## A Formula Proof for OEIS Sequence A007917

Joseph M. Shunia

August 26, 2024

## 1 Formula and Proof

A short proof of a formula for  $\underline{A007917}(n)$  is given.

**Theorem 1.1.** Define  $\underline{A007917}(n)$  to be the integer sequence which returns the largest prime  $p \leq n$ . Then

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, \quad \underline{\text{A007917}}(n) = \left| \log \left( \sum_{k=2}^{n} e^{\varphi(k)+1} \right) \right|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ . Consider the polynomial

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=2}^n x^{\varphi(k)+1} \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Substituting x = e into  $S_n(x)$  gives the expression  $S_n(e) = \sum_{k=2}^n e^{\varphi(k)+1}$ . Notice that the degree of  $S_n(x)$  is determined by the largest  $\varphi(k) + 1$ , which is always the largest prime  $\leq n$ . Thus,  $\deg(S_n(x)) = \underline{A007917}(n)$ .

To complete the proof, we will show that

$$\underline{\text{A007917}}(n) = \deg(S_n(x)) = \lfloor \log(S_n(e)) \rfloor = \left| \log \left( \sum_{k=2}^n e^{\varphi(k)+1} \right) \right|.$$

For n=2, the formula holds since,

$$\left\lfloor \log(e^{\varphi(2)+1}) \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \log(e^{1+1}) \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \log(e^2) \right\rfloor = 2.$$

For n > 2, we consider the worst-case scenario, which occurs when n and n - 2 are a twin prime pair. In such case, the leading terms in  $S_n(e)$  are  $e^{\varphi(n)+1}$  and  $e^{\varphi(n-2)+1}$ , so we need to ensure that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}, \quad e^{\varphi(n)+1} > \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} e^{\varphi(k)+1}.$$

Rewriting this inequality, and noting that  $\varphi(n) = n - 1$  iff n is prime, we have

$$\begin{split} e^{\varphi(n)+1} > e^{\varphi(n-1)+1} + e^{\varphi(n-2)+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^{\varphi(k)+1}, \\ e^n > e^{n-2} + e^{\varphi(n-1)+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^{\varphi(k)+1}, \end{split}$$

which simplifies to

$$e^{n} - e^{n-2} > e^{\varphi(n-1)+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^{\varphi(k)+1},$$

$$e^{n-2}(e^{2} - 1) > e^{\varphi(n-1)+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^{\varphi(k)+1}.$$

We claim that, for n > 2, we have

$$e^{n-2}(e^2-1) > e^{n-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^k \ge e^{\varphi(n-1)+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^{\varphi(k)+1}.$$

Observe that the sum  $\sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^k$  is a geometric series with the closed form

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^k = \frac{e^n - e^4}{e^2(e-1)}.$$

Substituting this into the previous inequality, we obtain

$$e^{n-2}(e^2-1) > e^{n-1} + \frac{e^n - e^4}{e^2(e-1)} \ge \sum_{k=2}^{n-3} e^{\varphi(k)+1},$$

which is certainly true, since

$$e^{n-2}(e^2-1) - \left(e^{n-1} + \frac{e^n - e^4}{e^2(e-1)}\right) = \frac{e^n(e-2) + e^2}{e-1} > 0.$$

The twin prime case is the worst possible case because, in such scenario, the second-largest term  $e^{n-2}$  is as close as possible to the largest term  $e^n$ , maximizing the contribution of lower-order terms to the sum  $S_n(e)$ . In other cases where n and n-2 are not both primes, the second-largest term is smaller, and thus the sum  $S_n(e)$  is more heavily dominated by  $e^n$ . This means  $\log(S_n(e))$  is closer to n and more easily approximated by n in non-twin prime cases.

Therefore, in all cases, the sum  $S_n(e)$  remains dominated by the leading term  $e^n$ , and hence

$$\left[\log\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} e^{\varphi(k)+1}\right)\right] = \deg(S_n(x)) = \underline{\text{A007917}}(n).$$