


Last time: All inner products on \mathbb{R}^n have the form

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \vec{x}^T K \vec{y} \quad \text{where}$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle & \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle & \dots & \underline{\langle e_1, e_n \rangle} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \langle e_n, e_1 \rangle & & & \langle e_n, e_n \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular if $k_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle$

$$\text{then } \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \sum_{i,j}^n k_{ij} x_i y_j$$

all inner products in \mathbb{R}^n look like this!

If all inner products have the form $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \boxed{x^T K y}$,
 which symmetric matrices K yield an inner product?

If $K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ the corresponding inner product is
 the dot product!

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = x^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} y \quad \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2) \quad \vec{y} = (y_1, y_2)$$

$$= (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 \quad \checkmark$$

The $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ yields an inner product!

Def We say K is positive definite if H is symmetric

$$x^T K x > 0 \quad \text{for all } \vec{x} \neq 0.$$

Often $g(\vec{x}) = x^T K x$ is called the quadratic form associated to K .

Claim: If K is positive definite, then

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = x^T K y \quad \text{is an inner product.}$$

If K is not positive def, it's not an inner product.

- Bilinearity
- Symmetry
- positivity

$x^T K y$ satisfies these properties only when K is pos def.

$$1) \quad \langle \tilde{x} + dy, \tilde{z} \rangle$$

$$= (cx + dy)^T K z$$

$$= (cx^T + dy^T) K z$$

Bilinearity

$$= (cx^T K + dy^T K) z$$



$$= cx^T K z + dy^T K z = c \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{z} \rangle + d \langle \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \rangle$$

2) Symmetry , K is a symmetric matrix , $K^T = K$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T K y = x^T K^T y = \underline{(Kx)^T y}$$

$$\underbrace{\langle y, x \rangle}_{\substack{\in \\ \mathbb{R}}} = y^T K x = \underbrace{(y^T K x)}_{\substack{| \times | \\ \text{matrix}}}^T = (K x)^T y^{TT} = \underline{(K x)^T y}$$

$$(x^T K x)^T = x^T K x$$

$$\text{So } \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$$

3) Positivity if K is a positive definite matrix, by def $\underline{x^T K x > 0}$, for all $x \neq 0$.

Positivity axiom:

$$\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{x} \rangle = \underline{x^T K x > 0} \text{ for all } x \neq 0 \quad \checkmark$$

So K being pos def is exactly saying that

$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T K y$ satisfies positivity axiom \square

Ex Are $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ positive definite?



Are $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} y$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y$ inner products?

$x^T K x > 0$?

$$q(x) = x^T K x = (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 4x_1 - 2x_2 \\ -2x_1 + 3x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \underline{4x_1^2} - \underline{4x_1x_2} + \underline{3x_2^2} \quad \text{?}$$

$$= (4x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 + x_2^2) + 2x_2^2$$

$$= (2x_1 - x_2)^2 + 2x_2^2$$

Completing
the square
strategy.

$$> 0 \quad \text{if } x_1, x_2 \neq 0!$$

only way this can be 0 is if

$$2x_1 - x_2 = 0$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$2x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2) \neq 0$$

So $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ is positive definite!

$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} y$ is an inner product!

$$= 4x_1y_1 - 2x_2y_1 - 2x_1y_2 + 3x_2y_2$$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not positive definite.

$$g(x) = x^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 + x_2^2 \geq 0$$

when ?
 $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0,0)$

If $(x_1, x_2) = (-1, 1)$

$$\Rightarrow g(-1, 1) = (-1)^2 + 4(-1)(1) + 1^2 = 2 - 4 = -2 < 0$$

So $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not positive definite and

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y = x_1y_1 + 2x_1y_2 + 2x_2y_1 + x_2y_2$$

is not an inner product.

Proposition A 2×2 symmetric matrix is positive definite

if and only if $a > 0$ $ac - b^2 > 0$

"

$\det K$

"alternate definition" where $K = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} 4 > 0 \\ \det = 4 \cdot 3 - (-2)^2 = 12 - 4 = 8 > 0 \\ \implies \text{positive def.} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} 1 > 0 \\ 1 \cdot 1 - 2 \cdot 2 = -3 < 0 \quad \text{not positive def.} \end{array}$$

Proof Friday ...

Gram matrices let V be any vector space w/ an inner product
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in V$.

Define the Gram matrix \mathbf{G} of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ to be

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \langle v, v_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle v, v_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle v, v_k \rangle & \cdots & \langle v_k, v_k \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad k \times k \text{ matrix}$$

Thm $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ are independent iff K is positive definite.
abstract vectors

no row reduction
necessarily

actual
matrix

Part also on
Friday!

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \langle v, v_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle v, v_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ \langle v, v_k \rangle & \cdots & \langle v_k, v_k \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$k \times k$
matrix

Thm $\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_k$ one independent iff K is positive definite.

Ex Show that $\cos(x), \cos(2x), \cos(3x)$ are independent functions on $C^0[0, 2\pi]$. There's no tang identity between these functions.

Define $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) g(x) dx$ L^2 inner product on C^0 .

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \cos x, \cos x \rangle & \langle \cos x, \cos(2x) \rangle & \langle \cos x, \cos(3x) \rangle \\ \langle \cos 2x, \cos x \rangle & \langle \cos 2x, \cos 2x \rangle & \langle \cos 2x, \cos 3x \rangle \\ \langle \cos 3x, \cos x \rangle & \langle \cos 3x, \cos 2x \rangle & \langle \cos 3x, \cos 3x \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

3×3
matrix?

$$\langle \cos x, \cos x \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(x) \cos(x) dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2(x) dx = \pi$$

$$\langle \cos 2x, \cos 2x \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 2x dx = \pi = \langle \cos(3x), \cos(3x) \rangle$$

$$\underline{\langle \cos x, \cos 2x \rangle} = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(x) \cos(2x) dx = 0$$

$$\langle \cos x, \cos 3x \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(x) \cos(3x) dx = 0$$

$$\langle \cos 2x, \cos 3x \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(2x) \cos(3x) dx = 0$$

$\cos x, \cos 2x, \cos 3x$ are linearly independent.

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{"positive def."}$$

K is in fact positive definite!

K is pos def since $g(x) = x^T K x$

$$(x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \pi x_1^2 + \pi x_2^2 + \pi x_3^2 > 0.$$

!!

$\Rightarrow \cos x, \cos 2x, \cos 3x$ are independent functions!

by the theorem.

Thm $K = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & & \\ k_{21} & k_{22} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & k_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$

Define K_i to be top left $i \times i$ submatrix

$$K_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad K$$

then K is pos def if all $\det(K_i) > 0$.

Pf LU decomposition

$$H(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

at a critical pt
 (x_0, y_0)

then it's a min when
 $H(f)$ is pos def.

Is this an inner product?

$$\langle v, w \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\|v+w\|_1 - \|v-w\|_1 \right)$$

$*$

where $\|-1\|_1$ is the L^1 norm.

$$\|v\|_1 = \sum |v_i| = |v_1| + \dots + |v_n|$$

Is there an inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_1$ such that $\|v\|_1 = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$?

(a) If $\langle -, - \rangle_1$ were to exist, it have to have

