Constrained optimisation: Lagrange multipliers.

Javne Sänchez

EX 4.1

We are going to minimile $f(x,y) = (x-1)^2 + y^2$ subject to h(x,y) = -x + By >0 using recessory (B>0) and sufficient conditions.

Necessary conditions: $L(x, y) = f(x,y) - y \cdot h(x,y) = (x-1)^2 + y^2 - y \cdot (-x + \beta y^2)$ we need: $\nabla_x L(x, \mu) = 0$; $\mu \cdot h(x, y) = 0$ and $\mu > 0$:

 $2(x-1) + \mu = 0$ 2y - 2MBy =0 $\mu(-x+By^2) = 0$ · Suppose y=0

Then, from the second equation

2y=0=>y=0 & 2(x-1)=0=> X=1

· Suppose [M =0 Then, $[x = By^2]$, from the second equation we obtain $2y(1-\mu\beta) = 0$ = 0, x = 0 = 0 = 2 y = 0, x = 0 = 0 y = 2 $y = 1/\beta$,

 $X = \frac{-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{-1}{2\beta} + 1 = \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta}$

Pregarding to the two last condidates note that
$$\sqrt[2]{x} L(x^*, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so being $2 = (2,2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
 $2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 221 \ge 0 \quad \forall 2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$

In conclusion, $Ty \ \text{Re} \ (0,112) \ , \text{ then we have a minima at}$

$$(x,y) = (0,0)$$
 with $f(0,0) = 1$ and $\mu = 0$.
The B > 112, then we have two minimums at

• If
$$\beta > 1/2$$
, then we have two minimums at $(\dot{x}, \dot{\hat{y}}) = (\frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta} \sqrt{\frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta^2}})$ and $(\dot{x}, \dot{\hat{y}}) = (\frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta} - \sqrt{\frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta^2}})$ with $\int_{0}^{\infty} (\dot{x}, \dot{y})^{2} = (\frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta} - 1)^{2} + \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta^2} = \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta^2}$

 $= \frac{1}{4\beta^2} + \frac{4\beta - 2}{4\beta^2} = \frac{4\beta - 1}{4\beta^2} \quad \text{and} \quad M = 1/\beta.$

h(x) with $\beta \ge 112$ h(x) with $\beta \in (0,112)$, γ J h(x) f(x,y)= c X h (x)

EX 4.2.

We are going to minimise f(x,y) = x subject to: $g(x,y) = (x-3)^2 + (y-2)^2 - 13 = 0$ and

g(xy) = (x-3) + (y-2) - 13 = 0 $h(x,y) = 16 - (x-4)^2 - y^2 \ge 0$ using necessary and sufficient conditions.

Necessary conditions:

We need $\nabla_{x} \angle (x, \lambda, \mu) = 0$, $\mu \cdot h(x, y) = 0$

 $1 - 2\lambda(x-3) + 2\mu(x-4) = 0$ $- 2\lambda(y-2) + 2\mu y = 0$ $\mu(\lambda b - (x-4) - y^{2}) = 0$ $(x-3)^{2} + (y-2)^{2} - 13 = 0$ $\mu \geqslant 0$ (x-3) = 0 $x-3 = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \implies$

Hence, $(\frac{1}{2\lambda})^2 + (0)^2 = 13$ $(\lambda + 0)^2 = 13$

Which implies that
$$x_1 = \frac{1+6\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{18}}\right)}{2\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{18}}\right)} = \sqrt{13} + 3 \text{ and } x_2 = 3-\sqrt{13}$$

· Suppose now that 140

 $\Rightarrow y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ $y = \frac{16}{5} \Rightarrow x = \frac{32}{5}$

 $\begin{cases} 1 - 2\lambda \left(\frac{32}{5} - 3\right) + 2\mu \left(\frac{32}{5} - 4\right) = 0 \\ -2\lambda \left(\frac{16}{5} - 2\right) + 2\mu \left(\frac{16}{5}\right) = 0 \end{cases}$

2) If $x = \frac{32}{5}$, $y = \frac{16}{5}$

Then, $(x-4)^2 + y^2 = 16$ \implies $(x-3)^2 + (y-2)^2 = 13$

 $\Leftrightarrow 8x - 6x + 16 - 9 - 4y - 4 = 3 \Leftrightarrow [x = 2y]$

1) If x=g=0, $\begin{cases} 1+6\lambda-8\mu=0 \\ 4\lambda=0 \end{cases}$ $\lambda=0$

 $\Rightarrow (2y-4)^{2}+y^{2}=16 \Leftrightarrow 4y^{2}-16y+16+y^{2}=16 \Leftrightarrow$

With this, the candidates are:

1) $x = 3 + \sqrt{3}$, y = 2, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$, M = 0

2) $X = 3 - \sqrt{3} / 3 = 2 / \lambda = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} / \mu = 0$

$$\int 5 - 34\lambda + 24 \mu = 0$$

$$\int -12\lambda + 32\mu = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = 115 \quad \mu = \frac{3}{40}$$
With this, all the candidates ave:
$$(1) \quad x = 3+\sqrt{3}, \quad y = 2, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad \mu = 0$$

$$(2) \quad x = 3-\sqrt{3}, \quad y = 2, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad \mu = 0$$

$$(3) \quad x = 0, \quad y = 0, \quad \lambda = 0, \quad \mu = 118$$

$$(4) \quad x = \frac{32}{5}, \quad y = \frac{16}{5}, \quad \lambda = 115, \quad \mu = \frac{3}{40}$$
Note that the second candidate doesn't eatisfy h(xy) > 0, in fact, h(3-\overline{13},2) = 16-(3-\overline{13}-4)^2+0^2 \to -521 \le 0
Let $2 = (2n, 2z) \in \overline{13}, \text{ we want to satisfy}$
2. $\nabla y (x^2, y^2) = 0$ and $2 \nabla h(x^2, y^2) = 0$. and then for those 2 , if we want to find a minimum, we will verify if $2 \cdot \nabla_x L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \left(2(\mu - \lambda) \cdot 0 \cdot 2(\mu - \lambda)\right)$
And that $2 \nabla x L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \left(2(\mu - \lambda) \cdot 0 \cdot 2(\mu - \lambda)\right)$

With this new result we don't really need to compute $2.\nabla g(x,y)^T=0$ and $2.\nabla h(x,y)^T=0$ Since 21 + 22 > 0 4268,

Actually, what we need to satisfy is that 2 (y-x) (2+2) >0, 70 we will just check if $\mu-1 \ge 0$. If not, it won't be minimum.

1) $\mu - \lambda = 0 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \ge 0 \Rightarrow \text{ We can discard it}$

3) $\mu - \lambda = 1/8 - 0 > 0 \Rightarrow A minimum!$

4) $\mu - \lambda = 3/40 - 115 = \frac{-5}{40} \le 0 \implies \text{We can discard it.}$

So, in conclusion, there is only one minimum: (x,y)=(0,0) with $\mu=118$ and $\lambda=0$ and with 8(0,0) = 0 Solution