

**M100 M14 L7**

# **A Study Guide to Material Handling Safety: From Rigging Fundamentals to Mechatronic Systems**

## **Introduction: The Unchanging Physics of a Changing Workplace**

The modern industrial landscape is undergoing a profound transformation. Automated systems, from multi-axis robotic arms to autonomous mobile robots, are increasingly taking over the tasks of moving, lifting, and positioning materials.<sup>1</sup> This evolution promises enhanced efficiency, precision, and, most importantly, safety, by removing human workers from tedious and often hazardous operations.<sup>3</sup> However, it is a critical error to assume that this technological shift has rendered the foundational principles of material handling obsolete. While mechatronics has revolutionized *how* materials are handled, it has not altered the fundamental physics of mass, gravity, and force that govern every lift.

The discipline of rigging—the art and science of preparing and executing the movement of heavy objects—was honed over centuries of manual effort, guided by an intimate and often unforgiving understanding of these physical laws.<sup>5</sup> These principles are not obsolete; they are now encoded in software, embedded in sensors, and executed by powerful actuators.<sup>2</sup> The role of the "rigger," therefore, has not vanished but has expanded and been distributed. It is no longer solely the person on the factory floor but also the automation engineer who designs the system, the programmer who defines the robot's path, and the maintenance technician who ensures the system's integrity. Each of these individuals now shares the safety-critical responsibility that once belonged to a single expert.<sup>7</sup>

This creates a new challenge: a psychological distance from the physical event. The act of programming a lift path involves coordinates, logic, and digital parameters, not the direct sensory feedback of a straining rope or a shifting load. This abstraction of risk can lead to a

diminished perception of the immense forces at play, a cognitive bias that has been shown to be a precursor to risk-taking behavior.<sup>10</sup> The purpose of this guide is to bridge that gap. It is structured to demonstrate that a deep understanding of traditional rigging safety is not merely historical context but an indispensable prerequisite for the safe design, implementation, and operation of any modern material handling system. We will begin by exploring the immutable scientific principles that form the foundation of every lift, then move to the procedural architecture of planning and regulation, examine the tools of the trade from manual to mechatronic, detail the execution of a safe operation, and conclude with powerful lessons learned from real-world failures.

## **Section 1: The Science of the Load - Foundational Principles of Stability and Force**

All safe material handling, whether performed by a human rigger with a chain hoist or a six-axis robot with a vacuum gripper, is governed by a core set of physical laws. Understanding these principles is not an academic exercise; it is the absolute foundation upon which every safe procedure is built. A failure to respect any one of these principles can lead to a cascade of events resulting in catastrophic failure.

### **1.1 The Center of Gravity (CoG): The Fulcrum of Every Lift**

The center of gravity, or CoG, is the single, theoretical point within an object where its entire weight can be considered to be concentrated. It is the point of perfect balance.<sup>12</sup> The single most important objective in any rigging operation—the Golden Rule of Lifting—is to position the lifting hook or attachment point *directly above* this center of gravity. If the lifting point is offset from the CoG, the load will inherently seek equilibrium. Upon being lifted, it will tilt, swing, or slide until its CoG is vertically aligned underneath the hook. This uncontrolled movement introduces dangerous dynamic forces and is a primary cause of accidents.<sup>14</sup>

Determining the CoG is therefore the rigger's first and most critical calculation. For uniform, symmetrical objects like a steel cube or a straight pipe, the CoG is located at the geometric center.<sup>15</sup> For complex or irregular loads, the task is more challenging. One method is the practical test-lift: the load is rigged based on an estimate of the CoG and lifted only a few inches off the ground. The way it tilts reveals the true location of the CoG relative to the lifting point, allowing the rigger to adjust the rigging and try again.<sup>16</sup> A more precise method involves

calculation. For a simple, non-uniform load supported at two points, the CoG can be found with a straightforward formula. By measuring the weight at each end and the distance (or span) between the lifting points, one can calculate the CoG's location using the relationship: (Weight at Heavier End / Total Weight) x Span = Distance of CoG from the lighter end.<sup>17</sup> For more complex shapes, the object can be mentally divided into smaller, regular shapes, the CoG of each is determined, and a weighted average is calculated to find the CoG of the entire assembly.<sup>18</sup>

In mechatronic systems, this principle remains paramount. A robot's control software must account for the CoG of the object being handled. Crucially, it must also calculate the combined CoG of the object *and* the End-of-Arm Tooling (EOAT) attached to the robot's wrist.<sup>1</sup> A programming error that miscalculates this combined CoG is functionally identical to a human rigger misjudging the lifting point, with the same hazardous potential for uncontrolled movement.

## 1.2 The Physics of Sling Angles and Tension: A Force Multiplier

When a load is lifted using a multi-leg sling, the angle of the slings relative to the horizontal plane has a dramatic and often underestimated effect on the tension within each leg. Imagine holding a heavy bucket in each hand with your arms hanging straight down. The force on each arm is simply half the total weight. Now, try to lift those buckets out to your sides. The force required to hold them increases exponentially as your arms approach horizontal. This is precisely what happens to rigging slings.<sup>19</sup>

As the angle of a sling decreases from a vertical 90 degrees, the tension on that sling increases. This is not a linear relationship; it is a trigonometric one that acts as a powerful force multiplier. Consider a load weighing 10,000 pounds lifted by a two-leg sling.

- At a 90-degree angle (vertical legs), each sling supports exactly half the load: 5,000 pounds.
- At a 60-degree angle, a common and recommended angle, the tension in each leg increases to approximately 5,775 pounds.
- At 45 degrees, the tension jumps to 7,070 pounds per leg.
- At a dangerously shallow 30-degree angle, the tension in each leg becomes equal to the full weight of the load: 10,000 pounds.<sup>19</sup>

The tension can be calculated with the formula: , where  $T$  is the tension per leg,  $W$  is the load weight,  $n$  is the number of legs, and  $\theta$  is the angle from the horizontal.<sup>21</sup> The critical takeaway is that a sling rated for 5,000 pounds can easily fail while lifting a 5,000-pound load if the sling angle is too low. This principle applies equally to the design of custom lifting fixtures in

automated cells, where the geometry of the fixture dictates the forces applied to its components.

### 1.3 Load Stability and Control: Static vs. Dynamic States

Load stability is the ability of a load to remain steady and balanced throughout the lifting and moving process.<sup>12</sup> It is essential to differentiate between static stability, which is the load's stability while at rest, and dynamic stability, its ability to remain stable while in motion.<sup>13</sup> A load that appears stable on the ground can become dangerously unstable once it is lifted and subjected to the forces of movement.

Several factors influence load stability. The distribution of the load's weight is primary; an unevenly distributed load is inherently less stable.<sup>12</sup> The security of the attachment points is also critical, as any slippage can cause a sudden shift in the load's balance.<sup>12</sup> Environmental factors can have a profound impact; forces such as wind or even slight inclines in the ground can introduce instability to an otherwise controlled lift.<sup>7</sup> Perhaps the most challenging loads are "live" or dynamic loads—those containing liquids, sand, or other materials that can shift their position during movement. The CoG of a live load is not fixed; it moves as the contents shift, requiring extreme caution and slow, deliberate movements to maintain control.<sup>15</sup>

### 1.4 The Silent Danger of Shock Loading

Shock loading is the sudden, dynamic application of force to a hoisting and rigging system. This can occur when a load is jerked off the ground, when a falling load is suddenly caught by the rigging, or when the hoisting motion is started or stopped too abruptly.<sup>22</sup> The effect of shock loading is to momentarily magnify the effective weight of the load, potentially by a factor of two or more.<sup>23</sup> This means a 10,000-pound load, if shock loaded, could exert a force of 20,000 pounds or more on the entire lifting system.

Common causes of shock loading include:

- Rapid acceleration or deceleration of the hoist, creating a "jerking" motion.<sup>25</sup>
- A load snagging on an obstruction during a lift and then suddenly breaking free.<sup>28</sup>
- A sling slipping and allowing the load to free-fall a short distance before the rigging catches it again.<sup>23</sup>
- Uncontrolled "flopping" of a load, such as when turning it from a horizontal to a vertical

orientation without proper technique.<sup>23</sup>

Shock loading is often called a "silent killer" because its effects are cumulative and often invisible. Each shock load event can create micro-fractures and accelerate metal fatigue in hooks, links, and hoist components. Over time, this hidden damage weakens the equipment until it fails unexpectedly under a load that is well within its stated rated capacity.<sup>24</sup> In modern mechatronic systems, this danger is mitigated through engineering. Variable frequency drives (VFDs) in electric hoists and carefully programmed acceleration and deceleration profiles (often called "S-curves") in robotic motion are designed specifically to ensure smooth starts and stops, preventing the very jerking motions that cause shock loads.<sup>27</sup>

These foundational principles are not isolated concepts; they form a tightly coupled system where an error in one domain creates a cascade of failures in others. For instance, a failure to rig directly over the CoG will cause the load to swing. This swinging compromises dynamic stability. An operator's attempt to correct the swing with a sudden counter-movement, or the swing being arrested by an obstruction, introduces a severe shock load. This shock load dramatically increases the tension in the slings, which may then exceed the limits imposed by their angles, leading to failure. A single, initial miscalculation of the CoG can trigger a chain reaction that violates every other safety principle and results in catastrophe.

## **Section 2: The Architecture of a Safe Lift - Planning, Assessment, and Regulation**

While the laws of physics dictate the forces involved in a lift, it is the human framework of planning, procedure, and regulation that ensures those forces are managed safely. A successful lift is not an improvised act; it is a carefully engineered and choreographed event. This section explores the procedural architecture required for safe material handling, from the creation of a lift plan to the psychological factors that influence a rigger's decisions.

### **2.1 The Lift Plan: A Blueprint for Safety**

A lift plan is a formal, comprehensive document that provides a systematic approach to planning and executing a lifting operation. It is the single source of truth for the entire team, assessing all foreseeable risks and detailing the specific methods and equipment to be used.<sup>30</sup> A lift should never proceed if the conditions on-site do not match the approved lift

plan.<sup>31</sup> While the complexity of the plan is proportional to the risk of the lift, every operation requires this deliberate foresight. The key components of a thorough lift plan include:

- **Load Details:** This section documents a complete understanding of the object being lifted. It includes its precise weight, its physical dimensions, the calculated or determined location of its center of gravity, and an identification of its designated lifting points. These points must be inspected to ensure they are structurally sound and capable of withstanding the forces of the lift.<sup>31</sup>
- **Equipment Details:** Here, the specific lifting and rigging equipment is identified. This includes the crane or hoist model, its configuration (e.g., boom length, counterweight), and its rated capacity at the planned lifting radius. Every piece of rigging hardware—slings, shackles, hooks—must be specified, ensuring each component's working load limit far exceeds the forces it will experience, including the multiplied forces from sling angles.<sup>31</sup>
- **Worksite Evaluation:** This involves a thorough assessment of the environment where the lift will take place. It analyzes ground stability to ensure it can support the crane and load, identifies all potential overhead and surrounding obstructions (with special attention to power lines), and accounts for environmental factors like wind, rain, or extreme temperatures that could affect load control.<sup>7</sup>
- **Personnel and Communication:** The plan explicitly names the individuals responsible for each role: the crane operator, the qualified rigger, the designated signal person, and the overall lift director. It also establishes the primary method of communication—be it hand signals, radio, or a combination—that will be used to coordinate the operation.<sup>31</sup>

In the context of mechatronics, the collection of documents and files that define an automated material handling process serves as the digital equivalent of a lift plan. The robot's program, with its defined payload data, motion paths, and speed profiles, is the codified method statement. The automated cell's design, including the placement of sensors, safety interlocks, and physical guarding, represents the worksite evaluation and hazard mitigation strategy.<sup>1</sup>

## 2.2 The Rigger's Mindset: Proactive Hazard Mitigation

The U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) defines a "qualified rigger" not merely by certification, but as a performance-based role. A qualified rigger is an individual who possesses a recognized degree, certificate, or professional standing, or who has extensive knowledge, training, and experience, and can successfully demonstrate the ability to solve problems related to rigging loads.<sup>7</sup> Critically, this person must be able to identify existing and predictable hazards in the work area and have the authority to take prompt

corrective measures to eliminate them.<sup>8</sup>

This definition fosters a proactive mindset. The rigger's job begins long before the load is hooked. It involves a meticulous "hazard hunt" of the entire work area. This includes ensuring the load's intended travel path is completely clear of personnel, equipment, and any other obstructions, both on the ground and overhead.<sup>7</sup> OSHA regulations mandate that hoisting routes be pre-planned to minimize the exposure of any employee to a suspended load.<sup>39</sup> This involves defining a "fall zone"—the area where the load could land if the rigging were to fail—and ensuring it is clearly marked, barricaded, and kept clear of all non-essential personnel.<sup>40</sup>

## 2.3 Navigating the Regulatory Landscape: OSHA and ASME

Two primary bodies of standards govern lifting and rigging safety in the United States: OSHA and the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME).

- **OSHA:** OSHA regulations, found in Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), are the force of law. For general industry, the key standard is 29 CFR 1910, particularly section 1910.184 for slings. For construction, it is 29 CFR 1926, with section 1926.251 covering rigging equipment.<sup>42</sup> These standards establish mandatory requirements for employers, such as the daily inspection of all rigging gear, the immediate removal from service of any defective equipment, and strict prohibitions against dangerous practices like shock loading or riding on hooks or loads.<sup>22</sup>
- **ASME:** The ASME B30 suite of standards represents the industry's consensus on best practices for the design, construction, inspection, testing, maintenance, and operation of most types of lifting equipment.<sup>46</sup> Key volumes relevant to rigging include B30.9 for Slings, B30.10 for Hooks, and B30.26 for Rigging Hardware.<sup>46</sup> While these are voluntary consensus standards and not laws in themselves, OSHA frequently incorporates them by reference in its own regulations and interpretations. Furthermore, in the event of an accident, adherence to the relevant ASME standards is often considered the benchmark for the "standard of care" in legal proceedings.<sup>42</sup>

## 2.4 The Psychology of Risk: Why Good People Make Bad Decisions

Despite robust regulations and engineering controls, accidents still occur. Investigations reveal that over 90% of crane-related accidents are the result of human error.<sup>49</sup> However, to

simply blame the individual is to miss the deeper, systemic, and psychological factors that predispose even experienced professionals to make unsafe decisions. Understanding these factors is crucial for building a resilient safety culture.

- **Cognitive Biases:** Human decision-making is subject to several predictable biases. **Complacency** develops from habit; when a risky shortcut is taken repeatedly without negative consequences, the perception of its danger diminishes.<sup>50</sup> This can lead to the normalization of deviance, where unsafe "hand-me-down knowledge" replaces formal procedure.<sup>50</sup> **Overconfidence** and the **illusion of control** are common among experienced workers, who may come to believe their skill allows them to bypass safety rules or handle situations beyond equipment limits.<sup>11</sup>
- **Production Pressure:** The pressure to meet deadlines and maintain productivity is a powerful motivator. This can create a conflict where workers feel compelled to take risks—such as skipping a pre-use inspection or rushing a lift in changing weather—to avoid falling behind schedule.<sup>51</sup>
- **Emotional State:** Psychological research has demonstrated a link between a person's emotional state and their perception of risk. Studies show that individuals in positive or neutral emotional states tend to perceive less risk in a given situation compared to those experiencing negative emotions like sadness or anxiety. This can make them more prone to engaging in risk-taking behaviors.<sup>10</sup>

These psychological vulnerabilities are not unique to manual rigging; they are amplified in automated environments. The very consistency and reliability that make automated systems so effective can foster a "digital complacency" in human supervisors. A robotic system that performs flawlessly for thousands of cycles can lull technicians and engineers into a false sense of security.<sup>1</sup> They may become less vigilant, assuming the system is infallible. This can lead to skipping pre-shift checks, ignoring minor error flags, or delaying preventative maintenance because "it's always been fine." This mindset dangerously masks the potential for sudden, catastrophic failure due to gradual wear, sensor drift, or a latent software bug. The antidote to these psychological traps, in both manual and automated settings, is a culture of chronic unease—a robust safety culture that relentlessly prioritizes procedure, empowers every individual to stop unsafe work without reprisal, and reinforces safe habits through continuous training and oversight.<sup>50</sup>

## Section 3: The Tools of the Trade - From Manual Hardware to Automated Systems

The safe execution of a lift depends on the correct selection and application of the right tools. This section provides a descriptive overview of the essential equipment, from the fundamental

hardware of traditional rigging to the integrated systems of modern mechatronics. A core principle transcends all technology: a lifting system is only as strong as its weakest link.

### 3.1 A Descriptive Guide to Rigging Hardware

Rigging hardware forms the critical interface between the lifting device and the load.

- **Slings: The Connection to the Load:** Slings are flexible lengths of material used to wrap around or attach to a load. The four primary types each have distinct characteristics:
  - **Wire Rope Slings:** These are constructed from individual steel wires twisted into strands, which are then wrapped around a central core. They appear as braided steel cables and are valued for their strength, resistance to abrasion, and ability to withstand high temperatures. However, they are heavy, can be permanently damaged by kinking, and are susceptible to corrosion if not properly lubricated.<sup>29</sup>
  - **Chain Slings:** Composed of high-strength alloy steel links, these are the most durable and rugged option. They are excellent for high-temperature environments and can be adjusted in length. Their main disadvantages are their significant weight and vulnerability to damage from sudden shocks.<sup>55</sup>
  - **Synthetic Web Slings:** These are flat, woven straps made of materials like nylon or polyester, often color-coded to indicate their capacity. They are lightweight, flexible, and will not scratch or crush delicate load surfaces. Their primary weaknesses are a vulnerability to being cut by sharp edges, as well as degradation from chemicals, high heat, and prolonged UV exposure.<sup>55</sup>
  - **Synthetic Round Slings:** These consist of a continuous loop of load-bearing polyester fibers enclosed in a protective fabric cover, giving them a soft, donut-like appearance. They are extremely flexible, can conform tightly to irregularly shaped loads, and offer excellent protection for sensitive surfaces.<sup>55</sup>
- **Shackles: The Universal Connector:** A shackle is a U-shaped metal forging with a removable pin that closes the opening, used to connect slings, hooks, and other hardware. The two main types are visually distinct for different purposes. The **Anchor Shackle**, also called a bow shackle, has a larger, O-shaped body designed to handle loads from multiple directions. The **Chain Shackle**, or D-shackle, has a narrower, D-shaped body and is intended for in-line pulls.<sup>55</sup>
- **Hooks: The Primary Lifting Point:** Hooks are the terminal fitting on a hoist or crane that engages the rigging. They come in various designs, such as eye hooks for slings and clevis hooks for direct attachment to chain.<sup>61</sup> The most critical safety feature is the **safety latch**. This is a small, typically spring-loaded or gravity-actuated gate that bridges the throat of the hook. Its sole purpose is to prevent a slack sling from accidentally slipping out. It is crucial to understand that the safety latch is *not* a load-bearing component and

provides no protection if the hook is overloaded or improperly engaged.<sup>61</sup>

### 3.2 Anatomy of an Overhead Crane and Hoist

An overhead crane, or bridge crane, is a machine designed to lift and move heavy loads within a fixed rectangular area, such as a factory bay.<sup>65</sup> Its major components work together in a coordinated system:

- **Runway:** These are the parallel, stationary tracks that the crane travels on. They are typically supported by the building's columns or ceiling structure and define the crane's long-travel path.<sup>65</sup>
- **Bridge:** This is the main horizontal beam, or girder, that spans the width between the runways. It is the primary structural component of the crane.<sup>65</sup>
- **End Trucks:** Located at each end of the bridge, these assemblies contain the wheels that ride on the runway tracks, allowing the entire bridge to move up and down the length of the bay.<sup>65</sup>
- **Trolley:** This is the wheeled mechanism that travels back and forth along the top or bottom of the bridge girder. Its movement is known as cross-travel.<sup>65</sup>
- **Hoist:** Mounted on the trolley, the hoist is the component that performs the actual lifting. It consists of a motor, a gearbox, a braking system, and a drum or wheel around which a wire rope or chain is wound. The hoist raises and lowers the load hook, providing the vertical movement.<sup>66</sup>

### 3.3 Introduction to Mechatronic Material Handlers

Mechatronic systems integrate mechanical engineering, electronics, and computer control to automate material handling tasks.

- **Multi-Axis Robotic Arms:** These are the most common and versatile systems, resembling a human arm with multiple joints. Their key specifications are **payload** (the maximum weight they can lift, including tooling), **reach** (the maximum distance they can extend), and the **number of axes** (typically 4 to 6), which defines their range of motion and flexibility.<sup>1</sup>
- **Automated Guided Vehicles (AGVs) & Autonomous Mobile Robots (AMRs):** These are unmanned vehicles that transport materials across a facility floor. The primary difference lies in their navigation. AGVs are less intelligent, typically following fixed paths like magnetic tape on the floor. AMRs are more advanced, using sensors like LiDAR and

cameras to build a map of their environment and navigate dynamically around obstacles.<sup>2</sup>

- **Automated Storage and Retrieval Systems (AS/RS):** These are large-scale warehouse automation systems. They consist of a dense rack structure and a dedicated, crane-like vehicle that travels vertically and horizontally within the aisles to autonomously store and retrieve pallets or totes.<sup>2</sup>

### 3.4 End-of-Arm Tooling (EOAT): The Robot's Hand

The device attached to the end of a robot's arm is the End-of-Arm Tooling, or EOAT. It is the robotic equivalent of the rigger's sling and hook combination, directly interacting with the load.<sup>1</sup> The selection of the correct EOAT is critical for a safe and stable lift. Common types include:

- **Mechanical Grippers:** These function like fingers or jaws, physically clamping onto an object.<sup>2</sup>
- **Vacuum Lifters:** These use suction cups and a vacuum generator to lift flat, non-porous materials such as sheet metal, glass, or cardboard boxes.<sup>15</sup>
- **Magnetic Lifters:** These employ powerful electromagnets or permanent magnets to handle ferrous materials like steel plates or billets.<sup>46</sup>

Crucially, the weight of the EOAT itself is a significant part of the total load and must be included in the payload calculation to ensure the robot is not overloaded.<sup>1</sup>

The "weakest link" principle is just as relevant in mechatronics as it is in traditional rigging, though the nature of the links has expanded. In a manual lift, the weakest link is a physical component—a worn sling, a cracked hook, an undersized shackle.<sup>7</sup> In an automated system, the chain of components includes not only the physical robot arm and EOAT, but also a host of non-physical elements: the control software, the network of sensors providing feedback, the electrical power system, and the communication links between the controller and the motors.<sup>2</sup> A failure in any of these can be just as catastrophic as a mechanical break. A software glitch could command a sudden, violent movement, inducing a massive shock load. A faulty vision system could fail to detect an obstruction in the robot's path. A network delay could prevent an emergency stop command from being received in time. Therefore, a comprehensive safety analysis—the system's "lift plan"—must rigorously evaluate not just the mechanical strength of the hardware, but the robustness, reliability, and fault-tolerance of the entire control system.

# Section 4: Executing the Operation - Procedures for Safe Material Handling

A successful lift is the culmination of proper planning and the disciplined execution of safe procedures. This section details the critical actions required during the operation itself, from the initial inspection to the final placement of the load, drawing direct parallels between the best practices of manual rigging and the safety architecture of automated systems.

## 4.1 Pre-Use Inspection: The First Line of Defense

The most fundamental safety practice is the thorough inspection of all equipment before it is used. OSHA mandates that all rigging equipment be inspected *prior to use on each shift* by a competent person, and any damaged or defective gear must be immediately removed from service.<sup>39</sup> This is not a cursory glance but a detailed visual and tactile examination.

- **Slings:** An inspector must look for specific signs of degradation. On wire rope, this includes searching for broken wires, kinks, crushing, or signs of corrosion. On synthetic web or round slings, the search is for cuts, tears, frayed stitching, chemical burns, or melted areas. On chain slings, each link must be checked for stretching, gouges, nicks, or cracks.<sup>15</sup>
- **Hardware:** Shackles must be inspected to ensure the body is not bent and the pin is not distorted. Hooks must be examined for cracks in the bowl, deformation (such as the throat opening having widened), and to confirm the safety latch is present and functioning correctly.<sup>60</sup>
- **Identification:** A critical and non-negotiable part of the inspection is verifying that the manufacturer's identification tag, which states the rated capacity or Working Load Limit (WLL), is present and fully legible. If this tag is missing or cannot be read, the equipment is considered unsafe and must be discarded.<sup>22</sup>

This manual process has a direct parallel in automated systems. The pre-start checklist for a robotic cell serves the same function. It includes verifying that sensors are clean and unobstructed, checking the system controller for any error codes, ensuring the EOAT is securely mounted to the robot arm, and testing that all safety systems, such as light curtains, safety mats, and emergency stop buttons, are fully operational.<sup>39</sup>

## 4.2 Communication: The Language of the Lift

Clear, unambiguous communication is the nervous system of a safe lifting operation. To prevent conflicting commands, OSHA has established strict protocols. A designated signal person is required whenever the crane operator does not have a full and clear view of the load and the travel path.<sup>77</sup> To avoid confusion, only that one designated signal person is permitted to give operational signals to the operator. The sole exception to this rule is the "Emergency Stop" signal, which can and must be given by anyone who sees a hazard.<sup>77</sup>

OSHA has standardized a set of hand signals to provide a universal, visual language. A chart of these signals must be posted on the equipment or in the vicinity of the operation.<sup>81</sup> Key signals are described as follows:

- **Hoist:** With the upper arm extended to the side and the forearm vertical, the index finger points straight up while the hand makes small circles.<sup>82</sup>
- **Lower:** With the arm extended downward, the index finger points toward the ground while the hand makes small circles.<sup>82</sup>
- **Swing:** The arm is extended horizontally, and the index finger points in the direction the boom is to travel.<sup>82</sup>
- **Stop:** One arm is extended horizontally, palm down, and swung back and forth.<sup>82</sup>
- **Emergency Stop:** Both arms are extended horizontally, palms down, and swung back and forth.<sup>82</sup>

In an automated system, the role of the signal person is fulfilled by the network of sensors. Vision systems, proximity sensors, and laser scanners act as the "eyes" of the system, providing constant data feedback to the main controller (the "operator"). The programmed logic and feedback loops are the equivalent of the hand signals, dictating the system's response to the sensory input.<sup>2</sup>

## 4.3 Managing the Suspended Load: The Zone of Maximum Danger

The moment a load is lifted from the ground, it becomes a source of immense potential energy, and the area beneath it becomes the most dangerous place on the worksite.

- **Working Under Loads:** The cardinal rule is that employees must never be positioned directly beneath a suspended load. OSHA provides very narrow and strictly controlled exceptions, such as when employees are initially hooking or unhooking the load.<sup>25</sup>
- **The Fall Zone:** The area into which a load could fall must be identified, barricaded, and kept clear of all personnel not essential to the lift.<sup>25</sup> A common best practice is to enforce

- a "10-foot rule," establishing a minimum safe radius around the load.<sup>25</sup>
- **Unattended Loads:** An operator must never leave the controls while a load is suspended. If the operator must leave, the load must first be safely landed.<sup>25</sup>
- **Taglines:** To control a load's movement (to prevent swinging or spinning) from a safe distance, riggers use taglines. These are ropes attached to the load that allow a handler on the ground to guide it. Proper technique is vital: the handler must have a clear path to walk, must hold the rope firmly but never wrap it around a hand, arm, or any part of the body, and must be positioned outside the fall zone.<sup>90</sup>
- **Pinch Points:** A pinch point is any location where a body part can be caught between a moving object and a stationary object, or between two moving objects.<sup>94</sup> In rigging, these are abundant: between the sling and the load as it tightens, between the load and a wall as it is landed, or between the hook and the rigging hardware. The fundamental rule for avoiding these injuries is to never place hands or fingers where you cannot see them and to keep all body parts clear of the load and rigging during movement.<sup>22</sup>

#### 4.4 Parallels in Execution: Manual vs. Automated Lifts

The principles and objectives of safe execution remain constant, even as the technology changes. The functions performed by the human team in a manual lift are directly mirrored by the components of an automated system:

- The **rigger's brain**, processing information and making decisions, is analogous to the **automated system's controller** (e.g., a PLC or robot controller).
- The **rigger's eyes and ears**, providing situational awareness, are replaced by **sensors and vision systems**.
- The system of **hand signals** is replaced by **programmed logic and feedback loops**.
- The **operator's smooth control** of the hoist is replicated by **VFDs and engineered motion profiles**.
- The **tagline handler**, guiding the load, is mirrored by **guide rails or laser guidance systems**.
- **Physical barricades** and verbal warnings are supplanted by **light curtains, safety scanners, and interlocked gates**.

While automated systems excel at replacing the repetitive physical actions of a lift, they cannot replace the situational *judgment* of a qualified human. An automated system can execute its programmed path thousands of times with perfect fidelity, but it cannot inherently judge if the floor has become slick with oil, if the contents of a container have shifted in an unexpected way, or if a novel, unforeseen hazard has entered its workspace.<sup>97</sup> The system's sensors can detect pre-defined anomalies, such as an object breaking a light curtain, but they cannot exercise holistic judgment about a new or complex situation. This fundamentally shifts

the human role in an automated environment from one of direct action to one of expert oversight and intervention. The human supervisor becomes the ultimate safety backstop, responsible for exercising the judgment the machine lacks.

## Section 5: Lessons from Failure - Case Studies in Rigging Accidents

The rules of safe rigging are not arbitrary; they are written from the hard-earned lessons of past failures. Analyzing accidents is not an exercise in assigning blame, but a critical process for understanding the chain of events that leads to disaster, ensuring that they are never repeated. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) found that rigging failure was a contributing cause in 24 out of 40 crane-related fatalities involving workers being struck by objects, underscoring the critical nature of this discipline.<sup>99</sup>

### Case Study 1: The Slipped Choker Sling

**The Scenario:** A rigger was preparing a "multi-lift," a procedure for hoisting multiple structural members at once. He had already rigged one 50-foot-long bar joist using a choker sling and had the crane operator lift it approximately 15 feet in the air to provide clearance. As the rigger bent over to begin rigging a second joist on the ground, the eye of the choker sling holding the suspended joist slipped out of the crane hook. The 50-foot steel joist fell, striking and killing the rigger below.<sup>100</sup>

**The Failure Chain:** This tragedy illustrates a cascade of failures. The immediate cause was the sling eye disengaging from the hook. This points to a potential failure of the hook's safety latch—it may have been broken, deformed, or improperly seated. It is also possible that a slight slackening of the hoist line allowed the sling eye to move past the latch. This highlights a failure in the pre-use inspection of the hook and its latch. However, the most critical failure was procedural: the rigger was working directly underneath a suspended load, a direct violation of one of the most fundamental rules of rigging safety.

**The Lesson:** This case is a stark reminder that safety devices like hook latches are fallible and represent a last line of defense, not an infallible guarantee. The primary method of protection is always procedural. The absolute prohibition against working under a suspended load exists precisely because equipment can and does fail unexpectedly.

## Case Study 2: The Collapsed Crane in High Wind

**The Scenario:** A massive crawler crane, with a boom and jib extending over 500 feet into the air, was operating on a street in a dense urban environment. As weather conditions worsened, with rising winds and snow, the operator began the procedure to lower and secure the crane. During this process, the crane became unstable and collapsed, falling across the street and killing a motorist in a parked car.<sup>101</sup>

**The Failure Chain:** The subsequent OSHA investigation determined that the direct cause of the collapse was the failure to follow the crane manufacturer's specific, detailed procedures for stowing the crane in the face of high winds.<sup>103</sup> The operation was attempted in a manner inconsistent with the engineering limits defined in the operator's manual. This represents a failure in multiple layers of the safety architecture: a failure in planning (not having a robust contingency for changing weather), a failure in procedure (deviating from the manufacturer's mandate), and a failure in judgment (miscalculating the risk posed by the environmental conditions).

**The Lesson:** A manufacturer's operational manual and load charts are not mere suggestions; they are the absolute limits of the equipment's design and must be treated as law. A comprehensive lift plan must always include clear trigger points and procedures for shutting down operations when environmental conditions, such as wind speed, exceed those specified limits. Operators must be trained and empowered to follow these procedures without deviation, especially when under pressure.

## Case Study 3: The Unbraced Masonry Wall

**The Scenario:** On a construction site, a worker was positioning reinforcing steel rebar near a recently erected, free-standing concrete masonry wall. The wall had not yet been permanently braced or tied into the larger structure. A potent, gusting wind swept across the site, pushing the unbraced wall over. The wall collapsed onto the worker, causing fatal injuries.<sup>104</sup>

**The Failure Chain:** While this is not a traditional crane or rigging accident, it is a catastrophic failure in material handling and stability. The "load"—in this case, the multi-ton wall—was left in an unstable condition and was not secured against predictable external forces. The hazard was a combination of the wall's inherent instability and the environmental force of the wind.

**The Lesson:** The principles of load stability and control extend beyond the act of lifting. They apply to the entire worksite. Any large, heavy object, whether it is being actively lifted or is temporarily stored, must be secured, blocked, or braced to prevent it from tipping, shifting, or falling. This expands the rigger's mindset of "securing the load" to a broader principle of worksite stability management.

These cases reveal a crucial truth: accidents are rarely the result of a single, isolated error. More often, they are the outcome of a chain of events where multiple, smaller failures in different layers of defense align. A culture of rushing might lead to a skipped inspection of a faulty hook latch. Production pressure might lead to a decision to continue a lift in rising winds. A lack of planning might leave a heavy object unbraced. Each is a single vulnerability. An accident occurs when these vulnerabilities line up, allowing a hazard to pass through all the layers of defense. This reality underscores the importance of a defense-in-depth approach to safety, where every principle detailed in this guide—from calculating the center of gravity to following communication protocols—acts as an essential, non-negotiable layer of protection.

## Works cited

1. Material Handling Robots | FANUC America, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.fanucamerica.com/solutions/applications/material-handling-robots>
2. Material Handling Automation: A Comprehensive Guide for Engineers, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.wevolver.com/article/material-handling-automation>
3. Robotic Automation & Material Handling, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://shapeprocessautomation.com/solutions/automation-material-handling/>
4. Material handling robots: What are they and how do they work? - Standard Bots, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://standardbots.com/blog/material-handling-robots>
5. Rigging (material handling) - Wikipedia, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rigging\\_\(material\\_handling\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rigging_(material_handling))
6. What is Rigging? - Boulter Industrial Contractors, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.boulterindustrial.com/blog/what-is-rigging>
7. Qualified Rigger OSHA Requirements Rigging Safety Tips - Western National Insurance, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.wnins.com/print/QualifiedRigger.pdf>
8. A Detailed Guide to Rigging Certification and Its Training Requirements, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.oshaeducationcenter.com/rigging-certification/>
9. What Does a Rigger Do? Key Job Duties & Safety Responsibilities, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://eaglerigging.com/about/blog/2025/may/what-does-a-rigger-do/>
10. (PDF) Psychological Antecedents of Risk-Taking Behavior in Construction - ResearchGate, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274615843\\_Psychological\\_Antecedents\\_of\\_Risk-Taking\\_Behavior\\_in\\_Construction](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274615843_Psychological_Antecedents_of_Risk-Taking_Behavior_in_Construction)

11. (PDF) Construction Worker Risk-Taking Behavior Model with Individual and Organizational Factors - ResearchGate, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332431653\\_Construction\\_Worker\\_Risk-Taking\\_Behavior\\_Model\\_with\\_Individual\\_and\\_Organizational\\_Factors](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332431653_Construction_Worker_Risk-Taking_Behavior_Model_with_Individual_and_Organizational_Factors)
12. The Connection Between Crane Rigging and Load Stability, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://getheaveho.com/the-connection-between-crane-rigging-and-load-stability/#:~:text=Load%20stability%20is%20the%20ability.or%20becoming%20unbalanced%20during%20lifting>.
13. What Are The Stability Implications Of Lifting A Load To Maximum Height? - ForkliftSafety.com, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.forkliftsafety.com/2023/09/16/what-are-the-stability-implications-of-lifting-a-load-to-maximum-height/>
14. How to Determine the Center of Gravity of Any Load - Sims Crane, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://simscrane.com/how-to-determine-the-center-of-gravity-of-any-load/>
15. Understanding Crane Rigging: Types of Loads and Proper Techniques, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.operator-school.com/blog/understanding-crane-rigging-types-of-loads-and-proper-techniques/>
16. Considerations for Maintaining Load Control When You Rig Your Next Lift, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.mazzellacompanies.com/learning-center/how-to-maintain-load-control-when-rigging-a-load/>
17. How To Easily Find Center of Gravity for Your Load - LGH Canada, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://rentlgh.ca/blog/how-to-easily-find-center-of-gravity-for-your-load/>
18. rentlgh.ca, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://rentlgh.ca/blog/how-to-easily-find-center-of-gravity-for-your-load/#:~:text=\(WR%20%2F%20TW\)%20x%20SPAN%20%3D%20CG&text=If%20you%20have%20questions%20or,both%20horizontal%20and%203D%20positioning.](https://rentlgh.ca/blog/how-to-easily-find-center-of-gravity-for-your-load/#:~:text=(WR%20%2F%20TW)%20x%20SPAN%20%3D%20CG&text=If%20you%20have%20questions%20or,both%20horizontal%20and%203D%20positioning.)
19. Sling Angles Do Matter! - Becht, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://becht.com/becht-blog/entry/sling-angles-do-matter/>
20. ashleysling.com, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://ashleysling.com/calculating-sling-angles/#:~:text=The%20angle%20of%20the%20sling,sling%20and%20all%20related%20rigging.>
21. OVERLOADED SLING - ASSP, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.assp.org/docs/default-source/psj-articles/mtricketts\\_0720.pdf?sfvrsn=b4188947\\_2](https://www.assp.org/docs/default-source/psj-articles/mtricketts_0720.pdf?sfvrsn=b4188947_2)
22. 1910.184 - Slings. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.osha.gov/laws-regs/regulations/standardnumber/1910/1910.184>
23. Shock Loads - Shocking Results - ITI, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.iti.com/hs-fs/file-15746010-pdf/docs/3\\_3\\_Shock\\_Loads\\_Shocking\\_Results.pdf](https://www.iti.com/hs-fs/file-15746010-pdf/docs/3_3_Shock_Loads_Shocking_Results.pdf)

24. The Shocking Dangers of Shock Loading - LGH - Lifting Gear Hire, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://rentlgh.com/blog/the-shocking-danger-of-shock-loading/>
25. OSHA Suspended Load Safety Tips for Workers, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.safetybydesigninc.com/working-under-a-suspended-load-osha-crane-safety-tips/>
26. Hoisting and Rigging Safety Manual - IHSA, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.ihsa.ca/pdfs/products/id/m035.pdf>
27. Konecranes Smart Features - Shock Load Prevention - YouTube, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vdIzpfZQ9mM>
28. What is shock loading? - R&M Materials Handling, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://rmhoist.com/about-us/blog/what-is-shock-loading>
29. Hoisting & Rigging Fundamentals, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/01/f6/HoistingRigging\\_Fundamentals.pdf](https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/01/f6/HoistingRigging_Fundamentals.pdf)
30. www.tal.sg, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.tal.sg/wshc/topics/lifting-operations/planning-a-lift#:~:text=A%20lifting%20plan%20provides%20a,about%20their%20roles%20and%20responsibilities.>
31. Planning a Lift, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.tal.sg/wshc/topics/lifting-operations/planning-a-lift>
32. Understanding Lift Plans - Landwehr Construction, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://landwehrconstruction.com/understanding-lift-plans/>
33. Lift Plans | Office of Environmental Health and Safety - Princeton EHS, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://ehs.princeton.edu/workplace-construction/workplace-safety/construction-safety/crane-safety/lift-plans>
34. 5 Considerations for Collision Avoidance During Lift Operation - Vandalia Rental, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://vandaliarental.com/5-considerations-collision-avoidance-lift-operation/>
35. Subpart CC – Cranes and Derricks in Construction: Qualified Rigger | FactSheet - OSHA, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/publications/cranes-qualified-rigger-factsheet.pdf>
36. Does OSHA Require Riggers To Be Certified? - Hard Hat Training, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.hardhattraining.com/does-osha-require-riggers-to-be-certified-rigger-safety-training/>
37. Why Is It Essential To Be Aware Of Overhead Obstructions During Forklift Operations? - ForkliftSafety.com, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.forkliftsafety.com/2023/09/15/why-is-it-essential-to-be-aware-of-overhead-obstructions-during-forklift-operations/>
38. Construction safety matters: The importance of safe lifting - Christensen Group Insurance, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.christensengroup.com/article/construction-safety-matters-the-importance-of-safe-lifting>

39. 1926.753 - Hoisting and rigging. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926.753>
40. 1926.1425 - Keeping clear of the load. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926.1425>
41. Suspended Loads: A Guide to Safety and Compliance -, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://pschandsfree.com/suspended-loads-a-guide-to-safety-and-compliance/>
42. Guidance on Safe Sling Use - Introduction | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/safe-sling-use>
43. 29 CFR 1926.251 -- Rigging equipment for material handling. - eCFR, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-29/subtitle-B/chapter-XVII/part-1926/subpart-H/section-1926.251>
44. 4995. Riding Loads on Derricks, Hoists, or Cranes. - California Department of Industrial Relations - CA.gov, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.dir.ca.gov/title8/4995.html>
45. 30 CFR § 56.16011 - Riding hoisted loads or on the hoist hook. - Law.Cornell.Edu, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/30/56.16011>
46. Essential ASME B30 Compliance Guide for Crane Operators - Air Technical Industries, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://airtechnical.com/asme-b30-compliance-what-every-crane-operator-needs-to-know/>
47. ASME B30 - All Volumes of the Safety Standard - The ANSI Blog, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://blog.ansi.org/ansi/asme-b30-volumes-safety-standard-lifting-equipment/>
48. B30.26 - Rigging Hardware - ASME, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.asme.org/codes-standards/find-codes-standards/b30-26-rigging-hardware>
49. Crane Risk and Loss Root Causes: Improper Rigging, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://simscrane.com/crane-risk-and-loss-root-causes-improper-rigging/>
50. Hand-Me-Down Rigging Knowledge? - Crane Tech, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.cranetech.com/blog/hand-me-down-rigging/>
51. The Psychology of Risk-Taking in OHS Construction - Accelerate Management School, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://acceleratemanagementschool.co.za/health-and-safety/the-psychology-of-risk-taking-in-ohs-construction/>
52. Construction Worker Risk-Taking Behavior Model with Individual and Organizational Factors, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/16/8/1335>
53. Linking emotion to risk-taking behaviours of construction workers: Appraisal-tendency framework - E3S Web of Conferences, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/pdf/2024/76/e3sconf\\_icogb2024](https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/pdf/2024/76/e3sconf_icogb2024)

4\_02008.pdf

54. Basic Rigging Safety | Article - BIS Safety Software, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://trainanddevelop.ca/blog/basic-rigging-safety/>
55. What are the Different Types of Rigging Equipment You Should know?, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://hazwoper-osha.com/blog-post/what-are-the-different-types-of-rigging-equipment-you-should-know>
56. The Ultimate Guide to Slings for Rigging: 4 Essential Types Explained for 2025, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://julislings.com/the-ultimate-guide-to-slings-for-rigging-4-essential-types-explained-for-2025-article/>
57. Selecting the Right Rigging Slings: A Technical Overview - Holloway Houston, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.hhilifting.com/en/news/post/ultimate-guide-choosing-rigging-slings>
58. Basic Web Sling Types - CERTEX USA, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.certex.com/web-slings/basic-web-sling-types/>
59. Rigging Terms: A Rigging Equipment and Lifting Glossary - Huyett, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.huyett.com/blog/rigging-terms>
60. Materials Handling - Use of Shackles - CCOHS, accessed October 15, 2025, [https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/safety\\_haz/materials\\_handling/shackles.html](https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/safety_haz/materials_handling/shackles.html)
61. How to Find the Right Hook Latch - Whitelaw Rigging, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://whitelawrigging.com/blogs/news/how-to-find-the-right-hook-latch>
62. The Importance of Rigging in Construction - Bobcat Contracting L.L.C, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://bobcatcontracting.com/the-importance-of-rigging-in-construction/>
63. Safety Latches for Hooks - Gravity Works Best for Hoist Hook Latches on Your Crane, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.engineeredlifting.com/blog/safety-latches-for-hooks/>
64. Requirement for a safety latch on a sling hook depends on the activity for which the sling is being used. - OSHA, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/standardinterpretations/2006-01-10-0>
65. What is an Overhead Crane?: Types, Components, and Terminology | Blog, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.amquipinc.com/what-is-an-overhead-crane/>
66. Overhead Crane parts | Bridge Crane Components and equipment - Crosby Airpes, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.airpes.com/crane-components-systems-overhead-crane-parts/>
67. Anatomy of an Overhead Crane - Hoist UK, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.hoistuk.com/anatomy-overhead-crane/>
68. PARTS OF AN OVERHEAD CRANE - Konecranes, accessed October 15, 2025, [https://www.konecranes.com/sites/default/files/download/craneterminologygraphic\\_konecranes\\_dec2017.pdf](https://www.konecranes.com/sites/default/files/download/craneterminologygraphic_konecranes_dec2017.pdf)
69. Overhead Bridge Crane Components, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.munckcranes.com/guides/bridge-crane-components/>
70. Overhead crane terminology | Konecranes, accessed October 15, 2025,

<https://www.konecranes.com/discover/overhead-crane-terminology>

71. Different Types of Hoists: What They Are and How to Use - WH Scott, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://whscottlifting.com/blog/different-types-of-hoists/>
72. Overview, Applications and Considerations of Electric Hoists - IQS Directory, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.iqsdirectory.com/articles/electric-hoist.html>
73. Robotic & Automated Material Handling Solutions, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.jrautomation.com/solutions/material-handling>
74. 1926.251 - Rigging equipment for material handling. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926.251>
75. The Complete Checklist for Successful Lifting Shackles Inspection - Holloway Houston, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.hhilifting.com/en/news/post/checklist-for-successful-lifting-shackles-inspection>
76. Shackle Inspection Checklist: Six Items to Inspect Before Use | Columbus McKinnon, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.cmco.com/en-us/resources/blog/shackle-inspection-checklist-six-items-to-inspect-before-use/>
77. 29 CFR 1926.1419 -- Signals—general requirements. - eCFR, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-29 subtitle-B chapter-XVII part-1926 subpart-C section-1926.1419>
78. 1926.1419 - Signals--general requirements. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926.1419>
79. Qualified Signal Person OSHA Requirements - Western National Insurance, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.wnins.com/print/QualifiedSignalPerson.pdf>
80. Rigging.com, a Toolwell Company: Safety First: A Guide to Rigging Hand Signals, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.rigging.com/safety-first-a-guide-to-rigging-hand-signals.html>
81. 1926.1422 - Signals--hand signal chart. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926.1422>
82. 1926 Subpart CC App A - Standard Hand Signals | Occupational ..., accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926SubpartCCAppA>
83. Working Under the Hook? Part 1 - Crane Tech, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.cranetech.com/blog/under-hook-pt1/>
84. Suspended Load Safety | PDF | Crane (Machine) - Scribd, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/doc/41996114/Suspended-Load-Safety>
85. Working Safely Around Cranes - Blog - Scott-Macon Equipment, accessed October 15, 2025,

- [https://blog.smequipment.com/blog/working-safely-around-cranes?hs\\_amp=true](https://blog.smequipment.com/blog/working-safely-around-cranes?hs_amp=true)
86. Safety Tips for Working Under Suspended Loads, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<http://crosscountryis.com/pdf/safety-Safety%20Tips%20for%20Working%20Under%20Suspended%20Loads.pdf>
87. Your Complete Guide to Rigging Services - Equip Trucking, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.equiptrucking.com/blog/your-complete-guide-to-rigging-services/>
88. Occupational Safety and Health Admin., Labor § 1926.1417 - GovInfo, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title29-vol8/pdf/CFR-2012-title29-vol8-sec1926-1417.pdf>
89. 1926.1417 - Operation. | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.osha.gov/laws-regulations/standardnumber/1926/1926.1417>
90. Toolbox Talk: Tag Line - When to Use Or Not - Garco Construction, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.garco.com/toolbox-talk-tag-line-when-to-use-or-not/>
91. What is a Tagline? - Ashley Sling, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://ashleysling.com/what-is-a-tagline/>
92. Tips for Taglines | Training Tuesday - Hercules Lifting, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.herculeslifting.com/blogs/news/tips-for-taglines-training-tuesday>
93. The Critical Role of Taglines In Rigging - Engineered Lifting Technologies, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.eltlift.com/critical-role-of-taglines-in-rigging/>
94. 10 Important Safety Tips to Avoid Pinch Point Hazards, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.safety-international.com/posts/pinch-point-hazards/>
95. Preventing Pinch Point Injuries on Construction Sites - Paulson Coletti, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.paulsoncoletti.com/preventing-pinch-point-injuries-on-construction-sites/>
96. Pinch Points and Body Position - Perennial environmental services, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://perennialenv.com/news/pinch-points-and-body-position>
97. Rigging - OSHA, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/rigging\\_ships.pdf](https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/rigging_ships.pdf)
98. Rigging Equipment | Everything You Should Know - Hovair Systems, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://www.hovair.com/rigging-equipment-everything-you-should-know/>
99. Wire Rope Rigging Safety Standards You Should Know, accessed October 15, 2025,  
<https://southeastrigging.com/wire-rope-rigging-safety-standards-you-should-know/>
100. Accident Report Detail | Occupational Safety and Health Administration osha.gov, accessed October 15, 2025,  
[https://www.osha.gov/ords/imis/accidentsearch.accident\\_detail?id=133882.015](https://www.osha.gov/ords/imis/accidentsearch.accident_detail?id=133882.015)

101. Construction Incidents Investigation Engineering Reports ... - OSHA, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/construction/engineering/search>
102. Investigation of the February 5, 2016 Crane Collapse at 40 Worth Street, New York, NY - OSHA, accessed October 15, 2025, [https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/2016\\_r\\_04.pdf](https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/2016_r_04.pdf)
103. Construction Incidents Investigation Engineering Reports | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/construction/engineering>
104. eTool : Construction - Struck-By | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, accessed October 15, 2025, <https://www.osha.gov/etools/construction/struck-by>