UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/328,678	01/10/2006	Robert M. Garceau	GCSD-1780 (51454)	6572
	7590 01/16/200 R, DOPPELT, MILBRA		EXAMINER	
255 S ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 1401 ORLANDO, FL 32801			JEN, MINGJEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	11/328,678	GARCEAU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	IAN JEN	3664
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after six or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examination. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2006 is/are	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	I to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/13/2006;07/10/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 11/328,678

Art Unit: 3664

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 - 21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1- 22 of Graceau et al (U.S. Patent Pub 2007/0162194) in view of Graceau et al (US Pat Pub 2007/0162193). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 -5, 6, 8-

Application/Control Number: 11/328,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 3664

16 of the present application is an obvious variation of claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent Pub No 2007/0162194 in view of U.S. Patent Pub No 2007/0162193.

The conclusion of obviousness-type double patenting rejection is made in light of the following determinations.

- 4. Claim 1-21 of U.S. Patent Pub No 2007/0162194 in view of U.S. Patent Pub No 2007/0162193 both shows
 - Data base, image processor, image enhancer, change detector
 - Collected geospatial image
 - 3D scene model data; 2D image data
 - Terrain data, building data and foliage data
 - Collection field of view; Geospatial collection value.
 - Weather Condition relates to image obscuration and surface reflectivity.
 - Time of day and time of year.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1 – 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldroyd (US Pat Pub No 2005/0220363) in view of Margolin (US Pat No 597443) and further in view of aloha.

As for claim 1, 10, 15, Oldroyd shows a image detecting system where a collected geospatial image from a geospatial image sensor carried by an airborne platform, image detecting system comprising: a database (Fig 1, See Reference Image Data 28; Refrence Dem Database 40); an image processor cooperating with database for generating a reference geospatial image corresponding to the collected geospatial image (Para 0049; analysis 24; Para 0069-0070; Para –76,transform 56); a change detector cooperating with image processor for detecting a change between the collected geospatial image and the reference geospatial image (Para 0099 – 0101; See Fig 1, Image match 60, match function 62); an image detector cooperating with change detector for detecting the at least one image associated with the collected geospatial image based upon the change between the collected geospatial image and the reference geospatial image (Para 0013 – 0016; Par 0075, Perspective analyssi 50, perspective parameters 52,reference orthoimage construction 44, transform to snesor perspective 56). Oldroyd is silent regarding the environmental condition associated with geospatial image is the weather condition.

Margolin shows the environmental condition associated with geospatial image is the weather condition (Col 2, lines 47 - 65).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, to provide enhance image based upon environmental condition, as taught by Margolin, to Oldroyd, in order to provide efficient method for converting database, as taught by Margolin.

As for claim 2, 3, 11, 16, Oldroyd is silent regarding recited claim limitation.

Margolin shows at least one environmental condition comprises at least one weather condition (Col 2, lines 47 - 65) and at least one weather condition relates to at least one of image obscuration and surface reflectivity (Col 2, lines 47 - 65).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, to provide enhance image based upon environmental condition, as taught by Margolin, to Oldroyd, in order to provide efficient method for converting database, as taught by Margolin.

As for claim 4, 5,6, 12, 17, 18, Oldroyd shows at least one environmental condition comprises at least one of a time of day and a time of year (Para 0011); database comprises a geospatial scene model database (Para 0031; Para 0048-0049); an environmental condition detecting system according to Claim 5 wherein geospatial scene model database comprises three-dimensional (3D) scene model data; and wherein each of the collected geospatial image and the reference geospatial image comprises respective two-dimensional (2D) image data (Para 0106; Fig 1, See Reference Image Data 28; reference orthoimage construction 44).

As for claim 7, 19, Oldroyd shows geospatial scene model database comprises at least one of terrain data, building data, and foliage data (Para 0055- 0069).

Application/Control Number: 11/328,678

Art Unit: 3664

As for claim 8, 13, 20, Oldroyd shows the collected geospatial image has at least one

geospatial collection value associated therewith (Para 0013-0016; para 0075, Perspective

analysis 50; perspective parameter 52); and wherein image processor generates the reference

geospatial image based upon synthetically positioning a virtual geospatial image sensor within a

geospatial scene model based upon the at least one geospatial collection value (Para 0049,

analysis 24; Para 0069-0070, transform 56).

As for claim 9, 14, 21, the at least one geospatial collection value comprises at least one

of a geospatial collection position, a geospatial collection orientation, and a geospatial collection

field-of-view (Para 0011, 0048).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure

Koeln et al (US Pat No 5719949).

Rahmes et al (US Pat No 6654690/US Pat No 7412984).

Porikli (US Pat No 6904159).

Chen et al (US Pat No 6744442).

Louis et al (US Pat No 5974170).

Wadsworth et al (US Pat No 6118885).

Lechner (US Pat Pub 2003/0059743).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 11/328,678

Art Unit: 3664

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to IAN JEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3274. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-6:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on 571-272-6919. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ian Jen/

Examiner, Art Unit 3664

/Dalena Tran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3664