

Section	Points	Sophisticated (100%)	Satisfactory (50 – 100%)	Poor (<50%)
Title	4	Title is clear, concise and highly descriptive.	Title is descriptive but slightly vague.	Title is not clear and does not reflect the project's goals, or it is totally missing.
Authors (group members)	4	All authors are listed.		No author list is given.
Background, motivation and significance	12	Clearly explains the background, significance and importance of the project.	Explains the background, but it is vague why the results of the project should be practically important.	Insufficient background and unclear motivation.
Research Questions	12	States the questions addressed in the project, which closely align with the motivation of the project. Maybe one or two hypotheses (optional).	Research questions are stated clearly but lack any connections with the declared motivation of the project.	Research questions are vague, unclear or totally missing.
Data set	10	Describes the data set with at least the following details: sample size, list of variables to use in the project, the target variable.	Describes the data but some details are missing—it is not clear what variables will be used for the project.	The data is not described accurately, and no details are given.
Methodology (pre-processing or EDA)	8	Explains how missing values, outliers or corrupted values are handled. Describes any feature selection or transformation, if applied, and how data is prepared for training/test split or cross-validation (if any).	Only a portion of the pre-processing steps are explained. No justification on why such steps are needed is given.	No pre-processing explanation is present, or the way they are done is technically incorrect.
Methodology (main part)	20	Explicitly explains what models have been used for each case—put more	Methods used for each question are named, but only partially explained. It	Some methods are not explained at all, and/or lack a

		details for models not discussed in class—and why these models are chosen. Clearly specifies the hyperparameters and/or kernels used, as well as the validation setup.	is not clear why certain models are selected whereas other alternatives were available. The validation is incomplete.	clear way of testing.
Results	15	Results of fitting the models and their validation are shown in figures/tables and discussed appropriately. No codes are needed!	Numerical results are shown but not discussed what they might suggest in practice.	Results of certain methods are not clearly shown.
Page limit	15	≤ 7 pages, including figures/tables	8–10 pages	>10 pages