

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### FY2019 BY THE NUMBERS

INDIVIDUALS SERVED .....	1
INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING SERVICES .....	1
FORENSIC INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED.....	1
CASES STAFFED WITH MULTI-DISCIPLINARY TEAMS.....	1

### CHILDREN SERVED AND SERVICES PROVIDED OVER TIME

CHILDREN SERVED: FY2016-2019.....	2
CHILDREN RECEIVING PROGRAM SERVICES.....	2

### DEMOGRAPHICS

NEW CHILDREN SERVED BY AGE .....	3
NEW CHILDREN SERVED BY GENDER.....	3
TOTAL CHILDREN SERVED BY RACE/ETHNICITY .....	3
CHILDREN SERVED WITH DISABILITIES .....	4

### ABUSE TYPES AND ALLEGED OFFENDERS

TYPES OF ABUSE REPORTED .....	4
AGE OF ALLEGED OFFENDERS .....	5
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ALLEGED OFFENDERS AND VICTIMS .....	5

### PROGRAM SERVICES

COURT PREPARATION	
Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area .....	6
Statewide Comparison.....	6
VICTIM ADVOCACY/CRIMINAL JUSTICE	
Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area .....	7
Statewide Comparison.....	7
MEDICAL EXAM REFERRAL	
Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area .....	8
Statewide Comparison.....	8
COUNSELING REFERRAL	
Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area .....	9
Statewide Comparison.....	9
ON-SITE COUNSELING	
Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area .....	10
Statewide Comparison.....	10
FORENSIC INTERVIEW	
Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area .....	11
Statewide Comparison.....	11
Rate of Forensic Interviews Per 1000 Children Under 18 .....	12

### OMS SURVEYS

INITIAL CAREGIVER SURVEY.....	13
CAREGIVER FOLLOW-UP SURVEY .....	14

## DATA TABLES

CAC CLIENTS: INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING SERVICE.....	15
CAC CLIENTS: AGE OF NEW CHILDREN SERVED.....	15
CAC CLIENTS: GENDER OF NEW CHILDREN SERVED.....	15
CAC CLIENTS: RACE/ETHNICITY OF TOTAL CHILDREN SERVED .....	16
CAC CLIENTS: CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES .....	16
TYPES OF ABUSE REPORTED .....	17
ALLEGED OFFENDERS: RELATIONSHIP OF ALLEGED OFFENDER TO CHILD .....	17
ALLEGED OFFENDERS: AGE OF ALLEGED OFFENDERS.....	17
MEDICAL EXAM REFERRALS.....	18
COURT PREPARATION .....	18
VICTIM ADVOCACY/CRIMINAL JUSTICE .....	19
COUNSELING REFERRALS.....	20
ON-SITE COUNSELING SERVICES.....	20
FORENSIC INTERVIEWS.....	21
INITIAL CAREGIVER SURVEY - POSITIVE RESPONSES FY2019 .....	22
CAREGIVER FOLLOW-UP SURVEY - POSITIVE RESPONSES FY2019 .....	22

## FY2019 By The Numbers

### Individuals Served

Total Children Served	Total Non-offending Caregivers Served	Total Vulnerable Adults Served
1,331	956	4

### Individuals Receiving Services

Victim Advocacy	Court Preparation	On-site Counseling
206	228	118

Counseling Referrals	Medical Exam Referrals
316	522


### Forensic Interviews Conducted

Victims	Collateral Children	Witnesses
635	58	86

### Cases Staffed with Multi-Disciplinary Teams


New Cases Staffed by MDT	Total Cases Staffed by MDT
516	621

### New and Total Children Served FY 2016-2019




Notes:  
Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30.

### Children Receiving Program Services FY 2016-2019



Notes:  
Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30.


### New Children Served by Age FY 2018-2019



**Notes:**

Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The number of new children served for FY2018 and FY 2019 were 572 and 778 respectively.


### New Children Served by Gender FY 2018-2019



**Notes:**



Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of new children served for FY2018 and FY 2019 were 572 and 779 respectively.

### Total Children Served by Race/Ethnicity FY 2018-2019




**Notes:**


Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of new children served for FY2018 and FY 2019 were 572 and 1,331 respectively.



Children may report multiple forms of abuse and may be represented in more than one category. Types of abuse reported is for new children served during the fiscal year. The % of children reporting an abuse type is calculated by dividing the number of new children reporting an abuse by the total number of children served.




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of alleged offenders for FY2018 and FY 2019 were 556 and 629 respectively.




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of alleged offenders for FY2018 and FY 2019 were 556 and 629 respectively.

### Court Preparation: Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area for FY2019



Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of children receiving Court Preparation for FY2019 was 228.


### Court Preparation: Statewide Comparison for FY2019



Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The % of children served receiving service was calculated by taking the total number of children receiving the service and dividing by the total number of children served.




### Victim Advocacy/Criminal Justice: Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area for FY2019




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of children receiving Victim Advocacy/Criminal Justice for FY2019 was 206.

### Victim Advocacy/Criminal Justice: Statewide Comparison for FY2019




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The % of children served receiving service was calculated by taking the total number of children receiving the service and dividing by the total number of children served.

## Medical Exam Referrals: Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area for FY2019




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of children receiving Medical Exam Referral for FY2019 was 522.

## Medical Exam Referrals: Statewide Comparison for FY2019




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The % of children served receiving service was calculated by taking the total number of children receiving the service and dividing by the total number of new children served. The national average was calculated using NCA National Statistics for 2018.

**Counseling Referrals: Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area for FY2019**




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of children receiving Counseling Referral for FY2019 was 316.

**Counseling Referrals: Statewide Comparison for FY2019**




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The % of children served receiving service was calculated by taking the total number of children receiving the service and dividing by the total number of new children served.

### On-site Counseling: Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area for FY2019




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of children receiving On-site Counseling for FY2019 was 118.

### On-site Counseling: Statewide Comparison for FY2019




Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The % of children served receiving service was calculated by taking the total number of children receiving the service and dividing by the total number of children served. The national average was calculated using NCA National Statistics for 2018.

## Forensic Interviews: Proportion of Children Receiving Services by County and Service Area for FY2019



Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. The total number of children receiving None for FY2019 was 779.


## Forensic Interviews: Statewide Comparison for FY2019



Fiscal Year runs from July 1 through June 30. Total forensic interviews includes both interviews of alleged victims and witnesses. The % of children served receiving service was calculated by taking the total number of children receiving the service and dividing by the total number of newchildren served.

## OMS Initial Caregiver Survey - FY2019

% of Positive Responses: Canopy Children's Solutions CAC vs. National Average




Source: NCA OMS Reporting Dashboard

Data presented includes surveys dated 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019 and submitted prior to 7/15/2019.

% of positive responses was calculated by totaling both strongly agree and somewhat agree responses and dividing by the total number of non-null responses for the selected questions.

## Caregiver Follow-up Survey - FY2019

% of Postive Responses: Canopy Children's Solutions CAC vs. National Average



Source: NCA OMS Reporting Dashboard

Data presented includes surveys dated 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019 and submitted prior to 7/15/2019.

% of positive responses was calculated by totaling both strongly agree and somewhat agree responses and dividing by the total number of non-null responses for the selected questions.

### CAC Clients: Individuals Receiving Services

	2019		2018	
	# - CAC	% Difference	# - CAC	% Difference
New Children Served	779	36.19%	572	
New Non-offending Caregivers Served	511	70.33%	300	
New Vulnerable Adults Served	0	-100.00%	1	
Total Children Served	1,331	132.69%	572	
Total Non-offending Caregivers Served	956	218.67%	300	
Total Vulnerable Adults Served	4	-20.00%	5	

### CAC Clients: Age of New Children Served

		2019			2018		
		Total Children Served	CAC - % of Children Served	Statewide - % of Children Served	Total Children Served	CAC - % of Children Served	Statewide - % of Children Served
Age of Children Served	0 - 6	186	23.9%	28.9%	138	24.1%	27.8%
	7 - 12	351	45.1%	41.1%	244	42.7%	41.7%
	13 -18	241	31.0%	29.8%	190	33.2%	30.6%
	19+	0	0.0%	0.1%			
	NA	0	0.0%	0.1%			
<b>Grand Total</b>		778	100.0%	100.0%	572	100.0%	100.0%

### CAC Clients: Gender of New Children Served

		2019			2018		
		Total Children Served	CAC - % of Children Served	Statewide - % of Children Served	Total Children Served	CAC - % of Children Served	Statewide - % of Children Served
Gender of Children Served	Female	542	69.58%	65.93%	447	78.15%	61.98%
	Male	237	30.42%	33.10%	125	21.85%	38.02%
	NA	0	0.00%	0.98%			
<b>Grand Total</b>		779	100.00%	100.00%	572	100.00%	100.00%



CAC Clients: Race/Ethnicity of Total Children Served							
		2019			2018		
		Total Children Served	CAC - % of Children Served	Statewide - % of Children Served	Total Children Served	CAC - % of Children Served	Statewide - % of Children Served
Race/Ethnicity of Children Served	Bi-racial	62	4.66%	5.1%	44	7.69%	5.2%
	Black / African American	370	27.80%	39.2%	147	25.70%	41.1%
	Hispanic / Latino	57	4.28%	2.5%	17	2.97%	2.0%
	NA	0	0.00%	0.7%			
	Other	7	0.53%	0.3%	0	0.00%	0.1%
	White	835	62.73%	52.2%	364	63.64%	51.6%
Grand Total		1,331	100.00%	100.0%	572	100.00%	100.0%

CAC Clients: Children with Disabilities							
		2019			2018		
		Total Children Served	% of Children Served With Disability	% Disabilities - Statewide	Total Children Served	% of Children Served With Disability	% Disabilities Statewide
Children Served With Disabilities	Blind	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
	Developmental Delay	51	3.8%	5.3%	32	5.6%	4.4%
	Hearing Impaired	1	0.1%	0.1%	1	0.2%	0.1%
	Intellectual Disability	0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.2%
	Motor Skill Impairment	0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.1%
	Specific Learning Disability	8	0.6%	1.4%	15	2.6%	3.6%
	Speech / Language Disorder or Delay	2	0.2%	1.4%	10	1.7%	2.2%

Children may be represented in more than one category.

% of children served with a disability is calculated as the number of of children with a particular disability divided by the total number of children served.

**Types of Abuse Reported**  
**Note: Children may report multiple abuse types, so one child may be represented in multiple categories**

	2019			2018		
	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Drug Endangerment	0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	4.3%
Neglect	0	0.0%	5.7%	0	0.0%	4.6%
Physical Abuse	111	8.3%	15.5%	68	11.9%	16.2%
Sexual Abuse	656	49.3%	54.3%	522	91.3%	63.1%
Witness to Violence	9	0.7%	3.4%	18	3.1%	7.0%

Children may report multiple forms of abuse and may be represented in more than one category.  
The % of children reporting an abuse type is calculated by dividing the number of children reporting an abuse by the total number of children served.

**Alleged Offenders: Relationship of Alleged Offender to Child**

	2019			2018		
	# - CAC	% CAC	% Statewide	# - CAC	% CAC	% Statewide
Other Known	81	12.9%	18.4%	79	14.2%	21.3%
Other Relative	116	18.4%	26.5%	128	23.0%	25.8%
Parent	150	23.8%	29.7%	127	22.8%	27.9%
Parent's Paramour	52	8.3%	7.0%	84	15.1%	8.0%
Step-parent	147	23.4%	10.5%	113	20.3%	10.2%
Unknown Person	83	13.2%	7.9%	25	4.5%	6.8%
<b>Grand Total</b>	629	100.0%	100.0%	556	100.0%	100.0%

**Alleged Offenders: Age of Alleged Offenders**

	2019			2018		
	# - CAC	% CAC	% Statewide	# - CAC	% CAC	% Statewide
Under 13	72	11.4%	6.7%	55	9.9%	7.3%
Age 13 - 17	108	17.2%	10.6%	92	16.5%	12.6%
Age 18+	389	61.8%	67.7%	298	53.6%	64.4%
Unknown	60	9.5%	15.0%	111	20.0%	15.7%
<b>Grand Total</b>	629	100.0%	100.0%	556	100.0%	100.0%

Medical Exam Referrals							
		2019			2018		
		# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Official Service Area	George	60	7.7%	51.7%	13	2.3%	45.9%
	Greene	16	2.1%	51.7%	7	1.2%	45.9%
	Harrison	219	28.1%	51.7%	123	21.5%	45.9%
	Jackson	115	14.8%	51.7%	78	13.6%	45.9%
	Stone	8	1.0%	51.7%	10	1.7%	45.9%
Other	Covington	17	2.2%	51.7%	9	1.6%	45.9%
	Jefferson Davis	8	1.0%	51.7%			
	Jones	76	9.8%	51.7%	19	3.3%	45.9%
	Perry	3	0.4%	51.7%	4	0.7%	45.9%
Grand Total		522	67.0%	51.7%	263	46.0%	45.9%

The % - CAC represents the number of children who received the service divided by the total number of new children served. The % - Statewide represents the number of children statewide who received a service divided the total number of new children served statewide.

Court Preparation & Court Preparation							
		2019			2018		
		# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Official Service Area	George	22	1.7%	12.1%	21	3.7%	32.5%
	Greene	17	1.3%	12.1%	18	3.1%	32.5%
	Harrison	120	9.0%	12.1%	139	24.3%	32.5%
	Jackson	68	5.1%	12.1%	70	12.2%	32.5%
	Stone	1	0.1%	12.1%	12	2.1%	32.5%
Other	Jones				18	3.1%	32.5%
Grand Total		228	17.1%	12.1%	278	48.6%	32.5%

The % - CAC represents the number of children who received the service divided by the total number of new children served. The % - Statewide represents the number of children statewide who received a service divided the total number of children served statewide. The % - CAC represents the number of children who received a particular service divided by the total number of children served.

Victim Advocacy/Criminal Justice & Victim Advocacy						
	2019			2018		
	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Official Service George Area	16	1.2%	49.2%	17	3.0%	52.9%
Greene	22	1.7%	49.2%	10	1.7%	52.9%
Harrison	100	7.5%	49.2%	46	8.0%	52.9%
Jackson	65	4.9%	49.2%	78	13.6%	52.9%
Stone	3	0.2%	49.2%	11	1.9%	52.9%
Grand Total	206	15.5%	49.2%	162	28.3%	52.9%

The % - CAC represents the number of children who received the service divided by the total number of children served. The % - Statewide represents the number of children statewide who received a service divided the total number of children served statewide.

Counseling Referrals							
		2019			2018		
		# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Official Service Area	George	28	3.6%	36.9%	10	1.7%	46.6%
	Greene	14	1.8%	36.9%	4	0.7%	46.6%
	Harrison	145	18.6%	36.9%	99	17.3%	46.6%
	Jackson	66	8.5%	36.9%	42	7.3%	46.6%
	Stone	8	1.0%	36.9%	14	2.4%	46.6%
Other	Covington	9	1.2%	36.9%	13	2.3%	46.6%
	Jefferson Davis	4	0.5%	36.9%	1	0.2%	46.6%
	Jones	42	5.4%	36.9%	20	3.5%	46.6%
	Perry				7	1.2%	46.6%
Grand Total		316	40.6%	36.9%	210	36.7%	46.6%

The % - CAC represents the number of children who received the service divided by the total number of new children served. The % - Statewide represents the number of children statewide who received a service divided the total number of new children served statewide.

On-site Counseling & On-site Counseling Services							
		2019			2018		
		# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Official Service Area	George	16	1.2%	45.5%	10	1.7%	34.5%
	Greene	2	0.2%	45.5%	4	0.7%	34.5%
	Harrison	63	4.7%	45.5%	18	3.1%	34.5%
	Jackson	37	2.8%	45.5%	16	2.8%	34.5%
Grand Total		118	8.9%	45.5%	48	8.4%	34.5%

The % - CAC represents the number of children who received the service divided by the total number of new children served. The % - Statewide represents the number of children statewide who received a service divided the total number of new children served statewide.

Forensic Interviews								
			2019			2018		
			# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide	# - CAC	% - CAC	% - Statewide
Victims	Other	Covington	29	2.2%	57.7%	10	1.7%	68.6%
		George	70	5.3%	57.7%	31	5.4%	68.6%
		Greene	15	1.1%	57.7%	27	4.7%	68.6%
		Hancock	3	0.2%	57.7%			
		Harrison	242	18.2%	57.7%	181	31.6%	68.6%
		Jackson	148	11.1%	57.7%	131	22.9%	68.6%
		Jefferson Davis	9	0.7%	57.7%	6	1.0%	68.6%
		Jones	78	5.9%	57.7%	42	7.3%	68.6%
		Marion	1	0.1%	57.7%			
		Out of state	1	0.1%	57.7%			
		Pearl River	1	0.1%	57.7%			
		Perry	7	0.5%	57.7%	10	1.7%	68.6%
		Stone	31	2.3%	57.7%	30	5.2%	68.6%
		Witnesses	Other	Covington	5	0.4%	4.3%	2
George	8			0.6%	4.3%	4	0.7%	12.1%
Greene	6			0.5%	4.3%	9	1.6%	12.1%
Harrison	35			2.6%	4.3%	50	8.7%	12.1%
Jackson	17			1.3%	4.3%	29	5.1%	12.1%
Jones	13			1.0%	4.3%	4	0.7%	12.1%
Stone	2			0.2%	4.3%	4	0.7%	12.1%
Collateral	Other	George	12	0.9%	3.4%			
		Greene	1	0.1%	3.4%			
		Harrison	18	1.4%	3.4%			
		Jackson	16	1.2%	3.4%			
		Jefferson Davis	3	0.2%	3.4%			
		Jones	8	0.6%	3.4%			
Grand Total			779	58.5%	65.4%	570	99.7%	80.6%

The % - CAC represents the number of children who received a forensic interview divided by the total number of new children served. The % - Statewide represents the number of children statewide who received a forensic interview divided the total number of new children served statewide

Initial Caregiver Survey - Positive Responses FY2019				
	Total Number of Survey Responses	CAC Avg	State Avg	National Avg
1. I believe my child felt safe at the Center.	67	95.5%	95.3%	97.0%
2. The Center staff made sure I understood the reason for our visit to the Center.	68	98.5%	99.1%	98.9%
3. We were greeted and received attention in a timely manne.	69	100.0%	99.2%	99.2%
4. I was given information about the services and programs provided by the Center.	69	100.0%	99.2%	98.6%
5. My questions were answered to my satisfaction.	69	100.0%	98.7%	98.7%
6. The process for the interview of my child at the Center was clearly explained to me.	68	97.1%	98.6%	98.6%
7. I was given information about possible behaviors I might expect from my child in the days and weeks ahead.	68	94.1%	95.3%	90.4%
8. The staff members at the Center were friendly and pleasant.	69	100.0%	99.4%	99.5%
9. After our visit at the Center, I feel I know what to expect with the situation facing my child.	67	95.5%	95.1%	94.3%
10. The Center staff provided me with resources to support my child and respond to his or her needs.	67	98.5%	98.5%	96.8%

Source: NCA OMS Reporting Dashboard

Data presented includes surveys dated 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019 and submitted prior to 7/15/2019.

% of positive responses was calculated by totaling both strongly agree and somewhat agree responses and dividing by the total number of non-null responses for the selected questions.

Caregiver Follow-up Survey - Positive Responses FY2019				
	Total Number of Survey Responses	CAC Avg	State Avg	National Avg
1. The staff members at the Center have been friendly and pleasant	12.00	1.00	0.97	0.98
2. As a result of our contact with the Center, we knew what to expect in the days and weeks that followed	12.00	1.00	0.97	0.93
3. Since my first contact with the Center, Center staff has been available to answer any questions I had	12.00	1.00	0.96	0.95
4. Overall, the services we have received from the Center have been helpful to me and my child.	12.00	1.00	0.97	0.95
5. I feel I have received information that has helped me understand how I can best keep my child safe in the future	12.00	0.92	0.96	0.95
6. I feel that the Center has done everything it can to assist my child and me.	12.00	0.92	0.96	0.95
If I knew anyone else who was dealing with a situation like the one my family faced, I would tell that person about the Center.	12.00	0.92	0.97	0.97

Source: NCA OMS Reporting Dashboard

Data presented includes surveys dated 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019 and submitted prior to 7/15/2019.

% of positive responses was calculated by totaling both strongly agree and somewhat agree responses and dividing by the total number of non-null responses for the selected questions.