THE WEAKLY NONLINEAR SATURATION OF THE MAGNETOROTATIONAL INSTABILITY

Clark, S.E. 1 , Oishi, J.S. 2,3 , and Mac Low, M.-M. 1,2 Draft version September 10, 2014

ABSTRACT

The magnetorotational instability (MRI) is a fundamental process of accretion disk physics, but its saturation mechanism remains poorly understood. We present an analytic analysis of the nonideal MRI in the weakly nonlinear regime – that is, when the MRI system is just unstable to its most unstable mode.

1. INTRODUCTION

The magnetorotational instability (MRI) arises in a differentially rotating disk with a vertical magnetic field.

2. SET-UP

Our domain is designed to be relevant to the design of the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) MRI experiment. The experimental apparatus is tall, one of many attributes designed to mitigate the meridional flows introduced by the endcaps. We use periodic vertical boundary conditions. On the radial boundaries of our domain – the inner and outer cylinders – we apply no-slip, perfectly conducting boundary conditions.

3. WEAKLY NONLINEAR ANALYSIS

We solve the equations of non-ideal, axisymmetric, incompressible magnetized Taylor Couette flow. We solve the momentum equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla P - \nabla\Phi + \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\mathbf{J}\times\mathbf{B}\right) + \nu\nabla^2\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Omega}\times\\ (\mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{r}) \end{array}$$

and the induction equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} \tag{2}$$

Subject to the magnetic solenoid and incompressibility constraints:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{4}$$

We perturb the fluid quantities with three-dimensional, axisymmetric perturbations. We nondimensionalize the equations according to [...] The fluid symbols **u**, **B**, etc. will henceforth be used to refer to the perturbed quantities.

We define the flux function A and streamfunction Ψ . These are scalar fields defined as the curl of the magnetic field and velocity, respectively, and so automatically satisfy our constraints.

A is thus related to the magnetic field as

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_z A \\ B_y \\ -\partial_x A \end{bmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

and Ψ is defined analogously.

The perturbed, nondimensionalized equations which will be the focus of this equation are as follows:

Our final equation set is:

$$\partial_t \nabla^2 \Psi + J(\Psi, \nabla^2 \Psi) - 2\partial_z u_{1y} = \frac{2}{\beta} B_0 \partial_z \nabla^2 A + \frac{2}{\beta} J(A, \nabla^2 A) + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^4 \Psi \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_{t} u_{1y} + J(\Psi, u_{1y}) + (2 - q) \Omega_{0} \partial_{z} \Psi = \frac{2}{\beta} B_{0} \partial_{z} B_{1y} + \frac{2}{\beta} J(A, B_{1y}) + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^{2} u_{1y}$$
 (7)

$$\partial_t A = B_0 \partial_z \Psi + J(A, \Psi) + \frac{1}{Rm} \nabla^2 A$$
 (8)

$$\partial_{t}B_{1y} = B_{0}\partial_{z}u_{1y} + J(A, u_{1y}) - J(\Psi, B_{1y}) + \frac{1}{Rm}\nabla^{2}B_{1y} - q\Omega_{0}\partial_{z}A \quad (9)$$

Where J is the Jacobian operator,

$$J(f,g) \equiv \partial_z f \partial_x g - \partial_x f \partial_z g. \tag{10}$$

Note that working in terms of the flux function raises the order of the first momentum equation.

The weakly nonlinear regime is where the MRI system is nonlinearly unstable to only the most unstable mode of the linear solution. We find the marginal state, where most unstable linear MRI mode neither grows nor decays. for a given set of dimensionless parameters.

 B_0 appears in Equations 6 - 9 because it is the nondimensionalized background field strength. That is, B = $B_0 \equiv 1$. In order to study our system in the weakly nonlinear regime, we tune the background magnetic field down away from stability (recall that stronger vertical fields stabilize the MRI). We do so by substituting $B=B_0\left(1-\epsilon^2\right)$. The degree of departure from the marginal state is measured by the small parameter ϵ .

¹ Department of Astronomy, Columbia University, New York,

NY ² Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY ³ Department of Physics, SUNY Farmingdale

An $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ weakening of the background magnetic field destabilizes a band of wave modes with width of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, which interact nonlinearly.

The destabilizing substitution is made, and Equations 6 - 9 are rewritten such that the fluid variables are contained in a state vector $\mathbf{V} = [\Psi, u_y, A, B_y]^{\mathrm{T}}$. This yields the following system of equations.

$$\mathcal{D}\partial_t \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{N} = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{V} - \epsilon^2 \partial_z \mathcal{X}\mathbf{V} - \epsilon^2 \partial_z^3 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathbf{V}$$
(11)
Where $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1 \partial_z + \mathcal{L}_2 \partial_z^2 + \mathcal{L}_3 \partial_z^3 + \mathcal{L}_4 \partial_z^4$

And:

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{Re} \partial_{x}^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{Re} \partial_{x}^{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{Rm} \partial_{x}^{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{Rm} \partial_{x}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & \frac{2}{\beta}\partial_{x}^{2} & 0\\ (\Omega_{0} - 2)q & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{\beta}\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & -q\Omega_{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\frac{1}{Re}\partial_{x}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{Re} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{Rm} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{Rm} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (15)

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{\beta} \partial_x^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{\beta}\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} . \tag{18}$$

We conduct a formal multiscale analysis. Our perturbations are characterized in terms of fast and slow-moving variables, in order to simultaneously track their evolution on fast and slow scales. The relative scalings of the fast and slow scales are determined as follows. When our four equations are linearized for axisymmetric perturbations of the form $e^{\sigma t+ik_xx+ik_zz}$, we can derive the linear dispersion relation, which is fourth order in σ . The fast and slow variables are then defined such that each of the temporal and spatial eigenvalues appear at the same lowest order in the linear dispersion relation. The scalings are:

$$X \equiv \epsilon x, \ Y \equiv \epsilon y, \ Z \equiv \epsilon z, \ T \equiv \epsilon^2 t$$
 (19)

Note that these are the same scalings as apply to Rayleigh-Bénard convection and hydrodynamic Taylor Couette flow. Each operator is expanded to reflect these scalings – for instance, ∂_z becomes $\partial_z + \epsilon \partial_Z$.

The multiple scale dependencies of our solution are encoded into an ansatz for the linear MRI solution at marginality,

$$\mathbf{V_1} = \alpha(T, Z) \mathbb{V}_{11}(x) e^{ik_c z} + c.c., \tag{20}$$

where α is a slowly-varying amplitude equation. The x dependence is contained in \mathbb{V}_{11} , and must be solved for subject to the radial boundary conditions. The periodic vertical boundary conditions allow us to posit the z dependence, where k_c is the value of the vertical wavenumber at marginality.

Because we investigate the system at marginality, where the growth rate $\sigma = 0$, the ∂_t terms drop out. Thus after the multiscale expansion our system becomes

$$\epsilon^{2} \mathcal{D} \partial_{T} \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{N} = \mathcal{L} \mathbf{V} + \epsilon \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1} \partial_{Z} \mathbf{V} + \epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{2} \partial_{Z}^{2} \mathbf{V} - \epsilon^{2} \partial_{z} \mathcal{X} \mathbf{V} - \epsilon^{2} \partial_{z}^{3} \mathcal{L}_{3} \mathbf{V} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3}) \quad (21)$$

Where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1 + 2\mathcal{L}_2\partial_z + 3\mathcal{L}_3\partial_z^2 + 4\mathcal{L}_4\partial_z^3 \tag{22}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2 + 3\mathcal{L}_3\partial_z + 6\mathcal{L}_4\partial_z^2 \tag{23}$$

The state vector is expanded in orders of ϵ :

$$\mathbf{V} = \epsilon \mathbf{V_1} + \epsilon^2 \mathbf{V_2} + \epsilon^3 \mathbf{V_3} + \dots \tag{24}$$

Substituting Equation 24 into Equation 21 yields the perturbed, expanded equations. We solve these successively in increasing orders of ϵ .

To $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{V_1} = 0 \tag{25}$$

To $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{V_2} = \mathbf{N_2} - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1 \partial_Z \mathbf{V_1} \tag{26}$$

To $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$:

$$\mathcal{D}\partial_{T}\mathbf{V}_{1} + \mathbf{N}_{3} = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{V}_{3} + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1}\partial_{Z}\mathbf{V}_{2} + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{2}\partial_{Z}^{2}\mathbf{V}_{1} - \partial_{z}\mathcal{X}\mathbf{V}_{1} - \partial_{z}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{3}\mathbf{V}_{1}$$
(27)

The $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ equation is the equation for the linear MRI at marginality. This is the equation that is solved to find the \mathbb{V}_{11} component of Equation 20.

The partial differential equations that comprise Equations 25 to 27 are solved in succession subject to no-slip, perfectly conducting radial boundary conditions, defined

$$\Psi = \partial_x \Psi = u_y = A = \partial_x B_y = 0 \tag{28}$$

The practical advantage of our ansatz construction (Equation 20) is clear: the separable x-dependence means that the radial boundary conditions are solved in only one dimension. Thus our analytical framework

is able to side-step many of the resolution issues faced by multidimensional simulations. We are able to resolve even significant structure in the boundary layers of our domain, because we need only resolve it in one dimen-

sion.

4. RESULTS
5. CONCLUSIONS