Composites Case Proof Attempt

Joseph M. Shunia

January 2024

Theorem 1 (Composites case). Let n = pq be an odd composite integer greater than 3, with p a prime divisor. Let d > 2 be the least prime integer such that $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Suppose that 2 is not a d-th power residue modulo p and that n is not divisible by any prime $\leq d$. Then $(1+x)^n \not\equiv 1+x^n \pmod{n}$, x^d-2 .

Proof. Preliminaries:

- Definition of p: Under the given conditions, there must exist at least one prime divisor p of n such that 2 is not a d-th power residue modulo p. For the steps included in this proof, p always refers to this specific prime divisor of n.
- Definition of f(x): We define the polynomial $f(x) := (1+x)^n (1+x^n)$.
- Definition of g(x): We define the polynomial $g(x) := f(x) \pmod{x^d 2}$.

Introduction: If the polynomial congruence $(1+x)^n \equiv 1+x^n \pmod{n, x^d-2}$ holds, it implies $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n, x^d-2}$. For this to be true, f(x) must also be zero modulo all of the individual prime factors of n up to at least the prime power that appears in the prime factorization of n. This is because if any of the polynomial's coefficients are indivisible by any prime power p^k dividing n (and thus any p^j in $\{p^j \mid 1 < j \le k\}$), then those coefficients cannot be divisible by n (since n is the unique product of its prime factorization).

Hence, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that under the given conditions, there must exist a prime divisor p of n such that $f(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p, x^d - 2}$. Or equivalently, that f(x) is not the zero polynomial in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ when reduced modulo $x^d - 2$. This forms the basis for our hypothesis.

Hypothesis: Under the given conditions, there must exist at least one prime divisor p of n such that $(1+x)^n \not\equiv 1+x^n \pmod{p, x^d-2}$.

Implications: If our hypothesis is true, it implies $(1+x)^n \not\equiv 1+x^n \pmod{n, x^d-2}$. Which is the result we intend to prove.

Step 1. Establishing irreducibility and field structure:

Since p is prime, \mathbb{Z}_p is a finite field and $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is a polynomial ring over this field. We aim to establish that $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d-2)$ forms a field. To do so, we must show that x^d-2 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

We reference a classical theorem from field theory (Irreducibility Theorem) [1]:

Suppose $c \in F$ where F is a field, and $0 < d \in \mathbb{Z}$. The polynomial $x^k - c$ is irreducible over F if and only if c is not a qth power in F for any prime q dividing k, and c is not in $-4F^4$ when 4 divides k.

In our case, $F = \mathbb{Z}_p$, c = 2, and k = d, where d is prime.

Regarding the first criterion, given d is prime, d does not have any divisors q, and hence it suffices to check only d itself. We have chosen p such that 2 is not a d-th power residue modulo p, which informs that there is no element $b \in F$ such that $b^d \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$. The first criterion is satisfied. Since d is prime, it is not divisible by 4, and thus second criterion is also satisfied.

By the Irreducibility Theorem, $x^d - 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ and hence, the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d - 2)$ forms a finite field.

Step 2. Analyzing the reduction of f(x) modulo $x^d - 2$:

We examine the reduction of $g(x) = f(x) \pmod{x^d - 2} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. After reduction modulo $x^d - 2$, the polynomial g(x) has $\deg(g(x)) = d - 1$, and can be written as:

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i x^i \tag{1}$$

To justify this: We first look to the expansion of $f(x) = (1+x)^n - (1+x^n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} x^k \tag{2}$$

Notice that subtracting $1 + x^n$ from $(1 + x)^n$ cancels out the terms $\binom{n}{0}x^0 = 1$ and $\binom{n}{n}x^n = x^n$ that would typically be present in the binomial expansion of $(1 + x)^n$. Thus, we have $\deg(f(x)) = n - 1$.

Reducing f(x) modulo $x^d - 2$ means replacing every term of the form $\binom{n}{k}x^k$ for $k \ge d$ with a lower-degree term, using the relation $x^d = 2$. During this reduction, terms in f(x) with degree $1 \le k < d$ will retain their degrees, as they are unaffected by the modulo operation. Since d < n, these terms are always present in the binomial expansion. Further, since the highest possible degree of any reduced terms is also d-1, the degree of g(x) remains d-1 after the reduction of any additional terms.

To ensure g(x) is nonzero, we must also consider the coefficients of the remainder terms. Since the coefficients of the terms in f(x) are the binomial coefficients in the n-th row of Pascal's Triangle from $\binom{n}{1}$ to $\binom{n}{n-1}$, it is not possible for all coefficients to be zero after the reduction modulo x^d-2 . Instead, the coefficients of these terms will be "wrapped" around x^d-2 and added to the fixed term which corresponds to the value of their degree k, which is the term with the variable $x^{k\pmod{d}}$. Therefore, after the reduction of f(x) modulo x^d-2 , the resultant polynomial g(x) will have d polynomial terms with nonzero coefficients and is not the zero polynomial.

In summary, $x^d - 2$ does not divide f(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and thus, g(x) is nonzero and has a degree of d-1.

Step 3. Confirming nonzero polynomial in quotient ring:

We look to the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d-2)$, which forms a finite field (See Step 1).

In Step 2, we showed that $g(x) = f(x) \pmod{x^d - 2}$ is nonzero in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg(g(x)) = d - 1$.

To prove our hypothesis, we must also show that g(x) is nonzero in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d-2)$, as this is equivalent to the statement in our hypothesis, which says: $(1+x)^n \not\equiv 1+x^n \pmod{p, x^d-2}$ for at least one prime p dividing n.

Now, assume for contradiction that g(x) is the zero polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d-2)$. This would necessarily imply that all coefficients c_i of $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i x^i$ are zero when taken modulo p, where the c_i are aerated sums of binomial coefficients.

In a finite field, a polynomial of degree r can have at most r roots [2]. This is because a polynomial of degree r in a finite field can be factored into at most r linear factors (each corresponding to a root), within an algebraic closure of that field. However, within the field itself, the number of roots can be fewer than r, but never more.

In our case, if $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d-2)$ is a finite field and $\deg(g(x))=d-1$, then g(x) can have at most d-1 roots in this field. The assumption that g(x) is the zero polynomial is a direct contradiction unless $p \leq d-1$, as it would necessarily imply that g(x) has infinitely many roots (or more precisely, that every element of \mathbb{Z}_p is a root). However, this is clearly not the case, as we are given n which does not have a prime divisor $\leq d$.

Therefore, f(x) cannot be identically zero in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^d-2)$.

Conclusion:

We have proven our hypothesis under the given conditions by demonstrating $(1+x)^n \not\equiv 1+x^n \pmod{p, x^d-2}$ for at least one prime divisor p of n. Hence, we deduce $(1+x)^n \not\equiv 1+x^n \pmod{n, x^d-2}$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Gregory Karpilovsky. *Topics in Field Theory*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies. North-Holland, 1989. ISBN 9780444705207.
- [2] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. Abstract Algebra. John Wiley & Sons, 3 edition, 2004. ISBN 978-0-471-43334-7.
- [3] Swastik Kopparty and Qiang Wang. Roots and coefficients of polynomials over finite fields. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 29:198-201, 2014. ISSN 1071-5797. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2014.04.002. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1071579714000574.