Project Psyche: Three Futures for Humanity's Greatest Treasure

Introduction: The $10 Quintillion Question

Out in the cold, silent expanse of the main asteroid belt, between the orbits of Mars and Jupiter, a NASA spacecraft is on a multi-year, 2.2-billion-mile journey.[1] Launched in October 2023, the Psyche orbiter is not just another scientific probe; it is a harbinger, humanity's first reconnaissance mission to a treasure chest so vast it challenges our very concepts of wealth, scarcity, and power.[3] Its destination is 16 Psyche, an object roughly 140 miles (226 kilometers) in diameter, believed to be the exposed metallic core of an ancient, shattered protoplanet.[5] This metal world is a mirror, and in its gleaming, cratered surface, we can see the reflection of three profoundly different futures for our species.

The central inquiry of our time is shifting from the terrestrial to the celestial. The question is no longer simply *if* we can reach these new worlds, but *how* we will behave when we do. The potential recovery of resources from 16 Psyche presents humanity with a stark choice, a branching path into the future. This analysis will explore the divergent economic and production outcomes that arise from three distinct models for this grand undertaking:

First, the **Competitive Model**, a race for riches where a single nation-state or a powerful private corporation seizes the prize, mirroring the zero-sum games of our past.

Second, the **Global Cooperative Model**, a planned consortium for humanity, where nations band together to manage this new wealth for the collective good, an ideal we often strive for but rarely achieve.

And third, the **Global Commons Model**, a post-scarcity dawn, a future enabled by radical technological advancement where access to these resources becomes decentralized and universal, a future we can currently barely imagine.

Before delving into these scenarios, it is essential to address the headline-grabbing figure that has ignited the public imagination: the valuation of 16 Psyche at $10,000 quadrillion, or $10 quintillion.[5] This number, first calculated by the mission's principal investigator, Linda

Elkins-Tanton, was based on the 2017 market prices of the metals presumed to make up the asteroid.[5] However, as she herself clarifies, this was a "fun exercise!" with a critical caveat: if we had the technology to bring Psyche's resources to Earth, "the abundance of its metal would immediately render metals valueless on the markets".[5] This establishes the central economic paradox of Psyche: its terrestrial market value is inversely proportional to its availability. The more you bring back, the less it is worth.

Yet, this economically nonsensical figure serves a powerful psychological and political purpose. A number so large it dwarfs the entire global economy (estimated at around $100 trillion) acts as a potent catalyst.[7] It captures public attention, justifies the immense research and development costs associated with such a venture, and fuels a sense of urgency and immense perceived stakes.[9] In this way, the "$10 quintillion" valuation is not an economic projection but a geopolitical tool. By framing Psyche as the ultimate prize to be won, it primes policymakers and the public for a competitive mindset, making the first of our three scenarios—the race—the default path. The very language we use to describe Psyche's value is already shaping which future becomes our reality.

Part I: The Nature of the Prize – Understanding 16 Psyche

To comprehend the monumental scale of the opportunity and the challenge, we must first understand the target itself. 16 Psyche is not merely a distant point of light; it is a physical world with unique characteristics that will dictate the engineering, economic, and ultimately political realities of any recovery effort.

A Journey to a Metal World

The mission to Psyche is a testament to the immense logistical hurdles involved in deep-space operations. The asteroid orbits the Sun at a distance ranging from 235 million to 309 million miles (378 million to 497 million kilometers), roughly three times farther from the Sun than Earth.[4] The NASA spacecraft, after its launch, is undertaking a six-year voyage to rendezvous with the asteroid in 2029.[1] A key maneuver in this journey is a gravity-assist flyby of Mars in May 2026, where the spacecraft will use the Red Planet's gravitational pull to slingshot itself onto a faster, more efficient trajectory toward the asteroid belt.[1] This long and complex journey underscores the enormous energy and time costs inherent in any mission to Psyche,

factors that will weigh heavily on the economic viability calculations of any recovery model.[11]

Physically, 16 Psyche is a colossal object. It has an irregular, potato-like shape with dimensions estimated at 173 miles (280 kilometers) by 144 miles (232 kilometers).[4] Its mass is estimated to be around

2.29×1019 kg, containing approximately one percent of the total mass of the entire asteroid belt.[6] This sheer scale is what makes the resource potential so staggering; it is not a small rock but a minor world unto itself.

The most compelling aspect of Psyche is its composition, though this is a subject of evolving scientific understanding. The initial and most popular theory is that Psyche is the exposed nickel-iron core of a protoplanet—a planetary building block that was stripped of its rocky outer layers through violent collisions billions of years ago during the formation of the solar system.[3] This would make it a unique window into the processes that formed Earth's own core, which lies forever beyond our direct reach.[3]

However, more recent data has complicated this picture. Early estimates suggested a very high density, consistent with solid metal. But newer measurements place its bulk density at around 3.977±0.253 grams per cubic centimeter (g/cm3).[6] This is significantly less than the density of solid iron-nickel, which is about

7.9 g/cm3.[6] This discrepancy suggests that Psyche is not a solid metallic body. One study, led by David Cantillo, proposes that the asteroid is more of a "rubble pile"—a porous agglomeration of materials—composed of approximately 82.5% metal, 7% low-iron pyroxene (rock), and 10.5% carbonaceous chondrite material, with an overall porosity of about 35%.[14] Further complicating the picture, observations have detected the presence of hydroxyl ions, which may indicate hydrated silicates on its surface, likely delivered by past impacts from smaller, water-bearing asteroids.[6]

This scientific debate between a "solid core" and a "rubble pile" is not merely academic; it has profound implications for the technological and political future of its exploitation. The "solid core" model implies a need for tremendously complex and energy-intensive mining technologies. It would require machinery capable of drilling, blasting, and smelting in the vacuum and microgravity of space—an engineering challenge of the highest order.[11] Such a high technological barrier would naturally favor large, well-funded, centralized entities, whether they be state-sponsored agencies or multinational corporations. This technological reality would strongly reinforce the Competitive model, as only a few players would have the capability to even attempt such a project.

Conversely, the "rubble pile" theory opens the door to entirely different, and potentially more accessible, extraction methods. Instead of brute-force mining, one could envision a process of disassembly. A swarm of simpler, autonomous robots—"cobots"—could be deployed to

systematically take the asteroid apart, piece by piece. These robots could use techniques like magnetic separation to sort metallic fragments from silicate rock, a far less energy-intensive process than smelting. This "disassembly" model is more scalable, more adaptable, and potentially far more decentralized. It significantly lowers the technological barrier to entry. This makes the Global Commons scenario, where "Earth people robots and cobots" can participate in the recovery, far more technologically plausible. The true geological nature of Psyche, which the NASA mission aims to determine, could therefore be a primary factor in deciding its political future. A solid core favors centralized power; a porous rubble pile could enable decentralized access.

The True Value: A Tale of Two Economies

Revisiting the concept of Psyche's value, it becomes clear that we must analyze it through two distinct economic lenses, each leading to a different conclusion about its worth and a different model for its use.

The first is the **Terrestrial Market Perspective**. In this view, the value of Psyche's resources is determined by their potential price on Earth's commodity markets. The asteroid is believed to be rich in iron and nickel, but also contains smaller amounts of highly valuable elements like cobalt, platinum, gold, and other platinum-group metals.[5] Based on current prices, the total value is astronomical. However, this perspective is fundamentally flawed by the problem of market flooding. The terrestrial mineral economy is currently valued at approximately $660 billion.[9] Introducing even a tiny fraction of Psyche's reserves—which may contain more platinum, for example, than has ever been mined in human history—would cause the price of these commodities to collapse.[17] This would not only make the mining venture itself unprofitable but would also economically devastate developing nations whose economies are heavily dependent on the export of these very minerals.[9] Any model focused on returning materials to Earth for profit must therefore grapple with this self-defeating paradox.

The second, and far more transformative, perspective is that of **In-Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU)**. In this model, the primary value of Psyche's resources lies not in bringing them down Earth's deep and costly gravity well, but in using them *in space*.[11] From this viewpoint, the economic logic is completely inverted. The iron and nickel are not commodities to be sold on Earth; they are the steel girders for constructing massive orbital habitats, space stations, and zero-gravity manufacturing facilities. The potential water, locked in hydrated silicates, can be processed into liquid hydrogen and oxygen, the most potent chemical rocket fuel we know.[11] This would create refueling depots in space, eliminating the need to launch heavy fuel from Earth. The value of Psyche's resources, in the ISRU paradigm, is measured not in dollars per ton, but in the radical reduction of launch costs and the enabling of a truly self-sustaining,

expansionary space economy.[18]

This distinction between destinations is the pivot upon which the three future scenarios turn. The Competitive and Cooperative models are both inherently tied to the terrestrial economy. Their primary goal is to control the flow of resources *to Earth*—either to generate profit for a single entity or to distribute wealth among nations. This forces them to confront and manage the market-flooding problem, which, as we will see, inevitably leads to policies of artificial scarcity and centralized control.

The ISRU paradigm, however, completely decouples the value of Psyche from Earth's markets. If the primary objective is to build a robust space-based infrastructure, the economic logic flips 180 degrees. You no longer want scarcity; you want maximum production and availability of materials. This goal aligns perfectly with the Global Commons model, where the objective is not profit, but universal access to the building blocks of a new civilization in space. The ultimate economic outcome, therefore, depends less on what the asteroid is made of and more on the destination of its resources. If the destination is Earth, the future will be defined by scarcity and control. If the destination is a new space economy, the future could be one of abundance and utility.

Part II: The Competitive Model – A Race for Riches

The first and perhaps most historically probable scenario is one of intense competition. In this model, the recovery of Psyche's resources is not a collaborative human endeavor but a high-stakes race, the ultimate expression of great power competition in the 21st century.

Scenario Deep Dive: A State or Corporate-Led Mission

This scenario envisions a mission architected and executed by a single, powerful entity. This could be a space-faring nation like the United States or China, or a corporate behemoth with the capital and technological prowess to undertake what would be the largest and most expensive engineering project in human history. The costs would be staggering, encompassing research and development, exploration, prospecting, and the construction of a vast industrial infrastructure in deep space, likely running into the trillions of dollars.[9]

The legal framework for such a unilateral venture would be built upon a specific and controversial interpretation of existing international space law. The foundational 1967 Outer Space Treaty, in its Article II, clearly prohibits "national appropriation by claim of sovereignty,

by means of use or occupation, or by any other means".[19] This was intended to prevent any single country from claiming celestial bodies like the Moon or an asteroid as its own territory. However, the treaty is ambiguous on whether this prohibition extends to the

extraction and ownership of resources from those bodies.[19]

Exploiting this ambiguity, nations have begun to enact domestic legislation. The United States led the way with the Space Resource Exploration and Utilization Act of 2015, which grants U.S. citizens the right to own, transport, and sell any asteroid resources they obtain.[22] This has been followed by similar laws in countries like Luxembourg, the UAE, and Japan.[21] Furthermore, the U.S.-led Artemis Accords, a series of bilateral agreements for lunar exploration, explicitly state that "the extraction of space resources does not inherently constitute national appropriation under Article II of the Outer Space Treaty".[21] A state or corporation undertaking a mission to Psyche would use this patchwork of national laws and limited bilateral agreements as its legal justification, arguing that while it does not claim sovereignty over the asteroid itself, it has the right to own the materials it extracts.

Economic Outcomes: The Resource Curse Revisited (The Spanish Empire Analogy)

To understand the likely economic consequences for the nation or corporation that "wins" this race, we need only look back to the 16th century and the fate of the Spanish Empire after its conquest of the New World. Spain was flooded with unprecedented quantities of gold and silver, a windfall that, paradoxically, contributed to its long-term economic decline.[23] This historical parallel provides a powerful cautionary tale.

The first effect would be a massive inflationary shock, a modern "Price Revolution".[26] The influx of American treasure dramatically increased the money supply in Spain, causing the prices of domestic goods to skyrocket.[23] Similarly, the entity controlling Psyche's resources would possess an unimaginable hoard of valuable metals. If even a fraction of this wealth were repatriated or monetized, it could trigger severe inflation in the markets for those specific commodities, potentially destabilizing the entity's own currency and economy.

This leads to the second, more insidious effect, often termed "Dutch Disease" or the resource curse. With its newfound bullion, 16th-century Spain found it easier to buy goods from other countries than to produce them at home. As a result, its domestic industries, such as textiles, atrophied and could not compete with more efficient producers in England and elsewhere.[23] The winner of the Psyche race would face the exact same peril. Why invest in the difficult and costly work of terrestrial manufacturing, research, and innovation when you can simply

purchase anything you desire with the proceeds from asteroidal platinum and gold? This would lead to a hollowing out of the domestic productive economy, creating a glittering but fragile economy entirely dependent on a single, extraterrestrial source of wealth.

The third parallel is the cycle of debt and military expenditure. The Spanish Crown did not invest its American treasure in roads, infrastructure, or productive enterprises. Instead, it was squandered on financing endless religious and imperial wars across Europe and on building a massive military apparatus to defend its treasure fleets from rivals and pirates.[24] The entity controlling Psyche would face immense pressure to do the same. Its claim would be under constant threat from other powers. A huge portion of its newfound wealth would have to be diverted into creating and maintaining military dominance in space to protect its mining operations, transport vessels, and the asteroid itself. This would create a vicious cycle of military spending and debt, rather than fostering long-term, sustainable economic growth.

Production Outcomes: A Monopoly on the Solar System (The De Beers Analogy)

Faced with the disastrous economic precedent of the Spanish Empire, a rational corporate or state actor would realize that unleashing the full productive capacity of Psyche would be self-destructive. To avoid crashing global markets and devaluing their own asset, they would be forced to adopt a strategy of artificial scarcity. The best historical analogue for this is not a nation, but a corporation: De Beers.

Beginning in 1888, De Beers consolidated diamond mines across South Africa and established a global monopoly that, for much of the 20th century, controlled 80-85% of the world's rough diamond supply.[28] Their business model was not to maximize production, but to carefully manage it. They created a cartel, the Diamond Syndicate, which would purchase a fixed quantity of diamonds at an agreed price, thereby regulating output to maintain price stability.[28] De Beers understood that the value of diamonds was largely a social construct, not one of intrinsic utility. They reinforced this with one of the most successful marketing campaigns in history, linking diamonds to love and eternity with the slogan "A Diamond is Forever," thereby manufacturing immense and inelastic demand.[29]

The owner of Psyche's resources would be compelled to create a "Metals Syndicate" on a planetary scale. They could not simply dump quintillions of tons of nickel or thousands of tons of platinum onto the market. Instead, they would have to carefully control the floodgates, releasing only a trickle of material each year—just enough to generate enormous profits without disrupting the price structure they depend on. Production would be deliberately and systematically throttled.

The outcome of this model, therefore, would not be an era of post-scarcity and abundance for humanity. It would be the opposite: the creation of the most powerful and centralized monopoly in history. The controlling entity would dictate the global price and availability of the most strategic industrial materials. They would decide which nations receive access to the building blocks of modern technology and at what cost. This would grant them an unprecedented tool of economic and political leverage, capable of rewarding allies and crippling adversaries with the flick of a switch.

Geopolitical Fallout: The Ultimate Great Power Competition

The race to claim Psyche and the subsequent establishment of a monopoly would fundamentally reshape international relations, ushering in an era of "astro-geopolitics".[30] The competition for space resources would become the central organizing principle of global power dynamics, much like the competition for sea lanes and colonial territories defined previous centuries.[32]

This would inevitably lead to the full weaponization of space. The immense value of the Psyche mining operation would necessitate a massive military presence to defend it. This would go far beyond the current state of military space assets, which are primarily for surveillance and communication.[32] We would see the development and deployment of dedicated space combat forces, orbital weapons platforms, and sophisticated anti-satellite (ASAT) capabilities designed to threaten the space infrastructure of rivals.[34] The space domain would transform from a scientific and commercial frontier into a military one, triggering a costly and destabilizing arms race that would make the Cold War look modest by comparison.

Ultimately, this model leads to a new form of colonialism. The nation or corporation that controls the resources of Psyche effectively controls the industrial future of the solar system. They would hold the keys to large-scale in-space manufacturing and construction. Other nations, lacking access to this off-world bounty, would be relegated to a state of dependency.[34] They would be the colonies of the 22nd century, reliant on the new space-faring metropole for the raw materials essential for economic growth and technological advancement. This dynamic would create a profound and potentially permanent division in human society, between the space-haves and the space-have-nots, locking in a future of inequality and simmering conflict.

Part III: The Global Cooperative Model – A Consortium

for Humanity

As a direct counterpoint to the conflict-driven Competitive model, we can envision a future based on international cooperation. In this scenario, humanity recognizes the immense potential and peril of Psyche and decides to manage its resources not as a prize to be won, but as a shared heritage to be developed for the benefit of all.

Scenario Deep Dive: A United Nations of Space

This model would begin with the formation of a new global body, perhaps an evolution of the existing United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS) or the Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA).[34] This "Psyche Authority" would be granted the mandate to oversee the exploration, recovery, and distribution of the asteroid's resources. Its creation would necessitate a new, comprehensive international treaty that moves beyond the ambiguities of the 1967 Outer Space Treaty.[19] This new treaty would explicitly define space resources as the "province of all mankind" not just in principle, but in practice, and would establish clear rules for a shared recovery effort, superseding any unilateral national laws like the U.S. Space Act.[22]

Funding for this colossal undertaking would not come from a single source but from a consortium of member nations, with contributions scaled according to economic capacity, similar to the funding models for the United Nations or CERN. Technological development would also be a shared effort, pooling the expertise of NASA, ESA, Roscosmos, the CNSA, and other space agencies, as well as private aerospace partners from around the world. The mission architecture would resemble an vastly expanded version of the International Space Station program—a symbol of global scientific and engineering collaboration.

Economic Outcomes: Managing the Windfall (The North Sea Oil Analogy)

Once the resources begin to flow, the central challenge for this global consortium would be managing the immense new wealth without causing the very economic disruptions it was designed to prevent. The historical discovery of North Sea oil in the 1960s and 70s provides a

fascinating case study with two divergent paths that the Psyche Authority could follow.[37]

The first path is analogous to the **United Kingdom's approach**. The UK government largely treated its oil revenue as a windfall to be used for immediate needs. The money flowed into the general treasury, funding current government spending, subsidizing industries, and enabling tax cuts.[40] While this provided a short-term economic boost, it created little lasting structural benefit. When oil production peaked in 1999 and began its long decline, the revenue stream dried up, leaving the UK to face the post-oil transition without a dedicated financial cushion.[40] If the Psyche Authority were to adopt this model, it would distribute the proceeds from resource sales directly to member states for their immediate budgetary use. This would likely trigger a temporary global economic boom, but it would be an unsustainable one. It would create a global dependency on the asteroid's output, and when production eventually slowed or faced disruption, the world would experience a severe economic bust.

The second, more prudent path is the **Norwegian model**. From the outset, Norway made a conscious decision to treat its oil wealth as a long-term national asset. Instead of spending the revenue immediately, it channeled it into what has become the world's largest sovereign wealth fund.[42] This fund invests the proceeds globally, generating returns that provide a permanent source of income for the Norwegian state, completely independent of current oil production levels. It is a legacy for future generations, designed to last long after the last drop of North Sea oil is extracted.

A Global Cooperative consortium could adopt this far-sighted approach by creating a "Humanity Heritage Fund." The proceeds from the carefully managed sale of Psyche's resources would not be distributed for immediate consumption. Instead, they would be invested to create a permanent endowment for the human species. The returns from this fund could be used to finance solutions to our most pressing global challenges: funding the transition to a sustainable energy grid, combating climate change, eradicating poverty and disease, and financing universal education and healthcare. This model would manage the influx of wealth to prevent economic shocks and would transform a finite resource into a perpetual legacy for all humanity.

Production Outcomes: A Centrally Planned Space Economy

Under this model, production and distribution would not be dictated by the whims of the market but by a centrally planned global strategy. The Psyche Authority would oversee the entire process, from extraction and on-site refining to allocation. The goal would not be to maximize profit but to maximize human welfare.

For instance, the allocation of resources could be based on global need. Vast quantities of

cobalt and nickel could be provided at low cost to developing nations to help them build out their renewable energy infrastructure and battery storage capacity, accelerating the global green transition.[9] Iron and other bulk metals would not be wastefully returned to Earth but would be designated for approved in-situ construction projects, such as building the next generation of scientific outposts or the infrastructure for solar power satellites.

The greatest weakness of this model, however, is the immense challenge of implementation. A centrally planned global economy for all strategic metals would be an undertaking of unprecedented complexity. The consortium would risk becoming a crippling bureaucracy, slow to make decisions and inefficient in its operations. It would be highly susceptible to political infighting, with nations constantly lobbying and maneuvering for larger allocation quotas or preferential treatment. The dream of rational, equitable distribution could easily devolve into a nightmare of gridlock and political paralysis, stifling the very progress it was meant to enable.

Geopolitical Dynamics: The Fragility of Trust (The Game Theory Analogy)

The long-term stability of the Global Cooperative model rests on a foundation of international trust, a historically fragile commodity. The core geopolitical tension can be perfectly illustrated by a classic concept from game theory: the **Prisoner's Dilemma**.[43]

In this model, two prisoners, held separately, are offered a deal. If both remain silent (cooperate with each other), they both receive a short sentence. If one betrays the other (defects) while the other stays silent, the defector goes free, and the silent one receives a very long sentence. If both betray each other, they both receive a medium-length sentence. The paradox is that while the best *collective* outcome is for both to cooperate, the best *individual* strategy for each prisoner, regardless of what the other does, is to defect.

The nations within the Psyche consortium would face a similar dilemma.[44] The best collective outcome for humanity is for all major space powers to cooperate fully within the consortium, sharing technology, funding, and resources. However, for any single powerful nation, there is a powerful incentive to cheat. The best individual outcome for that nation might be to participate in the consortium, benefiting from the shared investment in building the massive deep-space infrastructure, while secretly developing its own proprietary mining technology or military capability. Once the shared infrastructure is in place and the technology is mature, that nation could then defect from the consortium, seize control of the asteroid, and secure all future benefits for itself.

This inherent instability suggests that the Global Cooperative model may not be a final, sustainable destination. It requires a level of global trust, transparency, and enforcement that

has never been achieved in human history. It is more likely to be a precarious, intermediate state. If the bonds of trust hold and the cooperative framework succeeds in fostering technological and social progress, it could serve as a bridge, paving the way for the even more advanced Global Commons model. However, if the consortium fails—if it succumbs to internal conflict or a powerful member defects—it will collapse back into the Competitive model. The resulting conflict would be even more dangerous than a race from the start, as all parties would now possess the advanced technology developed under the guise of cooperation. The Cooperative model, therefore, is not an endpoint but a critical, knife-edge test of humanity's maturity.

Part IV: The Global Commons Model – A Post-Scarcity Dawn

The final scenario is the most speculative, yet it is the one most deeply rooted in the trajectory of technological progress. It envisions a future where the challenges of accessing and utilizing Psyche's resources are not solved by political treaties or corporate monopolies, but are rendered moot by radical breakthroughs in automation, artificial intelligence, and energy production.

Scenario Deep Dive: The End of Scarcity

This model presupposes a technological landscape far beyond our own. It is a future where space resource collection is no longer the domain of multi-trillion-dollar, state-led mega-projects, but has become cheap, efficient, and universally accessible.[17] Imagine not a single, massive mining operation, but vast swarms of small, intelligent, self-replicating autonomous robots—"cobots"—that can be manufactured at low cost. In this scenario, any small nation, university, cooperative, or even a sufficiently motivated group of individuals with the right open-source software could deploy their own robotic workforce to Psyche or other asteroids.

The legal framework would not be one of ownership or control, but of open access and protocols, much like the early architecture of the internet. The resources of Psyche would be treated as a true global commons, not to be owned or hoarded, but to be freely accessed by anyone with the technological means to do so. This is not a political choice imposed from the top down, but an emergent reality driven by the bottom-up democratization of technology.

Economic Outcomes: The Collapse of Price and the Obsolescence of Money (The Tulip Mania Analogy in Reverse)

To grasp the profound economic shift this model would trigger, we can look to the historical anomaly of the Dutch Tulip Mania in the 1630s, but see its perfect inversion.[45] Tulip Mania was a classic speculative bubble where an asset with little intrinsic value—a flower bulb—was driven to an absurdly high price through social frenzy and speculation, before the bubble inevitably burst and the price collapsed.[47]

The Global Commons model would trigger an "abundance crash," the precise opposite phenomenon. It would be a price collapse caused not by a loss of confidence, but by the arrival of a near-infinite supply. When any entity can freely access and retrieve quintillions of tons of iron, nickel, cobalt, and platinum, the market price for these raw materials would instantly and permanently fall to zero, or to the negligible cost of robotic retrieval and transport.[15]

This event would fundamentally break our current global economic system, which for ten thousand years has been predicated on the management of scarcity. Money, in its role as a medium for exchanging scarce goods and services, would become obsolete for the entire category of raw materials. The very concept of wealth as an accumulation of valuable materials would dissolve. The economy would be forced to transform from a monetary system to a production-and-energy system. The defining economic questions would no longer be, "Can we afford the materials?" or "What is the market price?" They would become, "What can we build?" and "Do we have the energy and the production capacity to build it?"

Production Outcomes: From Extraction to Creation

With limitless raw materials available in-situ and at virtually no cost, the focus of human industry would shift entirely. The constraints that have bound our engineering ambitions for all of history would be lifted. Production would be geared toward massive, civilization-scale projects that have long been the exclusive domain of science fiction.[15]

This would be the era of mega-scale engineering. Vast O'Neill cylinders—rotating orbital habitats capable of housing millions of people in Earth-like environments—could be constructed using asteroidal iron and silicates. Solar power satellites, miles in diameter, could be assembled in orbit to capture sunlight and beam limitless clean energy down to Earth,

solving the climate crisis and powering the next stage of civilization.[10] Fleets of interstellar probes, built from materials that were never launched from Earth, could be dispatched to explore neighboring star systems.

A direct and immediate consequence would be the end of terrestrial mining. The devastating environmental and human costs of mining on Earth—from the toxic chemical runoff that poisons waterways to the horrific child labor practices in cobalt mines in places like the Democratic Republic of Congo—would cease to be necessary.[9] Heavy industry could be moved entirely off-planet, allowing Earth's scarred landscapes to heal. The planet could be transformed from a source of raw materials into a protected preserve, the cherished homeworld of a species that has learned to draw its sustenance from the dead rocks of space rather than the living tissue of its own biosphere.

Social and Political Transformation: Beyond the Nation-State

The societal shifts triggered by this model would be even more profound than the economic and industrial ones. For millennia, the primary driver of geopolitical conflict, from tribal warfare to global empires, has been competition over scarce resources—fertile land, water, minerals, and energy.[34] The Global Commons model removes this fundamental driver from the equation of human affairs. When resources are no longer a zero-sum game, the core logic that underpins nationalism and nation-state competition begins to dissolve.

This would necessitate the creation of a new social contract. If the material foundations of civilization—energy, raw materials, and eventually manufactured goods—are provided for through automated production from abundant resources, the nature of human labor, purpose, and value would be completely redefined. With survival and material comfort no longer the primary occupations of humanity, the focus of society could shift toward the things that cannot be automated: scientific exploration, artistic creation, philosophical inquiry, and personal and spiritual development. This is undoubtedly a utopian vision, and it carries its own set of complex challenges regarding human purpose and meaning in a post-labor world. But it is the logical endpoint of true and lasting resource abundance.

Conclusion: Choosing a Future in the Stars

The journey of the Psyche spacecraft is more than a mission to a distant asteroid; it is a journey to a crossroads in human destiny. We have explored three divergent futures that could

unfold from the recovery of its immense resources, each a reflection of a different aspect of our own nature: our competitiveness, our capacity for cooperation, and our potential to transcend old limits through technology.

A summary of these paths reveals the starkness of the choice before us. Let us first consider the primary economic driver that animates each model. In the **Competitive model**, the driver is singular and familiar: profit and control, a paradigm of managed scarcity mirroring the strategy of the De Beers diamond monopoly. In stark contrast, the **Global Cooperative model** is driven by the ideals of equity and stability, attempting to manage the windfall for the collective good, much as Norway did with its sovereign wealth fund for oil. Finally, the **Global Commons model** transcends these concepts entirely; its driver is pure utility and creation, where the fundamental question is not "What is it worth?" but "What can we build with it?".

These drivers lead to vastly different modes of resource distribution. The Competitive model results in resources being hoarded and kept artificially scarce to maintain high prices. The Cooperative model envisions a centrally planned system where resources are allocated based on a global consensus of need and strategic importance. The Commons model, enabled by technology, results in open access and true abundance, where resources are available to any who can retrieve them.

The impact of each model on our terrestrial economy is equally distinct. The Competitive model risks market disruption and a debilitating "resource curse" for the victor, echoing the fate of 16th-century Spain. The Cooperative model offers a managed transition, using a global wealth fund to buffer economic shocks and create a sustainable legacy. The Commons model represents a complete paradigm shift, leading to the obsolescence of commodity markets and a fundamental reordering of our economic system away from scarcity.

Finally, each path leads to a different geopolitical reality. The Competitive model culminates in intensified great power conflict and a dangerous arms race in space. The Cooperative model relies on a fragile global alliance, a system whose stability is constantly threatened by the incentive for powerful members to defect. The Commons model points toward a future where the traditional drivers of nation-state competition have become irrelevant, potentially paving the way for new forms of global governance.

It is crucial to recognize that the path we take is not preordained by technology alone; it will be determined by the legal, ethical, and political choices we make in the coming years. The current ambiguity of the Outer Space Treaty, coupled with the rise of unilateral national space mining laws, is already creating a powerful inertia, pushing us by default down the Competitive path.[21] To steer toward a more cooperative or abundant future will require a conscious and concerted global effort to establish a new framework for the space age.

In the end, the true value of 16 Psyche is not the $10 quintillion worth of metal it may hold. Its true value lies in the profound choice it forces humanity to make about its own future. It is the ultimate test of whether we will carry the competitive, zero-sum games of our terrestrial

infancy out into the cosmos, or whether we will evolve into a truly cooperative, space-faring civilization. The journey to Psyche is, ultimately, a journey to the core of ourselves.

Works cited

1. Mission | NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/press-kits/psyche/mission/
2. NASA's Psyche Mission Could Unlock Secrets to How Planets Are Formed, accessed September 9, 2025, https://aerospace.org/article/nasas-psyche-mission-could-unlock-secrets-how-planets-are-formed
3. Psyche | NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/missions/psyche/
4. Psyche Mission Overview - NASA Science, accessed September 9, 2025, https://science.nasa.gov/mission/psyche/mission-overview/
5. Why Is an Asteroid Worth $10000000000000000000? - Science | HowStuffWorks, accessed September 9, 2025, https://science.howstuffworks.com/psyche-16-asteroid.htm
6. 16 Psyche - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/16_Psyche
7. Will NASA's Mission to $10 Quintillion Psyche Asteroid Make Us All Rich? - Newsweek, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.newsweek.com/psyche-asteroid-mission-10-quintillion-valuable-metals-nasa-1989659
8. What makes 16 Psyche special? - A $10 quintillion treasure is floating in space, and NASA wants it badly | The Economic Times, accessed September 9, 2025, https://m.economictimes.com/news/science/a-10-quintillion-treasure-is-floating-in-space-and-nasa-wants-it-badly/what-makes-16-psyche-special/slideshow/115658980.cms
9. A Sci-Fi Concept That Should Become Reality: Asteroid Mining Is ..., accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.cnas.org/publications/commentary/a-sci-fi-concept-that-should-become-reality
10. Economics of the Stars: The Future of Asteroid Mining and the Global Economy, accessed September 9, 2025, https://hir.harvard.edu/economics-of-the-stars/
11. Asteroid mining - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asteroid_mining
12. Asteroid Psyche - NASA Science, accessed September 9, 2025, https://science.nasa.gov/solar-system/asteroids/16-psyche/
13. Scott Manley: Asteroid Psyche Is The Most Metal Of Space Rocks, but not Worth $10,000,000,000,000,000,000 : r/IsaacArthur - Reddit, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/IsaacArthur/comments/jls3bl/scott_manley_asteroid_psyche_is_the_most_metal_of/
14. Asteroid Psyche: Metal core or rubble pile? - EarthSky, accessed September 9,

2025, https://earthsky.org/space/asteroid-psyche-metal-or-rubble-pile/
15. Psyche 16: The Asteroid Holding $100,000 Quadrillion in Gold : r/spaceporn - Reddit, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/spaceporn/comments/1l6t5gd/psyche_16_the_asteroid_holding_100000_quadrillion/
16. Is asteroid mining profitable? - Edunomics, accessed September 9, 2025, https://edunomics.co.uk/is-asteroid-mining-profitable/
17. Asteroid Mining: Economic Landscape of Space Resources - Wealth Formula, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.wealthformula.com/blog/asteroid-mining-economic-landscape-of-space-resources/
18. Economic benefits from space mining, accessed September 9, 2025, https://spacesettlementprogress.com/economic-benefits-from-space-mining/
19. Outer Space Treaty - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Outer_Space_Treaty
20. Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies - UNOOSA, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/spacelaw/treaties/introouterspacetreaty.html
21. Can National Laws on Space Resources Serve as Evidence of Customary International Law? - Opinio Juris, accessed September 9, 2025, http://opiniojuris.org/2022/11/08/can-national-laws-on-space-resources-serve-as-evidence-of-customary-international-law/
22. Space Resource Extraction: Overview and Issues for Congress, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R48144
23. How did the gold and silver Spain brought from the Americas affect the economy of Europe as a whole? : r/AskHistorians - Reddit, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/2d2z3e/how_did_the_gold_and_silver_spain_brought_from/
24. Gold, Inflation, and Spanish Decline - The Tontine Coffee-House, accessed September 9, 2025, https://tontinecoffeehouse.com/2022/08/01/gold-inflation-and-spanish-decline/
25. What happened to the Spanish Gold from the Incas? - Economics Help, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.economicshelp.org/blog/7785/concepts/what-happened-to-the-spanish-gold-from-the-incas/
26. Price revolution - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Price_revolution
27. Spain's Lesson in Hubris: Tracing Spain's Financial Collapse to the Beginning of its New World Empire | The Classic Journal, accessed September 9, 2025, https://theclassicjournal.uga.edu/index.php/2020/05/07/spains-lesson-in-hubris-tracing-spains-financial-collapse-to-the-beginning-of-its-new-world-empire/
28. De Beers - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/De_Beers

29. How ONE Company Controls the Entire Diamond Industry - YouTube, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qHXQNbA9ITY
30. The role of space power in geopolitical competition - Council on Geostrategy, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.geostrategy.org.uk/research/the-role-of-space-power-in-geopolitical-competition/
31. GEOPOLITICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE NEW SPACE RACE - Geoprogress edition, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.geoprogress-edition.eu/geopolitical-implications-of-the-new-space-race/
32. Space, the New Geopolitical Arena: Satellites, Conflicts, and Space Situational Awareness - Air University, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Portals/10/JOTA/journals/Volume-7_Issue_1/19-Camargo-Correa_eng1.pdf
33. The New Space Race | Power & Politics in 21st Century - Royal Museums Greenwich, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.rmg.co.uk/stories/space-astronomy/new-space-race-astropolitics-power-21st-century
34. Geopolitics of Space Resource Competition and Mining → Scenario, accessed September 9, 2025, https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/geopolitics-of-space-resource-competition-and-mining/
35. Space Law Treaties and Principles - UNOOSA, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/spacelaw/treaties.html
36. UNITED NATIONS TREATIES OUTER SPACE - UNOOSA, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.unoosa.org/pdf/publications/STSPACE11E.pdf
37. It's time to unleash the potential of North Sea Oil - Conservatives, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.conservatives.com/news/our-plan-to-unleash-north-sea-oil
38. Comment: North Sea oil and gas - Newcastle University, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.ncl.ac.uk/press/articles/latest/2025/09/conversationnorthseaoilandgas/
39. The North Sea Oil History - Identec Solutions, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.identecsolutions.com/news/north-sea-oil-history
40. The evolution of North Sea oil and gas receipts - Office for Budget Responsibility, accessed September 9, 2025, https://obr.uk/box/the-evolution-of-north-sea-oil-and-gas-receipts/
41. North Sea oil - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North_Sea_oil
42. Evolution of UK North Sea Oil Extraction Policy: A 2025 Analysis - Discovery Alert, accessed September 9, 2025, https://discoveryalert.com.au/news/uk-north-sea-oil-extraction-policy-2025-evolution/
43. Game theory - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Game_theory
44. Game-theoretic Model Predictive Control for Modelling Competitive Supply Chains - arXiv, accessed September 9, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2401.09853v1
45. Tulip mania - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tulip_mania
46. Tulipmania: A Garden Historian's Perspective - Faculty of History, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.history.ox.ac.uk/tulipmania-garden-historians-perspective
47. Tulipmania: About the Dutch Tulip Bulb Market Bubble - Investopedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/d/dutch_tulip_bulb_market_bubble.asp
48. The Real Story Behind the 17th-Century 'Tulip Mania' Financial Crash - History.com, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.history.com/articles/tulip-mania-financial-crash-holland
49. Dutch Tulip Bulb Market Bubble - Overview, History - Corporate Finance Institute, accessed September 9, 2025, https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/economics/dutch-tulip-bulb-market-bubble/
50. A Curious Event in the Dutch Golden Age - National Council for the Social Studies, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.socialstudies.org/sites/default/files/view-issue/mll37.pdf
51. The new “space race”: intensifying competition to control resources on land, of the ocean and in outer space - Stockholm Environment Institute, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.sei.org/perspectives/the-new-space-race/