lab5 submission

Jon Sheppard

February 2, 2022

0.1 Lab 5: Machine learning in Python

Objectives: * Engineer some features for better prediction of California house prices * Train a machine learning model using scikit-learn * Evaluate our machine learning model

0.2 Feature engineering

The most important part of data science is generating new features that have predictive power. We just used the default variables for predicting house prices in the lecture but there are other factors that may be useful.

For example, we often have **geolocation data**, which could be very useful for house price prediction task. In this demo we will engineer some new features to improve the accuracy of our house price prediction model.

As a recap, these were the mean-squared-errors from the lecture demo:

• Multiple linear regression: \$64,374

Decision Tree: \$82,290RandomForests: \$60,264

```
[63]: # Import libraries
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import geopandas as gpd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
# explicitly require this experimental feature
from sklearn.experimental import enable_halving_search_cv # noqa
# now you can import normally from model_selection
from sklearn.model_selection import HalvingGridSearchCV
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn.metrics import make_scorer
```

```
[2]: # Import data
df = pd.read_csv('./data/seattle_house_prices.csv')
```

```
[58]: # Convert DataFrame to GeoDataFrame
gdf = gpd.GeoDataFrame(df, geometry=gpd.points_from_xy(df['long'], df['lat']))
gdf = gdf.set_crs(4326, allow_override=True)

# Reproject everything to UTM 10N (EPSG:32610)
gdf_utm = gdf.to_crs('EPSG:32610')
```

```
[4]: # Compute correlation matrix
corr_matrix = gdf_utm.corr()

# Display absolute house value correlations
corr_matrix["price"].abs().sort_values(ascending= False)
```

```
[4]: price
                    1.000000
    sqft_living
                    0.702296
    bathrooms
                    0.524395
    bedrooms
                    0.315804
     lat
                    0.308082
     sqft_lot
                    0.090125
    yr_built
                    0.052453
                    0.020092
     long
     Name: price, dtype: float64
```

0.3 Question 1 (10 points):

To start, make a **new** jupyter notebook called lab5_submission.ipynb and work through the following tasks.

The first task is answer the following questions using some of the methods we have covered in the lecture/demo.

- How many houses are in this dataset?
- How many **features** are there for predicting house price?
- Are there any null values in this dataset?
- Which three variables are best correlated with house price (include correlation coefficients)?
- Which three variables are least correlated with house price (include correlation coefficients)?

```
[5]: # Houses in dataset print("There are %i houses in the dataset." %len(gdf_utm['price']))
```

There are 19451 houses in the dataset.

```
[6]: # Features available in dataset

print("There are %i features (or independent variables) available for

→predicting house princes." %(len(gdf_utm.columns)-2))
```

There are 7 features (or independent variables) available for predicting house princes.

```
[7]: # Number of null values in dataset print("There are %i null values in this dataset." %(gdf_utm.isna().sum()))
```

There are 0 null values in this dataset.

The three best correlated features are sqft_living, bathrooms, and bedrooms, with correlations of 0.70, 0.52, 0.32, repsectively.

The three least correlated features are sqft_lot, yr_built, and long, with correlations of 0.09, 0.05, 0.02, repsectively.

0.4 Question 2 (30 points):

- Produce a model to predict house prices. You are welcome to generate new features, scale the data, and split the data into training/testing (i.e. train_test_split) in any way you like.
- Evaluate your model's accuracy by predicting a test dataset, for example:

predictions = forest_reg.predict(X_test) final_mse = mean_squared_error(y_test,
predictions) final_rmse = np.sqrt(final_mse)

- Push your lab5_submission.ipynb to GitHub and submit a .pdf version to Canvas
- On **Monday** the instructor and TA will provide an **unseen set of houses** which students will use to repeat their accuracy evaluation. The best models (i.e. lowest RMSE) will win prizes.
- We will evaluate the models using a simple mean-squared-error as follows:

```
mse = mean_squared_error(y_test , predictions) rmse = np.sqrt(final_mse)
```

```
[60]: # Define feature list
     feature_list = ['bedrooms', 'bathrooms', 'sqft_living', 'sqft_lot', |
      # Define features and labels
     X = gdf_utm[feature_list]
     y = gdf_utm['price']
     # Standarize data
     scaler = StandardScaler()
     X_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X)
     # Split data
     X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_scaled, y, test_size=0.
       →25, random_state=42)
[61]: # Define model
     forest_reg = RandomForestRegressor(n_jobs=-1, oob_score=True, random_state=42)
      # Fit model
     forest_reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
     def rmse(y_pred,y):
         return np.sqrt(((y_pred-y)**2).mean())
     rmse_train = rmse(forest_reg.predict(X_train),y_train)
     rmse_test = rmse(forest_reg.predict(X_test),y_test)
     train_score = forest_reg.score(X_train,y_train)
     test_score = forest_reg.score(X_test,y_test)
     oob_score = forest_reg.oob_score_
     print("""
     RMSE train: %f\n
     RMSE_test: %f\n
     train_score: %f\n
     test_score: %f\n
     oob_score: %f
     """ %(rmse_train, rmse_test, train_score, test_score, oob_score))
     RMSE_train: 58917.329253
     RMSE_test: 153340.054787
     train_score: 0.974027
     test_score: 0.834690
```

oob_score: 0.816330

The hyper-paramterizing has been run, but is now commented out, so that the conversion to PDF doesn't take a long time. The found parameters can be found two cells after this text, in the input parameters for the forest_reg_hp model. The exception is max_depth, which has changed in the code, but I don't feel like running the hyper-parametrization again.

```
[66]: | # # hyper parametrize: n_estimators, min_samples_leaf, max_features
      \# n_estimators = [int(x) for x in np.arange(start = 10, stop = 500, step = 10)]
      # max_features = [0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 'auto', 'sqrt', 'log2']
      # min_samples_leaf = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]
      \# max_depth = [int(x) for x in np.arange(start = 1, stop = 300, step = 10)]
      # bootstrap = [True, False]
      # param_dict = {'n_estimators': n_estimators, 'max_features': max_features,_
       → 'min_samples_leaf': min_samples_leaf,
                        'bootstrap': bootstrap, 'max_depth' : max_depth}
      # # First create the base model to tune
      # forest req hp = RandomForestRegressor()
      # # Fit the random search model
      # forest reg random = HalvingGridSearchCV(estimator=forest reg hp, | |
       →param_grid=param_dict, scoring='neg_root_mean_squared_error', __
       \hookrightarrow random_state=42, n_jobs=-1)
      # forest_req_random.fit(X_train, y_train)
      # forest_req_random.best_params_
[66]: {'bootstrap': True,
       'max depth': 7,
       'max_features': 'auto',
       'min_samples_leaf': 2,
       'n_estimators': 170}
[74]: # Define model
      forest_reg_hp = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=170, max_depth=200,__
       min_samples_leaf=1, n_jobs=-1, oob_score=True, random_state=42)
      # Fit model
      forest_reg_hp.fit(X_train, y_train)
      rmse_train_hp = rmse(forest_reg_hp.predict(X_train),y_train)
      rmse_test_hp = rmse(forest_reg_hp.predict(X_test),y_test)
      train_score_hp = forest_reg_hp.score(X_train,y_train)
      test_score_hp = forest_reg_hp.score(X_test,y_test)
      oob_score_hp = forest_reg_hp.oob_score_
      print("""
      RMSE_train: %f\n
```

```
RMSE_test: %f\n
train_score: %f\n
test_score: %f\n
oob_score: %f
""" %(rmse_train_hp, rmse_test_hp, train_score_hp, test_score_hp, oob_score_hp))
```

RMSE_train: 58388.492486

RMSE_test: 153220.218147

train_score: 0.974491

test_score: 0.834949

oob_score: 0.816007

```
print("""

RMSE_train dif (negative better): %f

RMSE_test dif (negative better): %f\n

train_score dif (positive better): %f\n

test_score dif (positive better): %f\n

oob_score dif (positive better): %f

""" %(rmse_train_hp-rmse_train, rmse_test_hp-rmse_test,u

otrain_score_hp-train_score, test_score_hp-test_score,u

oob_score_hp-oob_score))
```

```
RMSE_train dif (negative better): -528.836767
RMSE_test dif (negative better): -119.836640
train_score dif (positive better): 0.000464
test_score dif (positive better): 0.000258
oob_score dif (positive better): -0.000323
```

I tried to hyper-parametrize my model rather than add new features. The process has technically worked, but only by a little. The RMSE for the test data came back \$119.84 smaller than the base model.

0.5 Task 1 (10 points):

0.6 Remember to submit your answers to Questions 1 and 2 and complete Task 1 by Friday 11:59pm

[]: