Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	11/853,501	HAMID ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SURESH MEMULA	2825
Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed and entered</u>		
(1) SURESH MEMULA (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Jonathan Geld (Applicant's representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 July 2010	Time: <u>5:25pm eastern</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Suresh Memula/ Art Unit 2825 (Aj	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Geld was presented with an examiner's amendent to amend claim 15 to limit the "computer-readable storage medium" to only "non-transitory" embodiments by amending the phrase to recite: "non-transitory computer-readable storage medium". Mr. Geld agreed to the amendment.