The Go features I can't live without

Golang Brno meetup #1 17 May 2016

Rodolfo Carvalho Red Hat

First Golang Brno meeting

Survey time!

- Who comes from other cities/countries?
- Who never wrote a single line of Go code?
- Who writes Go code frequently?

Me

Go since ???

- Jul 2011 chat message via? (release.r58.1 / weekly.2011-07-19)
- Sep 2011 via Racket mailing list
- Jan 2013 via email, then 什么词 shenmeci & DAWGo (go1.0)
- Oct 2013 Golang KRK
- ...
- Apr 2015 OpenShift developer

This talk

- May serve as a brief intro to Go (see the Tour of Go!)
- Features that I personally enjoy and miss when I code in other languages

Language simplicity

- Less is more
- Fits your brain
- Easy to learn
- Easy to read
- Suitable for larger teams
- Other languages compete on feature set

Rob Pike explains it better at youtu.be/rFejpH_tAHM

Highlights

Too many features means time wasted choosing which ones to use.

If the language is complicated:

- You must understand more things to read and work on the code.
- You must understand more things to debug and fix it.

Tradeoff: More fun to write, or less work to maintain?

Go is boring!

Single dispatch

Julia:

A Summary of Features

- Multiple dispatch: providing ability to define function behavior across many combinations of argument types

- ...

Go has single dispatch. Simpler to reason about.

And no operator overloading, no "magic", ... and no generics.

Example in Julia

Although it seems a simple concept, multiple dispatch on the types of values is perhaps the single most powerful and central feature of the Julia language. Core operations typically have dozens of methods:

```
julia> methods(+)
# 139 methods for generic function "+":
+(x::Bool) at bool.jl:33
+(x::Bool, v::Bool) at bool.j1:36
+(y::AbstractFloat,x::Bool) at bool.jl:46
+(x::Int64,y::Int64) at int.jl:14
+(x::Int8,y::Int8) at int.jl:14
+(x::UInt8,y::UInt8) at int.jl:14
+(x::Int16,y::Int16) at int.jl:14
+(x::UInt16,y::UInt16) at int.jl:14
+(x::Int32,y::Int32) at int.jl:14
+(x::UInt32,y::UInt32) at int.jl:14
+(x::UInt64,y::UInt64) at int.jl:14
+(x::Int128,y::Int128) at int.jl:14
```

docs.julialang.org/en/release-0.4/manual/methods/#man-methods

Example in Go

```
func (d *downloader) Download(url *url.URL, targetFile string) (*api.SourceInfo, error) {
    schemeReader := d.schemeReaders[url.Scheme]
    info := &api.SourceInfo{}
    if schemeReader == nil {
        glog.Errorf("No URL handler found for %s", url.String())
        return nil, errors.NewURLHandlerError(url.String())
}

reader, err := schemeReader.Read(url)
if err != nil {
        return nil, err
}
defer reader.Close()
```

Things are what they look like, easy to reason about code. Also, grep friendly.

Capitalization is meaningful

An identifier may be exported to permit access to it from another package. An identifier is exported if both:

- 1. the first character of the identifier's name is a Unicode upper case letter; and
- 2. the identifier is declared in the package block or it is a field name or method name.

All other identifiers are not exported.

Example

```
func (d *downloader) Download(url *url.URL, targetFile string) (*api.SourceInfo, error) {
    schemeReader := d.schemeReaders[url.Scheme]
    info := &api.SourceInfo{}
    if schemeReader == nil {
        glog.Errorf("No URL handler found for %s", url.String())
        return nil, errors.NewURLHandlerError(url.String())
}

reader, err := schemeReader.Read(url)
if err != nil {
        return nil, err
}
defer reader.Close()
```

gofmt

- Go is recognized for good tooling
- Executable, automatic coding standard (Python's PEP8 on steroids)

Also:

- go fix
- go vet
- and many linters

godoc

Extracts, generates and serves documentation

```
$ go doc fmt Println
func Println(a ...interface{}) (n int, err error)
    Println formats using the default formats for its operands and writes to
    standard output. Spaces are always added between operands and a newline is
    appended. It returns the number of bytes written and any write error
    encountered.
```

Docs live near code

```
// Println formats using the default formats for its operands and writes to standard output.
// Spaces are always added between operands and a newline is appended.
// It returns the number of bytes written and any write error encountered.
func Println(a ...interface{}) (n int, err error) {
   return Fprintln(os.Stdout, a...)
}
```

- Culture
- godoc.org

No exceptions!

- No exceptions, explicit error handling using all of the power of the language
- No especial syntax / constructs to learn
- Errors are values!

```
_, err = fd.Write(p0[a:b])
if err != nil {
    return err
}
_, err = fd.Write(p1[c:d])
if err != nil {
    return err
}
_, err = fd.Write(p2[e:f])
if err != nil {
    return err
}
// and so on
```

Playing fair... do you really think and write code to handle exceptional cases?

Programming your error handling

```
type errWriter struct {
    w io.Writer
    err error
}
func (ew *errWriter) write(buf []byte) {
    if ew.err != nil {
        return
    }
    _, ew.err = ew.w.Write(buf)
}
```

Use it:

```
ew := &errWriter{w: fd}
ew.write(p0[a:b])
ew.write(p1[c:d])
ew.write(p2[e:f])
// and so on
if ew.err != nil {
    return ew.err
}
```

Table-driven tests

Because there are no exceptions and because errors are values, it is easy to write table-driven tests.

Define tests:

```
type atoi64Test struct {
    in string
    out int64
    err error
}

var atoi64tests = []atoi64Test{
    {"", 0, ErrSyntax},
    {"0", 0, nil},
    // ...
    {"9223372036854775809", 1<<63 - 1, ErrRange},
    {"-9223372036854775809", -1 << 63, ErrRange},
}</pre>
```

Test them all

Even if a single test case fails, all other tests can still be run.

There are no *assertions*, no *exceptions* to control the test flow, and no need for the "single assert per test method" rule.

Interfaces

Go interfaces enable post-facto abstraction.

- In Python, you can't write it down and type-check, you just duck type
- In Java, you must declare *α priori*: 3rd-party code cannot implement your interfaces
- Implicitly satisfiable interfaces

Frequently used: io.Reader, io.Writer, fmt.Stringer, interface{}

Example:

```
type Reader interface {
   Read(p []byte) (n int, err error)
}
```

The bigger the interface, the weaker the abstraction

Keep them small, and compose!

```
type ReadWriter interface {
    Reader
    Writer
}
```

To implement an interface

Implement methods with the appropriate signatures:

```
type File struct {
    Name string
}
func (f *File) Read(p []byte) (n int, err error) {
    return len(p), nil
}
func (f *File) Write(p []byte) (n int, err error) {
    return len(p), nil
}
func (f *File) String() string {
    return f.Name
}
```

Nothing more.

To define your own interface

Declare the method signatures:

```
type Greeter interface {
   Greet(string) error
}
```

Swiss-knife interface

go-review.googlesource.com/#/c/20763/

```
// go/src/net/http/request.go
func (1 *maxBytesReader) tooLarge() (n int, err error) {
   if !1.stopped {
       1.stopped = true
       // The server code and client code both use
       // maxBytesReader. This "requestTooLarge" check is
       // only used by the server code. To prevent binaries
       // which only using the HTTP Client code (such as
       // cmd/go) from also linking in the HTTP server, don't
       // use a static type assertion to the server
       // "*response" type. Check this interface instead:
       type requestTooLarger interface {
            requestTooLarge()
       if res, ok := 1.w.(requestTooLarger); ok {
            res.requestTooLarge()
    return 0, errors.New("http: request body too large")
```

Recap

- 1. Simplicity
- 2. Single dispatch
- 3. Capitalization
- 4. gofmt
- 5. godoc
- 6. No exceptions
- 7. Table-Driven Tests
- 8. Interfaces

Next time

- 9. First-class functions
- 10. Fully qualified imports
- 11. Static typing with type inference
- 12. Speed
- 13. Metaprogramming
- 14. Static linking
- 15. Cross-compilation
- 16. Go Proverbs

I want to code!

- Coding Dojo Brno
- Every Wednesday, 18:00 20:00

github.com/dojo-brno/src



Thank you

Rodolfo Carvalho Red Hat rhcarvalho@gmail.com http://rodolfocarvalho.net