Remarks

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed August 31, 2009. By this amendment Applicants have canceled claims 1, 8-11 and 21-30 and added new claims 31-50. No new matter has been introduced by these amendments. Applicants do not admit that these amendments were necessary as a result of any cited art. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above application in view of the following remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-6, 9-11, and 21-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Logan* (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0263652) in view of *Matsuoka* (International Publication No. WO 2004/055928). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this rejection because the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails teach, suggest or disclose features of the pending claims.

Claims 1-6, 9-11, and 21-30 have been canceled. New claims 31-50 have been added. The proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose in claim 31, "an input port coupled to the outer shell to deliver coolant from the fuel cell stack to the first pipe and to the second pipe to change the first temperature of the anode stream and the second temperature of the cathode stream."

In particular, the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach an input port that delivers coolant from the fuel cell stack to the first pipe and change the first temperature of the anode stream as presently claimed. At best, *Logan* provides "[t]he coolant supply subsystem is operated to cause the required **cathode gas temperatures** to be achieved." (*See*, Abstract, II. 10-11, emphasis added). *Logan* fails to provide an input port that delivers coolant from the fuel cell stack to the first pipe to change the first temperature of the anode stream.

-6-

S/N: 11/839,566 Reply to Office Action of August 31, 2009

Matsuoka fails to cure the deficiencies of Logan. In particular, Matsuoka fails to teach, suggest, or disclose the presently claimed "an input port coupled to the outer shell to deliver coolant from the fuel cell stack to the first pipe and to the second pipe to change the first temperature of the anode stream and to change the second temperature of the cathode stream."

For at least these reasons, the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose the limitations of claim 31.

The proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matusoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose in claim 33, "a first temperature sensor positioned on an exterior portion of outer shell and being in fluid communication with the anode stream in the first pipe to measure the first temperature."

As noted in connection with claim 31, *Logan* fails to contemplate delivering coolant to change the temperature of the anode stream. Further, *Logan* fails to teach that a temperature sensor is positioned on exterior section of the outer shell. The Examiner asserts that the coolant supply subsystem (30) is disposed within a housing that is made up of the dotted line in Figure 1 of *Logan* (see Final Office Action mailed August 31, 2009, pp. 44, first paragraph). Assuming, *arguendo*, that the dotted line in Figure 1 of *Logan* is the same as the presently claimed outer shell (a point in which Applicants disagree), *Logan* fails to provide a temperature sensor positioned on an exterior section of the dotted line in Figure 1.

In addition, the Examiner asserts that the *Logan* teaches a heat exchanger having a housing. *Id. Logan* fails to teach that the housing of the heat exchanger includes a temperature sensor positioned on an exterior section thereof that is capable of being in fluid communication with an anode stream.

Matusoka fails to cure the deficiencies of Logan. Matusoka fails to teach the presently claimed a first temperature sensor positioned on an exterior portion of outer shell and

S/N: 11/839,566 Reply to Office Action of August 31, 2009

being in fluid communication with the anode stream in the first pipe to measure the first temperature.

For at least these reasons, claim 33 is patentable over the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matusoka*.

Claims 32 and 34 - 40 depend directly or indirectly from claim 31 and are patentable for the above states reasons as well as their own patentable limitations.

The proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose in claim 41, "an input port being coupled to the outer shell to receive coolant and to deliver the coolant into the cavity to change the first temperature of the anode stream and the second temperature of the cathode stream."

In particular, the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach an input port that delivers the coolant into the cavity to change the first temperature of the anode stream as presently claimed. At best, *Logan* provides "[t]he coolant supply subsystem is operated to cause the required **cathode gas temperatures** to be achieved." (*See*, Abstract, II. 10-11, emphasis added). *Logan* fails to provide an input port that delivers the coolant into the cavity to change the first temperature of the anode stream.

Matsuoka fails to cure the deficiencies of Logan. In particular, Matsuoka fails to teach, suggest, or disclose the presently claimed "an input port being coupled to the outer shell to receive coolant and to deliver the coolant into the cavity to change the first temperature of the anode stream and the second temperature of the cathode stream."

For at least these reasons, the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose the limitations of claim 41.

Claims 42 - 48 depend directly or indirectly from claim 41 and are patentable for the above stated reasons as well as their own patentable limitations.

S/N: 11/839,566 Reply to Office Action of August 31, 2009

The proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose in claim 49, "an input port coupled to the outer shell to deliver coolant from a fuel cell stack to the first pipe to change the first temperature of the anode stream."

At best, *Logan* provides "[t]he coolant supply subsystem is operated to cause the required **cathode gas temperatures** to be achieved." (*See*, Abstract, Il. 10-11, emphasis added). *Logan* fails to provide an input port coupled to the outer shell to deliver coolant to the first pipe to change the first temperature of the anode stream.

Matsuoka fails to cure the deficiencies of Logan. In particular, Matsuoka fails to teach, suggest, or disclose the presently claimed "an input port coupled to the outer shell to deliver coolant to the first pipe to change the first temperature of the anode stream."

For at least these reasons, the proposed combination of *Logan* and *Matsuoka* fails to teach, suggest or disclose the limitations of claim 49.

Claim 50 depends directly from claim 49 and is patentable for the above stated reasons as well as its own patentable limitations.

Conclusion

Applicants do not acquiesce to the Examiner's characterizations of the art. For brevity and to advance prosecution, Applicants may not have addressed all characterizations of the art and reserve the right to do so in further prosecution of this or a subsequent application. The absence of an explicit response by Applicants to any of the Examiner's positions does not constitute a concession to the Examiner's positions. The fact that Applicants' comments have focused on particular arguments does not constitute a concession that there are not other arguments for patentability of the claims. Applicants submit that all of the dependent claims are patentable for at least the reasons given with respect to the claims on which they depend.

Atty Dkt No. 81156141 / FMC 2246 PUS

S/N: 11/839,566

Reply to Office Action of August 31, 2009

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants believe that the Office Action mailed

August 31, 2009 has been fully responded to. Consequently, in view of the above amendments

and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance,

for which allowance is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone interview would advance prosecution of the

application in any manner, the Examiner is invited to contact Martin J. Sultana, representative

of Applicants, at the Examiner's convenience at (248) 358-4400.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this

paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted,

Shazad Mahmood Butt

By /Martin J. Sultana/

Martin J. Sultana

Reg. No. 57,739

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 11/30/2009

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-10-