

E9 285 Biomedical imaging-Inverse problems

Course organization

Part I:

Foundations (this lecture)

Part II:

- a) Image formation in fluorescence microscopy
- b) Representation reconstruction (deconvolution) methods for fluorescence microscopy

Part III:

- a) Image formation in tomography
- b) Representation reconstruction methods for tomography

Part IV:

- a) Image formation in MRI
- b) Representation reconstruction methods for MRI

Part V (student presentations):

- a) Other derivative based regularization approaches
- b) Other iterative methods
- c) Algorithm for wavelet regularization

Part VI:

- a) Introduction to compressed sensing
- b) Compressed sensing for MRI

Grading

- 1) Assignments (both derivation and MATLAB simulation): 25 marks**
- 2) Project (implementation of a journal paper): 25 marks**
- 3) Presentations (for part V): 10 marks**
- 4) Final written exam: 40 marks**

E9 285 Biomedical imaging-Inverse problem

Lecture 1 **Foundations**

General form of the inverse problem

The image notation:

$$x(\mathbf{r}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}$$

$x(\mathbf{r})$: 2D or 3D image with index $\mathbf{r} = (l, m)$ or (l, m, n)

\mathbf{x} : pixels/voxels of the array column vector

The measurement model:

$$m_i = \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$s_i = \mathfrak{R}(m_i)$$

\mathbf{x} : required unknown vector (scanned image)

\mathbf{v}_i : measuring vector

m_i : ideal measurement

s_i : actual measurement

\mathfrak{R} : random process with m_i as its mean

with likelihood $L(m_i, s_i)$

The inversion framework: Penalized maximum-likelihood approach

$$\mathbf{x}_{opt} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left[-\sum_{i=1}^M \log L(\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{x}; s_i) + \lambda J(x) \right]$$

$J(x)$: penalty function/functional

Two types of likelihood functions:

Gaussian

$$L(\mu_i; s_i) = e^{-(s_i - \mu_i)^2 / \sigma^2}$$

Poissons

$$L(\mu_i; s_i) = \frac{\mu_i^{s_i} e^{-\mu_i}}{s_i!}$$

P-MLE for Gaussian:

$$\mathbf{x}_{opt} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{x} - s_i)^2 + \lambda J(x) \right]$$

P-MLE for Poissons:

$$\mathbf{x}_{opt} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^M \left(\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{x} - s_i \log(\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \right) + \lambda J(x) \right]$$

General form of $J(x)$:

$$J(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \varphi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} \left(L_j(\mathbf{r}) * x(\mathbf{r}) \right)^2 \right)$$

$L_j(\mathbf{r}), j = 1, \dots, N_F$: derivative filters

φ : convex function

Alternate form:

$$J(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \varphi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} \left(\mathbf{u}_{j,\mathbf{r}}^T \mathbf{X} \right)^2 \right)$$

Introduction to multivariable quadratic functions

The quadratic form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

\mathbf{x} : $n \times 1$ vector of optimization variable

\mathbf{b} : $n \times 1$ constant vector

\mathbf{Q} : $n \times n$ symmetric matrix

c : scalar constant

What if \mathbf{Q} is not symmetric ?

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{Q}' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^T)$$

$$f'(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

Are $f(\mathbf{x})$ and $f'(\mathbf{x})$ related ?

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

$$f'(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

$$\mathbf{Q}' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^T)$$

$f(\mathbf{x})$ and $f'(\mathbf{x})$ are identical.

Hence there is no loss of generality in assuming \mathbf{Q} to be symmetric.

Properties of symmetric matrices

- (1) Eigen values are real
- (2) Eigen vectors can be assumed to be real
- (3) Eigen vectors are orthogonal
- (4) Is diagonalizable

Properties of symmetric matrices

(1) An $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, \mathbf{Q} , is called positive definite if $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v} > \mathbf{0}$, for every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(2) Since \mathbf{Q} is symmetric, we have $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^T$.

Also any \mathbf{v} can be expressed as $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{s}$, for some \mathbf{s} .

(1) and (2) imply

An $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, \mathbf{Q} , is called positive definite if and only if $\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{s} > \mathbf{0}$, for every $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

which in turn implies

an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, \mathbf{Q} , is called positive definite if and only if all eigen values are positive.

Gradient and Hessian of quadratic form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

Gradient:

$$\nabla(f(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$$

Hessian:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Q}$$

Minimization of quadratic forms

(1) First order necessary condition:

$$\nabla \left(f(\mathbf{x}^*) \right) = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{b} = 0 \text{ is solvable.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b} \text{ is solvable.}$$

(2a) Second order necessary condition

the Hessian, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Q}$ is positive semi-definite.

(2b) Second order sufficient condition:

\mathbf{Q} is positive definite.

The method of conjugate gradients for quadratic functions

The steepest descent method

Given an initial guess for \mathbf{x}_0 for the minimum of the quadratic form $f(\mathbf{x}) = (1/2)\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$, let $\mathbf{d}_0 = -\mathbf{g}_0 = -(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{b})$.

Then the iteration is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}_k$$

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{\mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{d}_k}{\mathbf{d}_k^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_k}$$

$$\mathbf{d}_{k+1} = -\mathbf{g}_{k+1}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}.$$

Consecutive directions are orthogonal, i.e., $\mathbf{d}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{d}_k = 0$

The conjugate gradient method:

Given an initial guess for \mathbf{x}_0 for the minimum of the quadratic form $f(\mathbf{x}) = (1/2)\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$, let $\mathbf{d}_0 = -\mathbf{g}_0 = -(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{b})$.

Then the iteration is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}_k, \quad \alpha_k = -\frac{\mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{d}_k}{\mathbf{d}_k^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{d}_k = 0$$
$$\mathbf{d}_{k+1} = -\mathbf{g}_{k+1} + \beta_k \mathbf{d}_k, \quad \beta_k = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_k}{\mathbf{d}_k^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{d}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_k = 0$$

where $\mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}$.

Consecutive directions are Q-orthogonal

The conjugate gradient theorem:

The conjugate gradient algorithm, at any step k satisfies the following:

$$(a) \quad [\mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_k] = [\mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{Q}^k \mathbf{g}_0]$$

$$(b) \quad [\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_k] = [\mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{Q}^k \mathbf{g}_0]$$

$$(c) \quad \mathbf{d}_k^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_i = 0 \text{ for all } i < k$$

$$(d) \quad \alpha_k = \mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{g}_k / \mathbf{d}_k^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{d}_k$$

$$(e) \quad \beta_k = \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{g}_{k+1} / \mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{g}_k$$

Optimality of conjugate gradient method

Define

$$E(\mathbf{x}_k) = (\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*), \text{ where } \mathbf{x}^* \text{ is the optimal solution.}$$

Then

$$E(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \leq \max_{\lambda} [1 + \lambda P_k(\lambda)]^2 E(\mathbf{x}_0),$$

for any polynomial P_k of order k .