

## Assignment #7

MACS 30000, Dr. Evans

Due Monday, Nov. 26 at 11:30am

1. **Unit Testing in Python (3 points).** Read [Chapter 7 “Unit Testing”](#) from [Humpherys and Jarvis \(2018, Ch. 7\)](#). This is a chapter from an open access set of labs that accompany a great applied math textbook [Humpherys et al. \(2017\)](#). Do Problems 1, 2, and 3 from this Chapter. Submit your code and a description of your answers.
2. **Test driven development (3 points).** [Test driven development](#) is a paradigm that helps ensure that submitted code satisfies certain requirements. Pretend that you are my research assistant. I want you to write a Python function that has the following properties, and it must pass the following test. Write this function. **Copy this test file into the folder where your function resides** and make sure that all tests pass. Submit your function and the testing output showing that it passed.
  - (a) In the theory of the firm in economics, the interest rate in a given period  $r_t$  in equilibrium is a function of the aggregate capital stock  $K_t$ , aggregate labor  $L_t$ , and parameters of the model  $\alpha$  (capital share of income),  $Z$  (total factor productivity), and  $\delta$  (depreciation rate).

$$r_t = \alpha Z \left( \frac{L_t}{K_t} \right)^{1-\alpha} - \delta \quad \forall t$$

- (b) A python script that contains only functions is called a “module”. Write a Python module entitled `get_r.py`. Inside that module, define a function `get_r()` that takes as inputs  $K$ ,  $L$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $Z$ , and  $\delta$  and returns the corresponding interest rate. Furthermore, this function must work for values of  $\alpha, \delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $K, L, Z > 0$ . Furthermore, if  $K$  and  $L$  are both scalars, this function should return a scalar interest rate. And if  $K$  and  $L$  are both vectors, this function should return a corresponding vector of interest rates.

```
def get_r(K, L, alpha, Z, delta):  
    '''  
    This function generates the interest rate or vector of interest rates  
    '''  
    # Put your function stuff here  
  
    return r
```

- (c) Put the file `test_r.py` in the same folder as the module you created in part (b). Use the `py.test --cov` command from the `pytest` package to test whether your function does what it is supposed to. Edit your function until it passes all the tests. Report your `pytest` test results.

3. **Watts (2014) (4 points).** Read **Watts (2014)**. This paper focuses on the importance of having a model, making assumptions explicit, causal inference, and prediction. In a one-to-two-page written response, answer the following questions.
- (a) When initially introduced in the 1960s, **rational choice theory** imposed a framework of theoretical assumptions that fit with or “rationalized” observed behavior. What were some of the criticisms of this approach?
  - (b) What is the **main pitfall** that Watts sees in using commonsense theories of action? [Hint: A good explanation of the answer is in the last half of the section entitled, “Theorizing by Mental Simulation”.] The answer to this question precedes its decomposition into three parts in the Section, “Three Problems with Rationalizable Action as Causal Explanation.”
  - (c) What is Watts’ **proposed solution** to the issues with rational choice modeling and causal explanation?
  - (d) Although this paper does a good job of relating causality to prediction, I don’t like its disdain for theory that specifically outlines the assumptions and mechanisms of process being modeled. Write a short addendum to the paper about how theoretical models—with their necessary simplifications and their specific assumptions about mechanisms—could benefit causal inference and prediction.

## References

- Humpherys, Jeffrey and Tyler J. Jarvis**, “Labs for Foundations of Applied Mathematics: Python Essentials,” creative commons, open access 2018.
- , – , and **Emily J. Evans**, *Foundations of Applied Mathematics: Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 1, SIAM: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2017.
- Watts, Duncan J.**, “Common Sense and Sociological Explanations,” *American Journal of Sociology*, September 2014, 120 (2), 313–351.