1 Basic Equations 1

1 Basic Equations

The so-called Stokes stream function, used in axisymmetric situations, is given by

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r} \partial_z \psi \, \hat{\mathbf{r}} \\ u_{\phi} \, \hat{\phi} \\ -\frac{1}{r} \partial_r \psi \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}; \tag{1}$$

here we define A in the same way.

Using the definitions in

$$\partial_{t} \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\nabla^{2} \psi - \frac{2 \partial_{r} \psi}{r} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{r^{2}} J(\psi, \nabla^{2} A - \frac{2 \partial_{r} \psi}{r}) = \frac{\partial_{z} A}{r^{3}} \left(\nabla^{2} A - \frac{2 \partial_{r} A}{r} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r} J \left(A, \frac{1}{r} \left(\nabla^{2} A - \frac{2 \partial_{r} A}{r} \right) \right) - \frac{2 B_{\phi} \partial_{z} B_{\phi}}{r}$$

$$+ \nu \left\{ \nabla^{2} \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\nabla^{2} \psi - \frac{2 \partial_{r} \psi}{r} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\nabla^{2} \psi - \frac{2 \partial_{r} \psi}{r} \right) \right\}$$
(2)

For the expanded form of the Ψ equation, Susan gets:

$$\partial_t u_\phi + \frac{J(\psi, u_\phi)}{r} + \frac{u_\phi \partial_z \psi}{r^2} = \frac{J(A, B_\phi)}{r} + \frac{B_\phi \partial_z A}{r^2} + \nu \left(\nabla^2 u_\phi - \frac{u_\phi}{r} \right)$$
(3)

$$\partial_t A = \frac{1}{r} J(A, \psi) + \frac{1}{\text{Rm}} \left(\nabla^2 A - \frac{2\partial_r A}{r} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_t B_\phi = \frac{1}{r} J(A, u_\phi) + \frac{1}{r} J(B_\phi, \psi)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r^2} B_\phi \partial_z \psi - \frac{1}{r^2} u_\phi \partial_z A + \eta \left(\nabla^2 B_\phi - \frac{1}{r^2} B_\phi \right)$$
 (5)

2 Detailed Derivation of Ψ Equation

The Ψ equation, governing the x- and z-components of the velocity, is particularly tricky to derive so I will write out the steps here.

1. Find $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ components of the momentum equation, i.e.:

$$\partial_t u_z + \left[u \cdot \nabla u \right]_z = \left[(\nabla \times B) \times B \right]_z + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left[\nabla^2 u \right]_z \tag{6}$$

We sub in our stream/flux function notation and expand the operators in cylindrical coordinates. Then take ∂_r of the resulting equation to obtain:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_t\partial_r\Psi - \frac{1}{r}\partial_t\partial_r^2\Psi - \frac{3}{r^4}\partial_z\Psi\partial_r\Psi + \frac{1}{r^3}\partial_r\left(\partial_z\Psi\partial_r\Psi\right) + \frac{2}{r^3}\partial_z\Psi\partial_r^2\Psi - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r\left(\partial_z\Psi\partial_r^2\Psi\right) \\ - \frac{2}{r^3}\partial_r\Psi\partial_r\partial_z\Psi + \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r\left(\partial_r\Psi\partial_r\partial_z\Psi\right) = \\ \partial_r\left(B_\phi\partial_zB_\phi\right) + \frac{2}{r^3}\partial_z^2A\partial_zA - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r\left(\partial_z^2A\partial_zA\right) + \frac{3}{r^4}\partial_zA\partial_rA - \frac{1}{r^3}\partial_r\left(\partial_zA\partial_rA\right) - \frac{2}{r^3}\partial_zA\partial_r^2A \\ + \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r\left(\partial_zA\partial_r^2A\right) + \frac{1}{\text{Re}}\left[\frac{3}{r^4}\partial_r\Psi - \frac{3}{r^3}\partial_r^2\Psi + \frac{2}{r^2}\partial_r^3\Psi - \frac{1}{r}\partial_r^4\Psi\right] \end{split} (7)$$

Repeat this process for the $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ component of the momentum equation,

$$\partial_t u_r + [u \cdot \nabla u]_r = [(\nabla \times B) \times B]_r + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} [\nabla^2 u]_r$$
 (8)

and take ∂_z of the expanded equation to obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{r}\partial_{t}\partial_{z}^{2}\Psi - \frac{1}{r^{3}}\partial_{z}\left(\partial_{z}\Psi\partial_{z}\Psi\right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{z}\left(\partial_{z}\Psi\partial_{z}\partial_{r}\Psi\right) - \frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{z}\left(\partial_{r}\Psi\partial_{z}^{2}\Psi\right) - \frac{1}{r}2u_{\phi}\partial_{z}u_{\phi} \\ = -\frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{z}^{3}A\partial_{r}A - \frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{z}^{2}A\partial_{r}\partial_{z}A + \frac{2}{r^{3}}\partial_{r}\partial_{z}A\partial_{r}A - \frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{r}^{2}\partial_{z}A\partial_{r}A - \frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{z}^{2}A\partial_{r}\partial_{z}A \\ + \frac{1}{\text{Re}}\left[-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{z}^{2}\partial_{r}\Psi + \frac{1}{r}\partial_{z}^{2}\partial_{r}^{2}\Psi + \frac{1}{r}\partial_{z}^{4}\Psi\right] \quad (9) \end{split}$$

It is clear from the ∂_t terms that we must combine these equations by subtracting the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ equation from the $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ equation.

When we do, we can simplify the LHS of the equation to:

$$\frac{1}{r}\partial_t \left(\nabla^2 \Psi - \frac{2}{r}\partial_r \Psi \right) + J \left(\Psi, \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\nabla^2 \Psi - \frac{2}{r}\partial_r \Psi \right) \right) - \frac{1}{r} 2u_\phi \partial_z u_\phi \tag{10}$$

Note that the relevant quantity appears to be $\nabla^2 \Psi - \frac{2}{r} \partial_r \Psi$, and that the $\frac{1}{r^2}$ in the second term cannot come out of the Jacobian (a point of disagreement with Jeff's equation above). Also I'm confused why Jeff's has no u_{ϕ} term. The RHS of this equation is significantly more complicated.

RHS viscous term:

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}} \left[\nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{r} \nabla^2 \Psi \right) - \frac{1}{r^3} \partial_r^2 \Psi - \frac{1}{r^4} \partial_r \Psi \right] \tag{11}$$

Full Ψ equation according to Susan:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{r}\partial_{t}\left(\nabla^{2}\Psi-\frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}\Psi\right)+J\left(\Psi,\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\nabla^{2}\Psi-\frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}\Psi\right)\right)-\frac{1}{r}2u_{\phi}\partial_{z}u_{\phi}\\ &=J\left(A,\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\nabla^{2}A-\frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}A\right)\right)-\frac{2}{r}B_{\phi}\partial_{z}B_{\phi}\\ &+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\left[\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\nabla^{2}\Psi\right)-\frac{1}{r^{3}}\partial_{r}^{2}\Psi-\frac{1}{r^{4}}\partial_{r}\Psi\right] \end{split} \tag{12}$$

Note that this is actually beautifully symmetric. Except the viscous term which still seems clunky.....

The derivation of the non-viscous term on the righthand side of the momentum equation $(\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B})$ is as follows.

$$\partial_z \left(\left[(\nabla \times B) \times B \right]_r \right) - \partial_r \left(\left[(\nabla \times B) \times B \right]_z \right) \tag{13}$$

$$= \partial_z \left(\left[\left(\partial_z B_r - \partial_r B_z \right) B_z - \left(\frac{1}{r} \partial_r \left(r B_\phi \right) \right) B_\phi \right] \right) - \partial_r \left(\left[\left(-\partial_z B_\phi \right) B_\phi - \left(\partial_z B_r - \partial_r B_z \right) B_r \right] \right)$$

$$\tag{14}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{r^2}\partial_z^3 A \partial_r A + \frac{1}{r^3}\partial_r \partial_z A \partial_r A - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r^2 \partial_z A \partial_r A - \frac{2}{r^3}\partial_z^2 A \partial_z A$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_z^2 \partial_r A \partial_z A + \frac{3}{r^4}\partial_r A \partial_z A - \frac{3}{r^3}\partial_r^2 A \partial_z A + \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r^3 A \partial_z A - \frac{2}{r}B_\phi \partial_z B_\phi \quad (15)$$

This simplifies to

$$J\left(A, \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\nabla^2 A - \frac{2}{r} \partial_r A\right)\right) - \frac{2}{r} B_{\phi} \partial_z B_{\phi} \tag{16}$$

Full derivation of viscous term:

$$\partial_z \left(\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left[\nabla^2 u \right]_r \right) - \partial_r \left(\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left[\nabla^2 u \right]_z \right)$$
 (17)

$$= \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left[\partial_z \left(\nabla^2 u_r - \frac{1}{r^2} u_r \right) - \partial_r \left(\nabla^2 u_z \right) \right]$$
 (18)

$$= \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left[-\frac{2}{r^2} \partial_z^2 \partial_r \Psi + \frac{2}{r} \partial_z^2 \partial_r^2 \Psi + \frac{1}{r} \partial_z^4 \Psi - \frac{3}{r^4} \partial_r \Psi + \frac{3}{r^3} \partial_r^2 \Psi - \frac{2}{r^2} \partial_r^3 \Psi + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r^4 \Psi \right]$$
(19)

3 Recovery of Narrow Gap Equations

4 Nondimensionalization

The momentum equation must be nondimensionalized. Here are the definitions of all of the dimensional components:

$$\widetilde{u} = \Omega_0 r_0 \delta u$$

$$\widetilde{x} = \delta r_0 x$$

$$\widetilde{\nabla} = \frac{\nabla}{\delta r_0}$$

$$\widetilde{t} = \frac{t}{\Omega_0}$$

$$\widetilde{B} = B_0 B$$

$$\widetilde{P} = P_0 P$$

$$\widetilde{\rho} = \rho_0 \rho$$
(20)

If we define the velocity scale v_0 as the local sound speed scale $\left(c_{s0} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{P_0}{\rho_0}}\right)$, then $\Omega_0 r_0 \delta = \sqrt{\frac{P_0}{\rho_0}}$.

Nondimensionalizing the momentum equation and dividing by a factor of $\Omega_0^2 r_0 \delta$ yields

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho} \frac{B_0^2}{\rho_0 \Omega_0^2 r_0^2 \delta^2} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r})$$
(21)

If we define

$$\beta \equiv \frac{P}{P_{mag}} = \frac{\rho_0 \Omega_0^2 r_0^2 8\pi}{B_0^2} \tag{22}$$

where $P_{mag} = \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi}$, then the factor in front of $(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}$ should be $\frac{2}{\beta}$. Agreed?

5 Perturbed Equations

We perturb the wide gap equations according to

$$\mathbf{B} = B_0 \hat{z} + \mathbf{B_1},\tag{23}$$

which is the same perturbation we used in the thin-gap construction. But wait! We perturbed the thin-gap equations according to

$$\mathbf{u} = -q\Omega_0 r\hat{\phi} + \mathbf{u_1} \tag{24}$$

but I don't think this is valid in the wide-gap case. To be sure, I'm going to perturb instead by

$$\mathbf{u} = r\Omega(r)\hat{\phi} + \mathbf{u_1} \tag{25}$$

where $\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-q} = \Omega_0 (r)^{-q}$ in dimensionless coordinates (but keeping Ω_0 to flag a rotational term...) Agreed?

We also add the Coriolis and centrifugal terms $-2\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r})$ which expand as follows:

$$-2\Omega(r)\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \left(\mathbf{u}_1 + r\Omega(r)\hat{\phi}\right) = -2\Omega(r)u_r\hat{\phi} + 2\Omega(r)u_{\phi}\hat{\mathbf{r}} + 2r\Omega(r)^2\hat{\mathbf{r}}$$
(26)

$$-\Omega(r)\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times (\Omega(r)\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times r\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = +\Omega(r)^2 r\hat{\mathbf{r}}$$
(27)

The $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ terms will have ∂_z applied to them, which will destroy the $3\Omega(r)^2 r\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ term and so the righthand side of the Ψ equation will ultimately gain only the term $2\Omega(r)\partial_z u_\phi \hat{\mathbf{r}}$. The $\hat{\phi}$ equation gains the term $-\frac{2}{r}\Omega(r)\partial_z \Psi$ on the righthand side

The Ψ equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{r}\partial_{t}\left(\nabla^{2}\Psi - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}\Psi\right) + J\left(\Psi, \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\nabla^{2}\Psi - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}\Psi\right)\right) - \frac{1}{r}2u_{\phi}\partial_{z}u_{\phi} - \partial_{z}\left(u_{\phi}\Omega(r)\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\beta}J\left(A, \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\nabla^{2}A - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}A\right)\right) - \frac{2}{\beta}\frac{2}{r}B_{\phi}\partial_{z}B_{\phi} + 2\Omega(r)\partial_{z}u_{\phi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\text{Re}}\left[\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\nabla^{2}\Psi\right) - \frac{1}{r^{3}}\partial_{r}^{2}\Psi - \frac{1}{r^{4}}\partial_{r}\Psi\right] + \frac{2}{\beta}\frac{1}{r}B_{0}\partial_{z}\left(\nabla^{2}A - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}A\right) \quad (28)$$

Note that one of the terms gained from the base state in the $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}$ term can be combined with the term gained from the Coriolis term, but here I write them separately so we can check the equation. Equation 3 becomes

$$\partial_t u_{\phi} + \frac{J(\psi, u_{\phi})}{r} + \frac{u_{\phi} \partial_z \psi}{r^2} + \partial_z \Psi \left(\frac{2}{r} \Omega(r) + \partial_r \Omega(r) \right) =$$

$$\frac{2}{\beta} \frac{J(A, B_{\phi})}{r} + \frac{2}{\beta} \frac{B_{\phi} \partial_z A}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\nabla^2 u_{\phi} - \frac{u_{\phi}}{r} \right) + \frac{2}{\beta} B_0 \partial_z B_{\phi} - \frac{2}{r} \Omega(r) \partial_z \Psi$$
 (29)

Equation 4 picks up $+\Omega(r)B_{\phi}$ from the term $-(u_0\hat{\phi}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B_1}$ and $-\Omega(r)B_{\phi}$ from the term $+(\mathbf{B_1}\cdot\nabla)\hat{\phi}$ and, so those cancel and the equation becomes

$$\partial_t A = \frac{1}{r} J(A, \psi) + \frac{1}{\text{Rm}} \left(\nabla^2 A - \frac{2\partial_r A}{r} \right) + B_0 \partial_z \Psi$$
 (30)

Note that this is perfectly analogous to the thin-gap version of this equation.

6 Matrix Formulation 6

The $\hat{\phi}$ component of the induction equation, Equation 5, becomes

$$\partial_t B_{\phi} = \frac{1}{r} J(A, u_{\phi}) + \frac{1}{r} J(B_{\phi}, \psi)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r^2} B_{\phi} \partial_z \psi - \frac{1}{r^2} u_{\phi} \partial_z A + \frac{1}{\text{Rm}} \left(\nabla^2 B_{\phi} - \frac{1}{r^2} B_{\phi} \right) + B_0 \partial_z u_{\phi} + \partial_z A \left(\frac{2}{r} \Omega(r) + \partial_r \Omega(r) \right)$$
(31)

6 Matrix Formulation

This is all pending a rigorous check of Equations 28 - 31, which Jeff is working on, but I'll start putting this into a matrix construction.

First, the nonlinear vector, on the lefthand side of the equation, is

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} J\left(\Psi, \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\nabla^{2}\Psi - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}\Psi\right)\right) - \frac{2}{\beta}J\left(A, \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\nabla^{2}A - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}A\right)\right) - \frac{2}{r}u_{\phi}\partial_{z}u_{\phi} + \frac{2}{\beta}\frac{2}{r}B_{\phi}\partial_{z}B_{\phi} \\ \frac{1}{r}J\left(\Psi, u_{\phi}\right) - \frac{1}{r}\frac{2}{\beta}J\left(A, B_{\phi}\right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}}u_{\phi}\partial_{z}\Psi - \frac{2}{\beta}\frac{1}{r^{2}}B_{\phi}\partial_{z}A \\ - \frac{1}{r}J\left(A, \Psi\right) \\ - \frac{1}{r}J\left(A, u_{\phi}\right) - \frac{1}{r}J\left(B_{\phi}, \Psi\right) - \frac{1}{r^{2}}B_{\phi}\partial_{z}\Psi + \frac{1}{r^{2}}u_{\phi}\partial_{z}A \end{bmatrix}$$
(32)

Note that these differ from the thin-gap nonlinear terms not only because of the curvature terms in the Jacobians, but also because of the additional advective terms in all but the $\bf A$ equation.

The ∂_t terms are grouped together into

$$\partial_t D = \partial_t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r} \nabla^2 - \frac{2}{r^2} \partial_r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(33)

For the righthand side of the equation, I'll follow the same process that I did with the thin-gap. That is I'll first separate the matrices by physical meaning, and then regroup terms based on z-dependence. The RHS can be described by three matrices: one representing the rotational terms, one representing the background field terms, and one representing the viscous/resistive terms.

We separate the viscous/resistive matrix out in terms of ∂_z dependence.

Note that the form of each of the terms is different in the first matrix.

A Cylindrical derivatives

Everything here follows http://farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/336L/Fluidhtml/node177.html#scyl.

For a scalar field ψ ,

$$\nabla \psi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} \hat{\phi} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \hat{\mathbf{z}}.$$
 (36)

However, for a vector field \mathbf{u} ,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (ru_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}$$
 (37)

and

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{u} = \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial z}\right) \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \left(\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r}\right) \hat{\phi} + \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (ru_\phi)}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \phi}\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}. \tag{38}$$

We also need the ϕ component of the convective derivative $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$,

$$[\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}]_{\phi} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla u_{\phi} + \frac{u_r u_{\phi}}{r}, \tag{39}$$

and finally, the vector Laplacian,

$$(\nabla^2 \mathbf{u})_r = \nabla^2 u_r - \frac{u_r}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi}$$
 (40)

$$(\nabla^2 \mathbf{u})_{\phi} = \nabla^2 u_{\phi} + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{u_{\phi}}{r^2}$$
(41)

$$(\nabla^2 \mathbf{u})_z = \nabla^2 u_z,\tag{42}$$

where ∇ on the vector components is given by equation (36).

Note that, expanding the definition of the vector Laplacian, where the cylindrical scalar Laplacian is substituted in for $\nabla^2 u_r$ and $\nabla^2 u_z$