



IBM Developer
SKILLS NETWORK

Winning Space Race with Data Science

Sebastian Torres
21/03/2023



Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- Summary of methodologies
 - Data collection
 - Data wrangling
 - EDA with data visualization
 - EDA with SQL
 - Building an interactive map with Folium
 - Building a Dashboard with Plotly Dash
 - Predictive analysis (Classification)
- Summary of all results
 - EDA results
 - Interactive analytics
 - Predictive analysis

Introduction

- Project background and context
 - SpaceX is a revolutionary company that has revolutionized the space industry by offering rocket launches, specifically Falcon 9, for as low as \$62 million. Other vendors cost more than \$165 million each. This is because they reuse the first stage of the launch when re-landing the rocket for use on the next mission. Obviously, repeating this process will drive the price down even further.
- Problems you want to find answers
 - The project task is to predicting if the first stage of the SpaceX Falcon 9 rocket will land successfully
 - Identifying all factors that influence the landing outcome
 - The relationship between each variables and how it is affecting the outcome

Section 1

Methodology


Methodology

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
 - SpaceX API
 - Web Scrapping from Wikipedia
- Perform data wrangling
 - One hot Encoding data fields for machine learning and data cleaning of null values and irrelevant columns
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - LR, KNN, SVM, DT models have been built and evaluated for the best classifier

Data Collection

- The following datasets was collected:
 - SpaceX launch data that is gathered from the SpaceX REST API.
 - This API will give us data about launches, including information about the rocket used, payload delivered, launch specifications, landing specifications, and landing outcome.
 - The SpaceX REST API endpoints, or URL, starts with `api.spacexdata.com/v4/`.
 - For web scrapping, we will use the BeautifulSoup to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for further analysis.



Data Collection – SpaceX API

Get request for rocket launch data using API

Use json_normalize method to convert json result to df

Performed data cleaning and filling the missing value

- From:

<https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/Data%20Collection%20%E2%80%93%20SpaceX%20API.ipynb>

```
spacex_url = 'http://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past'
```

```
response = requests.get(spacex_url)
```

```
# Takes the dataset and uses the cores column to call the API and append the data to the lists
def getCoreData(data):
    for core in data['cores']:
        if core['core'] != None:
            response = requests.get("https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/cores/"+core['core']).json()
            Block.append(response['block'])
            ReusedCount.append(response['reuse_count'])
            Serial.append(response['serial'])
        else:
            Block.append(None)
            ReusedCount.append(None)
            Serial.append(None)
    Outcome.append(str(core['landing_success'])+' '+str(core['landing_type']))
    Flights.append(core['flight'])
    GridFins.append(core['gridfins'])
    Reused.append(core['reused'])
    Legs.append(core['legs'])
    LandingPad.append(core['landpad'])
```


Data Collection - Scraping

Request the Falcon9 Launch
Wiki page from URL

Create a BeautifulSoup from
the HTML response

Extract all column/variable
names from the HTML
header

- From:

<https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/Data%20Collection%20-%20Scraping.ipynb>

```
# use requests.get() method with the provided static_url
# assign the response to a object
page = requests.get(static_url)
page.status_code
```

```
soup = BeautifulSoup(page.text, 'html.parser')
```

```
extracted_row = 0
#Extract each table
for table_number, table in enumerate(soup.find_all('table', "wikitable plainrowheaders collapsible")):
    # get table row
    for rows in table.find_all("tr"):
        #check to see if first table heading is as number corresponding to launch a number
        if rows.th:
            if rows.th.string:
                flight_number=rows.th.string.strip()
                flag=flight_number.isdigit()
            else:
                flag=False
        #get table element
        row=rows.find_all('td')
        #if it is number save cells in a dictionary
        if flag:
            extracted_row += 1
            # Flight Number value
            launch_dict["Flight No."].append(flight_number)
            #print(flight_number)
            datatimelist=date_time(row[0])

            # Date value
            date = datatimelist[0].strip(',')
            launch_dict["Date"].append(date)
            #print(date)
```

Data Wrangling


Data wrangling is the process of cleaning and unifying messy and complex data sets for easy access and Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA).

We will first calculate the number of launches on each site, then calculate the number and occurrence of mission outcome per orbit type.



We then create a landing outcome label from the outcome column. This will make it easier for further analysis, visualization, an ML. Lastly, we will export the result to a CSV.

- From:

<https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/Data%20Wrangling.ipynb>



EDA with Data Visualization



- From:

<https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/EDA%20with%20Data%20Visualization.ipynb>



Bar graphs is one of the easiest way to interpret the relationship between the attributes. In this case, we will use the bar graph to determine which orbits have the highest probability of success.

We then use the line graph to show a trends or pattern of the attribute over time which in this case, is used for see the launch success yearly trend.

Scatter plots show dependency of attributes on each other. Once pattern is determined from the graph. It's very easy to see which factors affecting the most to the success of the landing outcomes.

EDA with SQL

- **SQL queries performed include:**
 - Displaying the names of the unique launch sites in the mission
 - Displaying 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'KSC'
 - Displaying the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
 - Displaying average payload mass carried by boosters version F9 v1.1
 - Listing the date where the successful landing outcome in drone ship was achieved.
 - Listing the names of the boosters which have success in ground pad and have payload mass greater than 4000, but less than 6000
 - Listing the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
 - Listing the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass
 - Listing the records which will display the month names, successful landing_outcomes in ground pad, booster versions, launch_site for the months in year 2017
 - Ranking the count of successful landing_outcomes between the date 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20 in descending order
- From:

https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification_IBM/blob/main/EDA%20SQL.ipynb

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

To visualize the launch data into an interactive map. We took the latitude and longitude coordinates at each launch site and added a circle marker around each launch site with a label of the name of the launch site.

We then assigned the dataframe `launch_outcomes(failure, success)` to class 0 and 1 with Red and Green markers on the map in `MarkerCluster()`.

We then used the Haversine's formula to calculate the distance of the launch sites to various landmarks to find answers to the questions of:

- How close the launch sites with railways, highways and coastlines?
- How close the launch sites with nearby cities?



- From:

https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/Visual_Analytics_with_Folium.ipynb

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash


We build an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash which allowing the user to play around with the data as they need.

We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites.

We the plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.



- From:

https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/spacex_dash_app.py



Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- The SVM, KNN, and Logistic Regression model achieved the highest accuracy at 83.3%, while the SVM performs the best in terms of Area Under the Curve at 0.958



- From:

<https://github.com/jsebastiants/Data-Science-Certification-IBM/blob/main/Machine%20Learning%20Prediction.ipynb>

Results


- The SVM, KNN, and Logistic Regression models are the best in terms of prediction accuracy for this dataset.
- Low weighted payloads perform better than the heavier payloads.
- The success rates for SpaceX launches is directly proportional time in years they will eventually perfect perfect the launches.
- KSC LC 39A had the most successful launches from all the sites.
- Orbit GEO, HEO, SSO, ES L1 has the best Success Rate.

The background of the slide is an abstract composition. It features a dark blue base color. Overlaid on this are numerous diagonal streaks in shades of red and cyan. A faint, light blue grid pattern is also visible, particularly in the lower half of the image. The overall effect is dynamic and technological.

Section 2

Insights drawn from EDA


Flight Number vs. Launch Site




Launches from the site of CCAFS SLC 40 are significantly higher than launches from other sites.

Payload vs. Launch Site

The majority of Pay Loads with lower Mass have been launched from CCAFS SLC 40.




Success Rate vs. Orbit Type




The orbit types of ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO are among the highest success rate.

Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

A trend can be observed of shifting to VLEO launches in recent years




Payload vs. Orbit Type



There are strong correlation between ISS and Payload at the range around 2000, as well as between GTO and the range of 4000-8000.

Launch Success Yearly Trend

Launch success rate has increased significantly since 2013 and has stabilized since 2019, potentially due to advance in technology and lessons learned



All Launch Site Names

We use the word DISTINCT to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data

```
In [5]: %sql SELECT DISTINCT LAUNCH_SITE as "Launch_Sites" FROM SPACEX;

* ibm_db_sa://zpw86771:***@fbd88901-ebdb-4a4f-a32e-9822b9fb237b.clogj3
sd0tgtu0lqde00.databases.appdomain.cloud:32731/bludb
Done.
```

Out[5]:

Launch_Sites
CCAFS LC-40
CCAFS SLC-40
KSC LC-39A
VAFB SLC-4E

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

We used the query to display 5 records where launch sites begin with 'CCA'

Out[41]:		Date	Time_UTC	Booster_Version	Launch_Site	Payload	PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_	Orbit	Customer	Mission_Outcome	Landing_Outcome
0	19-02-2017	2021-07-02 14:39:00.0000000	F9 FT B1031.1	KSC LC-39A	SpaceX CRS-10		2490	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	Success (ground pad)
1	16-03-2017	2021-07-02 06:00:00.0000000	F9 FT B1030	KSC LC-39A	EchoStar 23		5600	GTO	EchoStar	Success	No attempt
2	30-03-2017	2021-07-02 22:27:00.0000000	F9 FT B1021.2	KSC LC-39A	SES-10		5300	GTO	SES	Success	Success (drone ship)
3	01-05-2017	2021-07-02 11:15:00.0000000	F9 FT B1032.1	KSC LC-39A	NROL-76		5300	LEO	NRO	Success	Success (ground pad)
4	15-05-2017	2021-07-02 23:21:00.0000000	F9 FT B1034	KSC LC-39A	Inmarsat-5 F4		6070	GTO	Inmarsat	Success	No attempt

Total Payload Mass

We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596.

```
%sql SELECT SUM(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG) FROM SPACEXTBL WHERE CUSTOMER  
= 'NASA (CRS)'
```

Out[57]:	Total Payload Mass
	<hr/>
	0 45596

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

```
%sql SELECT AVG(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG) AS 'Average Payload Mass by Booster'  
WHERE BOOSTER_VERSION = 'F9 v1.1'
```

Out[62]:	Average Payload Mass
	<hr/>
0	2928

First Successful Ground Landing Date

We use the min() function to find the result.

```
%sql SELECT MIN(DATE) FROM SPACEXBTLE WHERE Landing_Outcome =  
'Success (ground pad)'
```

```
Out[64]:
```

	Date which first Successful landing outcome in drone ship was acheived.
0	06-05-2016

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

We use the WHERE clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

```
%sql SELECT BOOSTER_VERSION FROM SPACEXBTL WHERE Landing_Outcome  
= 'Success (drone ship)' AND PAYLOAD_MASS_KG > 4000 AND  
PAYLOAD_MASS_KG < 6000
```

booster_version
F9 FT B1022
F9 FT B1026
F9 FT B1021.2
F9 FT B1031.2

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

We used wildcard like '%' to filter for WHERE MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

Out[84]:	Successful_Mission_Outcomes	Failure_Mission_Outcomes
	0	100
		1

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHERE clause and the MAX() function.

Booster Versions which carried the Maximum Payload Mass	
	F9 B5 B1048.4
	F9 B5 B1048.5
	F9 B5 B1049.4
	F9 B5 B1049.5
	F9 B5 B1049.7
	F9 B5 B1051.3
	F9 B5 B1051.4
	F9 B5 B1051.6
	F9 B5 B1056.4
	F9 B5 B1058.3
	F9 B5 B1060.2
	F9 B5 B1060.3

2015 Launch Records

We used combinations of WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for years 2015.

```
%sql SELECT * FROM SPACEXBTL WHERE Landing_Outcome LIKE 'Success%' AND DATE BETWEEN '2015-01-01' AND '2015-12-31' ORDER BY DATE DESC
```

time_utc_	booster_version	launch_site	payload	payload_mass_kg_	orbit	customer	mission_outcome	landing_outcome
14:39:00	F9 FT B1031.1	KSC LC-39A	SpaceX CRS-10	2490	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	Success (ground pad)
17:54:00	F9 FT B1029.1	VAFB SLC-4E	Iridium NEXT 1	9600	Polar LEO	Iridium Communications	Success	Success (drone ship)
05:26:00	F9 FT B1026	CCAFS LC-40	JCSAT-16	4600	GTO	SKY Perfect JSAT Group	Success	Success (drone ship)
04:45:00	F9 FT B1025.1	CCAFS LC-40	SpaceX CRS-9	2257	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	Success (ground pad)
21:39:00	F9 FT B1023.1	CCAFS LC-40	Thaicom 8	3100	GTO	Thaicom	Success	Success (drone ship)
05:04:00	F9 FT B1022	CCAFS LC-	JCSAT-14	4600	GTO	SKY Perfect JSAT	Success	Success (drone ship)

Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

We selected Landing outcomes and the COUNT of landing outcomes from the data and used the WHERE clause to filter for landing outcomes BETWEEN 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.


Landing Outcome	Total Count
No attempt	10
Failure (drone ship)	5
Success (drone ship)	5
Controlled (ocean)	3
Success (ground pad)	3
Failure (parachute)	2
Uncontrolled (ocean)	2
Precluded (drone ship)	1

A satellite view of Earth from space, showing the curvature of the planet and city lights at night. The background is a deep blue gradient.


Section 3

Launch Sites Proximities Analysis


Success/failed launches marked on the map



All launch sites marked on a map



Distances between a launch site to its proximities






Section 4

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

Total success launches by all sites


Total Success Launches By all sites



We can see that KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches from all sites


Success rate by site

Total Success Launches for site KSC LC-39A



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Payload vs launch outcome



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than heavy weighted payloads.



Section 5

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

Classification Accuracy


As we can see Tree Algorithm is the best algorithm which have the highest classification accuracy

```
algorithms = {'KNN':knn_cv.best_score_, 'Tree':tree_cv.best_score_, 'LogisticRegression':logreg_cv.best_score_}
bestalgorithm = max(algorithms, key=algorithms.get)
print('Best Algorithm is',bestalgorithm,'with a score of',algorithms[bestalgorithm])
if bestalgorithm == 'Tree':
    print('Best Params is :',tree_cv.best_params_)
if bestalgorithm == 'KNN':
    print('Best Params is :',knn_cv.best_params_)
if bestalgorithm == 'LogisticRegression':
    print('Best Params is :',logreg_cv.best_params_)
```

Best Algorithm is Tree with a score of 0.8892857142857142

Best Params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max_depth': 2, 'max_features': 'sqrt', 'min_samples_leaf': 2, 'min_samples_split': 10, 'splitter': 'random'}

Confusion Matrix



This is the confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier. The major problem is the false positives.

Conclusions

- The Tree classifier algorithm is the best Machine Learning approach for this dataset
- The low weighted payloads (which define as 4000kg and below) performed better than the heavy weighted payloads.
- Starting from the year 2013, the success rate for SpaceX launches is increased, directly proportional time in years to 2020, which it will eventually perfect the launches in the future.
- KSC LC-39A have the most successful launches of any sites (76.9%)
- SSO orbit have the most success rate, 100% and more than 1 occurrence

Thank you!

