

A Study of Hominin Replacement: A Synthetic Extinction Model

I. The World Before the Transition: A Landscape of Coexisting Hominins

To comprehend the extinction of *Homo neanderthalensis* and their contemporaries, one must first dispense with any notion of their failure. The world of the Middle Pleistocene was not a waiting room for modern humans; it was a planet populated by multiple, successful, and intelligent hominin species. For over 200,000 years, Neanderthals were the dominant human species in Eurasia, a reign of staggering longevity.¹ They were a profound evolutionary success, meticulously adapted to the fluctuating cold climates of Ice Age Europe.³ In Asia, their sister species, the Denisovans, held a similarly vast range, a successful population known today almost entirely through the genetic legacy they left behind.⁴ This multi-hominin world was the Pleistocene norm.

Into this established order, *Homo sapiens* (Anatomically Modern Humans, or AMH) arrived as an "invasive species".⁶ Originating in Africa⁹, AMH began to push into the Levant and then Europe around 50,000 years ago, entering a continent already fully occupied by a highly successful incumbent.⁹

The most critical and often overlooked fact of this encounter is the ensuing stalemate. The replacement was not a rapid "blitzkrieg." Archaeological evidence confirms that AMH and Neanderthals overlapped and co-existed in the Levant for tens of thousands of years.¹² In Europe, this period of co-habitation lasted for at least 5,000 to 10,000 years.⁴ During this time, the two species interbred, exchanging both genes⁴ and, plausibly, pathogens.¹² This long stalemate is the central problem of the Neanderthal extinction. It demonstrates that *Homo sapiens* possessed no overwhelming, inherent "superiority" that guaranteed immediate victory. For thousands of years, the two species were closely matched.

This equilibrium makes the subsequent, and relatively sudden, collapse of the Neanderthals around 40,000 years ago all the more dramatic.² To explain this rapid demise, we must identify a new factor, or a cascade of factors, that catastrophically broke the stalemate. This

"stalemate-breaker" hypothesis is the foundation of the synthetic model, which posits that a "perfect storm" of ecological vulnerability, environmental catastrophe, and a key technological innovation converged to drive all other hominins to extinction.

II. The Specialists and the Generalists: Divergent Subsistence Strategies

The first element of this perfect storm was a pre-existing vulnerability, a foundational difference in how *Homo sapiens* and Neanderthals provisioned themselves. This divergence in subsistence strategy would, when the environment destabilized, prove fatal for one and advantageous for the other.

The Neanderthal was a hyper-carnivorous specialist.¹⁹ This is not speculation but a conclusion written into their very bones. Decades of stable isotope analyses, which measure the ratios of carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in bone collagen, consistently place Neanderthals at the absolute apex of the food web.²⁰ Their nitrogen isotope values are not just high; they are similar to or even *higher* than those of other top-level carnivores, such as cave hyenas and lions.²² Zooarchaeological evidence from their kill sites confirms this, showing that their protein was sourced almost exclusively from the most dangerous and calorically dense prey available: large game herbivores like mammoths, woolly rhinoceros, horses, and reindeer.¹⁰ Their entire physiology—a stocky, muscular build adapted for power and strength rather than endurance¹⁰—was a high-return adaptation for their high-risk, close-quarters hunting strategy with heavy, thrusting spears.

Homo sapiens, in contrast, was a broad-spectrum generalist. While AMH were also skilled big-game hunters, as isotopic data confirms²⁰, their dietary niche was significantly wider.²⁶ Dental microwear and archaeological analyses demonstrate a "remarkable dietary flexibility".²⁷ They possessed the behavioral plasticity and, critically, the specific tools (e.g., for processing roots³) to exploit a wide array of "fallback" resources. Their diet included not only megafauna but also smaller mammals, aquatic resources (fish and shellfish), and a much larger proportion of plant-based foods.³

This dietary specialization was not a "flaw" or a sign of inferior intelligence on the part of the Neanderthals.³ On the contrary, it was the very key to their 200,000-year dominance in a stable, megafauna-rich Europe.³ Their strategy was arguably more efficient and calorically rewarding *as long as that environment remained stable*. However, this is the classic evolutionary trap of specialization. It creates a "brittleness" by chaining a species' survival directly to the fate of its primary resource. The "messier," less-specialized diet of *Homo*

*sapiens*²⁷ was a profound survival advantage *only* in the context of a destabilized ecosystem. This vulnerability set the stage: Neanderthals were evolutionarily poised for a catastrophic failure if their single, specialized "larder"¹⁹ suddenly emptied.

III. The Perfect Storm: Twin Crises of the Late Pleistocene

The stable equilibrium of the Pleistocene was shattered by two massive, simultaneous events. These "natural difficulties" struck all hominin populations, but their effects were not felt equally.

Part A: The Great Larder Empties: The Quaternary Megafauna Collapse

The first crisis was ecological: the emptying of the Neanderthal's larder. The Late Pleistocene megafaunal extinction was a global-scale event that saw the disappearance of the very large-bodied mammals upon which Neanderthals depended.¹⁸ This was not a coincidence. The extinction of the Neanderthals is increasingly viewed not as a separate, parallel event, but as "a mere branch of the Quaternary Megafaunal Extinction".³⁰

Crucially, "megafauna decline" and "human competition" cannot be treated as two separate factors. While climate change was long blamed³³, a large body of evidence now points to the "overkill" hypothesis: the arrival and expansion of *Homo sapiens* was the "primary driver" of the megafauna's collapse.²⁹ This reframes the entire dynamic. This was not a passive scenario where two hominin species weathered a climate-driven food shortage. It was an active, cascading replacement. The Neanderthal¹⁹ was in a direct, head-to-head battle with the very cause of its resource's eradication.³⁰

This created a devastating feedback loop. Every mammoth or bison killed by a *Homo sapiens* hunting party was a double blow: it simultaneously fed the *sapiens* population while *actively starving* the Neanderthal population. The *sapiens* generalist diet meant they could *survive* this self-inflicted ecological damage by falling back on plants and small game, while the Neanderthal specialist could not. The competition-extinction loop was, by itself, a lethal pressure.

Part B: The Sky Falls: The Laschamp Event and the Radiation Catalyst

The second crisis was environmental, striking at the *exact* same critical window. This was the Laschamp event, a geomagnetic excursion—a "wobble" or near-reversal of the planet's magnetic poles—that has been precisely dated to between 42,200 and 41,500 years ago.³⁶ This date is not incidental; it aligns perfectly with the rapid decline of the Neanderthals.

During this event, Earth's magnetic field—our planet's primary shield against cosmic radiation—weakened dramatically, collapsing to as little as 5% to 10% of its current strength.³⁶ This geomagnetic shield failure allowed a massive, sustained influx of high-energy solar and galactic cosmic rays to penetrate the atmosphere. This, in turn, is hypothesized to have triggered a chemical cascade that depleted the stratospheric ozone layer.⁴¹ The direct consequence at the surface would have been a sudden, severe, and prolonged spike in ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation, with estimates suggesting an increase of 15-20% or more across Europe.⁴²

While the theory linking this event to extinction remains debated and lacks definitive causal proof³⁶, its precise timing³⁷ makes it a powerful candidate for a catastrophic environmental stressor. When combined with the escalating resource crisis, the Laschamp event represents the second hammer-blow in a "perfect storm" that pushed an already-vulnerable Neanderthal population to the brink.

IV. Differential Survival: Biological and Behavioral Shock Absorbers

A crisis is only a crisis if a population lacks the tools to survive it. The "perfect storm" did not doom the Neanderthals; it *filtered* them. *Homo sapiens* survived because they possessed a specific suite of "shock absorbers"—both genetic and cultural—that their relatives lacked.

Part A: Genetic Fortitude and Vulnerability

The Laschamp event acted as a selective biological filter, one that *differentially* punished the

Neanderthal genotype while leaving the *Homo sapiens* genotype relatively unharmed.

The core of this filter was UV-B radiation. *Homo sapiens* were a recent "Out of Africa" population.¹¹ They carried the genetic adaptations of their equatorial ancestors: dark skin rich in eumelanin, which provides powerful, evolved protection against high levels of UV radiation.¹¹ Neanderthals, conversely, had evolved for over 200,000 years in the low-light, low-UV-B environments of northern Eurasia.⁴ Paleogenetic analysis of the MC1R gene, which regulates pigmentation, has identified a unique variant in Neanderthal specimens that reduces MC1R activity.⁴⁷ This strongly suggests that at least some Neanderthal populations had pale skin and red hair⁴⁸, an adaptation for low light that evolved *independently* from the variants in modern humans.⁴⁷

For the dark-skinned *Homo sapiens*, a sudden 20% spike in UV-B⁴³ would have been an inconvenience. For the pale-skinned Neanderthal⁴⁷, it would have been a biological catastrophe. This goes far beyond skin cancer, which would not impact reproductive rates quickly enough to cause an extinction.⁵⁰ The critical factor is the degradation of folate (vitamin B9) by UV radiation. Folate is essential for DNA synthesis, repair, and, most importantly, viable reproduction and fetal development. A sudden, prolonged UV-B spike could have directly attacked Neanderthal fertility, cratering their already-low population numbers⁵¹ by causing a spike in miscarriages and severe birth defects.

This genetic filtering may have been compounded by a "hybridization trap." While the two species did interbreed¹⁵, new research (though not yet peer-reviewed) on the PIEZO1 gene suggests a potential *incompatibility*.⁵² The Neanderthal variant of this gene, which regulates oxygen transport in red blood cells, was adapted for cold, while the AMH variant was adapted for endurance. It is hypothesized that these two versions could have clashed during hybrid pregnancies, causing fetal hypoxia (a lack of oxygen) and miscarriage.⁵² If true, this means interbreeding⁷ was not a path to assimilation but a "genetic trap" that wasted the Neanderthals' precious reproductive efforts, further accelerating their decline.

Part B: Cultural Buffers Against an Invisible Threat

Homo sapiens did not just have genetic shock absorbers; they had behavioral ones. The archaeological record for the exact period of the Laschamp event (~42,000 YBP) shows *Homo sapiens* rapidly adopting a new suite of behaviors that serve as an "anti-radiation toolkit":

1. **Sheltering:** A marked increase in the use and occupation of deep caves.⁴⁰
2. **"Sunscreen":** A dramatic increase in the collection and use of ochre.⁴⁰ While ochre has

profound symbolic value⁵⁶, its functional property as a powerful, mineral-based sunscreen is well-known.⁵⁷

3. **Clothing:** The appearance of the first bone needles around 40,000 YBP⁵⁸ provides the first *direct* evidence for the creation of tailored, fitted clothing.

The Neanderthal record stands in stark contrast. They, too, used ochre, but the evidence suggests its use was primarily symbolic or artistic, not functional.⁵⁹ Critically, they never developed eyed needles.⁵⁸ Their clothing was likely limited to simple, non-tailored "poncho-style" capes or drapes⁶², which would offer vastly inferior protection from pervasive UV exposure.

This is not a matter of one species being "smarter".⁶³ It is a profound difference in behavioral plasticity. In the face of an invisible, damaging environmental threat, *Homo sapiens* deployed ochre as a *technology* (sunscreen) while Neanderthals continued to use it as *art* (symbolism). The *Homo sapiens* toolkit of deep-cave sheltering, sunscreen, and tailored clothing provided a complete *cultural* buffer against the *same* radiation that was *genetically* filtering the Neanderthals.

V. The Decisive Alliance: The Domestication of the Wolf

Homo sapiens survived the twin crises. They were buffered from the radiation and flexible enough to subsist on smaller game as the megafauna vanished. But to achieve total replacement, a final "tipping point" was needed. This was not a tool of stone, but a "living technology" of flesh and bone: the wolf.

This thesis, most notably articulated by paleoanthropologist Pat Shipman in her "Invaders" hypothesis¹, posits that the alliance between *Homo sapiens* and the wolf was the key, unprecedented advantage. At this time, *Homo sapiens*, Neanderthals, and wolves were all top predators, locked in a three-way competition for the same dwindling pool of megafauna. *Homo sapiens* broke this stalemate by forming an alliance with one of their chief competitors.¹

The timeline is the most contentious part of this argument. The *unambiguous* archaeological evidence for fully domesticated dogs, such as the Bonn-Oberkassel burial, dates to only ~15,000 years ago⁶⁶, long after the Neanderthals were gone. However, Shipman's thesis relies on earlier, more subtle evidence. Detailed morphological analyses of "wolf-dog" skulls from sites like Goyet in Belgium⁶⁴ and Razboinichya in Siberia⁷¹ date this "incipient" domestication to as early as 32,000-36,000 YBP.⁶⁷ This evidence, if correct, places the *process* of

domestication⁷²—the formation of the initial alliance—squarely within the critical window of Neanderthal-AMH overlap.

This raises an obvious question: Why didn't Neanderthals, who co-existed with wolves for 200,000 years⁷³, form this alliance?⁷³ The answer may lie in their very success as the established incumbent. Neanderthals, as hyper-carnivores¹⁹, were *direct, implacable competitors* with wolves for the *exact same* prey. For a Neanderthal, a wolf was competition to be killed or driven off, as evidenced by modified wolf remains at Neanderthal sites.⁷⁴ There was no ecological space for an alliance.

Homo sapiens, the generalist invaders³, were in a different situation. Their broader diet (plants, small game) meant they had *more to offer* a wolf in the form of scraps to initiate a commensal pathway.⁷⁵ Their social structures, perhaps larger and more complex⁷⁶, may have been more analogous to a wolf pack's cooperative hunting framework.⁷⁷ This was a "partnership of invaders." The two *new* top predators in the European ecosystem (AMH and wolves) found a mutual, synergistic benefit in teaming up *against* the established incumbent (the Neanderthal) and their shared prey (the megafauna).

VI. The Mechanics of Replacement: The Human-Dog Team as the Ultimate Invasive Species

This final alliance was the "tipping point" that made the replacement of all other hominins inevitable. It created a "super-predator"⁹ that revolutionized the very energetics of survival in a resource-scarce world.

Part A: The New Apex Predator: Energetic and Strategic Supremacy

The hunt must be reconstructed to see the new, insurmountable gap in efficiency.

- **The Neanderthal Hunt:** A high-risk, high-strength endeavor, requiring a hunter to close with a multi-ton animal to use a thrusting spear.⁶ An injury was a constant and potentially fatal risk. A failed hunt meant a massive caloric loss.
- **The *H. sapiens*-Canine Hunt:** A new, synergistic, and far safer process:
 1. **Find:** The "wolf-dogs" use their superior senses of smell and hearing to track prey over vast distances, day or night, saving the hunters immense time and energy.⁷
 2. **Fix:** The pack harasses, surrounds, and holds the (often dangerous) megafauna at

bay, "fixing" them in place.⁷

3. **Finish:** *Homo sapiens* hunters, now protected by their canine "shields," can employ their *own* unique technology—the atlatl, or spear-thrower—to kill from a safe and effective distance.⁷
4. **Secure:** The wolf-dogs then guard the massive carcass from other scavengers like lions and hyenas, protecting the caloric prize.⁷
5. **Transport:** In later iterations, dogs would also serve as pack animals, hauling meat back to camp.⁷

The wolf-dog was not just another tool; it was a *force multiplier*. This alliance *simultaneously* (1) negated the Neanderthal's primary advantage (brute strength for close-quarters kills), (2) synergized *perfectly* with the *Homo sapiens*' unique advantage (projectile technology), and (3) massively increased the *net caloric return* of hunting while dramatically *decreasing* the risk.⁷⁹ In the post-Laschamp, megafauna-depleted world, hunting efficiency was everything. The human-dog team didn't just *out-hunt* the Neanderthals; they monopolized the *entire* large-game food web, effectively starving them into extinction.

Part B: The Fate of the Neanderthals: Outcompeted in a Hostile World

This is the final, synthetic cascade. The human-dog team ⁶ was lethally efficient *precisely because* the environment was already in crisis.

1. **Ecological Pressure:** The *Homo sapiens* invasion began the megafauna decline, placing the specialist Neanderthal under resource stress.²⁹
2. **Environmental Shock:** The Laschamp event ³⁶ delivered a sudden, external blow, *selectively* attacking Neanderthal health and reproductive capacity through UV-B radiation.⁴³
3. **Differential Buffering:** *Homo sapiens* weathered this radiation spike, protected by their African-evolved genes ¹¹ and a superior cultural "anti-radiation" toolkit.⁴⁰
4. **The Tipping Point:** *Homo sapiens* then deployed the revolutionary alliance with the wolf ¹, creating a "super-predator" ⁹ that monopolized the last of the available megafauna.

The result was a total, irreversible demographic collapse. The Neanderthals—isolated, irradiated, and starving—were not simply "beaten"; they were rendered evolutionarily obsolete by a new, invasive, cooperative complex.⁷

VII. Echoes in Asia: The Concurrent Disappearance of

the Denisovans

This synthetic model, built to explain the Neanderthal extinction, must also account for the disappearance of *all* other hominins, namely the Denisovans. The Denisovans remain a "ghost" population, known almost entirely from ancient DNA ⁵ and a few scant fossils (a finger bone, teeth, a mandible) from Siberia, Tibet, and Laos.⁴ We know they inhabited a vast range, interbred with AMH, and passed on unique genetic adaptations, such as the EPAS1 gene, which allows modern Tibetans to thrive at high altitudes.⁸¹

Their extinction is even more poorly understood than that of the Neanderthals, with the few available hypotheses pointing vaguely to "competition with *Homo sapiens*" and "environmental reasons".⁸²

The synthetic model provides a powerful, specific mechanism for this replacement. The Denisovans would have faced the *exact same* cascade of pressures as their European cousins:

- **Ecological Stress:** Asia underwent the same Late Pleistocene megafauna extinction as Europe.²⁸
- **Environmental Shock:** The Laschamp event was a *global* phenomenon, not a local one.³⁶ Its depleting effect on the ozone layer ⁴¹ would have increased UV-B radiation across all of Eurasia.
- **The Competitive Tipping Point:** Most importantly, the *Homo sapiens*-canine alliance was not just a European innovation. One of the *earliest* and most significant pieces of evidence for "incipient" domestication, the 33,000-year-old canid skull from Razboinichya Cave ⁷¹, is located in the Altai Mountains of Siberia—the very heart of known Denisovan territory.⁵

It is therefore highly plausible that the *exact same* invasive "super-predator" complex—the *Homo sapiens*-wolf-dog alliance—that outcompeted and replaced the Neanderthals in the West did the same to the Denisovans in the East.

VIII. Synthesis and Conclusion: The World After the "Invaders"

The extinction of the Neanderthals and Denisovans was not a single, simple event. The evidence is clear that it was a "perfect storm," a cascade of converging factors, no single one

of which would have been sufficient on its own.¹⁸

The 200,000-year-old ecological stability of the Neanderthals was first broken by the active depletion of their specialized food source by an invasive competitor, *Homo sapiens*.²⁹

Simultaneously, a cosmic event—the Laschamp geomagnetic excursion³⁶—delivered a severe environmental shock, *selectively* punishing the Neanderthal genotype⁴⁷ while *Homo sapiens* weathered the storm through a superior genetic¹¹ and behavioral⁴⁰ toolkit.

Finally, into this destabilized world of scarcity and environmental hostility, *Homo sapiens* introduced the first and most powerful "living technology" in human history: the domestication of another top predator.¹ This alliance created a "super-predator"⁹ that monopolized the remaining resources, driving the final, insurmountable wedge.

It was this synthesis of ecological pressure, environmental shock, and a revolutionary technological alliance that ensured *Homo sapiens*—and our new canine partners—would be the last hominins left standing.

Works cited

1. How hunting with wolves helped humans outsmart the Neanderthals - The Guardian, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.theguardian.com/science/2015/mar/01/hunting-with-wolves-humans-conquered-the-world-neanderthal-evolution>
2. The Invaders: How Humans and Their Dogs Drove Neanderthals to Extinction, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.archaeology.wiki/blog/2015/03/02/invaders-humans-dogs-drove-neanderthals-extinction/>
3. Teeth vs. tools: Neandertals and Homo sapiens had different dietary strategies | ScienceDaily, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/04/160427151204.htm>
4. Ancient DNA and Neanderthals | The Smithsonian Institution's Human Origins Program, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://humanorigins.si.edu/evidence/genetics/ancient-dna-and-neanderthals>
5. Denisovan - Wikipedia, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Denisovan>
6. Did hunting with the wolf accelerate human evolution? - Almo Nature Blog, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://blog.almonature.com/en-gb/did-hunting-with-the-wolf-accelerate-human-evolution>
7. Did Humans and Wolf Dogs Make Neanderthals Perish? - Psychology Today, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/dogs-best-friend/201503/did-humans-and-wolf-dogs-make-neanderthals-perish>
8. Evolution, Domestication, And A Lot Of Very Good Boys: How Wolves Became

- Dogs, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.iflscience.com/evolution-domestication-and-a-lot-of-very-good-boys-how-wolves-became-dogs-79967>
9. How we hounded out the Neanderthals - ResearchGate, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Pat-Shipman/publication/273524666_How_we_hounded_out_the_Neanderthals/links/5a948e160f7e9ba42970cdd1/How-we-hounded-out-the-Neanderthals.pdf
 10. To Meat or Not to Meat? New Perspectives on Neanderthal Ecology - ResearchGate, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268507011_To_Meat_or_Not_to_Meat_New_Perspectives_on_Neanderthal_Ecology
 11. We Were All Dark-Skinned: DNA and Fossil Evidence Confirm Our Shared African Origin : r/evolution - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/evolution/comments/1knm6dv/we_were_all_darkskinned_dna_and_fossil_evidence/
 12. New theory for Neanderthal extinction | Stanford Report, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2019/11/new-theory-neanderthal-extinction>
 13. The Contribution of Neanderthal Introgression to Modern Human Traits - PMC, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9741939/>
 14. Is it possible that Neanderthal predation caused the evolutionary changes that define modern humans? : r/biology - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/biology/comments/1aom1kl/is_it_possible_that_neanderthal_predation_caused/
 15. Neanderthal extinction may have been caused by sex, not fighting - Natural History Museum, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/news/2022/october/neanderthal-extinction-may-be-caused-sex-not-fighting.html>
 16. Study of Modern Human Evolution via Comparative Analysis with the Neanderthal Genome, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3897851/>
 17. Neanderthals and Homo sapiens interbred within the past 50000 years, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/news/2024/december/neanderthals-homo-sapiens-interbred-within-past-50000-years.html>
 18. Neanderthal extinction - Wikipedia, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neanderthal_extinction
 19. Neanderthals: how a carnivore diet may have led to their demise - Durham University, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.durham.ac.uk/departments/academic/archaeology/archaeology-news/neanderthals-how-a-carnivore-diet-may-have-led-to-their-demise/>
 20. Neanderthals versus Modern Humans: Evidence for Resource Competition from Isotopic Modelling - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3175406/>

21. Reconstructing Hominin Diets with Stable Isotope Analysis of Amino Acids: New Perspectives and Future Directions | BioScience | Oxford Academic, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://academic.oup.com/bioscience/article/72/7/618/6590448>
22. Neanderthal diet at Vindija and Neanderthal predation: The evidence from stable isotopes, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://scite.ai/reports/neanderthal-diet-at-vindija-and-Gmdka6>
23. Isotopic evidence for the diets of European Neanderthals and early modern humans - PMC, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2752538/>
24. Isotopic evidence for the diets of European Neanderthals and Early Modern Humans, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26766556_Isotopic_evidence_for_the_diets_of_European_Neanderthals_and_Early_Modern_Humans
25. Wolf—Human Alliance May Have Finished Off the Neanderthals | NOVA - PBS, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/article/wolf-human-alliance-may-have-finished-off-the-neanderthals/>
26. Neandertal versus Modern Human Dietary Responses to Climatic Fluctuations - PMC, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4847867/>
27. Did Homo sapiens rely primarily on hunting megafauna for their diet? - Consensus, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://consensus.app/search/did-homo-sapiens-rely-primarily-on-hunting-megafauna/HxCtfJL3S-KE7rExJfGUYA/>
28. Late Pleistocene extinctions - Wikipedia, accessed November 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Late_Pleistocene_extinctions
29. Global late Quaternary megafauna extinctions linked to humans, not climate change | Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences - Journals, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rspb.2013.3254>
30. The Quaternary megafaunal extinction and the fate of Neanderthals: An integrative working hypothesis | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224017338_The_Quaternary_megafaunal_extinction_and_the_fate_of_Neanderthals_An_integrative_working_hypothesis
31. Neanderthal extinction as part of the faunal change in Europe during Oxygen Isotope Stage 3 - ResearchGate, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263483883_Neanderthal_extinction_as_part_of_the_faunal_change_in_Europe_during_Oxygen_Isotope_Stage_3
32. Neanderthal extinction as part of the faunal change in Europe during Oxygen Isotope Stage 3. - ResearchGate, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232711251_Neanderthal_extinction_as_part_of_the_faunal_change_in_Europe_during_Oxygen_Isotope_Stage_3
33. Extinction of Neanderthals Was Not a Climate Disaster Scenario, accessed November 1, 2025,

<https://www.whoi.edu/press-room/news-release/extinction-of-neanderthals-was-not-a-climate-disaster-scenario/>

34. Are there any theories on why the Neanderthals went extinct that don't involve modern humans or disease? - Quora, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.quora.com/Are-there-any-theories-on-why-the-Neanderthals-went-extinct-that-don-t-involve-modern-humans-or-disease>
35. Global late Quaternary megafauna extinctions linked to humans, not climate change - NIH, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4071532/>
36. Laschamp event - Wikipedia, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laschamp_event
37. Did a Magnetic Field Reversal Doom Neanderthals? - Sapiens.org, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.sapiens.org/archaeology/magnetic-field-reversal-neanderthal/>
38. Cosmic Radiation & Neanderthal Extinction #science - YouTube, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/shorts/Zdy_eiTswO
39. Upheaval and extinctions linked to magnetic reversal 42,000 years ago | Earth - EarthSky, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://earthsky.org/earth/magnetic-field-reversal-42000-years-ago-neanderthal-extinction/>
40. Sunscreen, Clothing and Caves May Have Given Modern Humans an Edge Over Neanderthals When Earth's Magnetic Field Wandered - Smithsonian Magazine, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/sunscreen-clothing-and-caves-may-have-given-modern-humans-an-edge-over-neanderthals-when-earths-magnetic-field-wandered-180986462/>
41. An Increase In UV Light May Have Contributed To The Extinction Of The Neanderthals, Study Suggests | IFLScience, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.iflscience.com/an-increase-in-uv-light-may-have-contributed-to-the-extinction-of-the-neanderthals-study-suggests-52695>
42. accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229360261_The_Laschamp-Mono_Lake_geomagnetic_events_and_the_extinction_of_Neanderthal_A_causal_link_or_a_coincidence#:~:text=The%20Laschamp%20and%20Mono%20Lake,15%2D20%25%20and%20thus%20contributing
43. The Laschamp-Mono Lake geomagnetic events and the extinction of Neanderthal: A causal link or a coincidence? | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229360261_The_Laschamp-Mono_Lake_geomagnetic_events_and_the_extinction_of_Neanderthal_A_causal_link_or_a_coincidence
44. accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://universemagazine.com/en/could-changes-in-the-earths-magnetic-field-have-caused-the-extinction-of-the-neanderthals/#:~:text=A%20recently%20published%20theory%20suggests,archaeological%20experts%20have%20smashed%>

[20them.](#)

45. Did Radiation Doom the Neanderthals? A Skeptical Look, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.ntanet.net/did-radiation-doom-the-neanderthals-a-skeptical-look/>
46. Could changes in the Earth's magnetic field have caused the extinction of the Neanderthals?, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://universemagazine.com/en/could-changes-in-the-earths-magnetic-field-have-caused-the-extinction-of-the-neanderthals/>
47. A melanocortin 1 receptor allele suggests varying pigmentation among Neanderthals, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17962522/>
48. MC1R, the cAMP pathway and the response to solar UV: Extending the horizon beyond pigmentation - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4150834/>
49. Genetic Variation at the MC1R Locus and the Time since Loss of Human Body Hair 1, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/381006>
50. Human skin pigmentation as an adaptation to UV radiation - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3024016/>
51. Did Neanderthals participate in the reduction of megafauna? : r/pleistocene - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/pleistocene/comments/1n09vga/did_neanderthals_participate_in_the_reduction_of/
52. Genetic incompatibility between Homo sapiens and Neanderthals may have contributed to Neanderthal extinction | Archaeology News Online Magazine, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://archaeologymag.com/2025/10/genetic-incompatibility-between-humans-and-neanderthals/>
53. A Single Gene Could Have Contributed to Neanderthals' Extinction, Study Suggests, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/a-neanderthal-gene-variant-related-to-red-blood-cells-may-have-contributed-to-their-extinction-180987586/>
54. Intense UV rays from the Sun may have killed off the Neanderthals - Earth.com, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.earth.com/news/neanderthals-vanished-from-uv-rays-no-clothes-homo-sapiens-adapted/>
55. Sunscreen, clothes and caves may have helped Homo sapiens survive 41,000 years ago | University of Michigan News, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://news.umich.edu/sunscreen-clothes-and-caves-may-have-helped-homo-sapiens-survive-41000-years-ago/>
56. What the Ancient Pigment Ochre Tells Us About the Human Mind | Discover Magazine, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.discovermagazine.com/prehistoric-use-of-ochre-can-tell-us-about-the-evolution-of-humans-1775>

57. University of Michigan-led study suggests Homo sapiens used ochre sunscreen, tailored clothes, and caves to survive extreme solar radiation during a magnetic pole shift 41,000 years ago—advantages Neanderthals may have lacked : r/science - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/science/comments/1k0r7q9/university_of_michiganled_study_suggests_homo/
58. What was the first article of clothing? : r/AskAnthropology - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskAnthropology/comments/1gusm9/what_was_the_first_article_of_clothing/
59. 42000-year-old ochre crayons reveal Neanderthals engaged in symbolic behavior, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://archaeologymag.com/2025/10/ochre-crayons-reveal-neanderthal-symbolic-behavior/>
60. Evaluating the Photoprotective Effects of Ochre on Human Skin by In Vivo SPF Assessment, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4564224/>
61. Neanderthals used 'crayons' to color - Popular Science, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.popsoci.com/science/neanderthals-coloring-art/>
62. Paleolithic eyed needles and the evolution of dress - PMC - PubMed Central - NIH, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11212769/>
63. If neanderthal,denisovan,& Homo erectus never became extinct & they live alongside us in modern time,would we still calling them neanderthal,denisovan,& homo erectus or would we calling them something else? : r/Paleontology - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Paleontology/comments/1i831av/if_neanderthaldenisovan_homo_erectus_never_became/
64. The Invaders - Living on Earth, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.loe.org/shows/segments.html?programID=15-P13-00015&segmentID=4>
65. Did dogs team up with humans to drive Neanderthals to extinction?, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://geneticliteracyproject.org/2015/03/26/did-dogs-team-up-with-humans-to-drive-neanderthals-to-extinction/>
66. Man's Best Friend - The History of Dog Domestication, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://historycollection.com/mans-best-friend-the-history-of-dog-domestication/>
67. Domestication of the dog - Wikipedia, accessed November 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domestication_of_the_dog
68. Being a Dog: A Review of the Domestication Process - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10218297/>
69. The Invaders: How Humans and Their Dogs Drove Neanderthals to Extinction - Harvard University Press, accessed November 1, 2025,

- https://www.hup.harvard.edu/file/feeds/PDF/9780674975415_sample.pdf
70. The Invaders: How Humans and Their Dogs Drove Neanderthals to Extinction - Harvard University Press, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.hup.harvard.edu/file/feeds/PDF/9780674425385_sample.pdf
 71. A 33,000-Year-Old Incipient Dog from the Altai Mountains of Siberia: Evidence of the Earliest Domestication Disrupted by the Last Glacial Maximum - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3145761/>
 72. Rapid evolution of prehistoric dogs from wolves by natural and sexual selection emerges from an agent-based model | Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rspb.2024.2646>
 73. Why didn't Neanderthals domesticate dogs? : r/AskScienceDiscussion - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskScienceDiscussion/comments/rpzub7/why_didnt_neanderthals_domesticate_dogs/
 74. The human-initiated model of wolf domestication – An expansion based on human-dingo relations in Aboriginal Australia - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10187142/>
 75. Commensalism or Cross-Species Adoption? A Critical Review of Theories of Wolf Domestication - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8083978/>
 76. Why couldn't megafauna which had adapted to Neanderthals and Denisovans survive Homosapians? : r/askscience - Reddit, accessed November 1, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/askscience/comments/1motofu/why_couldnt_megafauna_which_had_adapted_to/
 77. Wolf–Dog–Human: Companionship Based on Common Social Tools - MDPI, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2615/13/17/2729>
 78. Dogs and the Hands That Feed: The Utility of Dogs in Hunter-Gatherer Societies, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://hraf.yale.edu/dogs-and-the-hands-that-feed-the-utility-of-dogs-in-hunter-gatherer-societies/>
 79. Why Do Humans Hunt Cooperatively? : Ethnohistoric Data Reveal the Contexts, Advantages, and Evolutionary Importance of Communal Hunting | Current Anthropology: Vol 65, No 5 - The University of Chicago Press: Journals, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/732354>
 80. Dog-Human Coevolution: Cross-Cultural Analysis of Multiple Hypotheses, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://bioone.org/journals/journal-of-ethnobiology/volume-40/issue-4/0278-0771-40.4.414/Dog-Human-Coevolution-Cross-Cultural-Analysis-of-Multiple-Hypotheses/10.2993/0278-0771-40.4.414.full>
 81. More research is examining how we carry the 'genetic legacy' of extinct human species, accessed November 1, 2025, <https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/more-research-is-examining-how-we-carry-the-genetic-legacy-of-extinct-human-species>

82. Early human species lived across more of the world than was thought - Science Spinning, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://seanduke.blog/2025/04/14/early-human-species-lived-across-more-of-the-world-than-was-thought/>
83. What did the Denisovans look like? How did they go extinct? Full guide to the ancient humans - BBC Science Focus Magazine, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.sciencefocus.com/science/denisovans>
84. How Dogs Helped Humans Drive Neanderthals to Extinction | CBC Radio, accessed November 1, 2025,
<https://www.cbc.ca/radio/quirks/quirks-quarks-for-april-4-2015-1.3019735/how-dogs-helped-humans-drive-neanderthals-to-extinction-1.3019817>