SPECTRAL MOTIVES XI: ∞-MOTIVIC PERIOD MAPPINGS AND ARITHMETIC TRACE DYNAMICS

PU JUSTIN SCARFY YANG

Abstract. We define a theory of motivic period mappings in the context of condensed ∞-motivic trace stacks and spectral cohomology. By lifting classical period integrals to morphisms of motivic trace groupoids, we introduce arithmetic flows on spectral period domains compatible with Frobenius dynamics and automorphic L-data. These structures allow the encoding of arithmetic dynamics, categorical period evolution, and a universal flow structure on trace-compatible motives. Applications include the trace-theoretic realization of Grothendieck's periods, categorified period torsors, and ∞-arithmetic dynamics of zeta flow spaces.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Period Stacks and Motivic Mapping Groupoids 2
2.1. The universal period stack 2
2.2. Motivic mapping stacks 2
2.3. Functoriality and stack descent 2
3. Trace-Compatible Flows and Period Dynamics 2
3.1. Frobenius trace flows on motivic stacks 2
3.2. Trace dynamics and arithmetic vector fields 2
3.3. Spectral period differential equations 3
3.4. Zeta dynamics and derived period evolution 3
4. Integrability, Duality, and Special Value Phenomena 3
4.1. Arithmetic integrability of period flows 3
4.2. Categorical period duality 3
4.3. Spectral special value compatibility 3
4.4. Motivic crystalline and condensed period realizations 3
5. Arithmetic Applications and Future Work 4
5.1. Grothendieck's period conjecture in trace dynamics 4
5.2. Zeta orbit flows and arithmetic dynamics 4
5.3. Trace flow cohomology and period sheaves 4
5.4. Future directions 4
6. Conclusion 4
References 5

Date: May 5, 2025.

1. Introduction

Period mappings in arithmetic geometry relate algebraic structures to transcendental data, typically via comparison isomorphisms between Betti, de Rham, or étale realizations of motives. Grothendieck's theory of periods, and the ensuing period conjectures, formalize these relationships through period torsors and their algebraic structures.

In this paper, we define and study ∞-*motivic period mappings* over trace-compatible spectral motives. These take the form of derived morphisms between trace stacks and universal period stacks, enriched by Frobenius-compatible flows. Through this construction, we lift classical period integrals into the setting of condensed arithmetic geometry and trace-coherent ∞-topoi.

We further introduce *arithmetic trace dynamics*, a formalism capturing the evolution of period data under arithmetic flow structures (e.g., zeta dynamics, Frobenius lifts, trace deformations). These dynamics define a universal differential structure on the landscape of motives, periods, and automorphic cohomologies.

Main themes:

- Definition of period mappings from motivic trace stacks to spectral period groupoids;
- Frobenius-compatible flow structures on period stacks and dynamics of trace-evolution;
- Realization of period mappings in automorphic, Galois, and dyadic zeta geometries;
- Structural relations to categorified special value conjectures and zeta flows.

Outline. Section 2 defines period stacks and period mapping stacks. Section 3 introduces trace flows and their dynamics over spectral motives. Section 4 establishes universal period flows and integrability properties. Section 5 explores arithmetic applications and dynamic conjectures.

2. Period Stacks and Motivic Mapping Groupoids

2.1. **The universal period stack.** We define the *universal period stack* $\mathscr{P}^\infty$ as the classifying stack of comparison data between Betti, de Rham, étale, and trace realizations:

$$\mathscr{P}^\infty := \mathbf{B}\mathrm{Comp}_\infty^{\mathrm{real}},$$

where $\mathrm{Comp}_\infty^{\mathrm{real}}$ is the condensed groupoid of all comparison isomorphisms compatible with Frobenius and spectral descent.

Objects of $\mathscr{P}^\infty$ represent equivalence classes of realization systems for motives equipped with trace- and cohomology-compatible flows.

2.2. **Motivic mapping stacks.** Let $\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}$ denote the motivic trace stack as previously defined. We define the stack of *motivic period mappings* as:

$$\mathrm{Map}_\infty(\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}, \mathscr{P}^\infty),$$

which is an ∞-groupoid parameterizing trace-compatible morphisms from spectral motives to the universal period domain.

Each map $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathrm{Map}_\infty(\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}, \mathscr{P}^\infty)$ corresponds to a *spectral period mapping*:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \left(\mathcal{M}_B \overset{\sim}{\leftrightarrow} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{dR}} \overset{\sim}{\leftrightarrow} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Tr}} \right).$$

2.3. **Functoriality and stack descent.** The construction of $\mathscr{P}^\infty$ and $\mathrm{Map}_\infty(\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}, \mathscr{P}^\infty)$ is compatible with:

- Descent towers: $\zeta_n \to \zeta_{n-1} \to \cdots \to \mathscr{Z}_\infty$;
- Realization morphisms to automorphic and Galois stacks;
- Base change in derived motivic ∞-topoi.

These compatibilities ensure that period mappings can be interpreted as universal flows on spectral cohomology, grounded in arithmetic geometry.

3. Trace-Compatible Flows and Period Dynamics

3.1. **Frobenius trace flows on motivic stacks.** Let $\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}$ carry a Frobenius trace operator:

$$\mathrm{Fr}^n : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}^{(n)},$$

which lifts to a flow on the mapping stack of period morphisms:

$$\mathrm{Fr}^n_* : \Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \mapsto \Phi_{\mathcal{M}^{(n)}},$$

defining a natural arithmetic dynamical system on the space of motivic period mappings.

3.2. **Trace dynamics and arithmetic vector fields.** We define an arithmetic trace vector field on $\mathscr{P}^\infty$ as a derivation:

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{Tr}} : \mathscr{P}^\infty \to T_{\mathrm{arith}}\mathscr{P}^\infty,$$

compatible with Frobenius action and spectral descent. These vector fields govern the differential evolution of period data across derived motivic time.

3.3. **Spectral period differential equations.** Each period mapping $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies a trace-dynamic equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t) = \Theta_{\mathrm{Tr}} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t),$$

interpreted as a flow in the moduli space of trace-compatible period configurations. Solutions to this equation trace arithmetic paths in ∞-motivic realization space.

3.4. **Zeta dynamics and derived period evolution.** A universal *zeta flow* $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{Z}(t) := \exp(t \cdot \Theta_\zeta),$$

where Θ_ζ is the vector field encoding the spectral evolution along the zeta tower.

Under this flow, we interpret the evolution of period mappings as derived arithmetic dynamics:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t) := \mathcal{Z}(t) \cdot \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(0),$$

analogous to a categorified heat flow across motivic cohomological structures.

4. Integrability, Duality, and Special Value Phenomena

4.1. **Arithmetic integrability of period flows.** A trace-compatible period mapping $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t)$ is called *arithmetically integrable* if it arises from a flat connection:

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{Tr}} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathscr{Z}_\infty/\mathbb{Z}},$$

compatible with Frobenius flows and descent towers. Integrability guarantees the path-independence of arithmetic evolution and ensures cohomological coherence.

4.2. **Categorical period duality.** A duality functor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{mot}}$ on motivic trace stacks induces:

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{D}\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathbb{D}\Phi_{\mathcal{M}},$$

reflecting the behavior of period integrals under Poincaré and Serre dualities. This duality structure controls the symmetry of special values and spectral pairings.

4.3. **Spectral special value compatibility.** The universal period mapping intertwines with special L-value regulators:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(1) = \mathrm{Reg}_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(0) = \mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tr}}(\mathcal{M}),$$

providing a spectral interpretation of the leading term and residue at critical points. These identities suggest a universal refinement of Beilinson's conjectures in trace-dynamic form.

4.4. **Motivic crystalline and condensed period realizations.** The flow structure admits specialization to:

- Crystalline period mappings in condensed p-adic settings;
- Syntomic realizations via trace-compatible Hodge–Tate decompositions;
- Derived period sheaves over perfectoid and v-sheaf sites.

Such refinements build bridges toward a universal period morphism defined over all cohomological geometries relevant to arithmetic motives.

5. Arithmetic Applications and Future Work

5.1. **Grothendieck's period conjecture in trace dynamics.** Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}$ be a pure motive. The image of $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\mathscr{P}^{\infty}$ defines its *period torsor*, which evolves via trace dynamics:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t) \in \mathrm{Per}^{\mathrm{Tr}}_{\mathcal{M}}(t).$$

This formalism suggests a categorified version of Grothendieck's period conjecture:

$$\mathrm{Transcendence}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t)\right) \sim \text{Frobenius trace dimension},$$

linking the trace evolution of periods to transcendental number theory in the motivic setting.

5.2. **Zeta orbit flows and arithmetic dynamics.** Let $\mathscr{Z}_{\infty}$ be the terminal object of the dyadic zeta tower. Then any period mapping defines a flow trajectory:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\zeta}(\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}) := \{\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+},$$

interpreted as a geodesic in the derived period moduli space under the spectral trace metric.

These flows encode arithmetic evolution of zeta cohomology, motivic moduli, and special value formation.

5.3. **Trace flow cohomology and period sheaves.** We define the *trace flow cohomology* of a motive $\mathcal{M}$ as:

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{TrFlow}}(\mathcal{M}) := \mathrm{Tot}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(t) \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{[\mathscr{P}^{\infty}/\mathbb{R}_+]}\right),$$

capturing the derived invariants of period evolution along arithmetic trace orbits.

This cohomology measures the stability, complexity, and resonance of arithmetic dynamics in the motivic realization category.

5.4. **Future directions.**

- Construct spectral period sheaves and arithmetic period sites;
- Define ∞-period crystals and mixed trace-Hodge systems;
- Relate trace flow equations to categorified Fourier–Mukai transforms;
- Apply to universal height pairings and motivic entropy invariants.

6. Conclusion

In this eleventh part of the Spectral Motives series, we introduced the theory of ∞-motivic period mappings and arithmetic trace dynamics. These ideas refine classical period comparison structures by encoding cohomological evolution, Frobenius flow, and spectral dynamics within the framework of condensed motivic geometry.

Summary of Contributions:

- Defined the universal period stack $\mathscr{P}^\infty$ and motivic mapping stack $\mathrm{Map}_\infty(\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{Tr}}, \mathscr{P}^\infty)$;
- Introduced arithmetic trace vector fields and zeta dynamics acting on period mappings;
- Demonstrated integrability, duality, and special value phenomena in trace-compatible settings;
- Proposed new arithmetic invariants such as trace-flow cohomology and period orbit sheaves.

This theory opens multiple avenues for generalizing period structures across ∞-cohomological geometries, automorphic sheaf stacks, and arithmetic dynamics. Future parts will further elaborate on motivic flows in relation to Langlands correspondences and categorified special value conjectures.

References

[1] A. Beilinson, *Higher regulators and values of L-functions*, J. Soviet Math., 1983.
[2] S. Bloch and K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, 1990.
[3] D. Clausen and P. Scholze, *Condensed Mathematics*, 2020. `https://condensed-math.org`
[4] L. Fargues and P. Scholze, *Geometrization of the Local Langlands Correspondence*, 2021.
[5] J. Lurie, *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*, 2018.
[6] P. J. S. Yang, *Spectral Motives I–X*, 2025.
[7] P. J. S. Yang, *Dyadic Langlands I–VII*, 2025.
[8] Y. André, *Galois Theory, Motives, and Transcendental Number Theory*, 2010.