University of Copenhagen

XMP: Assignment 2 - Theory

Jens Fredskov (chw752)

January 16, 2014

To find an equivalent definition of NET we first eliminate the general choice in $STORE_x$, which can be done using the definition of general choice.

$$STORE_x = (add?y \rightarrow STORE_{x+y}) \square (fetch!x \rightarrow STORE_{x-1})$$

= $(add?y \rightarrow STORE_{x+y} \mid fetch!x \rightarrow STORE_{x-1})$

We then define and calculate the following auxillary process

$$SS_x = STORE_x \parallel STOP_A$$

= (add? $y \to STORE_{x+y} \mid \text{fetch!} x \to STORE_{x-1}) \parallel STOP_A$
we use [2.3.1 L7] where $A = \{|\text{fetch}|, |\text{add}|\}, B = \{|\text{add}|\}, C = \{|\text{fetch}|\}$
= fetch! $x \to (STORE_{x-1} \parallel STOP_A)$
= fetch! $x \to SS_{x-1}$

Finally we define the process NET_x as solving this for x = 10 is equivalent to NET

$$NET_x = (STORE_x \parallel (add!3 \rightarrow STOP_A)) \setminus A$$

we first use [4.3 L1] and [2.3.1 L7] with $A = \{|\text{fetch}|, |\text{add}|\}, B = \{\text{add.3}\}, C = \{|\text{fetch}|, \text{add.3}\}$

= (add!3
$$\rightarrow$$
 (STORE_{x+3} || STOP_A) | fetch! $x \rightarrow$ (STORE_{x-1} || (add!3 \rightarrow STOP_A))) \ A = (add!3 \rightarrow SS_{x+3} | fetch! $x \rightarrow$ NET_{x-1}) \ A

We then apply the special case of [3.5.1 L10] given as an example just above the law

=
$$SS_{x+3}$$
 \sqcap (SS_{x+3} \sqcap (fetch! $x \to NET_{x-1}$))
= SS_{x+3} \sqcap (fetch!($x + 3$) $\to SS_{x+2}$ | fetch! $x \to NET_{x-1}$)

We now have the equivalent definition

$$NET = NET_{10}$$

= $SS_{13} \sqcap (\text{fetch!}13 \rightarrow SS_{12} \mid \text{fetch!}10 \rightarrow NET_9)$

(a) To show that $s \in traces(NET)$ we first use [3.2.3 L1]

```
traces(NET) = traces(SS_{13}) \cup traces(fetch!13 \rightarrow SS_{12} \mid fetch!10 \rightarrow NET_9)
```

We then focus of the second expression and use [1.8.1 L3]

```
traces(\text{fetch!}13 \rightarrow SS_{12} \mid \text{fetch!}10 \rightarrow NET_9) = \{t \mid t = \langle \rangle \lor (t_0 = \text{fetch!}13 \land t' \in traces(SS_{12})) \lor (t_0 = \text{fetch!}10 \land t' \in traces(NET_9))\}
```

Again we focus on the second expression and use [3.2.3 L1]

$$traces(NET_9) = traces(SS_{12}) \cup traces(\text{fetch}!12 \rightarrow SS_{11} \mid \text{fetch}!9 \rightarrow NET_8)$$

We can now choose either of the two expressions (as they both contain fetch!12) but for simplicity we choose the first, and use [1.8.1 L2] thrice

$$traces(SS_{12}) = \{t \mid t = \langle \rangle \lor \underline{(t_0 = \text{fetch}!12 \land t' \in traces(SS_{11}))} \}$$

 $traces(SS_{11}) = \{t \mid t = \langle \rangle \lor \underline{(t_0 = \text{fetch}!11 \land t' \in traces(SS_{10}))} \}$
 $traces(SS_{10}) = \{t \mid t = \langle \rangle \lor \underline{(t_0 = \text{fetch}!10 \land t' \in traces(SS_9))} \}$

(b) We calculate NET / s as

```
\begin{array}{lll} NET \ / \ s = (SS_{13} \ \sqcap \ (\text{fetch!} 13 \to SS_{12} \ | \ \text{fetch!} 10 \to NET_9)) \ / \ s \\ &= (\text{fetch!} 13 \to SS_{12} \ | \ \text{fetch!} 10 \to NET_9) \ / \ s \\ &= NET_9 \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 12, \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle & [1.8.3 \ \text{L2} \ \& \ \text{L3}] \\ &= (SS_{12} \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 12, \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ \sqcap \\ &= (SS_{12} \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 12, \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ \sqcap \\ &= (SS_{12} \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 12, \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ | \ [3.2.3 \ \text{L2}] \\ &= (SS_{12} \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 12, \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ | \ [3.2.3 \ \text{L2}] \\ &= SS_{10} \ \sqcap \ ((\ \text{fetch!} 12 \to SS_{11} \ | \ \text{fetch!} 9 \to NET_8) \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 12, \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ | \ [2 \times 1.8.3 \ \text{L2} \ \& \ \text{L3A}] \\ &= SS_{10} \ \sqcap \ (SS_{11} \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ | \ [1.8.3 \ \text{L2} \ \& \ \text{L3A}] \\ &= SS_{10} \ \sqcap \ SS_{10} \ | \ (SS_{10} \ ) \ | \ (SS_{11} \ / \ \langle \ \text{fetch.} 11 \rangle) \ | \ [3.2.1 \ \text{L1}] \end{array}
```

(a) To show that $\{\text{fetch.}10, \text{fetch.}42\} \in refusals(NET) \text{ we first use } [3.4.1 \text{ L4}]$

```
refusals(NET) = refusals(SS_{13}) \cup refusals(fetch!13 \rightarrow SS_{12} \mid fetch!10 \rightarrow NET_9)
```

We now only need to look at the first set of refusals as this process only wants to do fetch!13 we have, using [3.4.1 L2], that

```
refusals(SS_{13}) = \{x \mid x \subseteq (\alpha SS_x - \text{fetch!13})\}\
\Rightarrow \{\text{fetch.10,fetch.42}\} \in refusals(SS_{13})
\Rightarrow \{\text{fetch.10,fetch.42}\} \in refusals(NET)
```

At the same time we can also see that $\{\text{fetch.13}\} \notin refusals(SS_{13})$ thus for it to not be in refusals(NET) we need now only check that it is also not in the second set. We then use [3.4.1 L3]

```
refusals(\text{fetch!}13 \rightarrow SS_{12} \mid \text{fetch!}10 \rightarrow NET_9) = \{x \mid x \subseteq (\alpha SS_x - \{\text{fetch!}13, \text{fetch!}10\})\}\
\Rightarrow \{\text{fetch.}13\} \notin refusals(\text{fetch!}13 \rightarrow SS_{12} \mid \text{fetch!}10 \rightarrow NET_9)
```

Thus we have that $\{fetch.13\} \notin refusals(NET)$

(b) To show that {fetch.13,fetch.42} \in refusals(NET / s) and that {fetch.10} \notin refusals(NET / s) we use [3.4.1 L2]

```
 \begin{split} \textit{refusals}(\textit{NET} \mid \textit{s}) &= \textit{refusals}(\textit{SS}_{10}) \\ &= \{x \mid x \subseteq (\alpha \textit{SS}_x - \text{fetch}!10)\} \\ &\Rightarrow \{\text{fetch}.13, \text{fetch}.42\} \in \textit{refusals}(\textit{NET} \mid \textit{s}) \land \{\text{fetch}.10\} \notin \textit{refusals}(\textit{NET} \mid \textit{s}) \end{split}
```