

Intro to internet/layers

Table of Contents

- History
 - Outcome
 - Network Models
 - Connection-oriented vs connectionless
 - TCP/IP vs OSI
 - OSI philosophy
 - OSI model
 - TCP/IP model
 - Protocol Stack
 - aggregation of many smaller networks
 - history in 3 phases
 - 60s-70s: ARPANET
 - 70s-80s: NSFNET
 - 80s: on Internet
 - social media + Web 2.0: individual users can add content
- Tier 1 ISP: guarantees routing of packet

History

- multiple teams with opposing approaches and philosophies
- *ARPANET*
 - funded by US DoD
 - developed TCP/IP
 - robustness built in due to unreliable communication links
- international network working group (1972)
 - packet switched datagram based network standard proposed
- *OSI model* (open system for interconnection)

- rivalry between ARPANET and OSI teams
 - became published ISO
 - slow development led to frustration
- *NSFNet* by National Science Foundation 1986 to provide researchers with supercomputer access
- late 80s:
 - commercial ISPs appear
 - CERN develops TCP/IP
- protocols were designed without consideration of adversaries on the network
 - security was retrofitted, many insecure protocols are still in use e.g. DNS
- “palace revolt” 1992: OSI solution to IPv4 limitations was rejected; so IPv6 only introduced in 1996 and isn’t widely used

Outcome

- two protocol stacks:
 - TCP/IP standards post-hoc
 - OSI standardised before the fact, not widely implemented
- why is a model necessary?
 - ensure interoperability: open rather than proprietary
 - reference model to develop and validate against independently
 - simplify design process through abstraction

Network Models

- *model* network as stack of layers
- each layer offers services to layers above it
- inter-layer exchanges per protocol
- in reality layering violations do occur, but a helpful abstraction
- *service*: set of primitives that a layer provides to a layer above it (think API)
- *protocol*: rules which governs format and meaning of packets exchanged by peers within layer
 - packets are sent between peer entities

Connection-oriented vs connectionless

- connection-oriented (TCP)
 - connect, use, disconnect
 - negotiation inherent in connection setup
 - think telephone call
- connectionless (UDP)
 - think postal service or sending text message: you don't notify you are sending text messages
- choice affects
 - reliability
 - cost
 - quality of service

TCP/IP vs OSI

- TCP/IP model reflects what happens on internet
- OSI model reflects more what *should* happen

OSI philosophy

- layers should be created iff a different abstraction needed
- each layer should perform well defined function
- layer boundaries should minimise information flow across interfaces
- number of layers should be
 - small enough that architecture isn't unwieldy
 - big enough that multiple functions aren't grouped together in a layer by necessity

OSI model

- Application
- Presentation
- Session
- Transport

- Network
- Data link
- Physical

TCP/IP model

- Application
- Transport
- Internet
- Host-to-network

comparison between TCP/IP and OSI models

Protocol Stack

- application: TELNET, FTP, SMTP, DNS
- transport: TCP, UDP
- network: IP
- physical, data-link: SATNET, LAN