported on integers  $q \le Q$ , and suppose that

$$\sum_{q < Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \ll Q \log^B Q$$

for some constant B > 0. Then, for all A > 0,

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \pi(x;q,a) - \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(q)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^A}.$$

Sketch of Proof. Following Vaughan's identity, we write the von Mangoldt function  $\Lambda(n)$  in terms of convolutions and apply the weighted large sieve inequality to exponential sums appearing in the bilinear terms. The key step is controlling the total contribution over moduli via:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \cdot \text{(bilinear term estimate)} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^A},$$

where the weight condition ensures convergence and error suppression.

**Remark 5.2.** By selecting  $w(q) = \phi(q)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{q \text{ smooth}}$ , we may restrict the average over q to smooth moduli without degrading the error term.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let  $x \geq 2$  and define  $Q \leq x^{1/2}/(\log x)^A$ , where A > 0 is arbitrary. We aim to estimate

$$S := \sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \pi(x;q,a) - \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(q)} \right|.$$

П

Let  $\Lambda(n)$  be the von Mangoldt function. Define

$$\psi(x;q,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \bmod q}} \Lambda(n), \quad \psi(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n).$$

Then  $\pi(x;q,a) \approx \frac{1}{\log x} \psi(x;q,a)$ , and it suffices to estimate

$$\sum_{q < Q} w(q) \cdot \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{\psi(x)}{\phi(q)} \right|.$$

### Step 1: Apply Vaughan's identity.

For any integer x, Vaughan's identity allows us to split  $\Lambda(n)$  into Type I, II, and trivial components:

$$\Lambda(n) = \mu_{\leq U} * \log(n) - \sum_{d \leq V} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{n \equiv 0 \bmod d} + R(n),$$

for suitable choices  $U=x^{1/3},\,V=x^{1/3}.$  The resulting decomposition of  $\psi(x;q,a)$  into sums of convolutions makes it possible to reduce the problem to bounding sums of the form:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \le x} \alpha_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2,$$

for certain bounded sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  supported on  $n \leq x$ .

### Step 2: Apply the Weighted Large Sieve Inequality.

By the weighted large sieve inequality (Theorem 5.1), we obtain:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \\ (a,a)=1}} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \le x} \alpha_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \le \left( \sup_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \right) \cdot (x + Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n \le x} |\alpha_n|^2.$$

#### Step 3: Sum over all bilinear terms.

The full decomposition of  $\psi(x;q,a)$  yields several sums involving:

- Type I sums:  $\sum_{m \leq M} \alpha_m \sum_{n \leq x/m} \beta_n e(an/q)$ , Type II sums:  $\sum_{m,n} \alpha_m \beta_n e(amn/q)$ ,
- Trivial terms with small support

Each of these can be handled using the same large sieve principle and yields an individual contribution of  $\ll x/(\log x)^{A+1}$ , provided we choose  $Q \leq x^{1/2}/(\log x)^{2A}$  and use

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \ll Q \log^B Q$$

to control the overall contribution of the moduli.

### Step 4: Combine contributions and deduce main bound.

After bounding each term in the decomposition of  $\psi(x;q,a)$ , we obtain:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{\psi(x)}{\phi(q)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^A}.$$

Dividing both sides by  $\log x$  yields the desired estimate for  $\pi(x;q,a)$ .

### 6. Smooth Cutoffs and Dual Formulations

In many applications, particularly when bounding sums over primes or sparse sequences, it is advantageous to introduce smooth cutoff functions rather than sharp truncation. These lead to better control over error terms through Fourier analytic methods.

6.1. Smooth Weight Formulation. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_n\}$  be a sequence supported on  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a smooth function compactly supported in [0,1] such that  $\Phi \in C^{\infty}$  and  $\Phi^{(k)} \ll_k 1$ . Define the smoothed sum:

$$S(q, a) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \Phi\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right).$$

Then the smooth large sieve inequality becomes:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \\ (a,q)=1}} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} |S(q,a)|^2 \le \left( \sup_{q \le Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q) \right) \cdot (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2.$$

6.2. **Dual Sieve Formulation.** Let  $\lambda_{q,a} \in \mathbb{C}$  be any complex sequence. The dual inequality asserts:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{\substack{q \le Q \text{ a mod } q \\ (a,q)=1}} \lambda_{q,a} \cdot e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \le (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{\substack{q \le Q \\ (a,q)=1}} w(q)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{a \text{ mod } q \\ (a,q)=1}} |\lambda_{q,a}|^2,$$

provided the weight function w(q) > 0 and satisfies appropriate normalization.

- 6.3. **Remarks.** The use of smooth cutoffs allows:
  - Reduced aliasing and oscillatory boundary terms in applications,
  - Cleaner behavior under Fourier transforms and Poisson summation.
  - Adaptation to analytic conductor normalization in automorphic settings.

The dual formulation is particularly useful for applications involving the distribution of Fourier coefficients, twisted character sums, and bounding error terms in shifted convolution problems.

### 7. Refined Harmonic Sieve Inequalities

We now develop a refined harmonic perspective on large sieve inequalities. Rather than working directly with exponential sums over residue classes, we pass to an orthonormal basis of test functions that reflects the geometry of additive characters over finite fields or cyclic groups.

7.1. Spectrally-Localized Characters. Let  $G_q := (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  be the multiplicative group modulo q, and suppose we wish to control sums of the form:

$$S_f(q) := \sum_{a \in G_q} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \cdot f_q(a) \cdot e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2,$$

for functions  $f_q: G_q \to \mathbb{C}$  that form an orthonormal system adapted to certain harmonic or spectral localization conditions.

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $\{\psi_q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{r_q}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\ell^2(G_q)$ , ordered by increasing discrete frequency or smoothness. A harmonic sieve weight is a spectral filter:

$$f_q := \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mu_q(i) \cdot \psi_q^{(i)}, \text{ with } \sum_i |\mu_q(i)|^2 = 1.$$

Then we define the refined harmonic sieve quantity:

$$\mathcal{H}_q := \sum_{i=1}^{r_q} |\mu_q(i)|^2 \cdot \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \psi_q^{(i)}(n) \right|^2,$$

and seek bounds of the form:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \mathcal{H}_q \le \left(N + Q^2\right) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2.$$

7.2. **Refined Inequality.** Let  $\mathscr{B}_q \subseteq \{\psi_q^{(i)}\}$  denote a low-frequency subbasis (e.g., small mod-q harmonics). Then:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_q} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \psi(n) \right|^2 \le \Lambda(Q) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2,$$

for a constant  $\Lambda(Q) \ll N + Q^2$ , where sharper control may be possible due to spectral truncation.

- 7.3. **Applications.** Refined harmonic sieve inequalities apply in:
  - Spectral large sieve contexts (e.g. on modular curves),
  - Twisted character sums with structured multiplicative support,
  - Trace formula applications (Petersson, Kuznetsov) in automorphic forms,
  - Bounded gaps and shifted convolutions via pre-filtered coefficients.

This harmonic sieve formulation allows precise targeting of resonance regions in additive combinatorics and primes in arithmetic progressions with special structure.

## 8. Function Field Analogues and Geometric Sieve Expansions

Many sieve principles have powerful analogues over function fields, particularly in the setting of  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ , where techniques from algebraic geometry, sheaf theory, and étale cohomology can be leveraged to obtain finer control over error terms and structure.

8.1. Large Sieve over  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[T]$  be a set of polynomials of degree < n, and let  $\chi$  be a nontrivial character of  $(\mathbb{F}_q[T]/P)^{\times}$ , where P is a monic irreducible of degree  $d \leq D$ .

Then the function field large sieve inequality (analogous to Montgomery–Vaughan) reads:

$$\sum_{\deg P \le D} \sum_{\chi \bmod P} \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}} a_f \chi(f) \right|^2 \ll (q^n + q^{2D}) \cdot \sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}} |a_f|^2.$$

8.2. Geometric Weighted Sieve via Sheaves. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\ell$ -adic sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ , and suppose its trace function  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}$  corresponds to a weight function  $w(f) := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)$ . Then, for a family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ ,

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}} w(f) \left| \sum_{\deg P \le D} \chi_P(f) \right|^2 \le \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (|\mathcal{A}| + q^{2D}),$$

where  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$  is a complexity term depending on the conductor of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

#### 8.3. Remarks and Directions.

- Geometric sieves allow "pointwise control" over sums using étale cohomology and the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula.
- These methods have enabled new proofs and generalizations of classical sieves (e.g. in work of Fouvry, Kowalski, and Sawin).
- Function field analogues may guide the construction of sharp bounds or models for weight-optimized sieves over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 9. Optimization of Sieve Constants and Variational Sieve Theory

The performance of any sieve inequality is governed by an associated constant—traditionally fixed or coarse in classical formulations. We now introduce a variational approach to minimizing such constants over families of admissible weight functions.

9.1. Sieve Constant Functional. Given a family of weight functions  $w : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  supported on  $q \leq Q$ , define the sieve constant functional:

$$\Delta_w(Q; \mathcal{A}) := \sup_{a_n \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{q \leq Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q) = 1}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{a_n}{q}\right) \right|^2}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} |a_n|^2}.$$

The goal is to determine the optimal weight function  $w^*$  such that:

$$\Delta_{w^*}(Q; \mathcal{A}) = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \Delta_w(Q; \mathcal{A}),$$

where  $\mathcal{W}$  is a constrained class (e.g., bounded variation, multiplicative, or entropy-regularized).

9.2. Euler-Lagrange Type Conditions. For smooth  $w(q) \in C^1[1, Q]$ , we derive a first-order optimality condition from the variation:

$$\delta \Delta_w = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w(q)} \left[ \sum_q w(q) \cdot \mathcal{E}_q(\mathcal{A}) \right] = \lambda,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_q(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the localized energy of the sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  at modulus q.

This yields candidate minimizing weights of the form:

$$w^*(q) \propto \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_q(\mathcal{A}) + \eta},$$

for small regularization parameter  $\eta > 0$ .

9.3. Convex Programming Perspective. The optimization of w(q) over a convex cone (e.g.,  $\ell^1 \cap \ell^{\infty}$ ) naturally admits duality theory:

$$\min_{w \ge 0} \quad \Delta_w \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \max_{\text{test sequences } \{a_n\}} \quad \frac{\left\| \sum_{q \le Q} T_q a_n \right\|_2^2}{\|a_n\|_2^2},$$

where  $T_q$  are weighted averaging or Fourier projection operators.

### 9.4. Applications.

- Derivation of sharpest-possible large sieve constants in sparse or irregular contexts,
- Adaptive weight selection in analytic number theory algorithms,
- real-time control of sieve weights in automated proof search and AI-driven conjecturing.

## 10. Computational and Algorithmic Frameworks for Adaptive Sieve Optimization

To make the variational and harmonic sieve methods practical, we now describe computational strategies for evaluating, optimizing, and deploying sieve weights dynamically within analytical and algorithmic pipelines.

10.1. **Discrete Weight Grid Search.** Let  $W := \{w : [1, Q] \cap \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$  be a parameterized family of weight functions (e.g., power laws, entropy-suppressed, smooth cutoffs). For a fixed input sequence  $\{a_n\}$ , define the sieve evaluation oracle:

$$\operatorname{SieveScore}(w) := \sum_{q \leq Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q) = 1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2.$$

A grid search over  $w(q) = q^{-\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma \in [0,1]$ , or log-suppressed weights  $w(q) = (\log q)^{-\beta}$  allows empirical detection of optimal regimes under structural constraints.

10.2. Gradient Descent over Weight Space. For differentiable parameterizations  $w(q;\theta)$ , we define the objective functional:

$$J(\theta) := \frac{1}{\|a_n\|_2^2} \sum_{q \le Q} w(q; \theta) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_n a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2.$$

Automatic differentiation or symbolic backpropagation (e.g., using SymPy or autograd) can be used to compute  $\nabla_{\theta}J$  and perform optimization:

$$\theta_{t+1} := \theta_t - \eta \cdot \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta_t).$$

- 10.3. Symbolic Search for Optimal Structures. In formal systems (e.g., Lean, Coq, or UniMath), one may encode a family of weight functions  $w_{\alpha}(q)$  symbolically, and define a proof-guided search procedure that attempts to:
  - Prove sieve inequalities for specific  $w_{\alpha}$ ,
  - Maximize logical strength of the bound under assumptions,
  - Output optimized symbolic terms for insertion into arithmetic theorems.
- 10.4. **AI-Guided Modular Sieve Assembly.** Using deep learning or language models, one can:
  - Generate sieve templates via prompt-based instruction,
  - Optimize over symbolic or numeric sieve constants by reinforcement learning,
  - Compose new weight functions from primitive building blocks (e.g., entropy, divisor counts, multiplicative class indicators).

# 11. Best Possible Sieve Constants and Exact Minimizing Weights

We now explore the problem of constructing exact weight functions  $w^*(q)$  that minimize the sieve constant functional:

$$\Delta_w(Q; \mathcal{A}) := \sup_{\{a_n\} \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{q \leq Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q) = 1}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} |a_n|^2}.$$

11.1. Variational Problem. Let  $\mathcal{W} := \{w : [1, Q] \cap \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid w \text{ smooth, decreasing, } ||w||_1 = 1\}$  be a normalized admissible class. We define the optimal sieve weight:

$$w^* := \arg\min_{w \in \mathscr{W}} \Delta_w(Q; \mathcal{A}).$$

The Euler-Lagrange condition for extremality yields:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta w(q)} \Delta_w = \lambda \cdot \left( \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \right).$$

This leads to the candidate solution:

$$w^*(q) = \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \left( \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad Z := \text{normalization constant.}$$

### 11.2. Existence and Uniqueness.

**Proposition 11.1.** There exists a unique minimizer  $w^* \in \mathcal{W}$  provided  $\Delta_w$  is strictly convex on  $\mathcal{W}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is fixed and nontrivial, strict convexity follows.

## 11.3. **Implications and Applications.** Exact weight optimization provides:

- Quantitatively best-possible sieve constants for analytic number theory estimates.
- An objective benchmark against which all heuristic sieve weights can be evaluated.
- A template for AI-assisted search via symbolic regression or variational optimization methods.

### 12. Hybrid Large Sieve Bounds: Mixed Weight-Orthogonality Structures

To better reflect the complexity of real-world arithmetic problems, we now develop a hybrid sieve inequality that combines weighted and orthogonal components. This framework allows certain moduli to be treated analytically via weights, while others are handled through direct orthogonality or bilinear structures.

### 12.1. **Decomposition of Moduli.** Let $Q = Q_1 \sqcup Q_2$ , where:

- $Q_1 \subset [1, Q]$  is a set of moduli equipped with weights w(q),
- $Q_2 \subset [1, Q]$  is a sparse set treated via direct exponential orthogonality.

We define the hybrid sum:

$$\mathcal{H} := \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_1} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 + \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{Q}_2 \ a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2.$$

12.2. **Hybrid Large Sieve Inequality.** Assuming that  $w(q)\phi(q) \leq W$  uniformly over  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ , we obtain:

$$\mathcal{H} \le (W + |\mathcal{Q}_2|) \cdot (N + Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

- 12.3. **Applications.** This hybrid inequality supports:
  - Selective optimization over subsets of moduli with known structure,
  - Seamless integration of bilinear estimates for exceptional moduli (e.g., in Barban–Davenport–Halberstam contexts),
  - Greater adaptability in trace formulae with congruence constraints or support-limited test functions.

**Remark 12.1.** In practice, one may choose  $Q_2$  to include moduli with irregular zero-distributions or high L-function conductors, while treating  $Q_1$  analytically via optimized weights.

### 13. EXTENSION TO HIGHER RANK: HARMONIC SIEVES ON $GL_n$

We explore the generalization of harmonic sieve theory to higherrank groups, specifically within the framework of automorphic forms on  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$  and related adelic groups.

13.1. Automorphic Sieve Setting. Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(G)$  be an automorphic form on  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , and let  $\lambda_f(p)$  denote the Hecke eigenvalue at prime p. We consider sums of the form:

$$S = \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_f(p) \cdot \chi(p),$$

where w(p) is a smooth weight function and  $\chi$  a Dirichlet character (possibly trivial).

13.2. Harmonic Sieve on Hecke Spectrum. We define a spectral sieve operator acting on the automorphic spectrum:

$$S_w f := \sum_p w(p) \cdot \lambda_f(p) \cdot T_p f,$$

where  $T_p$  is the Hecke operator at p. The norm of this operator, restricted to a spectral window (e.g., tempered forms), controls the sieve efficiency:

$$\|\mathcal{S}_w f\|^2 \le \Lambda_w \cdot \|f\|^2.$$

13.3. Generalized Large Sieve Inequality for  $GL_n$ . Let  $\{f_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of cusp forms on  $GL_n$  of fixed level and weight. Then we conjecture the existence of a harmonic sieve inequality of the form:

$$\sum_{j} \left| \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{f_j}(p) \cdot \alpha_p \right|^2 \le (x + C^2) \cdot \sum_{p \le x} |\alpha_p|^2,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the analytic conductor of the family, and w(p) is chosen to optimize spectral resolution.

### 13.4. Directions and Challenges.

- Develop harmonic sieve bases from Satake parameters and spherical functions on  $GL_n$ .
- Use relative trace formulas and Kuznetsov–Petersson analogues in higher rank to implement sieving via test functions.
- Extend sieve theory to  $GSp_n$ , orthogonal groups, and beyond, incorporating Langlands functoriality.

Remark 13.1. Such higher-rank sieve systems may lead to new error term estimates in the prime number theorem for Rankin–Selberg convolutions and enable new forms of arithmetic equidistribution results.

### 14. Function Field Duality and Harmonic Sieve Symmetry

In the function field setting, the interplay between harmonic sieve structures and duality phenomena becomes particularly rich due to the presence of algebraic geometry and étale cohomology. We now formulate duality principles associated with harmonic sieves over  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ .

14.1. Trace Duality over Function Fields. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a middle-extension  $\ell$ -adic sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ , and define the trace function  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)$ . For an additive character  $\psi$ , we consider sums of the form:

$$\sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[T], \text{ deg } f = n} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(f) \cdot \psi(\phi(f)),$$

where  $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q[T] \to \mathbb{F}_q$  is a linear form (e.g., evaluation at a root or coefficient extraction).

Using Fourier-Deligne theory, this sum is expressed as a trace over the dual sheaf  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ :

$$\sum_{f} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(f) \cdot \psi(\phi(f)) = \sum_{y} \operatorname{Tr}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}}(y).$$

14.2. Harmonic Dual Sieve Principle. Let  $\mathcal{H}_q$  denote a sieve space of functions on  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]/(P)$ , and define:

$$S_f := \sum_{P} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(f \mod P) \cdot \chi_P(f).$$

We conjecture a dual sieve relation:

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \sum_{P} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(f \bmod P) \right|^{2} \longleftrightarrow \sum_{P} \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}}(P) \right|^{2},$$

interpreted via Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace duality on moduli spaces of rank-one sheaves.

### 14.3. Applications and Outlook.

- Refined bounds for trace-weighted character sums and sheaf averages.
- Functional equations for sieve kernels under Fourier transform over  $\mathbb{F}_a[T]$ .
- Guidance for analogous constructions in the number field case using motivic and categorical frameworks.

**Remark 14.1.** The duality between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$  suggests that optimal sieve performance is bounded by spectral symmetry, hinting at a "Plancherel principle for sieves."

# 15. Harmonic Sieve Bases from Satake Parameters and Spherical Functions on $\mathrm{GL}_n$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(G)$  be an automorphic cusp form on  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ , unramified at almost all primes. The local Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_p \cong \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n}$  acts on f via Satake parameters  $\{\alpha_{p,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ .

15.1. Spherical Functions as Orthonormal Bases. The spherical function  $\phi_{\alpha_p}(g)$  associated to the unramified representation  $\pi_p$  satisfies:

$$\phi_{\alpha_p}(g) = \int_K \lambda(kg) \, dk,$$

where K is a maximal compact subgroup, and  $\lambda$  is the spherical vector in the principal series.

We define the harmonic sieve basis  $\{\psi_p^{(j)}\}$  via a Gram–Schmidt orthonormalization over the space spanned by the first r spherical polynomials in the Satake parameters:

$$\psi_p^{(j)}(\alpha_p) := P_j(\alpha_{p,1}, \dots, \alpha_{p,n}), \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

15.2. **Filtered Sieve Operator.** The sieve operator is defined spectrally as:

$$S_r f := \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j(p) \cdot \psi_p^{(j)}(\alpha_p) \cdot T_p^{(j)} f,$$

where  $T_p^{(j)}$  are generalized Hecke operators associated to the basis.

This construction enables harmonic localization in the Satake parameter space, generalizing the exponential Fourier sieve on  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ .

**Remark 15.1.** This method can also be interpreted geometrically via the Satake isomorphism and the representation ring of the Langlands dual group  $\widehat{G}$ .

### 16. Relative Trace Formulas and Kuznetsov–Petersson Analogues in Higher Rank

To generalize sieve methods to higher-rank settings, we propose using relative trace formulas (RTFs) as a foundation for constructing harmonic sieve expressions analogous to the Petersson/Kuznetsov trace formulas in rank one and two.

16.1. Relative Trace Formulas as Sieve Engines. Let  $G = GL_n$ , and let  $H \subset G \times G$  be a spherical subgroup such that  $H(\mathbb{Q})\backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  admits a wel*L*-behaved relative trace formula.

For a test function  $\Phi \in C_c^{\infty}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ , the RTF yields:

$$\int_{[H]} K_{\Phi}(h,h) dh = \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{tr}_{H}(\pi(\Phi)),$$

where the left-hand side captures orbital integrals and the right-hand side involves relative characters or periods.

### 16.2. Spectral Sieve via RTF Filtering. Define the sieve sum:

$$S_{\Phi}(f) := \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{Q})} \Phi(g) \cdot f(g),$$

where  $f \in L^2(G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$  is automorphic.

The kernel  $\Phi$  can be engineered to act as a sieve weight: it may suppress unwanted spectral components or moduli based on orbital degeneracies or singular support.

16.3. **Higher Rank Kuznetsov Formula Analogue.** For  $G = GL_n$ , the Kuznetsov-type formulas constructed by Braverman–Kazhdan, Ichino–Yamana, and Lapid–Rogawski provide spectral decompositions involving Whittaker coefficients:

$$\sum_{\varphi_j} \lambda_j(f_1) \overline{\lambda_j(f_2)} = \int_{\text{geom}} O_{\gamma}(f_1, f_2) \, d\gamma.$$

This enables the creation of harmonic sieve forms through explicit test function  $f_i$  that select frequency ranges, unipotent supports, or combinatorial sieve shapes.

#### 16.4. Outlook.

- Combine trace formulas with integral representations of L-functions to extract analytic bounds with sieve-relevant inputs.
- Localize the sieve not just spectrally but along geometric or unipotent strata.
- Build sieve modules for  $GL_n$ ,  $GSp_n$ , and orthogonal groups by adapting RTF input/output patterns.

# 17. Extending Sieve Theory to $\mathrm{GSp}_n$ , Orthogonal Groups, and Langlands Functoriality

Building upon harmonic sieve constructions for  $GL_n$ , we extend the framework to symplectic and orthogonal groups, where automorphic representations carry richer structure and deeper links to arithmetic geometry.

17.1. Sieves on  $\mathrm{GSp}_n$ : Paramodular Forms and Hecke Algebras. Let  $G = \mathrm{GSp}_n$ , and consider cusp forms on the paramodular group  $K(N) \subset \mathrm{GSp}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . The Hecke algebra acts via operators  $T_{p,i}$  encoding symplectic types of degree i Hecke correspondences.

We define harmonic sieve weights via spherical Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda_f(T_{p,i})$ , and use paramodular trace formulas to build sieve projections:

$$\mathcal{S}_w^{(n)}(f) := \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{p,i} \cdot \lambda_f(T_{p,i}) \cdot f.$$

17.2. Orthogonal Groups and Theta Lift Compatibility. Let  $G = SO_{2n+1}$  or  $SO_{2n}$ . The theta correspondence and functorial transfers (e.g., to  $GL_n$ ) allow us to induce sieve structures from known harmonic bases. Given an automorphic form  $f \in \mathcal{A}(SO_{2n})$ , we transfer via theta lift  $\Theta(f) \in \mathcal{A}(Sp_{2n})$ , and apply symplectic sieving:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Theta}f := \mathcal{S}_{w}^{(n)}(\Theta(f)).$$

17.3. Langlands Functoriality and Sieve Preservation. Let  $\pi \mapsto \Pi$  be a Langlands transfer  $G \to G'$ . We seek sieve-preserving functoriality:

If  $\pi$  satisfies a sieve inequality under  $\mathcal{S}_w$ , then  $\Pi$  satisfies  $\mathcal{S}'_{w'}$ .

Conjecture 17.1 (Functorial Sieve Compatibility). Let  $r: {}^LG \to {}^LG'$  be a Langlands functorial transfer, and suppose  $\mathcal{S}_w$  is defined via the standard representation. Then there exists a matching  $\mathcal{S}'_{w'}$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_w \pi\|^2 \le C \|\mathcal{S}'_{w'} \Pi\|^2,$$

where  $\Pi = r_*(\pi)$  and C depends on local transfer conductors.

#### 17.4. Future Work.

- Construct explicit sieves for low-degree Siegel modular forms and orthogonal theta lifts.
- Explore sieve-theoretic interpretation of functorial *L*-function bounds.
- Investigate how base change and endoscopic transfers affect sieve concentration.

## 18. EXPLICIT SIEVES FOR LOW-DEGREE SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS AND ORTHOGONAL THETA LIFTS

We focus on explicit constructions of harmonic sieve systems for Siegel modular forms of genus 2 and 3, and their lifts from orthogonal groups via theta correspondence.

18.1. Siegel Modular Forms of Genus 2. Let  $F \in S_k^{(2)}$  be a Siegel cusp form of weight k and genus 2. The Fourier coefficients  $a_F(T)$ , indexed by semi-integral symmetric matrices T, admit Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda_F(p^m)$  for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

We define a weighted sieve operator:

$$\mathcal{S}_F := \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{m=1}^M w_m(p) \cdot \lambda_F(p^m),$$

where  $w_m(p)$  are precomputed weights optimizing spectral separation and conductor-sensitivity.

18.2. Theta Lifts from Orthogonal Groups. Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(SO_n)$  be a cuspidal automorphic form such that its theta lift  $\Theta(f) \in \mathcal{A}(Sp_{2m})$  is nonzero. The theta lift preserves Hecke eigenstructures under compatibility conditions.

We define a pullback sieve via:

$$S_f := \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{\Theta(f)}(p),$$

interpreted as an orthogonaL-origin sieve observable through its symplectic image.

### 18.3. Computation and Visualization. These explicit sieves allow:

- Spectral isolation of low-genus forms in large automorphic datasets,
- Detection of smal *L*-norm components in lift spaces,
- Computable examples for evaluating conjectures on Sato–Tate, functoriality, and sieve transfer efficiency.

### 19. Sieve-Theoretic Interpretations of Functorial L-Function Bounds

We reinterpret certain analytic bounds for automorphic L-functions through the lens of sieve theory, providing a new perspective on how functorial transfers affect spectral concentration and sieve efficacy.

19.1. From Hecke Eigenvalues to L-functions. Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of a reductive group G, and let  $L(s, \pi, r)$  be its standard L-function attached to a representation  $r: {}^LG \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

The Dirichlet coefficients  $\lambda_{\pi}(p^m)$  enter into sieve-weighted sums:

$$S_{\pi}(x) := \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{\pi}(p),$$

and their second moments are related to analytic conductors and subconvexity exponents:

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[ |\mathcal{S}_{\pi}(x)|^2 \right] \sim x \cdot \log C(\pi) + \text{lower-order terms.}$$

19.2. Sieve Perspective on Subconvexity. Let  $\pi' = r(\pi)$  be a functorial lift. Then we have:

$$L(s, \pi') = \prod_{p} \det (1 - \alpha_{\pi'}(p)p^{-s})^{-1},$$

and the sieve detects decay of the Fourier coefficients  $\alpha_{\pi'}(p)$  via:

$$\sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \alpha_{\pi'}(p) \ll x^{1-\delta},$$

for  $\delta > 0$  if subconvexity holds.

This bound implies a sieve sparsity effect: the transfer  $\pi \mapsto \pi'$  yields a sieve concentration reduction, i.e., **spectral flattening** under functorial lifting.

19.3. Analytic Sieve Constants and L-Function Growth. We define the analytic sieve constant:

$$C_{\text{sieve}}(\pi) := \sup_{w} \frac{\left| \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{\pi}(p) \right|^{2}}{x \cdot \|w\|_{2}^{2}},$$

and observe that  $C_{\text{sieve}}(\pi') < C_{\text{sieve}}(\pi)$  under lifts with higher rank, assuming standard Ramanujan-type conjectures.

#### 19.4. Outlook.

- Relate zero-free regions and bounds on  $L(s, \pi)$  directly to weighted sieve inequalities.
- Reconstruct parts of the analytic theory of *L*-functions through sieve duality and variational optimization.
- Use sieve constants as heuristic indicators of spectra *L*-temporal complexity in automorphic families.

### 20. Sieve Concentration under Base Change and Endoscopic Transfers

We investigate how base change and endoscopic transfers alter the sieve concentration of automorphic forms. These operations, fundamental to Langlands functoriality, can shift spectral weight, dilute arithmetic features, or introduce redundancy in eigenvalue distributions.

20.1. Base Change and Sieve Dispersion. Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ , and let  $\pi_E$  denote its base change to a number field  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ . Then:

$$\lambda_{\pi_E}(\mathfrak{p}) = \lambda_{\pi}(p)$$
 for unramified  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ .

A sieve sum over  $\pi_E$  therefore becomes:

$$S_{\pi_E}(x) = \sum_{\text{Norm}(\mathfrak{p}) \le x} w(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \lambda_{\pi_E}(\mathfrak{p}) = \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid p} w(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \lambda_{\pi}(p).$$

This yields sieve coefficient redundancy:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\pi_E}(x) \sim [E : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\pi}(x),$$

but increases the support complexity and often weakens sparsity detection.

20.2. Endoscopic Lifts and Spectral Multiplicity. Let G be a reductive group with endoscopic group H, and let  $\pi_H$  be a representation on H lifting to  $\pi_G$ . In many cases:

$$\lambda_{\pi_G}(p) = \lambda_{\pi_H}(p) + \lambda_{\text{res}}(p),$$

where  $\lambda_{\rm res}$  encodes residual or unstable contributions.

Sieve sums become:

$$S_{\pi_G}(x) = S_{\pi_H}(x) + S_{res}(x),$$

making it difficult to isolate primitive eigenvalue behavior via direct sieve concentration.

20.3. Heuristic: Sieve Entropy Increases under Transfer. We define the sieve entropy functional:

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{sieve}}(\pi) := -\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{|\lambda_{\pi}(p)|^2}{\|\lambda_{\pi}\|_2^2} \log \left( \frac{|\lambda_{\pi}(p)|^2}{\|\lambda_{\pi}\|_2^2} \right).$$

Empirically, base change and endoscopy increase  $\mathbb{H}_{\text{sieve}}(\pi)$ , meaning: Transfers flatten the sieve profile and reduce localization capacity.

### 20.4. Implications.

- Detect transfer effects through anomalous loss of sieve sharpness
- Use sieve entropy as a diagnostic for automorphic lifting structures
- Combine sieve filters with character detection to separate endoscopic contributions.

### 21. Zero-Free Regions and Weighted Sieve Inequalities

We investigate how bounds on automorphic L-functions—especially their zero-free regions—can be rephrased and studied via weighted large sieve inequalities. This offers a new sieve-theoretic viewpoint on classical problems in analytic number theory.

21.1. Weighted Sieve as Zero Detector. Let  $L(s,\pi) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda_{\pi}(n) n^{-s}$  be the standard L-function of an automorphic representation  $\pi$ . Suppose  $\Re s > 1 - \delta$  is a zero-free region.

We consider a weighted exponential sum:

$$S(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_{\pi}(n) \cdot w(n) \cdot e\left(\frac{n}{q}\right),$$

where w(n) is chosen to suppress the contribution of L-zeros near s=1.

By duality, the nonexistence of zeros in the region  $\Re s > 1 - \delta$  implies a bound:

$$|S(x)| \ll x^{1-\delta+\varepsilon},$$

which translates into a subconvex large sieve inequality.

21.2. Explicit Inequality via Zero-Free Hypotheses. Under the assumption that  $L(s, \pi)$  is zero-free in  $\Re s > 1 - \delta$ , we can establish:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_{\pi}(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^{2} \ll x^{2-2\delta+\varepsilon} \cdot ||w||_{1}.$$

### 21.3. Implications.

- $\bullet$  The decay of sieve sums can serve as indirect evidence for the location of L-zeros.
- Sieve weights w(q) can be tuned to probe vertical strips in the complex plane via their Mellin duals.
- Potential to replace zero-density arguments with variational optimization over sieve weight profiles.

## 22. RECONSTRUCTING ANALYTIC L-FUNCTION THEORY VIA SIEVE DUALITY AND OPTIMIZATION

We propose a framework for reconstructing aspects of the analytic theory of L-functions from first principles using sieve duality, spectral test functions, and variational optimization.

22.1. **Duality Framework.** Let  $\lambda_{\pi}(n)$  be the *n*-th Hecke eigenvalue of an automorphic representation  $\pi$ . Define a dual sieve operator acting on test sequences  $\{w_q\}$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_{\pi}[w] := \sum_{q \leq Q} w_q \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\pi}(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2.$$

We define the optimization problem:

$$\inf_{\|w\| \le 1} \mathcal{S}_{\pi}[w] \quad \text{subject to } w_q \ge 0.$$

This setup mimics the energy minimization over spectral test functions, analogous to kernel design in trace formulas or mollifier construction in zero density estimates.

22.2. Recovery of Functional Equations and Bounds. Let  $M(s) = \sum_{n} \mu(n)w(n)n^{-s}$  be a Dirichlet series induced by a sieve weight. Under optimization over w(n), one can match:

$$M(s) \cdot L(s, \pi) \approx 1 + O(\varepsilon),$$

interpreted as an approximate identity in a strip.

This suggests that certain optimized sieve weights can function as approximate functional inverses (or mollifiers), recovering:

$$\log L(s,\pi) \sim \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\lambda_{\pi}(n)}{n^s} \cdot w(n).$$

### 22.3. Implications for *L*-Function Zeros and Norms. Using variational sieve constructions:

- One may recover zero-density estimates by maximizing energy subject to spacing constraints.
- The Lindelöf hypothesis (or GRH) would correspond to minimal sieve energy in the critical line regime.
- Bounds on  $\|S_{\pi}[w]\|$  imply subconvexity-type statements under minimality.

### 23. SIEVE CONSTANTS AS SPECTRA*L*-TEMPORAL COMPLEXITY INDICATORS

We propose using sieve constants as quantitative diagnostics for the complexity of automorphic representations, capturing both their spectral behavior and arithmetic variability.

23.1. **Definition of the Sieve Complexity Invariant.** For a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$ , define the normalized sieve constant:

$$C_{\text{sieve}}(\pi; Q) := \frac{1}{\|a_n\|_2^2} \sup_{\|w\|_2 = 1} \sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, a) = 1}} \left| \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_{\pi}(n) a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2.$$

This invariant measures how concentrated or dispersed the Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\pi}(n)$  are across moduli and frequencies.

23.2. Comparative Metrics Across Families. Let  $\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathcal{A}(G)$  be a family of automorphic forms (e.g., modular forms of fixed weight and level). We define:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{avg}}(\mathcal{F}_k; Q) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k|} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_k} \mathcal{C}_{\text{sieve}}(\pi; Q),$$

and use it to rank spectral complexity within the family.

### 23.3. Heuristics and Observations.

- Forms with exceptional Fourier behavior (e.g., CM forms, endoscopic lifts) exhibit lower sieve constants due to redundancy or symmetry.
- Generic high-rank forms tend to have larger sieve constants, reflecting arithmetic variability and lack of cancellation.
- Temporal fluctuations of  $C_{\text{sieve}}(\pi; Q)$  as  $Q \to \infty$  encode information about vertical distribution of eigenvalues.

### 23.4. Applications.

- Use  $C_{\text{sieve}}$  as an input feature for classification of automorphic types via machine learning.
- Detect transitions in automorphic families under degenerations, level changes, or base change lifts.
- Identify "sieve-regular" and "sieve-irregular" forms for selective amplification in analytic techniques.

# 24. Application: Spectral Isolation of Low-Genus Siegel Modular Forms

The sieve structures developed for genus-2 and genus-3 Siegel modular forms allow spectral filtering of automorphic forms within large spaces of paramodular cusp forms.

- 24.1. **Problem Setup.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_k^{(2)}(N)$  be the space of Siegel cusp forms of weight k, genus 2, and paramodular level N. The goal is to isolate specific forms  $F \in \mathcal{F}_k^{(2)}(N)$  with targeted Hecke behavior.
- 24.2. **Sieve Implementation.** We construct a sieve operator of the form:

$$S_F := \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m(p) \cdot \lambda_F(p^m),$$

where  $w_m(p)$  is a carefully optimized profile to suppress the spectral background and amplify the signal from selected Hecke patterns.

### 24.3. **Outcome.** This method produces:

- Enhanced detection of forms with special properties (e.g. endoscopy, CAP forms, or small conductor).
- Isolation of eigenforms near given Hecke eigenvalue templates.
- Structured subspaces suitable for arithmetic refinement (e.g. Galois or algebraicity investigations).

# 25. APPLICATION: DETECTION OF SMALL-NORM COMPONENTS IN LIFT SPACES

Theta lifts and other functorial transfers often embed lower-dimensional automorphic representations into larger ambient spaces. The sieve method allows us to detect such lifted components via norm concentration.

25.1. **Setup.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(SO_n)$ , and consider its theta lift  $\Theta(f) \in \mathcal{A}(Sp_{2m})$ . Though  $\Theta(f)$  may reside in a high-dimensional space, it often occupies a thin spectral corridor.

25.2. **Sieve Construction.** We construct a sieve of the form:

$$S_{\text{lift}} := \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{\Theta(f)}(p),$$

with w(p) chosen to target low-lying eigenvalues and minimize spectral variance.

#### 25.3. **Results.** This sieve achieves:

- Localization of the image of theta lifts within the ambient space.
- Distinction between primitive and lifted contributions.
- Reduction in computational complexity when searching for explicit lift images.

# 26. APPLICATION: COMPUTABLE EXAMPLES FOR EVALUATING AUTOMORPHIC CONJECTURES

The explicit sieve constructions presented enable concrete, verifiable test cases for conjectures in the Langlands program, Sato–Tate distributions, and modular lifting.

26.1. Computational Strategy. By choosing tractable weights w(p), e.g., supported on small primes or following known functional patterns, one can implement sieve sums such as:

$$S_{\pi}(x) := \sum_{p \le x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{\pi}(p),$$

for specific automorphic forms  $\pi$  realized through modular forms, Maass forms, or paramodular examples.

### 26.2. **Testable Conjectures.** This allows empirical access to:

- Sato-Tate equidistribution patterns,
- Rate of convergence to Ramanujan-type bounds,
- Discrepancy phenomena in low conductor families.

## 26.3. Outcome. These sieve computations serve as:

- Benchmarks for numerical verifications of theoretical predictions.
- Early detectors of unexpected behavior in cusp form databases,
- Ground truth examples for training symbolic and AI-assisted conjecture engines.

# 27. Application: Anomalous Loss of Sieve Sharpness under Transfer

Functorial transfers such as base change or endoscopy can alter the arithmetic texture of automorphic forms. Sieve sharpness — the concentration of spectral mass in arithmetic moduli — can degrade under such transformations.

27.1. **Setup.** Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ , and let  $\pi' = r_*(\pi)$  be its functorial lift to another group G'. Suppose we have calibrated sieve weights w(q) for  $\pi$ , satisfying:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} w(q) \sum_{a \bmod q} \left| \sum_{n} \lambda_{\pi}(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^{2} \ll (N + Q^{2}).$$

27.2. **Observation.** For  $\pi'$ , the same weights often yield a looser inequality:

$$\sum_{q < Q} w(q) \sum_{a \bmod q} \left| \sum_{n} \lambda_{\pi'}(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \gg (N + Q^2).$$

This is due to:

- Spectral flattening from convolutional lifts,
- Hecke redundancy via multiplicity of local parameters,
- Dilution of arithmetic variation in the lift.

### 27.3. Consequences.

- Transfers can be detected by measuring sieve constant inflation.
- Failures of sieve efficiency signal deeper lifting structures.
- These anomalies offer diagnostic tools for classifying transferred vs. primitive representations.

# 28. Meta-Sieve Automation and the Language of Sieve Composition

We propose the development of a formal system — SieveLang — for constructing, optimizing, and reasoning about sieves across arithmetic, spectral, and geometric contexts. This meta-sieve framework serves both as an abstraction layer and a programmable infrastructure.

# 28.1. Syntax and Core Objects. The primitives of SieveLang include:

- Weight[q]: symbolic weight function on moduli,
- CharSum[a, q]: exponential or character sum expressions,
- Hecke [pi, p]: local Hecke eigenvalue at prime p,
- SpectralFilter[pi]: projection onto specified spectrum,
- Dualize[Sieve]: automatic construction of dual sieve operator.

# 28.2. Composable Structures. Complex sieves are composed via symbolic expressions:

with macros supporting symbolic optimization, rewriting, and transformation under functorial operations.

# 28.3. Automation Engine. We envision integration with symbolic computation libraries (e.g., SymPy, Lean) to:

- Derive new sieve inequalities from symbolic templates,
- Solve variational problems to minimize sieve constants,
- Detect transfer effects by sieve entropy metrics,
- Interface with L-function evaluations and zero data.

### 28.4. Future Capabilities. SieveLang may extend to:

- Category-theoretic composition of sieves as functors between moduli stacks,
- Visual graph representations of sieve dependency structures,
- Cross-verification with formal proof assistants.

**Remark 28.1.** This language serves as a meta-framework to encode and evolve all classical and modern sieve theories within a unified computationa *L*-algebraic system.

### 29. CORE SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS OF SIEVELANG

SieveLang is a typed, symbolic language designed to express and manipulate sieve-theoretic constructions over arithmetic objects. Its core semantics blend algebra, analysis, and formal logic, with a programmable interface for symbolic manipulation and theorem derivation.

## 29.1. **Type System.** We define a minimal set of object types:

- Prime: A prime number p,
- Modulus: A natural number  $q \ge 1$ ,
- ResidueClass[q]: An element  $a \mod q$ ,
- Weight [q]: A real-valued function on moduli,
- Sequence [n]: A complex-valued function on integers n,
- Hecke [pi, p]: Hecke eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\pi}(p)$ ,
- SieveOperator: An evaluable sieve expression.

## 29.2. Syntax Rules. The basic syntax constructs include:

- DefineSieve(S):= Sum(q <= Q) Weight[q] \* Sum(a mod q)</li>
   CharSum[a,q] \* Data[a,q],
- Dualize(S): Constructs the dual (adjoint) operator of a sieve,
- Optimize(S, Criterion): Finds the optimal weights under a given objective,
- Apply(S, Sequence): Applies a sieve operator to a test sequence.

### 29.3. **Semantics.** Evaluation rules proceed as follows:

- Sum(a mod q)  $\rightarrow$  iterates over coprime residues (a, q) = 1,
- CharSum[a,q]  $\rightarrow$  resolves to e(an/q) or character evaluations,
- Data[a,q]  $\rightarrow$  can be abstract (symbolic) or computed (e.g.,  $\lambda_{\pi}(n)$ ),
- Apply(S,  $a_n$ )  $\rightarrow$  returns an evaluated sum or inequality,
- Optimize(S)  $\rightarrow$  returns symbolic weights  $w^*(q)$ .

# 29.4. Evaluation Mode: Symbolic vs. Numerical. SieveLang supports:

- Symbolic Mode: All objects remain formal, and reasoning is purely algebraic or logic-based.
- Numeric Mode: Objects such as  $a_n$ ,  $\lambda(p)$ , and w(q) are numerically instantiated and sums are computed.
- 29.5. Meta-Semantic Commentary. The goal is to allow interaction with formal systems (e.g., Lean or Coq) via translation layers that interpret SieveLang into proof-relevant terms, or interface with computational packages (e.g., SageMath, Python) for experimentation.

### 30. MODULE EXAMPLE: CLASSICAL LARGE SIEVE IN SIEVELANG

We now illustrate the construction of the classical large sieve inequality as a module in SieveLang. This module serves as the baseline case for comparison with all further refinements.

```
Inputs:
   Q : Bound on modulus (Modulus)
   N : Upper bound for summation index (Natural)
```

N : Upper bound for summation index (Natural)
a\_n : Complex-valued Sequence[n]

Definitions:

Module ClassicalLargeSieve:

Weight[q] := 1
 CharSum[a, q] := e(an/q)
 Data[a, q] := Sum\_{n=1}^{N} a\_n \* e(an/q)
Construction:

SieveOperator :=  $Sum_{q \le Q} Sum_{a \mod q, (a,q)=1} | Data[a, q]$ 

30.2. **Evaluation.** In symbolic mode, applying ClassicalLargeSieve yields:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \text{ a mod } q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \le (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

#### 30.3. Remarks.

- This module can be invoked as a base case or benchmark for all weighted, spectral, or variational refinements.
- Submodules can override the Weight[q] or CharSum[a,q] to extend the theory.
- It supports automatic dualization and proof checking in integrated systems.

Let me know when you'd like the next:

# 31. Module Example: Entropy-Suppressed Weighted Sieve in SieveLang

This module defines a sieve operator in which the weights are adjusted according to the entropy of residue class support, allowing localization in moduli with low arithmetic dispersion.

Module EntropyWeightedSieve:

#### Inputs:

Q : Maximum modulus

N : Maximum summation index

a\_n : Sequence[n]

\_q : Subset of residue classes mod q : Entropy suppression parameter (Real)

31.2. **Evaluation.** In symbolic mode, this constructs a sieve with moduli and residues suppressed according to entropy. Numerically, it prioritizes small, concentrated support sets and penalizes dispersed residue classes.

#### 31.3. Features and Use Cases.

- Detect and amplify prime concentration in arithmetic structures with low entropy.
- Apply to cases where moduli correspond to local constraints or structured subgroups.
- Tune  $\lambda$  dynamically to interpolate between uniform and sparse sieving.

# 32. MODULE EXAMPLE: SPECTRAL DUALIZATION AND ORTHONORMAL BASES IN SIEVELANG

This module enables the construction of dual sieves through orthonormal bases over residue classes or Satake parameters, enabling harmonic localization.

```
Module SpectralDualSieve:
    Inputs:
        Q : Max modulus
        a_n : Sequence[n]
        Basis[q] := { _q ^{(1)}, ..., _q ^{(r_q)}} // Orthonormal basis
        _q (i) := Weight coefficient for _q ^{(i)}

Definitions:
        Data[i, q] := Sum_{n} a_n * _q ^{(i)}(n)

Construction:
        SieveOperator := Sum_{q <= Q} Sum_{i=1}^{r_q} _q (i) * |Data[i, q]</pre>
```

### 32.2. Key Properties.

- Supports harmonic sieving using modular characters, eigenbases, or trace kernels.
- Admits a dual formulation through Fourier inversion or trace orthogonality.
- Can be extended with kernel learning algorithms to discover optimal  $\mu_q(i)$ .

#### 32.3. Use Cases.

- Sieve concentration in spectral windows (e.g. Maass forms of bounded Laplace eigenvalue).
- Detection of arithmetic structures via low-rank eigenmode projections.
- Interoperability with relative trace formulas through test function decomposition.

# 33. Module Example: Automorphic Hecke Sieve with Functorial Transfer Support

This module defines a sieve operator acting on automorphic forms through their Hecke eigenvalues, compatible with Langlands functorial transfers and structured for cross-group spectral analysis.

```
{\tt Module\ HeckeSieveFunctorial:}
```

33.2. Compatibility with Transfers. This module assumes  $\pi$  has a known functorial image  $\Pi$ , and defines the sieve in terms of  $\lambda_{\Pi}(p)$ . In practice:

$$\lambda_{\Pi}(p) = \operatorname{Trace}(r(\alpha_{\pi}(p))),$$

where  $\alpha_{\pi}(p) \in {}^{L}G$  are Satake parameters.

### 33.3. Applications.

- Compare sieve behaviors across Langlands lifts and base changes.
- Construct sieve-theoretic invariants preserved (or altered) by functoriality.
- Support analytic predictions about lifting effects on eigenvalue distribution and sieve sharpness.

# 34. Module Example: Sieve Entropy and Transfer Instability Detector

This module quantifies the entropy of Hecke eigenvalue distributions under a given sieve and detects instability patterns introduced by functorial transfers or degeneracies.

```
Module SieveEntropyAnalyzer:
```

## 34.2. Interpretation.

- Low entropy indicates concentrated spectral structure common in primitive or non-lifted forms.
- High entropy suggests spectral dispersion typically seen in base change, endoscopy, or composite transfers.

#### 34.3. Use Cases.

- Diagnostic tool for detecting hidden functorial behavior.
- Input feature in machine classification of automorphic types.
- Tracking stability of sieve efficiency across representation families.

Remark 34.1. This module connects sieve theory with information-theoretic metrics, allowing new bridges between arithmetic spectra and statistical analysis.

# 35. Module Example: Variational Weight Optimizer for Minimal Sieve Constants

This module formulates and solves the variational problem of minimizing a sieve constant over admissible weight functions, using either symbolic calculus or numerical approximation.

## 35.2. Solution Approaches.

- In symbolic mode: derive Euler–Lagrange equations for  $w^*(q)$ .
- In numeric mode: solve the convex optimization problem via gradient descent or interior-point methods.

### 35.3. Applications.

- Produces best-possible weights for large sieve inequalities in given contexts.
- Can dynamically adjust weights in AI-assisted sieve generation.
- Reveals structure of extremal distributions in moduli-based sieving.

Remark 35.1. This module can be coupled with SieveEntropyAnalyzer to produce weights that balance concentration and regularization.

## 36. Module Example: Duality Engine and Automatic Sieve Identity Discovery

This module automates the process of constructing dual sieve expressions and discovering analytical identities or inequalities based on orthogonality, symmetry, or functional duals.

Module SieveDualizer:

```
Inputs:
```

SieveOperator S := Sum\_{q} w(q) \* Sum\_{a mod q} | Sum\_n a\_n \* \_cOutput: DualOperator := Sum\_{n, m} a\_n \* a \_m \* K(n, m) where:

$$K(n, m) := Sum_{q} w(q) * Sum_{a mod q} _q (a, n) * _q (a, m)$$

36.2. **Mathematical Framework.** The kernel K(n, m) represents the dual (integral or matrix) kernel of the sieve operator. It satisfies:

$$\sum_{q} w(q) \sum_{a \bmod q} e\left(\frac{a(n-m)}{q}\right) = \text{dual convolution kernel}.$$

## 36.3. Automated Identity Discovery. By comparing:

OriginalOperator[
$$a_n$$
] vs. DualOperator[ $a_n$ ],

the module can:

- Discover and prove classical large sieve inequalities.
- Derive new symmetric or non-obvious identities for special weights.
- Validate dual bounds and reduce analytic expressions to bilinear forms.

### 36.4. Use Cases.

- Kernel extraction for analytic number theory estimates.
- Symbolic derivation of generalized Montgomery–Vaughan type bounds.
- Interface with Lean/Coq to automate proof-checkable derivations of sieve theorems.

## 37. Module Example: Sievelang Interfacing with Formal Proof Assistants

This module bridges SieveLang constructs with formal verification systems such as Lean, Coq, and Agda, enabling formalized, machine-checkable proofs of sieve-theoretic results.

## 37.2. Capabilities.

- Translates symbolic expressions (e.g., sums, weights, characters) into formal types and functions.
- Uses tagged logical axioms (e.g., orthogonality of roots of unity) encoded in target assistant.
- Encodes inequality proofs using formally verified tactics (e.g., Cauchy–Schwarz, summation bounds).

### 37.3. Use Cases.

Features:

- Generate Lean/Coq code for large sieve inequality proofs from SieveLang scripts.
- Provide trusted formal libraries of weighted and harmonic sieve identities.
- Support interactive exploration of sieve-theoretic objects in proof environments.

Remark 37.1. This module lays the foundation for a formal theory of sieving, connecting traditional analytic results to the verifiable infrastructure of modern type theory and logic.

# 38. Module Example: Graph-Based Sieve Composition and Dependency Visualization

This module represents sieve constructions as compositional graphs, allowing structural inspection, modular reuse, and visualization of symbolic dependencies between components.

```
Module SieveGraphComposer:

Nodes:

[Moduli], [Weights], [Characters], [Sequences], [Operators], [Du
Edges:

Directed arrows encoding dependency (e.g., Weights Operators
```

Annotate nodes with definitions, parameters, and provenance Automatically update graph under refinement Export to Graphviz / TikZ / JSON formats

38.2. **Graph Semantics.** Each node corresponds to a symbolic object in **SieveLang**; edges capture transformation or functional use. Examples:

```
HeckeEigenvalues \rightarrow [SieveSum] \rightarrow [EntropyMetric]
Weight[q] \rightarrow [Kernel[q]] \rightarrow [SieveInequality]
```

### 38.3. Applications.

- Track dependencies in multi-stage sieve refinements (e.g., hybrid or dual sieves).
- Visualize submodules used in complex proof derivations or optimization flows.
- Debug or verify equivalence of symbolic sieve systems under transformation.

Remark 38.1. This module enables meta-level insight into the internal structure of sieve systems, useful for theoretical analysis, documentation, and integration with automated compilers or UI frontends.

# 39. Module Example: Category-Theoretic Foundations for Sieve Composition

This module formalizes sieves and their compositions using categorical language, enabling modular abstraction, universal properties, and functorial behavior across sieve systems.

## 39.2. Functorial Lifting. Define a functor:

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathtt{SieveCategory} o \mathtt{ProofTermCategory}$$

that maps a symbolic sieve to its verified proof object in a formal system (e.g., Coq term or Lean theorem).

39.3. Monoidal Structure and Tensor Products. Let  $S_1 \otimes S_2$  denote the sieve acting on sequences  $a_n \cdot b_n$ , enabling parallel sieving or joint filtration. This equips the category with a symmetric monoidal structure:

$$(S_1 \otimes S_2)(n) := S_1(a_n) \cdot S_2(b_n).$$

### 39.4. Applications.

- Encode complex sieves as compositions of primitive morphisms.
- Derive universal constructions (e.g., colimits for maximal sieves).
- Define duality functors and adjoints via categorical duals.

Remark 39.1. This foundation enables the development of a higher-level algebra of sieves, unifying arithmetic filtration methods with abstract structural logic.

# 40. Module Example: Interactive Sieve Dashboard with Live Algebraic Feedback

This module describes a user-facing interface for dynamically constructing, visualizing, and experimenting with sieve systems in real time, with algebraic and analytic outputs automatically updated.

#### Module SieveDashboardUI:

#### Components:

```
Modulus Selector (Slider or Set Input)
Weight Function Editor (Graph/Formula Input)
Sequence Upload / Generator (manual or dataset)
Character Kernel Visualizer
Live Sieve Sum Display
Entropy / Norm / Constant Tracker
Dual View Toggle (primal dual operator)
```

## 40.2. Functionality.

- Render symbolic and numeric versions of current sieve.
- Display inequalities and constants in real-time LaTeX output.
- Support step-by-step derivations from symbolic inputs.
- Export generated sieves as SieveLang scripts or formal code.

#### 40.3. Interactive Features.

- Immediate updates of bounds when adjusting weights or sequences.
- Graph overlays comparing theoretical and empirical bounds.
- real-time diagnostic flags (e.g., high entropy alert, unstable dual behavior).

## 40.4. Applications.

- Educational platform for exploring analytic number theory.
- Experimental playground for new sieve models or weight heuristics.
- Research aid to test, visualize, and share symbolic inequalities.

Remark 40.1. The SieveDashboardUI serves as the interactive frontend of SieveLang, bridging human insight with symbolic logic and automated verification.

# 41. Module Example: Formal Ontology of Sieves in Mathematical Knowledge Graphs

This module defines a formal ontology for sieve-theoretic concepts, suitable for integration into mathematical knowledge graphs, semantic web systems, and proof-assistant-compatible databases.

#### Entities:

```
SieveOperator, WeightFunction, Modulus, ResidueClass, CharacterKernel, SpectralBasis, SieveConstant, EntropyMetric
```

#### Relations:

```
hasWeight(SieveOperator, WeightFunction)
supportedOn(SieveOperator, Modulus)
projectsTo(SieveOperator, SpectralBasis)
dominates(SieveOperator, SieveOperator) // inequality
dualOf(SieveOperator, SieveOperator)
equivalentTo(SieveOperator, SieveOperator)
```

### 41.2. Axioms and Inference Rules.

- Transitivity: If AB and BC, then AC.
- Functoriality: If A is dualOf B, then their constants satisfy dual bounds.
- Compatibility: If A and B share weight and kernel types, they can compose.

### 41.3. **Semantic Export.** The ontology can be exported as:

- OWL/RDF triples for semantic web integration,
- JSON-LD for web-based knowledge graphs,
- Typed declarations in Lean/Coq for formal reasoning.

## 41.4. Applications.

- Enables machine-readable classification of sieve systems.
- Facilitates interlinking of mathematical knowledge (e.g., from L-functions to sieves).
- Supports automatic theorem search, dependency tracing, and structured publishing.

Remark 41.1. This ontology elevates sieve theory from computational method to semantic object, allowing its integration into broader platforms of formalized and discoverable mathematics.

# 42. MODULE EXAMPLE: INTEGRATION OF SIEVELANG WITH AI RESEARCH ASSISTANTS AND PROMPT MODELS

This module enables interaction between SieveLang and large language models (LLMs) or theorem-proving AI agents, allowing automatic generation, critique, and refinement of sieve-theoretic constructs via prompt engineering and symbolic reasoning.

### Module AISieveInterface:

```
Inputs:
```

```
Prompt := Natura$L$-language or symbolic query (e.g., optimize Model := AI backend (GPT, Claude, CoqGPT, etc.)

Context := (Optional) current SieveLang module or definition

Tasks:
```

Translate prompt symbolic SieveLang AST
Invoke AI assistant with structured context
Parse AI-generated response into verified syntax or proof

### 42.2. Supported Capabilities.

- Generate new sieve modules from description (zero-shot or few-shot prompts).
- Critically assess and refine symbolic definitions based on mathematical heuristics.
- Suggest weight structures, dual formulations, or entropy analyses automatically.

• Augment formal proof steps with AI-generated lemma candidates or tactics.

#### 42.3. Interactive Workflow.

- User: "Suggest an optimal sieve for short interval primes mod a."
- System: Calls model, receives symbolic template with weighted moduli.
- $\bullet$  Interface: Verifies structure, visualizes it, and provides editable TeX + code.

## 42.4. Applications.

- AI-assisted theorem generation in analytic number theory.
- Continuous research environments where sieve theories evolve via prompt-dialogue cycles.
- Self-updating modules that improve based on AI or user feedback.

Remark 42.1. This module closes the loop between mathematical creativity, formal rigor, and symbolic programmability — defining the future of automated mathematical discovery in sieve theory and beyond.

# 43. Module Example: Universal Compositional Schema for All Known and Future Sieves

This module establishes a unified symbolic meta-framework that encodes all classical, modern, and potential future sieve methods as structured compositions of modular components.

#### Module UniversalSieveSchema:

#### Components:

```
Domain: Underlying object space ( , , _q [T], automotion signal: Sequence or function to be filtered

Kernel: Modulus-dependent or spectrum-dependent convolution

WeightSystem: Assigns scalar or functional weights to each

Objective: Optimization, projection, orthogonality, or anni
Output: Filtered signal, inequality bound, dual form, entro
```

# 43.2. Compositional Template. Any sieve instance S is a functorial composition:

 $S := \text{WeightSystem} \circ \text{Kernel} \circ \text{Signal},$ 

subject to an Objective that defines what is being bounded, optimized, or isolated.

## 43.3. Universality Claims.

- All classical sieves (Brun, Selberg, Eratosthenes, Linnik, Bombieri-Vinogradov, Gallagher, etc.) can be encoded.
- All harmonic and spectral sieves fit by using nontrivial kernel objects (e.g. characters, spherical functions).
- Future sieves can be formulated by composing novel Signal or Kernel types with standard Objective patterns.

## 43.4. Applications.

- Formal registry and classification of sieve techniques.
- Generative framework for new sieves by permuting schema components.
- Meta-analysis of the relationship between sieve efficiency and component interaction.

Remark 43.1. This module provides the universal design grammar from which all structured sieve systems emerge. It serves as the canonical backbone of SieveLang, supporting recursive abstraction, comparative taxonomy, and infinite extensibility.

## 44. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE BRUN SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

We now demonstrate how the classical Brun sieve is represented as a special case of the UniversalSieveSchema, using discrete moduli and support truncation as the filtering mechanism.

Instance BrunSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

44.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{1, 2, \dots, x\}$ , and  $\mathcal{P} := \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ . Then for any  $z \leq x$ , the Brun sieve outputs:

$$S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z) := \left| \left\{ n \le x : p \mid n \Rightarrow p > z \right\} \right| \le \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ d \text{ square-free}}} \mu(d) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor.$$

## 44.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- The Signal is uniform (counting measure),
- The Kernel is boolean (divisibility filter),
- The WeightSystem is Möbius-function-based,
- The Objective is elimination of small prime factors,
- The Output is the sieved upper estimate.

### 44.4. Role in the Universal Class. Brun's sieve represents:

- A non-spectral, boolean-kernel sieve,
- A precursor to the Selberg sieve's quadratic formulation,
- The combinatorial archetype for additive sieving via inclusion–exclusion.

Remark 44.1. Despite its simplicity, encoding Brun's sieve in the schema demonstrates the reduction of classical arithmetic filtration to compositional primitives, preparing the ground for structured generalization.

# 45. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE SELBERG SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

We now encode the Selberg sieve, a weighted quadratic refinement of Brun's sieve, using the UniversalSieveSchema. This version uses optimal coefficients to minimize the upper bound on the sifted set.

Instance SelbergSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain :=
Signal := Indicator function 1_{n } where [1, x]
Kernel := _d (n) := 1 if d n, else 0
WeightSystem := _d for square-free d D, to be optimize
Objective := Minimize: SieveSum := Sum_n (Sum_{d n} _d)^2
Output := Upper bound for | ( , , z) | in terms of _d
```

45.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $S := \{n \in A : p \mid n \Rightarrow p > z\}$ . Then the Selberg sieve produces:

$$|\mathcal{S}| \le \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \le D}} \lambda_d\right)^2,$$

with  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , and all  $\lambda_d$  optimized to minimize the bound, subject to support and orthogonality constraints.

## 45.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a finite set indicator over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,
- Kernel encodes divisor relations,
- WeightSystem is an optimized real-valued function over squarefree moduli.
- Objective is a quadratic minimization over convolution structure,
- Output is an inequality that bounds the sifted set size.

## 45.4. Role in the Universal Class. Selberg's sieve fits as:

- A prototype of variational and quadratic sieving,
- A bridge between combinatorial and analytic sieves,
- A precursor to spectral sieve formulations via bilinear forms.

Remark 45.1. The Selberg sieve's placement in the schema emphasizes the transition from boolean filters to quadratic forms, providing a universal template for modern weighted sieves and their optimization.

# 46. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE LARGE SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

We now encode the classical large sieve inequality into the UniversalSieveSchema, emphasizing its harmonic kernel, orthogonality, and spectral structure over additive characters.

Instance LargeSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Signal := Arbitrary complex sequence a_n supported on n N Kernel := _ {q,a}(n) := e(an/q) // additive character WeightSystem := w(q) := 1, uniform over q Q Objective := Bound the average of |Sum_n a_n e(an/q)|^2 over moduli Output := Inequality of the form: Sum_{q} Q Sum_{a} a_n e(an/q)|^2 (N + Q^2
```

46.2. Mathematical Form. The large sieve inequality asserts:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \ a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \bigg|^2 \le (N+Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2.$$

- 46.3. Interpretation within the Schema.
  - Signal is arbitrary and unrestricted,
  - Kernel is a family of additive characters (orthonormal system),
  - WeightSystem is trivial (equal weights),
  - Objective is bounding Fourier mass over moduli,
  - Output is a sharp inequality governing spectral dispersion.
- 46.4. Role in the Universal Class. The large sieve represents:
  - The harmonic dual of the Selberg sieve,
  - The foundation for analytic sieving in equidistribution,
  - A building block for hybrid and weighted sieves across domains.

Remark 46.1. Encoding the large sieve within the universal schema reveals its deep spectral roots and prepares the way for spectral, automorphic, and function field analogues through kernel generalization.

## 47. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE SPECTRAL SIEVE VIA AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

We now encode the spectral sieve — using automorphic forms and Hecke eigenvalues — into the UniversalSieveSchema. This example emphasizes non-abelian harmonic analysis and spectral test functions.

Instance AutomorphicSpectralSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Automorphic representations (G)

Signal := Hecke eigenvalue sequence { _ (p)}

Kernel := Spectral projector (e.g. test function on G(\ ))

WeightSystem := w(p), usually supported on primes

Objective := Control sums of _ (p) with respect to test functions

Output := Bounds or asymptotics for sums like Sum_p w(p) _ (p)
```

47.2. **Mathematical Form.** Given a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}(G)$ , and Hecke operators  $T_p$ , the spectral sieve bounds:

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{p < x} w(p) \cdot \lambda_{\pi}(p) \right|^{2} \le \left( \sum_{p < x} w(p)^{2} \right) \cdot \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} 1 + \text{error.}$$

- Signal is the collection of Hecke eigenvalues,
- Kernel corresponds to test functions acting via trace formula or inner products,
- WeightSystem modulates the prime support or spectral emphasis.
- Objective is variance reduction or isolation of forms,
- Output can be a bound, asymptotic, or limit distribution.

### 47.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes the large sieve to non-commutative harmonic analysis,
- Connects to trace formulas (Kuznetsov, Petersson, Arthur),
- Links analytic sieving with automorphic *L*-functions and Plancherel theory.

Remark 47.1. By encoding spectral sieves into the schema, we unify harmonic analytic sieving across classical and automorphic settings — enabling categorical and AI-assisted generalizations.

# 48. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE FUNCTION FIELD LARGE SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

We now encode the large sieve inequality over function fields (e.g.  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ ) into the UniversalSieveSchema, highlighting its parallel structure with the classical large sieve and its algebraic geometry foundation.

Instance FunctionFieldLargeSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Monic polynomials over _q [T] of degree n

Signal := Sequence {a_f} indexed by f _q [T]

Kernel := Additive character sums _P (f) over P _q [T] irred

WeightSystem := Uniform weight w(P) := 1 for deg P D

Objective := Bound: Sum_P Sum_ |Sum_f a_f (f)|^2

Output := Inequality of the form:

Sum_{deg P D} Sum_{ bmod P} |Sum_{deg f n} a_f (f)|

(q^n + q^{2D}) * Sum_f |a_f|^2
```

48.2. Mathematical Form. This version of the large sieve reads:

$$\sum_{\substack{P \text{ irreducible } \chi \bmod P \\ \deg P \le D}} \sum_{\text{deg } f \le n} a_f \chi(f) \bigg|^2 \ll (q^n + q^{2D}) \cdot \sum_{\text{deg } f \le n} |a_f|^2.$$

- Signal is the coefficient function on  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ ,
- Kernel uses nontrivial additive or multiplicative characters over residue rings,
- WeightSystem is uniform over prime moduli,
- Objective is orthogonality control across characters and moduli.
- Output is a norm inequality reflecting algebraic dispersion.

#### 48.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Admits cohomological proofs via étale sheaves and Grothendieck–Lefschetz,
- Provides algebraic analogues to the number field large sieve,
- Opens a path to motivic and geometric sieve formulations.

Remark 48.1. Encoding the function field large sieve in the schema highlights the categorical symmetry between analytic and algebro-geometric sieves, enabling translation of methods between domains.

# 49. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE WEIGHTED BOMBIERI-VINOGRADOV THEOREM

We encode the weighted version of the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem in the UniversalSieveSchema, which applies the large sieve philosophy to average equidistribution of primes in arithmetic progressions with modulated weights.

Instance WeightedBVTheorem inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := [1, x] // primes up to x

Signal := Prime counting function in progressions: (x; q, a)

Kernel := e(an/q), or Dirichlet characters mod q

WeightSystem := w(q) 0, supported on q Q

Objective := Estimate mean-square discrepancy of (x; q, a) over a

Output := Inequality:

Sum_{q} Q} w(q) * max_{(a,q)=1} | (x; q, a) - x/ (q)|^2

(x / log^A x) * Sum_{q} Q} w(q) * (q)
```

49.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\psi(x;q,a) := \sum_{n \equiv a \bmod q} \Lambda(n)$ . Then:

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} w(q) \cdot \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{x}{\phi(q)} \right|^2 \ll \frac{x^2}{\log^A x} \cdot \sum_{q \leq Q} w(q) \cdot \phi(q),$$

for any fixed A > 0, provided  $Q \le x^{1/2}/\log^B x$ .

- Signal is the discrepancy between actual and expected prime counts,
- Kernel arises from the orthogonality of characters or exponential sums,
- WeightSystem allows averaging over structured or restricted moduli,
- Objective targets mean-square cancellation of error terms,
- Output is a weighted mean-square bound revealing equidistribution.

#### 49.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Combines analytic prime counting with sieve-type averaging,
- Bridges the large sieve and the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (via averages),
- Is a prototypical application for entropy-adaptive weight selection.

Remark 49.1. Placing the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem in the schema highlights its functional duality — a large sieve inequality for primes and a quantitative statement about distribution regularity.

# 50. Formal Example: Encoding the Barban–Davenport–Halberstam Theorem

We now encode the Barban–Davenport–Halberstam (BDH) theorem, which refines the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem by bounding the *mean-square* of prime-counting discrepancies across all moduli and residue classes.

```
{\tt Instance} \ \ {\tt BDHTheorem} \ \ {\tt inherits} \ \ {\tt UniversalSieveSchema:}
```

#### 50.2. Mathematical Form.

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q}} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{x}{\phi(q)} \right|^2 \ll xQ \log^2 x,$$

for all  $Q \leq x$ , uniformly in x, where  $\psi(x; q, a)$  is the Chebyshev sum in arithmetic progression.

### 50.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the discrepancy function between prime counts and expectations,
- Kernel is the orthogonal projection across all characters mod q,
- WeightSystem may be flat or proportional to  $\phi(q)$ ,
- Objective is full second-moment control over residue classes,
- Output is a second-moment inequality, more refined than maxnorm bounds.

#### 50.4. Role in the Universal Class. This theorem:

- Reflects global uniformity of prime distribution,
- Illustrates variance compression under full orthogonality,
- Serves as a benchmark for sieve behavior in spectral decompositions.

Remark 50.1. In the universal schema, the BDH theorem provides a canonical example of harmonic completeness — a sieve where the signal is filtered via full Fourier orthogonality over a moduli group.

# 51. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING GALLAGHER'S LARGER SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

Gallagher's larger sieve provides an inequality in the opposite regime of the classical large sieve, optimized for sparse sets and large moduli. It is especially useful when the support set is small relative to the range of moduli.

51.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset [1, N]$  and suppose  $\mathcal{A} \mod q$  occupies fewer than  $\omega(q)$  residue classes for many  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then:

$$|\mathcal{A}| \le \frac{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \log q}{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{\omega(q)}{q}}.$$

## 51.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a sparse support set in [1, N],
- Kernel encodes residue class coverings modulo q,
- WeightSystem is logarithmic in q, non-uniform,
- Objective bounds cardinality given restricted congruence supports,
- Output is a universal inequality with combinatorial flavor.

#### 51.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Operates in the dual regime of the large sieve,
- Highlights minimal residue-class saturation in sparse sets,
- Bridges sieve theory with additive combinatorics and covering lemmas.

Remark 51.1. The larger sieve reveals the compositional flexibility of the schema: inequalities emerge not only from averaging or duality, but also from extreme cardinality constraints under modular projections.

# 52. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE HARMONIC SELBERG SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

We now encode the harmonic version of the Selberg sieve, where orthonormal spectral bases replace indicator functions, and the sieve weights are derived from inner product minimization over harmonic spaces.

Instance HarmonicSelbergSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Orthonormal basis { _j } of eigenfunctions on a modular subsignal := Sequence of coefficients a_j := f , _j for some for Kernel := Inner products weighted by a test function W(q, j) WeightSystem := _j , determined by quadratic minimization Objective := Minimize: Sum_j (Sum_q _q W(q, j))^2 over spectral in Output := Optimal sieve bound for selected Fourier-mass or Hecke-mass
```

52.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\{j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ , and suppose:

$$\mathcal{S}(f) := \sum_{j} \left| \sum_{q \leq Q} \lambda_q \cdot W(q, j) \cdot \langle f_{,j} \rangle \right|^2.$$

Then choose  $\lambda_q$  to minimize  $\mathcal{S}(f)$  subject to  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and support constraints.

## 52.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is spectral data  $\langle f,_i \rangle$ ,
- Kernel is spectral interaction W(q, j),
- WeightSystem arises from spectral optimization,
- Objective minimizes spectral leakage or target spectral energy,
- Output is a bound on filtered components of f in terms of  $\lambda_q$ .

### 52.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes Selberg's original sieve via harmonic projection,
- Connects sieve theory to automorphic spectral theory,
- Enables optimal filtering in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Remark 52.1. By incorporating harmonic analysis directly, this sieve shows that the universal schema accommodates both algebraic and spectral data under a single variational design framework.

# 53. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE KUZNETSOV TRACE FORMULA SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

We encode a sieve derived from the Kuznetsov trace formula, where sums over Kloosterman sums and Bessel transforms filter automorphic spectral components. This sieve is powerful for detecting cusp forms and bounding Fourier coefficients.

 ${\tt Instance} \ {\tt KuznetsovSieve} \ {\tt inherits} \ {\tt UniversalSieveSchema:}$ 

```
Domain := Modular forms or automorphic representations on GL(2) Signal := Fourier coefficients a_n(f) of cusp forms f Kernel := Kloosterman sum K(n, m; c) weighted by Bessel transform WeightSystem := Spectral test function h(t) used in the trace formul Objective := Estimate sums of |a_n(f)|^2 or a_n(f) a_m(f) via geometoutput := Explicit bounds or cancellation from oscillation of Kloost
```

53.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a basis of Hecke eigenforms. The Kuznetsov trace formula gives:

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \omega_f^{-1} \cdot \overline{a_n(f)} a_m(f) \cdot h(t_f) = \delta_{n=m} \cdot \text{main term} + \sum_{c>1} \frac{S(n, m; c)}{c} \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{nm}}{c}\right),$$

where S(n, m; c) is the Kloosterman sum and  $\Phi$  is derived from h via Bessel transform.

## 53.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is  $a_n(f)$ , tied to arithmetic or spectral queries,
- Kernel is oscillatory:  $S(n, m; c) \cdot \Phi$ ,
- WeightSystem arises from test functions h(t),
- Objective isolates spectral information via geometric data,
- Output enables estimates or identities for Fourier coefficients.

### 53.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Connects geometric and spectral sieve data through the trace formula,
- Provides a bilinear sieve in the spectral aspect,
- Enables applications in subconvexity, equidistribution, and gaps between primes.

Remark 53.1. The Kuznetsov sieve emphasizes duality: one sieves via trace on the spectral side, yet receives cancellation from arithmetic sums on the geometric side — a hallmark of the universal schema's depth.

# 54. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE DISPERSION METHOD IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

The dispersion method, developed by Linnik and extended by Fouvry and Bombieri–Friedlander–Iwaniec, is a sieve-theoretic technique that bounds bilinear forms via second moments and cancellation in arithmetic convolutions.

54.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $a_n = \sum_{d|n} \alpha_d$ ,  $b_n = \sum_{m|n} \beta_m$ . Then:

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_n b_n = \sum_{d,m} \alpha_d \beta_m \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{x}{[d,m]} \right\rfloor.$$

Bounding this requires controlling:

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{a \bmod q} \left| \sum_{n \le x} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2,$$

via the large sieve or its hybrid variants.

### 54.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the bilinear form  $\sum a_n b_n$ ,
- Kernel arises from multiplicative convolution structures,
- WeightSystem comes from coefficients in divisor or shifted convolutions.
- Objective is to detect cancellation via off-diagonal decay,
- Output is a second moment or bilinear inequality.

#### 54.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Embeds bilinear arithmetic information into analytic frameworks,
- Supports subconvexity, primes in arithmetic progressions, and twin prime estimates.
- Combines sieve weights with Fourier-analytic and combinatorial identities.

Remark 54.1. The dispersion method demonstrates the universal schema's flexibility to encode not only sieves as filters, but also sieves as comparative structures — measuring correlation or independence between multiplicative signals.

# 55. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE FRIEDLANDER-IWANIEC QUADRATIC SIEVE

The Friedlander–Iwaniec sieve enables prime detection in sequences defined by sparse quadratic forms, particularly forms like  $x^2+y^4$ , where classical sieves are too coarse. This example encodes their advanced bilinear sieve method.

Instance FIQuadraticSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Sequence {n = x^2 + y^4 : x, y, n X} Signal := Indicator function for almost primes or primes in the sequence {sequence} = Bilinear form a_m b_n with m n = x^2 + y^4 WeightSystem := Smooth cutoff on m, n with arithmetic weights Objective := Bound sums: Sum_{m,n} a_m b_n 1_{mn} = x^2 + y^4 Output := Asymptotic count for primes represented by the quadratic for
```

55.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $S(X) := \#\{n \leq X : n = x^2 + y^4 \text{ prime}\}$ . Using bilinear sums, one proves:

$$S(X) \gg \frac{X^{3/4}}{\log^2 X},$$

via advanced estimates for sums over arithmetic progressions and level of distribution beyond  $X^{1/2}$ .

## 55.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is sparsely supported on values of  $x^2 + y^4$ ,
- Kernel reflects multiplicative structure from bilinear representation,
- WeightSystem includes smoothing and factorization cutoffs,
- Objective is to isolate prime values within the sparse sequence,
- Output is a lower bound for prime occurrence, nontrivial even for very thin sets.

#### 55.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Extends the reach of analytic sieves to quadratic and algebraic structures,
- Demonstrates bilinear decompositions tailored to specific Diophantine forms,
- Integrates analytic number theory with Diophantine geometry and exponential sum control.

Remark 55.1. Encoding the Friedlander–Iwaniec sieve in the universal schema underscores its pioneering role in breaking the 1/2-barrier for sparse sequences and reaffirms the schema's expressive power for nonlinear and algebraic sieves.

# 56. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE MAYNARD—TAO SIEVE IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

The Maynard–Tao sieve isolates small gaps between primes by constructing combinatorially-optimized linear combinations of shifted prime indicators. It generalizes the GPY sieve and realizes bounded gap phenomena.

Instance MaynardTaoSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

56.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_k\}$  be an admissible set. Define:

$$\lambda_n := \left(\sum_{\substack{d_i \mid n+h_i \\ d_i \le R}} \mu(d_i) \log(R/d_i)\right)^2.$$

Then construct the sum:

$$S(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_n \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^k 1_{n+h_i \text{ prime}} \right),$$

and use variational optimization to ensure many n have multiple prime shifts.

### 56.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal encodes multiple shifted prime indicators,
- Kernel is quadratic in divisor weights and tailored to combinatorial tuples,
- WeightSystem is optimized to isolate multiplicity patterns,
- Objective is to amplify clusters of prime occurrence,
- Output gives lower bounds for small gaps or dense prime tuples.

### 56.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Advances beyond classical parity barriers by exploiting structure in tuples,
- Establishes a variational paradigm for prime gap problems,
- Interfaces with combinatorics, optimization, and distributional number theory.

**Remark 56.1.** The Maynard–Tao sieve exemplifies how the universal schema accommodates sieve constructions not purely analytic but also driven by combinatorial logic and global optimization.

The GPY sieve initiates the modern era of small gaps between primes by constructing a weighted sieve focused on detecting configurations where two or more shifts of n are simultaneously prime.

Instance GPYSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain :=
Signal := Indicator function for {n + h_i is prime for i = 1, ..., k
Kernel := Weighted sum over square-free divisors of linear shifts
WeightSystem := _d := (d) * g(log(R/d) / log R), compactly support
Objective := Estimate:
    Sum_n (n)^2 and Sum_n (n) * 1_{n + h_i prime}
Output := Bound showing multiple primes in short intervals or tuples
```

57.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$  be an admissible set. Define a sieve weight:

$$\lambda(n) := \sum_{d \mid \prod_{i=1}^k (n+h_i)} \lambda_d,$$

with  $\lambda_d$  supported on  $d \leq R$ , square-free, and satisfying certain optimization conditions. The goal is to show:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \theta(n+h_i) - \log x \right) \gg x \cdot \text{positive constant.}$$

## 57.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal represents configurations with potential multiple prime shifts
- Kernel is multiplicative and linear-shift sensitive,
- WeightSystem is chosen via mollifier-like optimization,
- Objective is to detect low-variance prime correlation in short intervals,
- Output is a lower bound indicating clustering of primes.

## 57.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Laid groundwork for the bounded gaps revolution,
- Showed that classical sieve limitations could be surpassed via weighting strategies,
- Highlights the interplay between analytic methods and fine combinatorial structure.

Remark 57.1. In the schema, GPY represents a shift from filtering individual primes to constructing sieves over structured patterns, governed by the arithmetic of linear forms and their overlap.

## 58. Formal Example: Encoding the $\beta$ -Sieve Framework

The  $\beta$ -sieve, developed by Rosser and later refined by Iwaniec, provides a flexible interpolation between upper and lower bound sieves, allowing precise control over sifting functions and error terms.

Instance BetaSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

58.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset [1, x]$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of primes < z. Then the  $\beta$ -sieve gives:

$$S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z) := |\{n \in \mathcal{A} : p \mid n \Rightarrow p \ge z\}| \approx \sum_{d \mid P(z)} \beta(d) \cdot r_d,$$

where  $r_d$  is a remainder term or local density, and  $\beta(d)$  are optimally chosen.

### 58.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a classical sieve configuration: integers free of small prime factors,
- Kernel is multiplicative over square-free moduli,
- WeightSystem adapts to optimize upper/lower bound approximations,
- Objective is accurate approximation of sifted sets with controlled error,
- Output bounds include sharp main terms and explicit remainder estimates.

#### 58.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes both Brun's and Selberg's methods,
- Serves as a flexible analytic tool for estimating sifted quantities in general settings,
- Admits asymptotic, numerical, and combinatorial interpretations under a unified framework.

**Remark 58.1.** Encoding the  $\beta$ -sieve in the universal schema reflects its central role in bridging theoretical optimality and practical computability — a paradigm sieve with both analytic depth and structural generality.

## 59. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE BUCHSTAB IDENTITY SIEVE

The Buchstab identity provides a recursive framework for expressing the count of integers without small prime factors. It serves both as a sieve equation and a differentia L-delay identity, foundational in density arguments and analytic number theory.

Instance BuchstabSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

59.2. Mathematical Form. The Buchstab identity reads:

$$\Psi(x,y) = \Psi(x,z) - \sum_{y$$

where  $\Psi(x,y)$  is the count of integers  $\leq x$  with no prime factor < y, and  $y < z \leq x$ .

### 59.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the function  $\Psi(x,y)$ ,
- Kernel is the recursive operator involving  $\Psi(x/p,p)$ ,
- WeightSystem arises via the iterative subtraction of lower levels,

- Objective is reduction of  $\Psi(x,y)$  to coarser scale approximations.
- Output is an exact identity useful for recursive estimation and analysis.

### 59.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Anchors the recursive structure of sieve decompositions,
- Enables smooth interpolation and delay-differential analysis in sieve theory,
- Serves as a backbone for deriving Dickman-type functions and smooth number densities.

Remark 59.1. Encoding the Buchstab identity in the schema highlights that even exact identities and functional recursions fall under the universal sieve framework, emphasizing compositional structure over estimation alone.

### 60. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE TURÁN POWER SIEVE

The Turán power sieve is a variant of sieve methods tailored to detecting high powers (e.g.,  $n=a^k$ ) within number-theoretic sequences. It is useful for bounding exponential sums and controlling exceptional sets in Waring-type problems.

Instance TuranPowerSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain :=
Signal := Indicator function for perfect k-th powers: n = a^k
Kernel := Character sums or exponential weights designed for root de
WeightSystem := w(n) = 1_{n = a^k} or smoothed version over dyadic i
Objective := Bound counts or densities of perfect powers in additive
Output := Upper bounds for rare power structures or control in additi
```

60.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $A_k(x) := \#\{n \leq x : n = a^k\}$ , and suppose we wish to bound such occurrences in a sequence. The power sieve uses character-theoretic methods to control:

$$\sum_{n < x} a_n \cdot 1_{n = a^k} \ll x^{1 - \delta},$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ , depending on the setup and weights  $a_n$ .

- Signal highlights algebraic patterns (perfect powers),
- Kernel may be tuned to root-detection via Gauss sums or Dirichlet characters,
- WeightSystem selects sparsely supported subsets,
- Objective is rare structure control within larger additive contexts.
- Output is typically an upper bound with exponential sum cancellation.

### 60.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Shows how sieve theory applies to algebraic structures beyond primes,
- Interacts with harmonic analysis through nontrivial root-detection,
- Offers combinatorial tools for bounding specia *L*-form solutions in Diophantine problems.

Remark 60.1. The power sieve demonstrates the adaptability of the universal schema to control nonlinear arithmetic patterns, integrating algebraic constraints with analytic filtering.

# 61. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE AFFINE LINEAR SIEVE (BOURGAIN-GAMBURD-SARNAK)

The affine linear sieve is a modern geometric sieve designed for orbits of group actions, especially in thin sets arising from non-abelian or arithmetic groups. It generalizes classical sieves to affine homogeneous dynamics.

```
Instance AffineLinearSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:
```

61.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be Zariski-dense, and  $\mathcal{O} = \Gamma \cdot x_0$ . Given a polynomial  $F : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ , the sieve yields:

$$\#\{x \in \mathcal{O} : F(x) \text{ prime or square-free}, ||x|| \le T\} \gg \frac{T^{\delta}}{\log^k T},$$

where  $\delta$  is the critical exponent of  $\Gamma$ .

# 61.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is supported on dynamically generated orbits,
- Kernel is defined via local congruence conditions modulo small primes,
- WeightSystem arises from expansion properties of  $\Gamma$  in finite quotients,
- Objective is to measure density of arithmetic conditions in orbits,
- Output is an asymptotic count in thin or sparse ambient sets.

#### 61.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes the combinatorial sieve to non-abelian group actions,
- Blends additive combinatorics, ergodic theory, and algebraic group theory,
- Extends sieving techniques to thin groups, expander families, and random walks.

**Remark 61.1.** The affine linear sieve is a canonical example of the universal schema's power: it brings together discrete group dynamics, loca*L*-global principles, and sieve-theoretic control into a single coherent structure.

# 62. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE SELBERG EIGENVALUE SIEVE

The Selberg eigenvalue sieve applies spectral theory to sieve problems by using eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on modular surfaces. It provides upper bounds on the number of elements in sets defined by both geometric and arithmetic constraints.

```
Instance SelbergEigenvalueSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema: Domain := Quotient space \ H with \ SL(2, ) \ Signal := Geometric function (e.g., indicator on geodesic arcs or se Kernel := Spectral decomposition via Maass forms and Eisenstein seri WeightSystem := Spectral coefficients derived from eigenvalues _j Objective := Estimate quantities like: \ N(x) := #{ : condition( ) holds, norm } x} Output := Spectral bound for N(x) via Parseva$L$-type identities
```

62.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let f be a function on  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ , decomposed as:

$$f = \sum_{j} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j + \text{continuous spectrum},$$

where  $\{\phi_j\}$  are Laplace eigenfunctions. Then the sieve counts:

$$N_f(T) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \|\gamma\| \le T}} f(\gamma),$$

and is bounded via:

$$N_f(T) \ll T^{2(1-\theta)}$$
 if  $\lambda_j \ge \theta(1-\theta)$ .

# 62.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a function on a modular surface, encoding constraints,
- Kernel is derived from spectral expansion and orthogonality,
- WeightSystem is governed by the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$ ,
- Objective is spectral estimation of lattice point counts,
- Output is a quantitative upper bound linked to the smallest eigenvalue.

### 62.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Connects sieve theory with automorphic representation theory,
- Quantifies cancellation in orbit counts using spectral gaps,
- Is foundational in applications to geometry, quantum chaos, and lattice distribution.

Remark 62.1. The Selberg eigenvalue sieve showcases how spectral information directly regulates sieve power — a principle deeply embedded in the universal schema for harmonic filtration.

# 63. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE HYPERBOLA METHOD SIEVE

The hyperbola method is a classical analytic tool used to decompose convolutions, especially those arising from divisor-type functions. It provides approximate formulas by partitioning summation domains via the inequality  $m \leq \sqrt{x}$ ,  $n \leq x/m$ .

Instance HyperbolaMethodSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := (m, n) with mn x

Signal := Arithmetic convolution a_n = (f * g)(n)

Kernel := Splitting of summation into m x and n x/m (and WeightSystem := Indicator weights or smooth partitions of unity Objective := Estimate:
```

63.2. **Mathematical Form.** Given  $a_n = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)$ , the total sum becomes:

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_n = \sum_{m \le \sqrt{x}} f(m) \sum_{n \le x/m} g(n) + \sum_{n \le \sqrt{x}} g(n) \sum_{m \le x/n} f(m) - \sum_{m \le \sqrt{x}} f(m)g(x/m).$$

# 63.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is an arithmetic convolution over  $mn \leq x$ ,
- Kernel is the combinatorial domain split (hyperbolic cut),
- WeightSystem applies to dual partitions  $m \leq \sqrt{x}$  and  $n \leq x/m$ ,
- Objective is decomposition into symmetric or tractable summands,
- Output includes leading-order terms and error bounds.

### 63.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Allows analytic estimation of convolutions (e.g. divisor function, Möbius inverses),
- Is often paired with Dirichlet series and Perron formula techniques,
- Functions as an auxiliary sieve technique for bounding shifted sums.

Remark 63.1. The hyperbola method fits within the universal sieve schema as a geometric reindexing sieve — it reshapes summation structure without weight modification, enabling dual estimation strategies.

# 64. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE COMBINATORIAL INCLUSION–EXCLUSION SIEVE

The inclusion–exclusion sieve is the foundational sieve method based purely on set theory. It exactly counts the size of sifted sets by summing over intersections with alternating signs.

64.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ , and define  $P = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p$ . Then:

$$|\mathcal{A}_P| = \sum_{d|P} \mu(d) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_d|,$$

where  $A_d := \{a \in A : d \mid a\}$  and  $\mu$  is the Möbius function.

# 64.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is combinatorial support over the base set A,
- Kernel is the inclusion-exclusion Möbius summation over divisors,
- WeightSystem is fixed and universal:  $\mu(d)$ ,
- Objective is to compute exactly the cardinality of sifted elements,
- Output is an exact formula, not an inequality.

#### 64.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Forms the algebraic backbone of all sieving logic,
- Demonstrates the duality between combinatorics and multiplicative functions,
- Appears in all higher-order and weighted sieve decompositions.

Remark 64.1. As the most fundamental object in the universal schema, the inclusion–exclusion sieve defines the skeleton upon which all analytic, spectral, and variational sieves are recursively built.

# 65. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE ERATOSTHENES SIEVE AS A DYNAMIC PROCESS

The Eratosthenes sieve is the historical prototype of all sieve methods. Though often treated as a mechanical algorithm, it admits a dynamic reinterpretation as a recursive filtration over natural numbers.

Output := Dynamic table of marked (composite) and unmarked (prime)

65.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $S_0 := \{2, 3, ..., x\}$ . For each prime  $p \leq \sqrt{x}$ , update:

$$\mathcal{S}_p := \mathcal{S}_{p^-} \setminus \{ mp : mp \le x, m \ge 2 \}.$$

At the end,  $\bigcap_{p < \sqrt{x}} S_p = \text{Primes} \cap [2, x].$ 

# 65.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the identity map on [2, x], gradually refined,
- Kernel is the deletion operator mod p,
- WeightSystem is binary: delete or retain per pass,
- Objective is exact filtration of prime indices,
- Output is an ordered listing of primes up to x.

### 65.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Demonstrates sieving as a dynamic, recursive computation,
- Inspires recursive or iterative formulations of modern sieves (e.g. Buchstab),
- Remains optimal in bit-level primality generation.

Remark 65.1. Though simple, Eratosthenes' sieve becomes a canonical "algorithmic object" in the universal schema — providing a foundation not only for number-theoretic filtering but also for discrete-time sieve logic.

# 66. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE TWIN PRIME SIEVE VIA HARDY—LITTLEWOOD CIRCLE METHOD

The Hardy–Littlewood circle method can be adapted as a sieve to detect twin primes or bounded prime gaps by analyzing exponential sums over the unit circle and estimating major/minor arc contributions.

Instance TwinPrimeCircleSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain :=

Signal := Indicator for n and n+2 both prime: 1_{n} and n+2

Kernel := Bilinear exponential sums S() := Sum_{n} x} (n)

WeightSystem := Partition of unity over [0, 1): major arcs +

Objective := Evaluate:

_0 ^1 S() e(-n) d singular series main term

Output := Asymptotic for twin prime count (or bounded gaps)
```

# 66.2. Mathematical Form. Define the exponential sum:

$$S(\alpha) := \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+2) e(n\alpha),$$

and integrate:

$$\pi_2(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+2) \sim \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha.$$

Using major/minor arc analysis and singular series  $\mathfrak{S}(2)$ , one approximates:

$$\pi_2(x) \sim \mathfrak{S}(2) \cdot \frac{x}{\log^2 x}.$$

# 66.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the prime-pair correlation  $\Lambda(n)\Lambda(n+2)$ ,
- Kernel is the Fourier transform (circle method),
- WeightSystem is spectral partition: major vs. minor arcs,
- Objective is to extract mean-square concentration around rational arcs,
- Output is an explicit asymptotic or lower bound for twin primes.

#### 66.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Blends additive Fourier analysis with multiplicative prime behavior,
- Demonstrates how analytic continuation and contour partitioning simulate sieving,
- Highlights spectral and bilinear approaches to deep prime correlation problems.

Remark 66.1. The twin prime circle method sieve shows that even advanced analytic constructions fit into the universal schema — confirming that sieves are not just filters, but spectral projectors for arithmetic structures.

#### 67. Formal Example: Encoding the Pretentious Sieve

The pretentious approach to analytic number theory, developed by Granville and Soundararajan, enables a sieve-like control over multiplicative functions by measuring their distance from "structured" characters such as Dirichlet characters or  $n^{it}$ .

Instance PretentiousSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

# 67.2. Mathematical Form. Define the pretentious distance:

$$D(f, g; x)^{2} := \sum_{p \le x} \frac{1 - \operatorname{Re}(f(p)\overline{g(p)})}{p}.$$

Then for 1-bounded multiplicative f, one has:

$$\left| \sum_{n \le x} f(n) \right| \ll x \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}D(f, g; x)^2\right) + \text{error.}$$

# 67.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a general multiplicative function,
- Kernel is a nonlinear metric on primes capturing deviation from structure.
- WeightSystem is intrinsic via primes and functional comparison.
- Objective is to classify large vs. small sums via approximation,
- Output is a dichotomy: cancellation unless  $f \approx g$ .

### 67.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes classical sieves to multiplicative function classification,
- Unifies sieve bounds, Dirichlet character sums, and zero-free region logic,
- Introduces geometry of functions on the primes as a sieve mechanism.

Remark 67.1. The pretentious sieve exemplifies the universal schema's capacity to absorb nonlinear and distance-based filtering methods—replacing combinatorics with geometry in arithmetic function space.

# 68. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE POLYNOMIAL SIEVE OF HEATH-BROWN

Heath-Brown's polynomial sieve is a higher-dimensional sieve technique that targets solutions to polynomial equations, especially in Diophantine and analytic geometry contexts. It generalizes classical sieves using auxiliary polynomial systems.

Instance HeathBrownPolynomialSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := ^n, tuples ( x , ..., x_n) bounded in boxes Signal := Indicator for solutions to P( x , ..., x_n) = 0 Kernel := Evaluation of P modulo primes p z and polynomial factor weight System := Based on the number of solutions mod p, and smooth of Objective := Bound number of integer solutions subject to mod-p filt Output := Upper bound or asymptotic for # of ( x , ..., x_n) B
```

68.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ . For a box  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , define:

$$N(B) := \#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B : P(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}.$$

Then under suitable non-degeneracy conditions, the sieve bounds:

$$N(B) \ll \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(B)}{(\log z)^A} + \text{error terms},$$

where the filtration is via mod p obstructions.

### 68.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the zero set of a fixed polynomial over integers,
- Kernel is local information from the fibers  $P(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,
- WeightSystem is geometric, derived from solution densities mod
- Objective is rare-event detection: integer zeros in large boxes,
- Output is an upper bound under uniform mod p filtering constraints.

#### 68.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Brings Diophantine geometry into the sieve framework,
- Encodes rational points on varieties through analytic moduli reduction,
- Connects with lattice point counting, determinant methods, and arithmetic statistics.

Remark 68.1. Heath-Brown's polynomial sieve exemplifies the highdimensional generalizations supported by the universal schema — where geometry, congruence filtering, and analytic bounds work in concert.

# 69. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE LEVEL OF DISTRIBUTION IN THE UNIVERSAL SCHEMA

The concept of level of distribution quantifies how far a sequence, such as the primes, can be evenly distributed among residue classes. It is foundational in evaluating the strength of sieve bounds and in applications like Bombieri–Vinogradov.

Instance LevelOfDistributionFilter inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

69.2. **Mathematical Form.** We say the sequence  $a_n$  has level of distribution  $\theta$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists A > 0 such that:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le x^{\theta - \epsilon} \ (a, q) = 1}} \max_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \bmod q}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \bmod q}} a_n - \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{n \le x} a_n \right| \ll \frac{x}{\log^A x}.$$

# 69.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the arithmetic function whose equidistribution is tested,
- Kernel is the deviation in residue classes across moduli,
- WeightSystem is uniform over small moduli up to  $x^{\theta}$ ,
- Objective is to find the maximal  $\theta$  such that sieve estimates remain valid,
- Output is an effective or conjectural value of  $\theta$ .

#### 69.4. Role in the Universal Class. This filtration:

- Determines the sharpness of sieve inequalities across different sequences,
- Reflects the depth of available cancellation in analytic number theory,

• Underlies conditional results (e.g. Elliott–Halberstam) and their impact on bounded gaps.

Remark 69.1. In the universal schema, level of distribution appears as a dynamic threshold regulating sieve reach: the farther a sequence spreads evenly, the more powerful the filtration via arithmetic moduli becomes.

# 70. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE ELLIOTT-HALBERSTAM CONJECTURE

The Elliott–Halberstam conjecture posits that primes exhibit cancellation in arithmetic progressions uniformly up to almost full level of distribution, beyond what is accessible through the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem.

 ${\tt Instance} \ \ {\tt ElliottHalberstamConjecture} \ \ {\tt inherits} \ \ {\tt UniversalSieveSchema:}$ 

70.2. **Mathematical Form.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and A > 0, there exists a constant  $C = C(\epsilon, A)$  such that:

$$\sum_{q \le x^{1-\epsilon}} \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{x}{\phi(q)} \right| \le C \cdot \frac{x}{\log^A x},$$

where 
$$\psi(x; q, a) := \sum_{n \equiv a \mod q} \Lambda(n)$$
.

# 70.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the von Mangoldt function a proxy for primes,
- Kernel is maximal residue class deviation over moduli,
- WeightSystem is uniform up to  $x^{1-\epsilon}$ ,
- Objective is to extend uniformity to full distribution range,
- Output is a conjectural exponentia *L*-level cancellation.

# 70.4. Role in the Universal Class. This conjectural sieve:

- Defines the theoretical limit of sieve efficiency under GRH-like behavior,
- Bridges prime distribution with zero-density estimates and L-function theory,
- Underpins modern progress in bounded gaps between primes (Zhang, Maynard, Tao).

**Remark 70.1.** The Elliott–Halberstam conjecture exemplifies a sieve at its maximal filtration depth — encoding ideal spectral cancellation and revealing the deepest implications of the universal schema for prime equidistribution.

# 71. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE WEIGHTED LARGE SIEVE FOR DIRICHLET CHARACTERS

This version of the large sieve controls exponential or character sums over nontrivial Dirichlet characters, with flexible weight functions. It generalizes the classical large sieve by incorporating modulated and localized weights.

 $In stance \ \ Weighted Character Large Sieve \ inherits \ \ Universal Sieve Schema:$ 

71.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $a_n$  be complex coefficients and w(n) a weight function. Then:

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le Q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} \sum_{\substack{n \le N}} a_n \chi(n) w(n) \bigg|^2 \ll (N + Q^2) \cdot \sum_{n \le N} |a_n|^2 w(n)^2.$$

# 71.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a test sequence  $a_n$ ,
- Kernel is multiplicative character correlation,
- WeightSystem is local modulation via w(n),

- Objective is to control average orthogonality in weighted environments,
- Output is a uniform bound for all moduli  $\leq Q$ .

#### 71.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Combines orthogonality of Dirichlet characters with analytic weights,
- Enhances flexibility in analytic number theory via localization,
- Forms the foundation for modern harmonic sieve designs.

Remark 71.1. The weighted large sieve illustrates the power of the universal schema to integrate harmonic filtration and functional localization — essential for hybrid sieves, sparse equidistribution, and deep L-function applications.

# 72. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE BILINEAR LARGE SIEVE INEQUALITY

The bilinear large sieve extends classical sieve bounds to bilinear forms involving two sequences. It captures correlations between arithmetic structures and is central to modern analytic number theory and spectral analysis.

72.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $a_m, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , and consider:

(MN + Q)

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \left| \sum_{m \le M} \sum_{n \le N} a_m b_n \chi(mn) \right|^2 \ll (MN + Q^2) \cdot \left( \sum_{m \le M} |a_m|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n \le N} |b_n|^2 \right).$$

- Signal is a product structure  $a_m b_n$ ,
- Kernel reflects multiplicative correlation (via  $\chi(mn)$  or  $e(mn\alpha)$ ),
- WeightSystem may modulate m, n via smooth functions,
- Objective is bounding dual norm interactions over moduli,
- Output is a bilinear inequality for sieve energy control.

### 72.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes linear sieve inequalities to coupled structures,
- Enables cancellation control in Rankin–Selberg convolutions,
- Serves as the analytic foundation for zero-density estimates, prime gaps, and L-function moments.

Remark 72.1. The bilinear large sieve highlights the universal schema's ability to encode interaction, not just filtration — capturing the structure of cofactor correlations and duaL-variable arithmetic.

#### 73. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE HARMAN SIEVE

The Harman sieve replaces traditional averaging methods with short exponential sums and Diophantine approximation, enabling applications where classical leve L-of-distribution arguments are insufficient.

Instance HarmanSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain :=
Signal := Indicator function for primes or almost primes
Kernel := Short exponential sums or Diophantine approximations to
WeightSystem := Coefficients adapted to exponential sum bounds
Objective := Detect prime values or sifted sets with minimal reliance
Output := Inequality or lower bound via minor arc decomposition and
```

73.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\theta(n)$  be a weight approximating the indicator of primes. Then, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the method involves estimating:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} \theta(n) e(n\alpha),$$

with  $\alpha$  near a rational a/q but outside major arcs. Harman's innovation is to extract distribution information using short sums like:

$$\sum_{M < m \le 2M} \sum_{N < n \le 2N} a_m b_n e(\alpha m n).$$

- Signal targets sparsely supported sequences (primes, almost primes),
- Kernel exploits local exponential phases rather than residue classes,
- WeightSystem is dynamically chosen for minor arc suppression,
- Objective is distributional detection without full level of distribution,
- Output is a sharp bound or density result derived from local arithmetic.

#### 73.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Sidesteps classical large sieve bounds by using local approximants,
- Applies to Diophantine sets where global averaging fails,
- Connects exponential sum theory with sieving through dual analytic approximations.

Remark 73.1. The Harman sieve illustrates that the universal schema encompasses not only orthogonal projections and variational filters, but also analytic localization through fine structure of exponential sums and continued fractions.

#### 74. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE ROSSER-IWANIEC SIEVE

The Rosser–Iwaniec sieve is a refinement of combinatorial sieving that provides optimal upper and lower bounds for sifted sets using wel *L*-chosen sieve weights. It balances precision with computability and is especially useful in binary problems.

```
Instance RosserIwaniecSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:
```

74.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $A \subset [1, x]$ , and define:

$$S^{\pm}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z) := \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ d \mid \overline{P}(z)}} \lambda_d^{\pm} r_d,$$

where  $r_d := \#\{n \in \mathcal{A} : d \mid n\}$  and  $\lambda_d^{\pm}$  are Rosser-Iwaniec upper and lower bound weights.

# 74.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the raw sequence A,
- Kernel is built from inclusion-exclusion over  $d \mid P(z)$ ,
- WeightSystem optimizes error minimization and sharpness of bounds,
- Objective is to produce computable and rigorous bounds from limited data,
- Output is a controlled sieve estimate, either upper or lower.

## 74.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Unifies and sharpens classical combinatorial sieves (Brun, Selberg, -sieve),
- Provides a model for constructing extremal sieve weights with provable performance,
- Has been instrumental in problems involving twin primes, almost primes, and Goldbach-type questions.

Remark 74.1. The Rosser–Iwaniec sieve marks the apex of weighted combinatorial sieving within the universal schema — balancing abstract optimality with computational explicitness in prime filtration problems.

#### 75. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE DENSE SUBSET SIEVE

The dense subset sieve is a combinatoria L-analytic tool used to detect arithmetic properties within subsets of integers (e.g., dense subsets of primes or the integers). It is foundational in additive combinatorics and ergodic theory approaches.

 $In stance \ \ Dense Subset Sieve \ inherits \ \ Universal Sieve Schema:$ 

```
Domain := or / N

Signal := Indicator function 1_{n A}, where A is a subset with |

Kernel := Correlation with linear or polynomial forms

WeightSystem := Structured decomposition: A = A_{struct} + A_{unifor Objective} := Detect configurations (e.g., APs) or primality within A

Output := Lower bounds or density increments via inverse theorems
```

75.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $A \subset [1, N]$  with density  $\delta > 0$ . Use Fourier or Gowers norms to decompose:

$$1_A(n) = f_{struct}(n) + f_{uniform}(n),$$

and analyze:

$$\sum_{x,d} f(x)f(x+d)f(x+2d) \quad \text{(3-AP count)}.$$

Use sieve-type arguments to transfer structure from  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  to primes or sparse sets.

# 75.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the indicator of a dense subset,
- Kernel arises from pattern detection (linear forms),
- WeightSystem is a soft decomposition based on Fourier or uniformity norms,
- Objective is to detect or transfer arithmetic structure (e.g., 3-APs),
- Output is a lower bound or structural theorem.

#### 75.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Connects sieve theory with additive combinatorics (Szemerédi's theorem, Green-Tao),
- Provides a framework for relative sieves and density transfer,
- Plays a central role in higher-order Fourier analysis.

Remark 75.1. The dense subset sieve shows that in the universal schema, even qualitative and structural results belong — where signal complexity and uniformity modulate sieving power rather than just arithmetic frequency.

# 76. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE GPY-MAYNARD MATRIX SIEVE

The GPY–Maynard matrix sieve generalizes the classical GPY framework by encoding sieve weights into matrix formulations. This allows optimization over variational problems in higher-dimensional configurations of prime tuples.

Instance MatrixFormGPYSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

76.2. **Mathematical Form.** Define  $\lambda$ -support vectors associated to divisor structures over tuples. The sieve produces expressions of the form:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \left( \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_k} \lambda_{d_1, \dots, d_k} \cdot 1_{d_i | n + h_i} \right)^2,$$

and optimizes over  $\lambda$  using matrices A, B representing quadratic and linear forms.

# 76.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the configuration of prime-shifted tuples,
- Kernel is quadratic, encoding divisor interactions,
- WeightSystem is encoded in matrix variables  $\lambda$ ,
- Objective is a variational ratio maximizing concentration,
- Output is a bound on multiple-prime configurations.

#### 76.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Embeds optimization theory into the structure of arithmetic sieving,
- Connects eigenvalue problems and convex programming with prime density theorems,
- Forms the core analytic mechanism behind bounded prime gap results.

Remark 76.1. The matrix formulation of the GPY–Maynard sieve illustrates that the universal schema naturally expands into high-dimensional linear algebra — where optimization landscapes guide prime detection.

#### 77. Formal Example: Encoding the Sato-Tate Sieve

The Sato—Tate sieve applies to sequences arising from algebraic or automorphic objects, such as elliptic curves or modular forms, by filtering their local data (e.g., Frobenius traces) against statistical predictions from the Sato—Tate distribution.

Instance SatoTateSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

Domain := Primes p x

Signal := a\_p := trace of Frobenius at p for an elliptic curve or mo

Kernel := Comparison against the S at o Tate law via test functions

WeightSystem := Smooth test function on [-1,1] or [0, ], via \_p =

Objective := Measure deviation of empirical distribution from S at o

Output := Bound:

 $_{-}$  {p x} f(  $_{p}$  ) x f( ) d  $_{-}$ {ST}( ) + error

77.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve without CM. Then  $a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Define:

$$_{p} := \arccos\left(\frac{a_{p}}{2\sqrt{p}}\right),$$

and for test function f, the Sato-Tate sieve approximates:

$$\sum_{p < x} f(p) \approx x \cdot \int_0^{\pi} f(1) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 d.$$

# 77.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the arithmetic sequence  $a_p$  derived from algebraic geometry,
- Kernel is the projection onto a test function f(),
- WeightSystem reflects density modulation via f,
- Objective is spectral or distributional convergence to the Sato-Tate measure,
- Output is an analytic discrepancy or convergence bound.

#### 77.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Filters arithmetic sequences through non-uniform statistical laws,
- Connects Galois representations with random matrix theory,
- Enables detection of bias or equidistribution failure in Frobenius traces.

Remark 77.1. The Sato-Tate sieve exemplifies how the universal schema integrates statistical and spectral sieving — projecting algebraic data against conjectural or proven probabilistic laws.

# 78. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE PRIME POLYNOMIAL SIEVE OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

This sieve detects irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$  analogous to primes over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It applies analytic and algebraic methods adapted to the

structure of function fields, enabling equidistribution and twin-prime analogues.

78.2. **Mathematical Form.** The function field prime polynomial theorem gives:

$$\pi_q(n) := \#\{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \text{ monic, deg } n : f \text{ irreducible}\} = \frac{q^n}{n} + O\left(\frac{q^{n/2}}{n}\right).$$

More generally, for arithmetic conditions (e.g. twin irreducibles), use character sums and cohomological techniques.

#### 78.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the irreducibility filter over polynomials,
- Kernel uses trace formulae or character sums over finite rings,
- WeightSystem may include norm-based or degree-sensitive modifiers.
- Objective is analytic or cohomological enumeration of primes,
- Output includes asymptotics, equidistribution, and error bounds.

#### 78.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Embeds classical analytic techniques into the setting of algebraic curves,
- Facilitates uniform distribution results over  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ ,
- Supports analogues of Bombieri-Vinogradov, twin primes, and more over function fields.

Remark 78.1. The prime polynomial sieve highlights the algebraic extensibility of the universal schema — where irreducibility replaces primality, and cohomological tools replace L-function theory.

# 79. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE ALGEBRAIC SIEVE VIA ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY

This sieve detects arithmetic properties of schemes over finite fields using the trace formula and étale cohomology. It generalizes the Lefschetz fixed point method and Deligne's purity theorem to sieve-theoretic applications.

 ${\tt Instance} \ \ {\tt EtaleCohomologySieve} \ \ inherits \ \ {\tt UniversalSieveSchema:}$ 

```
Domain := Varieties X/ _q , or sheaves over X  
Signal := Trace of Frobenius on cohomology groups H_c^i(X), )  
Kernel := Frobenius action Frob_q: x x^q  
WeightSystem := Weil weights from eigenvalues of Frobenius  
Objective := Estimate:  
\#X(q) = \{i\} (1)^i Tr(Frob_q \mid H_c^i(X), ))  
Output := Exact point counts, error terms, and cancellation estimate
```

79.2. **Mathematical Form.** The Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula gives:

$$#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_q \mid H_c^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{F})).$$

Deligne's theorem controls eigenvalues: they are algebraic numbers of complex absolute value  $q^{i/2}$  if  $\mathcal{F}$  is pure of weight 0.

#### 79.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the cohomological structure of the variety or sheaf,
- Kernel is the Frobenius morphism acting on cohomology,
- WeightSystem arises from eigenvalues and purity weights,
- Objective is exact or asymptotic point counting via trace methods,
- Output includes error control and cancellation over moduli.

#### 79.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Extends sieving to the cohomological and sheaf-theoretic domain.
- Enables motivic and representation-theoretic counting formulas,
- Connects sieve theory with the Langlands program and étale sheaf theory.

Remark 79.1. The étale cohomology sieve shows that the universal schema absorbs not only analytic and combinatorial sieves, but also the full power of sheaf-theoretic and topological counting in arithmetic geometry.

# 80. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE MOTOHASHI-PINTZ-ZHANG DECOMPOSITION SIEVE

The Motohashi-Pintz-Zhang decomposition technique separates arithmetic sums into structured and unstructured components to improve bounds on prime gaps and detect deep cancellation.

Instance MPZDecompositionSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain :=
Signal := Arithmetic sequence a_n (e.g., (n), 1 _ (n), or shifted
Kernel := Decomposition into Type I (structured), Type II (bilinear)
WeightSystem := Dyadic ranges for convolution variables (m, n, k)
Objective := Optimize cancellation and save in:
    S := \{n \ x\} \ a_n \ 1_{n + n_i \ prime}
Output := Inequality with power-saving error in distribution
```

80.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $a_n = \Lambda(n) \cdot w(n)$ . The sieve splits:

```
a_n = \text{Type I part} + \text{Type II part} + \text{Type III part},
```

where:

- Type I:  $a_n = \sum_{m \leq M} \alpha_m \cdot \beta_{n/m}$ , Type II:  $a_n = \sum_{m \sim M, n \sim N} \alpha_m \beta_n \cdot \delta_{mn=n}$ ,
- Type III: highly unstructured, controlled by deep analytic tools.

#### 80.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is an arithmetic weight approximating the primes,
- Kernel is decomposition into convolutional patterns,
- WeightSystem localizes via dyadic decomposition and smooth weights,
- Objective is to gain cancellation in hard regimes via structure separation,
- Output is a distributional bound with power-saving in x.

# 80.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Introduces a new meta-sieve structure by decomposing the input signal itself,
- Enables fine tuning of sieve behavior based on local complexity,
- Was critical in achieving Zhang's initial bounded gaps between primes.

Remark 80.1. The MPZ sieve illustrates the recursive depth of the universal schema — where sieving is not only external filtration but internal restructuring of the arithmetic sequence into controllable analytic components.

#### 81. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE ENTROPY SIEVE

The entropy sieve reframes the problem of detecting arithmetic structure in terms of entropy minimization or divergence from uniformity. It combines information theory, probability, and sieve logic to quantify irregularity and structure.

Instance EntropySieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := or finite probability space ( , )

Signal := Distribution (n), typically from weighted arithmetic obj

Kernel := Comparison to reference model (n), e.g., uniform, i.i.d.

WeightSystem := Log-likelihood ratio log( (n)/ (n)), or relative e

Objective := Estimate:

D( ) := _n (n) log( (n)/ (n))

Output := Deviation bound, entropy inequality, or structural dichoto
```

81.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be probability measures on the same set. Then:

$$D(\mu \| \nu) = \sum_{n} \mu(n) \log \left( \frac{\mu(n)}{\nu(n)} \right)$$

measures how far  $\mu$  deviates from  $\nu$ . The sieve detects when  $D(\mu \| \nu)$  is large — indicating detectable structure or non-randomness.

# 81.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a distribution on arithmetic inputs,
- Kernel is the information-theoretic comparison with a reference model.
- WeightSystem arises from divergence expressions or entropy integrals,

- Objective is to detect deviation from ideal (uniform, random) behavior,
- Output is a quantifier of irregularity, or a sieve-induced compression.

#### 81.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Provides a dual viewpoint: structure = compression,
- Enables sieve-style estimates in probabilistic and machine-learning contexts,
- Bridges number theory, statistical mechanics, and algorithmic inference.

Remark 81.1. The entropy sieve shows that the universal schema encompasses even probabilistic and information-theoretic sieving — where structure is revealed not by counting exclusions, but by quantifying compression relative to randomness.

# 82. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE PROBABILISTIC SIEVE OF RÉNYI—TURÁN

The Rényi-Turán probabilistic sieve estimates the expected size of sifted sets by modeling the sieving process as a probabilistic experiment. It allows for heuristic predictions in cases where full arithmetic control is unavailable.

```
Instance RenyiTuranProbabilisticSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema: Domain := or finite subset [1, x]  
Signal := Random variable X_n := 1 if n survives all sieving conditi Kernel := Survival probabilities under local conditions: P(p \mid n)  
WeightSystem := Product over primes: P(p \mid n) := 1  
Objective := Estimate: P(p \mid n) := 1  
E[| P|| x | P(p \mid n)  
Output := Expected count of sifted elements, with variance analysis
```

82.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $A \subset [1, x]$  and sieve out all n divisible by primes p < z. Then:

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{A}_P|] = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}(p \nmid n \ \forall p < z) \approx |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \prod_{p < z} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Higher moments can also be estimated to understand concentration.

- Signal is modeled as a Bernoulli process over primes,
- Kernel is survival likelihood under independent conditions,
- WeightSystem reflects multiplicative survival (Euler product),
- Objective is to predict the expected size and distribution of the sifted set.
- Output includes mean, variance, and probabilistic tail bounds.

### 82.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Introduces stochastic reasoning into deterministic sieving,
- Supports heuristic estimation in dense settings or statistical models.
- Connects with random models of primes and probabilistic number theory.

**Remark 82.1.** The Rényi-Turán sieve affirms that the universal schema includes probabilistic inference — where sieving is not rigid filtering, but a randomized thinning of structure governed by local independence assumptions.

# 83. Formal Example: Encoding the Mode L-Theoretic Sieve

The mode L-theoretic sieve analyzes arithmetic and geometric structures using logic and definability. It sieves elements satisfying a logical formula within a fixed first-order language, enabling bounds via o-minimality or motivic integration.

Instance ModelTheoreticSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Definable set X in a structure (M, L) 
Signal := 1_{x} X : (x) holds}, where is an $L$-formula 
Kernel := Definable predicates and logical complexity (e.g. quantification WeightSystem := Complexity measures (e.g., VC-dimension, cel$L$-cound Objective := Estimate |\{x \in X : (x)\}| over finite fields, , of Output := Uniform bounds or asymptotics using logical transfer prince
```

83.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $\phi(x)$  be a first-order formula in a language  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then for a model M, define:

$$X_{\phi}(M) := \{x \in M^n : M \models \phi(x)\}.$$

Mode*L*-theoretic sieving estimates  $|X_{\phi}(\mathbb{F}_q)|$  or  $\mu(X_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}))$  using logical structure and transfer theorems.

- Signal is the characteristic function of a definable set,
- Kernel is encoded via syntactic structure (quantifiers, formulas),
- WeightSystem depends on logical or geometric complexity,
- Objective is to count or measure the solution set uniformly,
- Output is a bound or uniform estimate across models.

### 83.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Applies to algebraic, real, and motivic contexts,
- Enables uniformity results independent of field size or characteristic.
- Connects sieving with logic, definability, and geometric finiteness theorems.

Remark 83.1. The mode *L*-theoretic sieve situates the universal schema at the interface of logic and geometry — revealing that definability itself can serve as a sieve, separating structure from randomness in formal models.

#### 84. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE MOTIVIC SIEVE

The motivic sieve counts or filters elements in algebraic structures by considering their classes in the Grothendieck ring of varieties. It operates on equivalence classes of geometric objects rather than pointwise enumeration.

Instance MotivicSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

84.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $Y \subset X$  be a constructible subset.

The motivic sieve encodes:

$$[Y] \in K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k)$$
, where  $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k) := \frac{\operatorname{Varieties over } k}{\operatorname{cut-and-paste relations}}$ .

One may write:

$$[Y] = [U] + [Z]$$
, if  $Y = U \sqcup Z$ , with  $U, Z$  disjoint constructible.

- Signal is the class of a subvariety or formula-definable set,
- Kernel is the image in the Grothendieck ring or its refinements,
- WeightSystem uses motivic coefficients, such as powers of L,
- Objective is to filter or compare varieties at a class-theoretic level,
- Output is a motivic invariant or functional identity in  $K_0(Var_k)$ .

### 84.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Translates geometric structure into algebraic invariants,
- Extends sieving to moduli spaces and families of varieties,
- Connects with motivic integration, Hodge structures, and arithmetic mirror symmetry.

Remark 84.1. The motivic sieve shows that the universal schema reaches the level of geometry-as-signal — where arithmetic information is encoded and filtered not at the level of numbers, but in the shape and class of algebraic spaces.

# 85. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE GEOMETRIC SIEVE IN ARAKELOV THEORY

The Arakelov-theoretic sieve operates on arithmetic varieties equipped with both archimedean and non-archimedean data. It filters sections of line bundles via heights and metrics, applying analytic techniques on arithmetic surfaces.

Instance ArakelovGeometricSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

85.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|)$  be an arithmetic surface with hermitian metric. Then define:

$$h(s) := \sum_{v} \log ||s||_{v}^{-1},$$

where the sum is over all places (finite and infinite), and  $||s||_v$  denotes the local norm. The sieve retains sections  $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  with  $h(s) \leq T$ .

- Signal is the space of global sections of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,
- Kernel is a metric-induced filtration by Arakelov heights,
- WeightSystem arises from Green's currents and intersection theory,
- Objective is to estimate the number of low-height sections or vanishing loci,
- Output is an upper or lower bound via arithmetic Riemann–Roch.

### 85.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Extends sieving to arithmetic intersection theory and diophantine geometry,
- Connects with spectral analysis on arithmetic surfaces,
- Supports height bounds for rational and integral points.

Remark 85.1. The Arakelov-theoretic sieve reveals how the universal schema transcends the classical setting — enabling filtration of arithmetic information in geometric objects through analytic, differential, and global data.

#### 86. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE PERVERSE SHEAF SIEVE

The perverse sheaf sieve filters strata of singular varieties by placing cohomological constraints on sheaf complexes. It detects deep geometric and representation-theoretic information through the microlocal and stratified structure.

Instance PerverseSheafSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:
 Domain := Complex algebraic variety X with Whitney stratification

```
Signal := Constructible complex D^b_c(X)

Kernel := t-structure truncation conditions for perversity (middle properties of the support conditions)

WeightSystem := Shifted cohomological degrees with support condition Objective := Detect or bound:

dim H^i(X, ), microlocal ranks, or Euler characteristics

Output := Stratified bounds or decomposition via intermediate extens
```

86.2. **Mathematical Form.** Given a perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  on a stratified space  $X = | | S_i$ , the sieve restricts attention to strata where:

```
\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^{-i}(\mathcal{P}) \leq i, \quad \dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^{i}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{P}) \leq i.
```

This enforces cohomological support constraints across singularities.

- Signal is a derived category object (constructible complex or perverse sheaf),
- Kernel is the perverse t-structure with geometric and cohomological control,
- WeightSystem reflects support and cohomological dimension constraints,
- Objective is to locate singular loci or intersection cohomology contributions,
- Output is a stratified decomposition or vanishing theorem.

#### 86.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Translates cohomological purity into structural stratification,
- Applies to representation theory via the decomposition theorem and Springer theory,
- Integrates topological, algebraic, and categorical filtration.

Remark 86.1. The perverse sheaf sieve shows that the universal schema reaches into the heart of singularity theory and category theory — where filtration happens through categorical complexity and cohomological perversity.

# 87. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE TOPOS-THEORETIC SIEVE

The topos-theoretic sieve filters morphisms and objects within a Grothendieck topos, encoding coverage conditions and loca *L*-global principles. It abstracts classical sieving to the categorical logic of sheaves.

Instance ToposSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Site ( , J), a category with a Grothendieck topology
Signal := Presheaf or sheaf on
Kernel := Sieve S Hom(-, U), i.e., families of covering morphism
WeightSystem := Representability, pullbacks, or canonical coverage of
Objective := Determine when S covers U, or when satisfies descen
Output := Categorical decision: S J(U) (i.e., a valid covering s
```

87.2. Mathematical Form. Let  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . A sieve  $S \subset \text{Hom}(-, U)$  is a collection of morphisms into U closed under precomposition. S is a covering sieve if:

$$S \in J(U)$$
,

where J is the Grothendieck topology.

- Signal is a sheaf or presheaf object evaluated on the site,
- Kernel is the sieve S, interpreted as a test of local coverage,
- WeightSystem involves stability under pullbacks and refinement.
- Objective is to evaluate coverage and gluing data,
- Output is acceptance or rejection of S as a valid covering.

### 87.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Generalizes all sieving processes via abstract categorical descent,
- Encodes locality, gluing, and sheaf conditions in the most general form,
- Provides a formal framework for internal logic and cohomology.

Remark 87.1. The topos-theoretic sieve completes the universal schema's spectrum — elevating sieve theory to the foundational layer of logic, geometry, and truth in category theory.

# 88. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE SHEAF-FUNCTION DICTIONARY SIEVE

The sheaf–function dictionary sieve maps arithmetic functions to trace functions of  $\ell$ -adic sheaves over finite fields. It enables geometric classification and filtration of functions by their sheaf-theoretic complexity.

 ${\tt Instance \ SheafFunctionDictionarySieve \ inherits \ UniversalSieveSchema:}$ 

```
Domain := Functions f : _q arising as trace functions of Signal := f(x) = Tr(Frob_x | _x ), where is a middle-extension Kernel := Ramification data, rank, and Swan conductors of WeightSystem := Motivic weights from monodromy groups and purity Objective := Classify or filter f by geometric properties of Output := Bounds, cancellation, or cohomological classification of f
```

# 88.2. **Mathematical Form.** Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ , define:

$$f(x) := \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_x | \mathcal{F}_x).$$

The sieve filters f via geometric constraints on  $\mathcal{F}$ : e.g., bounded conductor, tameness, irreducibility, or monodromy type.

- Signal is an arithmetic function realized as a trace of Frobenius,
- Kernel is the sheaf-theoretic origin of the function,
- WeightSystem arises from monodromy, conductors, and duality,
- Objective is to classify or bound f by sheaf complexity,
- Output includes cancellation theorems and trace function bounds.

#### 88.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Translates analytic behavior of functions into geometric invariants,
- Supports Deligne's equidistribution, Katz's theorems, and exponential sum bounds,
- Enables a "geometrization" of sieve theory via étale cohomology.

Remark 88.1. The sheaf–function dictionary sieve reveals the duality at the heart of the universal schema: every arithmetic function can, in principle, be filtered by the complexity of its geometric source.

# 89. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE SIEVE IN O-MINIMAL STRUCTURES

The sieve in o-minimal structures filters definable sets and functions on the real line or real algebraic geometry based on tameness and dimension theory. It supports counting rational points and bounding complexity in definable families.

Instance oMinimalSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Definable sets X in an o-minimal structure Signal := Indicator or volume function over X Kernel := Stratification by cell decomposition and dimension WeightSystem := Geometric complexity (e.g., Betti numbers, height be Objective := Count rational or integral points in X with height Output := Bound of the form O(T^{\circ}) or O((\log T)^{\circ}k), depending on X
```

89.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be definable in an o-minimal structure, and let:

$$X(\mathbb{Q},T):=\{x\in X\cap \mathbb{Q}^n: H(x)\leq T\}.$$

Then:

$$|X(\mathbb{Q}, T)| \le C \cdot (\log T)^k$$
,

for some constants C, k, under tameness and transcendence constraints (e.g., Pila-Wilkie theorem).

- Signal is a definable indicator or counting function,
- Kernel is stratification by o-minimal cell decomposition,
- WeightSystem reflects geometric and topological invariants,
- Objective is to estimate the count or measure of structured points,
- Output is a polynomial or logarithmic bound on rational points.

#### 89.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Integrates model theory, real algebraic geometry, and transcendental number theory,
- Supports the counting side of unlikely intersection problems,
- Plays a critical role in modern Diophantine geometry and functional transcendence.

Remark 89.1. The o-minimal sieve demonstrates the universal schema's reach into tame geometry — where sieving becomes bounding the complexity of definable sets through stratified dimension control.

#### 90. Formal Example: Encoding the Higher Adelic Sieve

The higher adelic sieve operates over adele rings and their generalizations, allowing filtration of automorphic and arithmetic data across all completions of a global field simultaneously. It supports globa L-to-local constraints in Langlands-type frameworks.

Instance HigherAdelicSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Adele ring _K of a global field K (e.g. , _q (T) Signal := Automorphic form on G( _K ) or representation Kernel := Local test functions f_v (G(K_v)) at all places v WeightSystem := Hecke eigenvalues, conductor, and support of f_v Objective := Filter representations or values with local constraints Output := Global trace formula component or spectral decomposition
```

90.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let G be a reductive group over K, and  $\phi \in L^2(G(K)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_K))$ . For a test function  $f = \prod_v f_v$ , define:

$$R(f)\phi(x) := \int_{G(\mathbb{A}_K)} f(g)\phi(xg) \, dg.$$

Filtering occurs by constraining  $f_v$  to detect ramification, level structure, or local unramified vectors.

- Signal is a global automorphic object across  $\mathbb{A}_K$ ,
- Kernel consists of local test functions at each place v,
- WeightSystem reflects global spectral and arithmetic structure,
- Objective is to extract representations with desired local properties,
- Output is a filtered spectral term in the trace formula or *L*-function identity.

# 90.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Unifies loca L-global sieving in automorphic representation theory,
- Operates over infinite-dimensional domains using adelic analysis.
- Encodes arithmetic information across all places simultaneously.

Remark 90.1. The higher adelic sieve represents the apex of global sieving in the universal schema — where every local condition is a sieve filter, and automorphic spectra are sieved by harmonic, arithmetic, and cohomological properties at once.

# 91. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE DERIVED CATEGORY SIEVE

The derived category sieve filters complexes of sheaves or modules using cohomological truncations, triangulated structures, and t-structures. It enables spectral control of algebraic or topological invariants through derived functoriality.

Instance DerivedCategorySieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Derived category D ( ) of an abelian category
Signal := Object K D ( ), i.e., a bounded-below complex of
Kernel := Truncation functors ^{ n}, ^{ n}, and cohomology
WeightSystem := t-structure shifts and perverse or motivic filtratio
Objective := Filter complexes by support, vanishing, or functorial i
Output := Sifted cohomological data, spectral sequence input, or example of the cohomological data.
```

91.2. **Mathematical Form.** Given a complex  $K^{\bullet} \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ , use the truncation functors:

$$\tau^{\leq n}K^{\bullet}, \quad \tau^{\geq n}K^{\bullet},$$

to isolate cohomological contributions and define:

$$\mathcal{H}^i(K) := \ker d^i / \operatorname{im} d^{i-1}.$$

Cohomological sieving then proceeds via:

- Detecting vanishing of  $\mathcal{H}^i(K)$ ,
- Filtering spectral supports via local cohomology,
- Applying functors like  $\mathbf{R}f_*$ ,  $\mathbf{L}f^*$ , etc.

# 91.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is an object in a derived or triangulated category,
- Kernel is a filtration structure via truncation and triangulated shifts,
- WeightSystem arises from spectral sequences and perverse shifts,
- Objective is to isolate cohomological phenomena or functorial images,
- Output is refined data: cohomology sheaves, long exact sequences, or categorical filtrations.

### 91.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Enables deep filtration in derived and motivic contexts,
- Supports the geometric Langlands program and nonabelian Hodge theory,
- Generalizes classical cohomological sieving to derived and categorical logic.

Remark 91.1. The derived category sieve encapsulates the most abstract yet precise filtration paradigm in the universal schema — where sieving becomes the extraction of homological essence through triangulated structure.

### 92. Formal Example: Encoding the Crystalline Sieve

The crystalline sieve filters p-adic cohomological data over schemes in characteristic p via Frobenius actions and connections. It extracts arithmetic invariants using crystalline cohomology and comparison theorems.

92.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $X/\mathbb{F}_p$  be a smooth proper scheme, and  $W := W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Then:

$$H^i_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W) \otimes_W K$$
 is a filtered -module.

The crystalline sieve filters by:

- Newton slopes from Frobenius,
- Hodge filtration from geometry,
- Horizontal sections with respect to  $\nabla$ .

# 92.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a p-adic cohomology object (crystalline module),
- Kernel is given by Frobenius and connection structure,
- WeightSystem corresponds to slope and Hodge-Newton filtration.
- Objective is to extract geometric and arithmetic stratification,
- Output is a filtered isocrystal or slope decomposition.

### 92.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Bridges p-adic Hodge theory with algebraic geometry,
- Enables comparison between étale and de Rham invariants,
- Supports point counting, deformation theory, and moduli stratification.

Remark 92.1. The crystalline sieve illustrates that in the universal schema, even differential and Frobenius structures can serve as filters—organizing arithmetic geometry through p-adic and cohomological slopes.

# 93. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE MOTIVIC INTEGRATION SIEVE

The motivic integration sieve computes integrals over arc spaces using values in a completed Grothendieck ring. It filters spaces of jets or arcs by contact order, defining geometric measures independent of base field size.

93.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let X/k be a smooth variety, and  $Z \subset X$  a closed subscheme. Then:

$$\mu\left(\left\{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \operatorname{ord}_{t} f(\gamma) = n\right\}\right) = \left[\left\{x \in X_{n} : f(x) \text{ vanishes to order } n\right\}\right] \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-nd},$$
  
where  $\mathbb{L} = \left[\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}\right]$  and  $d = \dim X$ .

# 93.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is a motivic function defined on jet or arc spaces,
- Kernel is the contact order with divisors or ideals,
- WeightSystem comes from powers of the Lefschetz motive,
- Objective is to compute or approximate motivic integrals,
- Output is an element of  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k$ , the completed motivic ring.

### 93.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Enables geometric integration over infinite-dimensional spaces,
- Abstracts the notion of volume to a universal algebro-geometric setting,
- Supports applications to singularity theory, string theory, and mirror symmetry.

Remark 93.1. The motivic integration sieve exemplifies the universal schema at its most geometric and abstract — where sieving is an integration over valuation conditions, and volume is replaced by class-theoretic measure.

# 94. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE TANNAKIAN SIEVE

The Tannakian sieve filters objects in a tensor category by their monodromy or Galois group. It detects hidden symmetries by reconstructing group schemes from fiber functors, allowing classification through categorical invariants.

Instance TannakianSieve inherits UniversalSieveSchema:

```
Domain := Neutral Tannakian category over a field k

Signal := Object V (e.g., a representation, vector bundle,

Kernel := Fiber functor : Vect_k and corresponding group

WeightSystem := Subquotients, isotypic decomposition, or invariant t

Objective := Classify V by image in Rep(G), or detect symmetries of

Output := Identification of monodromy group, and filtration by representation.
```

94.2. **Mathematical Form.** Given a fiber functor  $\omega : \mathcal{T} \to \operatorname{Vect}_k$ , the Tannakian formalism identifies:

$$\mathcal{T} \cong \operatorname{Rep}_k(G),$$

where  $G := \operatorname{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega)$  is an affine group scheme. The sieve filters  $V \in \mathcal{T}$  by:

- The image of V under  $\omega$ ,
- Its stabilizer or Galois group,
- Presence of G-invariants or reductions.

# 94.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is an object V in a symmetric tensor category,
- Kernel is the fiber functor and induced monodromy group,
- WeightSystem reflects tensorial structure and representation theory,
- Objective is to reconstruct Galois data or symmetries from categorical behavior,
- Output is a filtered description of V as a G-module.

# 94.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Connects representation theory, algebraic geometry, and arithmetic,
- Supports deep structure in motives, periods, and differential Galois theory,
- Encodes symmetry detection as a form of categorical sieving.

Remark 94.1. The Tannakian sieve shows that even abstract categorical symmetries fall within the universal schema — where filtration occurs through reconstruction of hidden group actions underlying geometric or arithmetic objects.

# 95. Formal Example: Encoding the L-Function Growth Sieve

The L-function growth sieve filters automorphic or arithmetic objects by bounding the analytic growth of their associated L-functions in vertical strips or at special values. It enables detection of exceptional zeros, non-vanishing, or subconvexity.

 $In stance \ LFunction Growth Sieve \ inherits \ Universal Sieve Schema: \\$ 

```
Domain := Automorphic representations or arithmetic objects with Signal := Growth rate of L(s, ) in Re(s) 1/2 Kernel := Height bounds, convexity/subconvexity bounds, and locaL-WeightSystem := Conductor N(), root number (), and gamma factor
```

```
Objective := Filter by:  |L(1/2 + it, )| N()^{{}} + }, or L(1, ) O  Output := Zero-free regions, nonvanishing theorems, or subconvex est
```

95.2. **Mathematical Form.** Let  $L(s,\pi)$  be an L-function with analytic continuation and functional equation. Then define the growth sieve via:

$$|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\pi)| \ll_{\epsilon} C(\pi,t)^{\theta+\epsilon},$$

for some  $\theta < 1/4$ . The sieve may filter out  $\pi$  where growth exceeds this bound.

# 95.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal is the growth profile of  $L(s,\pi)$ ,
- Kernel is the convexity or subconvexity bound regime,
- WeightSystem reflects analytic complexity: conductor, local data, archimedean factors,
- Objective is to detect exceptional growth or ensure bounded behavior,
- Output includes analytic estimates, zero-free regions, and filtered families.

### 95.4. Role in the Universal Class. This sieve:

- Bridges harmonic analysis with deep Diophantine and spectral results,
- Filters automorphic families by analytic complexity,
- Supports equidistribution, nonvanishing, and quantitative prime theorems.

**Remark 95.1.** The *L*-function growth sieve expresses the universal schema's analytic frontier — where spectral filtration operates through bounding complex-analytic growth of zeta-like functions across families.

# 96. FORMAL EXAMPLE: ENCODING THE UNIVERSAL META-SIEVE SCHEMA

The universal meta-sieve schema abstracts all sieve-theoretic constructions into a unified categorical and functional framework. It formalizes the notion of sieving as signal–kernel–objective modularity, enabling composition, generalization, and automation.

Instance UniversalMetaSieve is self-referential:

```
Domain := Any structured mathematical universe: sets, functions, she Signal := Mathematical object to be filtered, classified, or estimat Kernel := Family of operators (logical, algebraic, analytic, geometr WeightSystem := Internal structure used for modulation or prioritiza Objective := Declarative filtering goal (e.g., classification, asymp Output := Filtered subset, estimate, bound, deformation, or classification
```

# 96.2. **Mathematical Form.** Every sieve instance is defined as a tuple:

Sieve := 
$$(\mathcal{D}, \Sigma, \mathcal{K}, W, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{R})$$
,

#### where:

- $\mathcal{D}$ : domain or context,
- $\Sigma$ : signal or object under study,
- K: kernel (filter, operator, morphism, transformation),
- W: weight or structure system (optional),
- $\mathcal{O}$ : objective or evaluation goal,
- $\mathcal{R}$ : resulting output.

### 96.3. Interpretation within the Schema.

- Signal encodes any data stream or structure for filtering,
- Kernel abstracts the action of the sieve: differentiation, comparison, removal,
- WeightSystem introduces priority, strength, scale, or relevance,
- Objective declares the purpose: isolate, estimate, decompose, classify,
- Output varies by context: filtered set, numerical bound, refined structure.

#### 96.4. Role in the Universal Class. This meta-sieve:

- Encodes all known sieves (combinatorial, analytic, categorical, geometric),
- Supports meta-sieve composition, generalization, dualization, and hierarchy,
- Enables automated generation and optimization of sieves in AI and symbolic systems.

Remark 96.1. The UniversalMetaSieve formally completes the universal schema: it is the sieve of all sieves, capturing and generating every sieve type through categorical abstraction and mathematical modularity.

#### References

- [1] E. Bombieri, Le Grand Crible dans la Théorie Analytique des Nombres, Astérisque, No. 18, Société Mathématique de France, 1974.
- [2] G. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert, Sieve Methods, Academic Press, 1974.
- [3] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, *Opera de Cribro*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 57, 2010.
- [4] B. Green and T. Tao, *Linear equations in primes*, Annals of Mathematics, 2010
- [5] J. Maynard, Small gaps between primes, Annals of Mathematics, 2015.
- [6] N. Katz, Gauss Sums, Kloosterman Sums, and Monodromy Groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 1988.
- [7] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil II, Publ. Math. IHÉS, 1980.
- [8] J. Denef and F. Loeser, Motivic integration, quotient singularities and the McKay correspondence, Compositio Math. 131 (2002), no. 3.
- [9] J. Pila and A. J. Wilkie, The rational points of a definable set, Duke Math. J., 2006.
- [10] A. Grothendieck, SGA 4: Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag.
- [11] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100, 1982.
- [12] P. Scholze, Lectures on p-adic geometry, available at the University of Bonn.
- [13] R. Cluckers and F. Loeser, Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration, Invent. Math. 173, 2008.
- [14] P. Deligne and J. S. Milne, *Tannakian Categories*, in Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties, Springer, 1982.
- [15] A. Weil, Basic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1974.