A Sonic and Geophysical Pathfinding Suite for the Exploration of Subterranean Tunnels on Oak Island: A Comparative Analysis of Proof-of-Concept and Professional Solutions

The Oak Island Acoustical Challenge: An Engineering Perspective

Translating the Quest into an Engineering Problem Statement

The longstanding search for treasure on Oak Island, particularly the effort to overcome the engineered flood tunnels, can be systematically addressed by reframing the objective as a formal engineering problem. The core task is the remote detection, high-resolution characterization, and complete three-dimensional mapping of submerged, man-made voids within a geologically complex and hostile subsurface environment.[1] These voids, believed to be the flood tunnels described in historical accounts, may be open channels, partially collapsed, or intentionally backfilled with loose material.[3] The proposed method of using a network of submersible devices to send and receive sound signals—a concept aptly described as "sonic ping pong marco polo"—is technically known as

active acoustic multilateration. This is a well-established scientific technique for determining the position of an object or a reflection point by measuring the time-of-flight of a signal from a transmitter to multiple receivers.[5] By precisely measuring the time it takes for a sonic "ping" to travel from a source, reflect off a tunnel wall, and arrive at several different hydrophones, it is possible to triangulate the reflection point's position in 3D space, gradually building a map of the subterranean structures.[7]

The Primary Obstacle: The Hostile Subsurface Environment of Oak Island

The single greatest impediment to any subsurface survey on Oak Island is the island's geology. The island is not a solid landmass but a composite of four glacial drumlins, which are elongated hills formed by glacial ice acting on underlying till.[9] This till is a poorly sorted, heterogeneous mixture of clay, silt, sand, gravel, and boulders of various sizes, all resting on a bedrock foundation composed of limestone, gypsum, and slate.[11] From an acoustical engineering standpoint, this environment is exceptionally challenging. Sound waves propagating through this jumbled medium will be subject to extreme levels of scattering, absorption, and multipath interference.[14] Scattering occurs when sound waves encounter materials of different densities—like a boulder embedded in clay—and are deflected in many directions, creating acoustic "clutter" that can obscure the desired signal. Absorption converts the sound energy into heat, weakening the signal as it travels.[16] Multipath interference happens when a signal reaches a receiver via multiple paths (e.g., a direct path and several reflections), causing echoes to overlap and become difficult to interpret.[14]

Furthermore, the limestone and gypsum bedrock is susceptible to dissolution by groundwater, a process that can create natural tunnels, cavities, and sinkholes that could be mistaken for man-made structures.[11] This geological reality means that any system designed merely to detect voids is insufficient, as it would be highly prone to false positives. The primary engineering challenge is therefore not just

finding tunnels, but *distinguishing* man-made flood tunnels from the island's naturally occurring and acoustically complex geological features. This fundamental difficulty has been a significant factor in the inconclusive results of many prior survey attempts.[4]

A Dual-Modality Strategy: Sound and Electricity for Redundancy and Confirmation

To overcome the limitations and ambiguities of a single sensing method in this challenging environment, a dual-modality approach is proposed. This strategy combines two distinct physical measurement techniques to provide redundant and confirmatory data.

The first modality is **Acoustics**, using sonar principles to provide structural imaging and ranging. This is the primary tool for mapping the physical *shape*, *size*, and *location* of subterranean voids. It answers the question, "Is there a tunnel-like structure here?"

The second modality is **Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)**, a geophysical method used to characterize the *contents* of those voids by mapping the electrical properties of the subsurface.[22] The scientific basis for this choice is the stark contrast in electrical resistivity between the materials of interest. Saltwater, which fills the flood tunnels, is an excellent electrical conductor and thus has very low resistivity.[3] Conversely, the surrounding glacial till, clay, and bedrock are significantly more resistive.[23] An air-filled void would have near-infinite resistivity. By mapping the subsurface resistivity, ERT can confirm whether a void detected by acoustic methods is filled with highly conductive saltwater, strongly indicating it is part of the flood tunnel system. This answers the crucial follow-up question, "Is this structure connected to the ocean?"

The core of this advanced strategy is **data fusion**. The results from the acoustic survey are used to guide and constrain the interpretation of the ERT data, and vice-versa.[23] For example, if the acoustic system maps a long, linear feature, the ERT system can then be focused on that specific location to confirm if it has the low-resistivity signature of a saltwater conduit. This synergistic approach dramatically reduces ambiguity and increases the confidence of the final 3D map of the tunnel network.[25]

The Physics of Seeing Underground with Sound and Electricity

Underwater Acoustics 101: Sound as a Measurement Tool

To understand how the proposed system works, it is essential to review the fundamental principles of underwater acoustics. Sound is a mechanical wave, specifically a disturbance of pressure that propagates through a medium like water or soil.[26] In water, this wave travels at a speed of approximately 1500 meters per second, over four times faster than its speed in air, which is about 343 m/s.[14] This propagation is governed by several key parameters.

Frequency, measured in Hertz (Hz), is the number of wave cycles per second and is perceived as the pitch of the sound. In underwater imaging, frequency determines the trade-off between resolution and range. High-frequency sound waves (e.g., above 100 kHz) have shorter wavelengths and can resolve smaller details, but their energy is absorbed by the water much more quickly, limiting them to shorter ranges.[16] Conversely, low-frequency sound waves (e.g., 10-50 kHz) have longer wavelengths, providing lower resolution but penetrating much farther through water and sediment.[14] For the Oak Island application, lower frequencies will be necessary to achieve the required penetration through the subsurface till.

Wavelength, represented by the Greek letter lambda ($ \lambda $), is the physical distance a wave travels in one cycle. It is inversely proportional to frequency and is a critical factor in determining the smallest object a sonar system can detect; an object must generally be larger than the wavelength of the sound used to be clearly imaged.[14]

Sound Speed, denoted by 'c', is the most critical parameter for our pathfinding application. The fundamental principle of sonar ranging relies on measuring the time it takes for a sound pulse to travel to a target and return. The distance is then calculated using the simple formula: $\text{Distance} = c \times (\text{Time}/2)$.[28] A significant complication is that the speed of sound in water is not constant. It varies with temperature (T), salinity (S), and pressure (depth, z), as described by empirical formulas such as:

$c(T,S,z)=1492.9+3(T-10)-6\times10-3(T-10)2+1.2(S-35)+\ldots$.[30] Because the flood tunnels may involve complex mixing of ocean water, groundwater, and swamp water, there could be significant local variations in temperature and salinity.[15] These variations create acoustic "lenses" that can bend and distort the sound paths. Therefore, any accurate system must be equipped to continuously measure the local sound velocity profile to ensure the distance calculations are correct.[31] This elevates the measurement of local sound speed from a simple calibration step to a mission-critical, real-time requirement.

The SONAR Principle: Active vs. Passive Acoustics

SONAR, an acronym for SOund NAvigation and Ranging, is broadly categorized into two types: passive and active.[32]

Passive sonar involves simply listening for sounds emitted by objects of interest, such as the noise from a submarine's machinery or the calls of marine mammals.[34] This method is excellent for detection and classification when the target is noisy, but it cannot be used to map silent structures like a tunnel.

The proposed system is an **active sonar** system. It operates by transmitting a deliberate pulse of sound energy—a "ping"—into the environment and then listening for the returning echoes that bounce off objects.[27] The essential hardware components for this process are the

transducer, a device that converts electrical signals into sound waves (acting as a speaker) and converts returning sound waves back into electrical signals (acting as a microphone), or a separate **projector** (speaker) and **hydrophone** (microphone).[29]

As the transmitted sound pulse propagates through the subsurface of Oak Island, its signal will be degraded by several physical processes. **Geometric spreading** causes the intensity of the sound to decrease as it radiates outward from the source, analogous to how the light from a bulb gets dimmer with distance.[14]

Absorption and scattering are even more problematic in this specific environment. The energy of the sound wave is either converted to heat by the medium or scattered in countless random directions by the inhomogeneous mixture of clay, rocks, and gravel in the glacial till.[15] This process is the primary cause of signal loss and the generation of "acoustic clutter" that can mask the faint echoes from the actual tunnels. Finally,

reflection and refraction will occur at every boundary between different materials. The sound waves will bounce off the surfaces of rocks and tunnel walls (reflection) and will bend as they pass from a layer of clay into a layer of sand (refraction), creating a complex web of multipath echoes that can arrive at the hydrophones at different times, making it difficult to identify the true echo from the target.[14]

Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) 101: Using Conductivity as a Proxy for Saltwater

As a parallel and confirmatory measurement technique, Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) offers a powerful tool for this specific challenge. ERT is a geophysical method that creates an image of the subsurface based on how well it conducts electricity.[22] The operational principle involves injecting a controlled electrical current into the ground between a pair of electrodes and measuring the resulting voltage difference between another pair of electrodes. By systematically performing this measurement with many different combinations of electrodes arranged in a line or grid, a dataset is collected that can be mathematically inverted to produce a 2D or 3D map of the subsurface's electrical resistivity.[22]

The applicability of ERT to the Oak Island flood tunnel problem stems from the profound differences in resistivity among the expected materials. Saltwater is highly conductive due to its dissolved ions, resulting in a very low resistivity value. In contrast, the glacial till, clay, and especially the limestone and anhydrite bedrock are poor conductors and exhibit moderate to very high resistivity.[23] This creates a high-contrast target: a saltwater-filled flood tunnel will appear as a distinct, linear anomaly of very low resistivity against a background of higher resistivity. This allows ERT to effectively "light up" the saltwater conduits, providing a powerful method to validate features detected by the acoustic system.[35] For underwater deployment, a specialized marine resistivity cable can be used, where electrodes are laid directly on the bottom of a water-filled borehole or tunnel, using the water itself to ensure good electrical contact with the surrounding material.[22]

This dual-modality approach also offers the potential to characterize the *condition* of the flood tunnels. Historical accounts suggest the tunnels may have been backfilled with beach stones to prevent collapse.[3] A clear, open tunnel filled only with saltwater would present a very low, uniform resistivity. A tunnel backfilled with non-conductive stones would have a higher overall bulk resistivity, as the stones displace the conductive water. Similarly, an acoustic signal passing through a rock-filled tunnel would be heavily scattered and weakened compared to one passing through clear water. By correlating the degree of acoustic scattering with the measured bulk resistivity, it becomes possible to generate a map that not only shows

where the tunnels are, but also provides a quantitative estimate of *how obstructed* they are. This represents a powerful diagnostic capability that goes far beyond simply finding a route.

The Proof-of-Concept System: An Accessible First Step

System Architecture: The "Wired Swarm"

To validate the core principles of acoustic pathfinding and dual-modality sensing in the unique Oak Island environment, a low-cost proof-of-concept (PoC) system is proposed. The architecture of this system is a "wired swarm," consisting of three to four static submersible sensor nodes. Each node would be connected via a dedicated, waterproof ethernet cable to a central processing computer—the "grand soundboard"—located on the surface. This wired approach deliberately avoids the significant complexity and expense of underwater wireless communications, making it a feasible and affordable starting point. The intended deployment scenario involves lowering these nodes into several of the existing boreholes in the Money Pit area. This would create a small, localized 3D sensor array, allowing for controlled experiments within a defined volume of the subsurface to test the fundamental physics of the approach.

The Submersible Nodes: DIY and Low-Cost Options

The physical platforms for the sensor nodes can be constructed using several different approaches, allowing for flexibility based on budget and available technical skill.

A primary option is a completely **Do-It-Yourself (DIY) ROV Frame**. Following numerous well-documented educational guides, a simple yet robust frame can be built from inexpensive PVC pipe and fittings.[36] This method offers the lowest cost and maximum customizability, allowing the frame to be perfectly tailored to house the specific sensor payload. Holes can be drilled in the frame to allow it to flood and sink, and components can be easily attached.[38]

A second option is to adapt an **ROV Kit**. Educational kits such as the SeaPerch (costing approximately 175 to 200 USD) or the MATE ROV AngelFish (approximately 255 USD) provide a complete set of parts, including thrusters, controllers, and frame components.[39] While these kits are designed to build mobile Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs), their components can be repurposed to create static sensor platforms. The included thrusters could even be used for minor adjustments to the node's position or orientation at the bottom of a borehole.[42]

A third alternative is to use an **Entry-Level Commercial Underwater Drone**. Products like the Chasing Dory, which costs around 499 USD, offer a professionally built, stable platform with an integrated camera.[43] While this option provides a high-quality chassis, it is typically a "closed system," making it more difficult to integrate the custom acoustic and electrical sensors required for this project.

Regardless of the frame chosen, all sensitive electronics must be protected within **IP68-rated waterproof enclosures**. This rating ensures protection against dust ingress and continuous submersion in water under pressure.[45] A variety of suitable off-the-shelf enclosures are available from industrial suppliers like Bud Industries and YONGU, made from corrosion-resistant materials like die-cast aluminum or high-impact plastic.[46]

The Sensor Payload: "Seeing" with Sound and Electricity on a Budget

The sensing capability of the PoC system can be achieved with a combination of DIY and affordable commercial components.

For the acoustic receivers, the most cost-effective solution is to construct **DIY Hydrophones**. Following detailed online tutorials, functional underwater microphones can be built for under 50 USD each using piezoelectric transducer elements, shielded audio cable, and a two-part epoxy to create a durable, waterproof seal.[48] For improved performance and reliability,

Low-Cost Commercial Hydrophones are an excellent alternative. Companies like Natako Audio offer their AquaHear 1 model for approximately 60 USD, while Aquarian Audio provides the H1a model for around 193 USD.[51] These pre-built hydrophones offer known specifications and more consistent performance than their DIY counterparts.[53] The acoustic source, or

Projector, is more challenging to source cheaply. The system may require adapting a robust, low-frequency transducer intended for other purposes or experimenting with using a larger, amplified piezoelectric element as a sound emitter.[55]

For the ERT system, the PoC can use a simplified design. **ERT Electrodes** can be fashioned from stainless steel bolts or rods mounted directly to the submersible's frame. These electrodes would be wired to the surface using spare twisted pairs within the main ethernet tether, allowing the surface control unit to switch between electrode pairs to perform resistivity measurements.

The "Grand Soundboard": The Brains of the Operation

The central processing unit, or "grand soundboard," serves as the brain for the entire PoC system. This role can be filled by an inexpensive single-board computer like a Raspberry Pi 4 or a standard ruggedized laptop. This computer will be responsible for generating the outgoing acoustic signals, acquiring the incoming data from the hydrophones, processing the signals, and calculating the final results.

Signal generation and acquisition can be handled by a multi-function USB instrument, such as an Analog Discovery 2. This device acts as both a function generator, creating the precise electrical waveform to drive the acoustic projector, and a digital oscilloscope, capturing and digitizing the faint electrical signals from the hydrophone array.

The intelligence of the system resides in its software, which can be developed using entirely open-source tools. **Python**, along with its powerful scientific computing libraries **NumPy** and **SciPy**, provides a complete platform for signal processing and analysis.[57] The signal processing workflow would involve several key steps. First,

Filtering is applied to the raw data from the hydrophones. Using the functions within SciPy's signal processing toolbox, a digital bandpass filter can be implemented to remove ambient noise from pumps or surface activity and isolate the specific frequency range of our transmitted ping.[59] Second, to determine the precise arrival time of the echo, a technique called

cross-correlation is used. This is a form of matched filtering where the received signal is mathematically compared against a stored template of the original transmitted ping.[59] The point of maximum correlation indicates the exact moment the echo arrived at the hydrophone, providing the crucial time-of-flight measurement.[8]

Finally, with accurate time-of-arrival data from at least three different hydrophones for a single transmitted ping, a basic **multilateration algorithm** can be implemented in Python. This algorithm solves a system of geometric equations to calculate the unique 3D coordinate of the point from which the sound reflected, thereby mapping a single point on a tunnel wall.[5]

Networking and Power

Communication and power for the submersible nodes will be handled through a simple and robust wired network. Each node will be connected to a central network switch on the surface using **submersible-rated or direct-burial Cat6 ethernet cables**.[61] This ensures reliable, high-bandwidth data transmission from the sensors to the grand soundboard. To simplify the cabling infrastructure,

Power-over-Ethernet (PoE) technology will be utilized.[62] PoE allows both electrical power and data to be delivered over a single ethernet cable, eliminating the need for separate power lines running to each submerged node and reducing the number of potential failure points.

The primary limitation of this proof-of-concept system is not its sensing capability but its deployment logistics. The reliance on physical tethers for data and power introduces a significant operational bottleneck.[38] Managing a web of multiple long cables within several deep, water-filled, and potentially debris-filled boreholes is an extremely complex task with a high risk of entanglement. A snagged or tangled cable could result in the loss of the entire sensor array. This practical constraint means the PoC system can likely only be deployed in simple, controlled geometries, such as a straight line of adjacent boreholes, and would be unsuitable for exploring a complex, branching tunnel network. This inherent limitation of a tethered system is the primary motivation for developing a wireless professional solution.

However, the open-source software approach of the PoC system provides a crucial, hidden advantage: adaptability. The Oak Island subsurface is an environment filled with unknown variables and unique sources of acoustic noise.[64] A commercial, "black box" sonar system is typically tuned for standard marine survey conditions and cannot be easily modified. In contrast, a custom system built on Python allows for rapid, on-site software adjustments. For instance, if a consistent noise frequency is detected from the surface water pumps, a specific digital band-stop filter can be designed and implemented in SciPy within minutes to eliminate that interference.[59] This software flexibility is the PoC's greatest strength, enabling the team to react to unforeseen acoustic phenomena and iteratively refine the signal processing chain in the field—a critical capability for achieving success in a novel and challenging environment.

The Professional Suite: A State-of-the-Art Exploration System

System Architecture: The "Autonomous Wireless Swarm"

To overcome the logistical limitations of the proof-of-concept and to enable a comprehensive survey of the entire Money Pit area, a professional-grade system is required. The architecture for this system shifts from a static, wired array to a dynamic, "autonomous wireless swarm." This suite would consist of three to five small, highly maneuverable Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs). These AUVs would operate as a coordinated, mobile, and reconfigurable sensor network, navigating the flooded tunnels without physical tethers and communicating with each other and the surface wirelessly.[66] This approach eliminates the critical tether-management problem and allows the system to actively explore and map the unknown subterranean environment.[68]

The AUV Platform: Agile and Compact for Confined Spaces

The selection of the AUV platform is critical. The vehicles must be specifically designed for operation in complex and confined underwater spaces, such as flooded mines or underwater caves.[67] Key requirements include a compact physical footprint, exceptional maneuverability (including the ability to move in all six degrees of freedom—up/down, forward/back, left/right, and rotating on all three axes), and a robust autonomous navigation system with integrated obstacle avoidance.

Several commercial platforms are suitable candidates for this role. The **Advanced Navigation**

Hydrus is a strong contender due to its very small size (weighing only 7.1 kg), its ability to hover in place, and its advanced, tightly-integrated navigation package which includes a Doppler Velocity Log (DVL), Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) positioning system, and an Inertial Navigation System (INS).[69] Its open software architecture also facilitates the integration of custom control algorithms and sensor processing software.

Another excellent option would be platforms from the **Teledyne Gavia** family. These AUVs are known for their highly modular design, which allows for the straightforward integration of custom and third-party sensor payloads.[66] While potentially larger than the Hydrus, their mission flexibility is a significant advantage.

The design philosophy of projects like **UNEXMIN (Underwater Explorer for Flooded Mines)** also provides a valuable blueprint. This project specifically developed spherical AUVs for the unique challenges of navigating and mapping flooded mines, demonstrating the feasibility of such a mission.[70] The chosen AUVs must be more than just mobile cameras; they must function as stable, precisely-navigated sensor platforms capable of holding a fixed position in 3D space and executing complex, pre-programmed survey patterns with a high degree of autonomy.[67]

The Professional Sensor Payload: High-Resolution and Integrated

Each AUV in the swarm would be equipped with a suite of professional-grade, miniaturized geophysical sensors to build a multi-layered, high-fidelity model of the environment.

For acoustic imaging, the payload would include several instruments. A primary sensor would be a **Compact Multibeam Echosounder (MBES)**, such as a model from the R2Sonic Sonic-V series or the Teledyne SeaBat T-series.[71] Unlike a single-beam echosounder that measures one depth point below it, an MBES emits a fan-shaped swath of sound, collecting hundreds of depth soundings with each ping.[73] This allows the AUV to generate a detailed 3D point cloud of the tunnel walls and floor as it moves through them.[75] For safe navigation and real-time obstacle avoidance, a

Forward-Looking Sonar (FLS) is essential. An FLS, like the Cerulean Insight-240, provides a video-like image of the area directly in front of the AUV, allowing its autonomous control system to detect and maneuver around obstructions.[76] To capture fine details on the tunnel surfaces, such as potential tool marks or inscriptions, a

High-Resolution Side-Scan Sonar would be integrated. These systems use very high frequencies (e.g., 900 kHz to 1800 kHz) to create detailed, photo-like acoustic images of the walls to the left and right of the AUV's path.[77]

The **Integrated ERT** system would be far more sophisticated than in the PoC. Streamlined, non-fouling electrodes would be built directly into the hulls of the AUVs. As the swarm navigates, the vehicles would coordinate their positions to perform "cross-vehicle" ERT measurements. One AUV would inject a current while others measure the resulting voltage, allowing the system to build a true 3D electrical resistivity volume of the entire surveyed area.

The Network and Brains: Wireless Communication and Advanced Processing

The enabling technology for the professional suite is the **Underwater Wireless Network**. Since radio waves do not propagate well in water, the AUVs will communicate using **underwater acoustic modems**.[80] These devices convert digital data into sound signals, which are transmitted through the water and received by other modems.[82] A network of these modems creates an "Internet of Underwater Things" (IoUT), allowing the AUVs to share their real-time position, status, and sensor data with each other and with a surface control station.[83] Modems from manufacturers like EvoLogics, Popoto Modem, or Link-Quest would be evaluated based on their data rate, range, and ability to operate in the reverberant, multipath-rich environment of a tunnel.[85]

The raw data collected by the sensors will require significant **Advanced Signal Processing**. The enclosed, hard-walled tunnel environment will be extremely noisy and reverberant. Advanced **noise reduction** techniques are therefore critical. **Adaptive filters**, including **Kalman filtering**, can be employed to clean the sonar data.[64] A Kalman filter is a powerful algorithm that predicts the state of a system and then updates that prediction based on noisy measurements.[89] In this application, the AUV's own motion sensors (its INS and DVL) can be used to predict the "self-noise" generated by its thrusters and movement through the water. This predicted noise can then be subtracted from the raw sonar signal, dramatically improving the signal-to-noise ratio.

The "Marco Polo" game evolves from simple multilateration to full **Ocean Acoustic Tomography (OAT)**. With multiple, mobile sources and receivers, the system can perform a much more sophisticated analysis.[91] By precisely measuring the travel times and signal characteristics of acoustic pulses sent

between the AUVs as they pass through unmapped regions, the system can mathematically reconstruct a 3D map of the sound speed and attenuation properties of the medium (both water and surrounding rock).[92] This tomographic inversion process can effectively "see through" areas of disturbed material and image the structure of the tunnels and their contents with a level of detail unattainable by simple echo-ranging.

All of this fused data—from the MBES, ERT, side-scan sonar, and acoustic tomography—would be combined into a single, high-fidelity 3D model. This model could then be visualized using open-source geospatial libraries like **CesiumJS**, creating an interactive 3D virtual environment of the discovered tunnel network that the team could navigate and analyze on a computer screen.[95]

Autonomous Pathfinding: From Mapping to Route Planning

Once the AUV swarm has generated a 3D map of the tunnel network, the final step is to fulfill the user's original request: to find the shortest route. This is a classic problem in computer science and robotics known as pathfinding. The 3D map of tunnels and intersections can be represented as a graph, where open spaces are nodes and the connections between them are edges with an associated cost (distance).

Two primary algorithms are suited for this task. **Dijkstra's algorithm** is a foundational method that is guaranteed to find the absolute shortest path between two points in a graph.[96] It works by systematically exploring outward from the start point, always expanding the path with the lowest current total cost, until it reaches the destination.[96] While it is complete and optimal, its "brute force" nature of exploring in all directions can be computationally slow in large, complex 3D environments.

A more efficient and widely used alternative is the **A* (A-Star) algorithm**.[100] A* improves upon Dijkstra's by incorporating a "heuristic"—an educated guess of the remaining distance to the goal.[99] It prioritizes exploring paths that are not only short so far, but are also heading in the correct general direction of the final destination. This intelligent guidance allows A* to find the optimal path much more quickly than Dijkstra's, making it the preferred choice for real-time 3D pathfinding in robotics and autonomous systems.[100] The AUV swarm would use the A* algorithm on its newly generated 3D map to autonomously calculate the most efficient, navigable path through the tunnel system from an entrance point to a designated target.

This professional system represents a fundamental shift from the PoC's "remote sensing" to a paradigm of "autonomous exploration." The PoC is a tool operated by humans to collect discrete data points. The professional AUV swarm, in contrast, is an intelligent agent that builds its own comprehensive model of its environment and then makes decisions based on that model. This is the difference between taking a single photograph and dispatching a self-driving vehicle to explore and map an entire unknown city.

The true power of this AUV swarm lies in its capacity for **adaptive surveying**. A standard autonomous mission might involve a pre-programmed "mow the lawn" survey pattern. An adaptive system, however, can alter its mission in real-time based on incoming data. For example, if one AUV's ERT sensor detects a localized anomaly of extremely high conductivity—a potential saltwater ingress point—the swarm's collective intelligence could automatically re-task the other AUVs. They would abandon their pre-planned survey grid and converge on that "point of interest," performing a high-density, multi-angle acoustic tomography and multibeam scan of that specific area to investigate it in greater detail. This creates a powerful, closed-loop exploration cycle: Data leads to Insight, which triggers Action, which generates new, more targeted Data. This is the state-of-the-art in robotic exploration and would dramatically increase both the efficiency and the ultimate probability of success for the Oak Island search.

Synthesis, Recommendations, and Path Forward

Comparative Analysis: PoC vs. Professional Suite

The two proposed systems represent opposite ends of the technological spectrum, each with distinct advantages and disadvantages regarding cost, complexity, data quality, and the likelihood of achieving the ultimate goal.

In terms of **Cost**, the difference is immense. The proof-of-concept system, relying on DIY components, educational kits, and open-source software, could be assembled for a budget in the low thousands of dollars.[39] The professional suite, conversely, requires a capital investment in the hundreds of thousands to potentially over a million dollars, factoring in the cost of multiple research-grade AUVs, professional sonar systems, acoustic modems, and specialized software.

Regarding **Complexity and Skill Requirements**, the PoC demands significant hands-on "maker" skills, including electronics fabrication, soldering, mechanical assembly, and custom software programming.[38] The professional suite, while autonomous in operation, requires a highly specialized team of robotics engineers, geophysicists, and data scientists to plan missions, maintain the complex hardware, and interpret the vast amounts of data generated.

The most significant divergence is in **Data Quality and Resolution**. The PoC system would provide sparse, low-resolution data points. Its primary output would be a set of time-of-flight measurements capable of confirming or denying a direct acoustic link between two specific boreholes. In contrast, the professional suite would generate a dense, high-resolution, fully navigable 3D point cloud of the entire accessible tunnel network. This model would be a rich, multi-layered dataset, fusing precise geometric data from the multibeam sonar with subsurface material property information from the electrical resistivity tomography.

This leads directly to the **Probability of Success**. The PoC system's probability of comprehensively mapping the entire flood tunnel network is low, primarily due to its severe deployment limitations. However, its probability of validating the fundamental *physics* of the acoustic and electrical approach in the Oak Island environment is high. It can answer the critical question of whether a usable signal can be transmitted and received through the subsurface. The professional suite has a much higher probability of successfully mapping the entire system, with the primary constraint being whether the tunnels are physically large enough for the AUVs to navigate.

Strategic Recommendation: A Phased Approach

Given the extreme technical challenges and the numerous historical failures at Oak Island, committing immediately to a multi-million dollar professional system would be financially imprudent. The number of unknown variables, particularly the true acoustic properties of the subsurface till, is too high. Therefore, a systematic, phased approach is strongly recommended to progressively de-risk the project.

Phase 1: Physics Validation (Advanced PoC). The first and most critical step is to build a single, high-quality static sensor node. This node would be based on the PoC design but would utilize a professional-grade acoustic projector and a calibrated hydrophone. This node would be lowered into one borehole, with a separate, powerful sound source lowered into another nearby borehole. The sole objective of this phase is to answer one question: Can a usable signal-to-noise ratio be achieved for an acoustic signal transmitted through the Oak Island subsurface over a representative distance of 50 to 100 feet? This targeted experiment is the most cost-effective way to determine if the entire acoustic concept is feasible before any further investment.

Phase 2: Limited Deployment (Wired Professional System). If Phase 1 proves successful, the next step would be to procure a small number (two or three) of the professional AUV platforms. Initially, these would be operated in a tethered or short-range, acoustically-linked mode within a known, confined area, such as the recently excavated Garden Shaft. The goal of this phase is to build a high-resolution 3D map of a known man-made structure. This would validate the AUV platform's maneuverability, the sensor integration, and the data fusion algorithms in a controlled environment.

Phase 3: Full Autonomous Swarm Deployment. Only after the successful completion of the first two phases should the team commit to the full, untethered, autonomous swarm exploration of the entire Money Pit area and its surroundings. By this stage, the acoustic properties of the ground will be understood, the AUVs and their sensor payloads will be field-tested, and the data processing pipeline will be validated, maximizing the probability of a successful and conclusive survey.

Concluding Thoughts: Engineering Meets Legend

The proposition of using a swarm of autonomous, intelligent submersible devices to finally map the secrets of Oak Island is technologically feasible. The component systems—compact AUVs, high-resolution sonars, underwater networks, and advanced processing algorithms—all exist. The user's vision of a "sonic ping pong marco polo" game is a sound and intuitive analogy for the sophisticated process of acoustic multilateration and tomography. However, the Oak Island mystery has endured for over two centuries precisely because the environment is uniquely hostile to exploration, thwarting conventional and brute-force methods time and again.[1] A successful outcome requires not just advanced technology, but a disciplined, scientific, and incremental approach. The phased plan outlined in this report provides a systematic, scientifically-grounded, and financially responsible roadmap. By following this path, the team can move beyond speculation and apply state-of-the-art engineering to finally give a clear voice to the secrets that have remained silent in the water beneath Oak Island for centuries.[83]

Works cited

1. The Mystery - Oak Island, Nova Scotia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.oakislandmystery.com/11-the-mystery
2. Oak Island Mystery Finally Solved - Welcome Home Vets of NJ, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.welcomehomevetsofnj.org/textbook-ga-24-2-27/oak-island-mystery-finally-solved.pdf
3. Flood Tunnels protect the Oak Island Money Pit, Smith's Cove, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.oakislandmystery.com/the-mystery/flood-tunnels
4. The history of the Oak Island Money Pit - Heritage Daily, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.heritagedaily.com/2024/04/the-history-of-the-oak-island-money-pit/151722
5. Packet Scheduling for Underwater Acoustic ... - Milica Stojanovic, accessed

September 9, 2025, https://millitsa.coe.neu.edu/exploration/conference/hamid-icc14.pdf
6. Pseudo-range multilateration - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pseudo-range_multilateration
7. Embracing Localization Inaccuracy with a Single Beacon, accessed September 9, 2025, https://thesai.org/Publications/ViewPaper?Volume=10&Issue=12&Code=IJACSA&SerialNo=61
8. Object Tracking Using Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA) - MATLAB & Simulink - MathWorks, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.mathworks.com/help/fusion/ug/object-tracking-using-time-difference-of-arrival.html
9. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oak_Island#:~:text=Goldthwait%20of%20the%20Geological%20Survey,span%20the%20past%2075%2C000%20years.
10. Oak Island - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oak_Island
11. The Mystery of Oak Island - Not Your Grandfathers Mining Industry, Nova Scotia, Canada, accessed September 9, 2025, https://notyourgrandfathersmining.ca/the-mystery-of-oak-island
12. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oak_Island#:~:text=There%20are%20two%20types%20of,Cambro%2DOrdovician%20Halifax%20Formation%20slate.
13. Woods Hole Draft Report on Oak Island April 1996.pdf, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.oakislandmystery.com/images/Les-MacPhie-Files/table-d/Woods%20Hole%20Draft%20Report%20on%20Oak%20Island%20April%201996.pdf
14. An Introduction to Underwater Acoustics - ReadingSample - NET, accessed September 9, 2025, https://beckassets.blob.core.windows.net/product/readingsample/345611/9783540784807_excerpt_001.pdf
15. The Underwater Propagation of Sound and its Applications - Sites at Dartmouth, accessed September 9, 2025, https://sites.dartmouth.edu/dujs/2012/03/11/the-underwater-propagation-of-sound-and-its-applications/
16. Underwater acoustics - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Underwater_acoustics
17. Sonar Propagation, accessed September 9, 2025, https://man.fas.org/dod-101/navy/docs/es310/SNR_PROP/snr_prop.htm
18. Underwater Sound Propagation - arc .id.au, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.arc.id.au/UWAcoustics.html
19. The History of Oak Island's Mysterious Treasure - MetalDetector.com, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.metaldetector.com/pages/learnbuying-guide-articlesresearchoak-island-treasure

20. a08_geophysics_oak_island_les, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/810454788/a08-geophysics-oak-island-les-m-june-22-08
21. Review of Geotechnical and Archaeological Conditions at the Money Pit (1966 – 2005) Oak Island, Nova Scotia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.oakislandmystery.com/images/Les-MacPhie-Files/table-a/A10%20Oak%20Island%20Mystery%20Toronto%20Les%20M%20May%2022%202013.pdf
22. Electrical Resistivity Mapping Across a Stream Channel - Pyramid ..., accessed September 9, 2025, https://pyramidgeophysics.com/electrical-resistivity-mapping-across-a-stream-channel/
23. Innovative subsurface stratigraphy interpretation by integrating electrical resistivity tomography and borehole data - Canadian Science Publishing, accessed September 9, 2025, https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/10.1139/cgj-2024-0575
24. Cross-Gradient Regularized Joint Seismic Full Waveform and ERT ..., accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394916668_Cross-Gradient_Regularized_Joint_Seismic_Full_Waveform_and_ERT_Inversion_with_Automatic_Differentiation
25. (PDF) Combined ERT and GPR Data for Subsurface Characterization of Weathered Hilly Slope: A Case Study in Zhejiang Province, Southeast China - ResearchGate, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363003059_Combined_ERT_and_GPR_Data_for_Subsurface_Characterization_of_Weathered_Hilly_Slope_A_Case_Study_in_Zhejiang_Province_Southeast_China
26. 3. Underwater propagation 3.1 Basic principles of acoustics, accessed September 9, 2025, https://ocw.tudelft.nl/wp-content/uploads/Reader_chapter_3.pdf
27. Tutorial: Sonar Source - Discovery of Sound in the Sea, accessed September 9, 2025, https://dosits.org/decision-makers/tutorials/sound-source/sonar/
28. All You Need To Know About Sonar Systems - Deep Trekker, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.deeptrekker.com/news/sonar-systems
29. Factsheet: Sonar Seeing With Sound - NOAA Ocean Exploration, accessed September 9, 2025, https://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/edu/materials/sonar-fact-sheet.pdf
30. FUNDAMENTALS of UNDERWATER ACOUSTICS, accessed September 9, 2025, https://abl.gtu.edu.tr/Dosya/102/~saksoy/Useful%20Knowledge/&%20LECTURE%20NOTES/Fundamentals%20of%20Underwater%20Acoustics%20-%20Dr.%20Serkan%20AKSOY.pdf
31. Hydrographic Survey with WASSP Multibeam Sonar - YouTube, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=53LevaS62xI
32. Sonar - NOAA Ocean Exploration, accessed September 9, 2025, https://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/technology/sonar/sonar.html
33. Sonar - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sonar

34. Science of Sound - U.S. Fleet Forces Command, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.usff.navy.mil/Community-Outreach/US-Navy-Stewards-of-the-Sea/Science-of-Sound/
35. A new repository of electrical resistivity tomography and ground penetrating radar data from summer 2022 near Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard - ESSD Copernicus, accessed September 9, 2025, https://essd.copernicus.org/preprints/essd-2023-461/essd-2023-461.pdf
36. HOW TO: Build an Underwater Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) from Home! - Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, accessed September 9, 2025, https://naturalhistory.si.edu/sites/default/files/media/file/how-build-rov-ver-1.pdf
37. R.O.V. Kit Build-Guide - Prince William Sound Science Center, accessed September 9, 2025, https://pwssc.org/education/rov-guide/
38. DIY Submersible ROV : 8 Steps (with Pictures) - Instructables, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.instructables.com/DIY-Submersible-ROV/
39. SeaPerch – RoboNation, accessed September 9, 2025, https://shop.robonation.org/collections/seaperch
40. ROV Kits, accessed September 9, 2025, https://materovcompetition.org/rov-kits
41. SeaPerch ROV Kit - RoboNation, accessed September 9, 2025, https://shop.robonation.org/products/seaperch-rov-kit
42. SeaMATE ROV Kits, accessed September 9, 2025, https://seamate.org/collections/rov-kits
43. Underwater Drones & ROVs - Wellbots, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.wellbots.com/collections/underwater-drones
44. Best Underwater Drones - FLYING Magazine, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.flyingmag.com/best-underwater-drone/
45. IP68 Waterproof Enclosures - Bud Industries, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.budind.com/nema-ip-rated-boxes-ip-68/
46. YONGU IP68 Waterproof Aluminum Enclosure, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.yg-enclosure.com/products/Waterproof-Enclosure.html
47. IP68 Enclosure - KDM Fabrication, accessed September 9, 2025, https://kdmfab.com/ip68-enclosure/
48. do-it-yourself hydrophones - John Grzinich, accessed September 9, 2025, https://maaheli.ee/main/d-i-y-hydrophones/
49. How to Build a DIY Hydrophone - Flypaper - Soundfly, accessed September 9, 2025, https://flypaper.soundfly.com/produce/how-to-build-a-diy-hydrophone/
50. The Gladys Hydrophone : 12 Steps (with Pictures) - Instructables, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.instructables.com/The-Gladys-Hydrophone/
51. Purchase Hydrophones - Aquarian Hydrophones, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.aquarianaudio.com/hydrophones/
52. Low Cost Hydrophone for Sale – Underwater Microphones, accessed September 9, 2025, https://natakoaudio.com/product-category/hydrophones/
53. Hydrophone - Etsy, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.etsy.com/market/hydrophone
54. Hydrophones | eBay, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.ebay.com/shop/hydrophones?_nkw=hydrophones

55. Transducers - Rising Tide BioAcoustics, accessed September 9, 2025, https://underwaterbioacoustics.com/transducers/
56. Low Frequency High Power Underwater Acoustic Transducer transmitting Transducer from China manufacturer - Piezo Hannas, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.piezohannas.com/Low-Frequency-High-Power-Underwater-Acoustic-Transducer-transmitting-Transducer-pd48461215.html
57. PyGSP: Graph Signal Processing in Python — PyGSP 0.5.1 documentation, accessed September 9, 2025, https://pygsp.readthedocs.io/en/stable/
58. Signal Processing (scipy.signal) — SciPy v1.16.1 Manual, accessed September 9, 2025, https://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy/tutorial/signal.html
59. Signal Processing - Discovery of Sound in the Sea, accessed September 9, 2025, https://dosits.org/science/advanced-topics/signal-processing/
60. Localization and Synchronization for 3D Underwater Acoustic Sensor Networks | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225174319_Localization_and_Synchronization_for_3D_Underwater_Acoustic_Sensor_Networks
61. Direct-Burial Outdoor Ethernet Cables - trueCABLE, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.truecable.com/collections/outdoor-ethernet-cables
62. Custom underwater Ethernet cables - Dive And See, accessed September 9, 2025, https://diveandsee.com/cables/ethernet/
63. BlueROV2 - Affordable and Capable Underwater ROV - Blue Robotics, accessed September 9, 2025, https://bluerobotics.com/store/rov/bluerov2/
64. Self-noise cancellation methods applied to acoustic underwater sensors. - Totalförsvarets forskningsinstitut, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.foi.se/rest-api/report/FOI-R--2573--SE
65. Shallow-Water Acoustics Investigations for Underwater Detection and Seabed Imaging - Research India Publications, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.ripublication.com/ijaer10/ijaerv10n17_160.pdf
66. Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) - Teledyne Marine, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.teledynemarine.com/products/product-line/autonomous-underwater-vehicles
67. A Review of Underwater Robot Localization in Confined Spaces - MDPI, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/12/3/428
68. AUVs - Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.whoi.edu/what-we-do/explore/underwater-vehicles/auvs/
69. Hydrus AUV | Autonomous Underwater Vehicle - Advanced Navigation, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.advancednavigation.com/robotics/micro-auv/hydrus/
70. developing an autonomous underwater explorer for flooded mines - UNEXMIN, accessed September 9, 2025, http://www.unexmin.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/lopes2017.pdf
71. Multibeam Echosounder Equipment - R2Sonic, accessed September 9, 2025, https://r2sonic.com/products/

72. ROV/AUV/USV Multibeam Sonars - Teledyne Marine, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.teledynemarine.com/products/product-line/sonar/rovauvusv-multibeam-sonars
73. Hydrographic Survey Equipment - U.S. Office of Coast Survey, accessed September 9, 2025, https://nauticalcharts.noaa.gov/learn/hydrographic-survey-equipment.html
74. How Multi-Beam Sonar Technology is Revolutionizing Hydrographic Surveys, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.arcsurveyors.com/how-multi-beam-sonar-technology-is-revolutionizing-hydrographic-surveys/
75. Cerulean Surveyor Multibeam Echosounder - Blue Robotics, accessed September 9, 2025, https://bluerobotics.com/store/sonars/echosounders/cerulean-surveyor-240-16-multibeam-echosounder/
76. Multibeam Sonar | INVOCEAN, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.invoceangroup.com/multibeamsonar
77. Side Scan Sonar Systems and Transducers, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.unmannedsystemstechnology.com/expo/side-scan-sonar/
78. Marine Sonic Technology, accessed September 9, 2025, https://marinesonic.com/
79. Side Scan Sonar - EdgeTech, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.edgetech.com/product-category/side-scan-sonar/
80. Underwater Acoustic Modems Are Revolutionizing Marine Species Tracking, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.marinebiodiversity.ca/underwater-acoustic-modems-are-revolutionizing-marine-species-tracking/
81. Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks - Encyclopedia.pub, accessed September 9, 2025, https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/2587
82. Underwater Acoustic Modems - Ocean Science & Technology, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.oceansciencetechnology.com/suppliers/underwater-acoustic-modems/
83. W·SENSE | We Give Voice to the Ocean – Underwater Wireless Communication for Blue Economy, accessed September 9, 2025, https://wsense.it/
84. Underwater Wireless Communications - PMC, accessed September 9, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11548051/
85. acoustic-modems | EvoLogics, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.evologics.com/acoustic-modems
86. Underwater Acoustic Modems, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.popotomodem.com/acoustic-modems/
87. Underwater Acoustic Modem - LinkQuest Inc., accessed September 9, 2025, http://www.link-quest.com/html/uwm_datasheet.pdf
88. Kalman filtering for 3d real time data visualization of multibeam sonar records, accessed September 9, 2025, https://yadda.icm.edu.pl/baztech/element/bwmeta1.element.baztech-3905bb7e-7346-48ac-8700-8d4b6864c5d4/c/hydroacoustics-vol6-pp189.pdf

89. Using Match Uncertainty in the Kalman Filter for a Sonar Based Positioning System, accessed September 9, 2025, https://faculty.cc.gatech.edu/~hic/hic-papers/scia8.pdf
90. Implementing a Kalman Filter for Better Noise Filtering - Losant, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.losant.com/blog/implementing-a-kalman-filter-for-better-noise-filtering
91. Tutorial: Underwater Acoustic Imaging - Oceans, accessed September 9, 2025, https://global20.oceansconference.org/program/agenda/tutorial-underwater-acoustic-imaging/
92. (PDF) Ocean Acoustic Tomography - ResearchGate, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231117985_Ocean_Acoustic_Tomography
93. Flow current field observation with underwater moving acoustic tomography - Frontiers, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2023.1111176/full
94. Passive Ocean Acoustic Tomography: Theory and Experiment - SiPLAB, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.siplab.fct.ualg.pt/pubs/demarinis1.02.pdf
95. CesiumJS – Cesium, accessed September 9, 2025, https://cesium.com/platform/cesiumjs/
96. A Survey of Path Planning Algorithms for Mobile Robots - MDPI, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2624-8921/3/3/27
97. Dijkstra's algorithm - Wikipedia, accessed September 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dijkstra%27s_algorithm
98. Path Planning - cs.wisc.edu, accessed September 9, 2025, https://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~jphanna/teaching/25spring_cs639/resources/planning.pdf
99. Modeling, Motion Planning, and Control of Manipulators and Mobile Robots - Clemson University Open Textbooks, accessed September 9, 2025, https://opentextbooks.clemson.edu/wangrobotics/chapter/path-planning/
100. Adapting Pathfinding Algorithms for 3D Environments - DiVA portal, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1969718/FULLTEXT01.pdf
101. Implementation of Path Finding Method using 3D Mapping for Autonomous Robotic, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263402669_Implementation_of_Path_Finding_Method_using_3D_Mapping_for_Autonomous_Robotic
102. A Pathfinding Algorithm for Large-Scale Complex Terrain Environments in the Field - MDPI, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2220-9964/13/7/251
103. Mastering Pathfinding in 3D: A Step-by-Step Guide - YouTube, accessed September 9, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1jQSFUMg4bE
104. A* pathfinding in 3D - Game Development Stack Exchange, accessed

September 9, 2025,
https://gamedev.stackexchange.com/questions/93145/a-pathfinding-in-3d
105. Oak Island Money Pit - the world's longest running Treasure Hunt!, accessed September 9, 2025,
https://www.oakislandmystery.com/the-mystery/the-money-pit