

线性回归第五周作业

宋歌 2015080086 数52

3/27/2018

1. 考虑简单线性模型 $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i$, 假定 $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \text{ iid } \sim U(-\theta, \theta)$, $\theta > 0$ 是未知参数。试研究 β_0, β_1 的LSE和MLE的渐近性质, 同时考虑 θ 的估计性质。

1) $\theta = 1, x_i \equiv 0$

In this case, $Y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i \text{ iid } \sim U(\beta_0 - 1, \beta_0 + 1)$

By the definition of LSE, we have

$$\hat{\beta}_{0,LSE} = \arg \min_{\beta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0)^2 = \arg \min_{\beta_0} \|\mathbf{Y} - \beta_0\|^2 = \bar{\mathbf{Y}}$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\beta}_{0,LSE} = \bar{\mathbf{Y}} \xrightarrow{P} E(Y_i) = \beta_0$$

By the definition of MLE, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{0,MLE} &= \arg \max_{\beta_0} L(\beta_0 | y_1, \dots, y_n) = \arg \max_{\beta_0} \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \beta_0) \\ &= \arg \max_{\beta_0} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^n \mathbf{I}_{(\beta_0-1, \infty)}(y_{(1)}) \mathbf{I}_{(-\infty, \beta_0+1)}(y_{(n)}) \right] \\ &= \lambda(y_{(n)} - 1) + (1 - \lambda)(y_{(1)} + 1), \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$

$$\begin{aligned} P(|y_{(n)} - (\beta_0 + 1)| > \varepsilon) &= P(\beta_0 + 1 - y_{(n)} > \varepsilon) = P(y_{(n)} < \beta_0 + 1 - \varepsilon) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i < \beta_0 + 1 - \varepsilon) = \left(\frac{2 - \varepsilon}{2} \right)^n \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

that is to say, $y_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0 + 1$, in the same way, we obtain $y_{(1)} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0 - 1$

Thus, by Slutsky Theorem,

$$\hat{\beta}_{0,MLE} \xrightarrow{P} \lambda\beta_0 + (1-\lambda)\beta_0 = \beta_0$$

2) $x_i \equiv 0$

In this case, $Y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i \text{ iid } \sim U(\beta_0 - \theta, \beta_0 + \theta)$

By the conclusion in 1), we still have

$$\hat{\beta}_{0,LSE} = \bar{\mathbf{Y}} \xrightarrow{P} E(Y_i) = \beta_0$$

By the definition of MLE, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\beta}_{0,MLE}, \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) &= \arg \max_{\beta_0, \theta} L(\beta_0, \theta | y_1, \dots, y_n) = \arg \max_{\beta_0, \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \beta_0, \theta) \\ &= \arg \max_{\beta_0, \theta} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n \mathbf{I}_{(\beta_0 - \theta, \beta_0 + \theta)}(y_{(1)}) \mathbf{I}_{(-\infty, \beta_0 + \theta)}(y_{(n)}) \right] \\ &= \arg \max_{\substack{\theta > 0, \beta_0 \in \\ (y_{(n)} - \theta, y_{(1)} + \theta)}} \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n = \left(\frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(1)}}{2}, \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)}}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that $y_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0 + \theta$, $y_{(1)} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0 - \theta$. Thus, by Slutsky Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{0,MLE} &= \frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(1)}}{2} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\beta_0 + \theta + \beta_0 - \theta}{2} = \beta_0 \\ \hat{\theta}_{MLE} &= \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)}}{2} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\beta_0 + \theta - \beta_0 + \theta}{2} = \theta \end{aligned}$$

3) (The answer seems to be wrong...)

Consider the general Simple Linear Model $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i$, where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \text{ iid } \sim U(-\theta, \theta)$, $\theta > 0$ is an unknown parameter.

We already know that, when $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^2 \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{1,LSE} &= \beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{P} \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_{0,LSE} &= \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - \hat{\beta}_{1,LSE} \bar{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0 \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\beta}_{0,MLE}, \hat{\beta}_{1,MLE}, \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) &= \arg \max_{\beta_0, \beta_1, \theta} L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \theta | y_1, \dots, y_n) \\
&= \arg \max_{\beta_0, \beta_1, \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \beta_0, \beta_1, \theta) \\
&= \arg \max_{\beta_0, \beta_1, \theta} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n \mathbf{I}_{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i - \theta < y_i < \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \theta)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

When $\beta_1 > 0$, the maximum of the likelihood function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\beta_0, \beta_1, \theta} L &= \max_{\beta_0, \beta_1 > 0, \theta > 0} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n \mathbf{I}_{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{(n)} - \theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)}) \mathbf{I}_{(-\infty, \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{(1)} + \theta)}(y_{(n)}) \right] \\
&= \max_{\substack{\theta > 0, \beta_1 > 0 \\ \beta_0 \in (y_{(n)} - \theta - \beta_1 X_{(1)}, y_{(1)} + \theta - \beta_1 X_{(n)})}} \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n \\
&= \max_{\beta_1 > 0} L \left(\frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(1)} - \beta_1(X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})}{2}, \beta_1, \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)} + \beta_1(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

When $\beta_1 < 0$, the maximum of the likelihood function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\beta_0, \beta_1, \theta} L &= \max_{\beta_0, \beta_1 < 0, \theta > 0} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n \mathbf{I}_{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{(1)} - \theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)}) \mathbf{I}_{(-\infty, \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{(n)} + \theta)}(y_{(n)}) \right] \\
&= \max_{\substack{\theta > 0, \beta_1 < 0 \\ \beta_0 \in (y_{(n)} - \theta - \beta_1 X_{(n)}, y_{(1)} + \theta - \beta_1 X_{(1)})}} \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right)^n \\
&= \max_{\beta_1 < 0} L \left(\frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(1)} - \beta_1(X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})}{2}, \beta_1, \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)} - \beta_1(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(\hat{\beta}_{0,MLE}, \hat{\beta}_{1,MLE}, \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \left(\frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(1)} - \beta_1(X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})}{2}, \beta_1, \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)} + |\beta_1|(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})}{2} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{0,MLE} &= \frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(1)} - \beta_1(X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})}{2} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0 \\
\hat{\theta}_{MLE} &= \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)} + |\beta_1|(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})}{2} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\beta_1 + |\beta_1|}{2}(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}) + \theta
\end{aligned}$$

2. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$ be symmetric. Prove the following results:

- a) Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. Then $\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi_r^2$ iff \mathbf{A} is idempotent of rank $r = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ since then \mathbf{A} is a projection matrix.

By Spectral Decomposition Theorem, there exists an orthogonal matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ such that

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Gamma} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of matrix \mathbf{A} .

- i) If \mathbf{A} is idempotent of rank $r = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$, we know that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are either 0 or 1, then

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{X}$, then $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{I}_n \mathbf{\Gamma}) = N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$

Now we have

$$\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i^2$$

Since $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$, we know that $X_i \text{ iid} \sim N(0, 1)$, thus,

$$\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i^2 \sim \chi_r^2$$

- ii) If $\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi_r^2$, let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{X}$, then

$$\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^T \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i X_i^2 \sim \chi_r^2$$

By the fact that $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$ and $X_i \text{ iid} \sim N(0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}}(t) &= E[\exp(it \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i X_i^2)] = E[\prod_{k=1}^n \exp(it \lambda_k X_k^2)] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n E[\exp(it \lambda_k X_k^2)] = \prod_{k=1}^n f_{\lambda_k X_k^2}(t) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - 2it \lambda_k)^{-1/2} = (1 - 2it)^{-r/2} \end{aligned}$$

that is to say, $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = 1, \lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$

thus,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{\Gamma}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{A}$$

$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = r$, so \mathbf{A} is idempotent of rank $r = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$

b) Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$. Then

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_r^2 \text{ or } \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \sim \sigma^2 \chi_r^2$$

iff \mathbf{A} is idempotent of rank r .

Let $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Y}/\sigma \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. Hence by a)

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}}{\sigma^2} = \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z} \sim \chi_r^2$$

iff \mathbf{A} is idempotent of rank r .

c) if $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ where $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$, then $\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi_r^2$ iff $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}$ is idempotent of rank $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$.

Since $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is symmetric and $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$, there exists an invertible matrix \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}_n$, then $\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$

$$\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T} \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{Y})^T (\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T}) (\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{Y})$$

by a), we know that $\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi_r^2$ iff $\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T}$ is idempotent of rank $\text{tr}(\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T})$ that is

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T}$$

Since \mathbf{P} is an invertible matrix, we also have

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$$

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma})^2 = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}$$

So $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}$ is idempotent, and

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T} \mathbf{P}^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}^{-T}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$$

3. 教材中习题：11.6 - 11.12

11.6 Write the following quantities as $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{Y}$ or $\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}$ or $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}$.

Let $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$, then

- a) $\bar{Y} = [1/n, \dots, 1/n][Y_1, \dots, Y_n]^T$
- b) $\sum_i (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})^2 \mathbf{Y}$
- c) $\sum_i (\hat{Y}_i)^2 = (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y})^T (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{Y}$
- d) $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$
- e) $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}$

11.7 Show that $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$ is idempotent.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) &= [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T][\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T] \\ &= \mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T + \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H} \end{aligned}$$

11.8 Let $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ so that $E(Y) = \mu$ and $Var(Y) = \sigma^2 = E(Y^2) - [E(Y)]^2$. If $k \geq 2$ is an integer, then

$$E(Y^k) = (k-1)\sigma^2 E(Y^{k-2}) + \mu E(Y^{k-1})$$

Let $Z = (Y - \mu)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$. Hence $\mu_k = E(Y - \mu)^k = \sigma^k E(Z^k)$. Use this fact and the above recursion relationship $E(Z^k) = (k-1)E(Z^{k-2})$ to find μ_3 and μ_4 .

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_3 &= \sigma^3 E(Z^3) = 2\sigma^3 E(Z) = 0 \\ \mu_4 &= \sigma^4 E(Z^4) = 3\sigma^4 E(Z^2) = 3\sigma^4 \end{aligned}$$

11.9 Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be matrices with the same number of rows. If \mathbf{C} is another matrix such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}$, is it true that $rank(\mathbf{A}) = rank(\mathbf{B})$? Prove or give a counterexample.

Counterexample:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ and $\text{rank}(B) = n$

11.10 Let \mathbf{x} be an $n \times 1$ vector and let \mathbf{B} be an $n \times n$ matrix. Show that $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{x}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_{i1} + x_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_{i2} + \cdots + x_n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_{in} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} x_i x_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{x} &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{n1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{1n} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_{1i} + x_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_{2i} + \cdots + x_n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_{ni} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i,j} b_{ji} x_i x_j \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{x}$.

11.11 Consider the model $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{i,2} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{i,p} + e_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + e_i$. The least squares estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ minimizes

$$Q_{OLS}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

and the weighted least squares estimator minimizes

$$Q_{WLS}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

where the w_i , Y_i and \mathbf{x}_i are known quantities. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

by identifying \tilde{Y}_i , and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$. (Hence the WLS estimator is obtained from the least squares

regression of \tilde{Y}_i on $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$ without an intercept.)

Let $\tilde{Y}_i = \sqrt{w_i}Y_i$, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i = \sqrt{w_i}\mathbf{x}_i$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

11.12 Suppose that \mathbf{X} is an $n \times p$ matrix but the rank of $\mathbf{X} < p < n$. Then the normal equations $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$ have infinitely many solutions. Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ be a solution to the normal equations. So $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$. Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-$ be a generalized inverse of $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})$. Assume that $E(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $Cov(\mathbf{Y}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$. It can be shown that all solutions to the normal equations have the form \mathbf{b}_z given below.

a) Show that $\mathbf{b}_z = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z}$ is a solution to the normal equations where the $p \times 1$ vector \mathbf{z} is arbitrary.

By the definition of generalized inverse matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}) &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})\mathbf{G} &= \mathbf{G} \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b}_z &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \left[\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} \\ &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} \\ &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $p \times 1$ vector \mathbf{z} , \mathbf{b}_z is a solution to the normal equations.

b) Show that $E(\mathbf{b}_z) \neq \boldsymbol{\beta}$

We know that $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{b}_z) &= E(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}) + E(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \\ &= E(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \\ &= \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$$

If $E(\mathbf{b}_z) = \beta$, then $\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X}\beta + (\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} = \beta$, that is to say

$$(\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})(\beta + \mathbf{z}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{z}$$

Thus $\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ is invertible and $r(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}) = p$, which contradicts with

$$r(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}) \leq \min\{r(\mathbf{X}), r(\mathbf{X}^T)\} < p$$

c) Show that $Cov(\mathbf{b}_z) = \sigma^2\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{XG}^T$.

By the above results, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{b}_z &= \mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{Y} + (\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \\ E(\mathbf{b}_z) &= \mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X}\beta + (\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z}\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Cov(\mathbf{b}_z) &= E\left[(\mathbf{b}_z - E\mathbf{b}_z)(\mathbf{b}_z - E\mathbf{b}_z)^T\right] \\ &= E\left[\mathbf{GX}^T(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T\mathbf{XG}^T\right] \\ &= \mathbf{GX}^TE\left[(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T\right]\mathbf{XG}^T \\ &= \mathbf{GX}^TCov(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{XG}^T = \sigma^2\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{XG}^T\end{aligned}$$

d) Although \mathbf{G} is not unique, the projection matrix $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{XGX}^T$ onto $C(\mathbf{X})$ is unique. Use this fact to show that $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Xb}_z$ does not depend on \mathbf{G} or \mathbf{z} .

Since $\mathbf{PX} = \mathbf{X}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Xb}_z &= \mathbf{X}\left[\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{Y} + (\mathbf{GX}^T\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z}\right] \\ &= \mathbf{XGX}^T\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XGX}^T\mathbf{Xz} - \mathbf{Xz} \\ &= \mathbf{PY} + \mathbf{PXz} - \mathbf{Xz} = \mathbf{PY}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Xb}_z = \mathbf{PY}$ does not depend on \mathbf{G} or \mathbf{z} .

e) There are two ways to show that $\mathbf{a}^T\beta$ is an estimable function. Either show that there exists a vector \mathbf{c} such that $E(\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{a}^T\beta$, or show that $\mathbf{a} \in C(\mathbf{X}^T)$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{w}$ for some fixed vector \mathbf{w} . Show that $E(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}_z) = \mathbf{a}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}_z) &= E \left[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{z} \right) \right] \\
&= E(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}) + E(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z}) - E(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z}) \\
&= \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T E(\mathbf{Y}) + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} \\
&= \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{z} \\
&= \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{a}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

f) Suppose that $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{w}$ for some fixed vector \mathbf{w} . Show that $Var(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}_z) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{w}$.

By the above results, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T, \quad \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \\
\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{P} \\
\hat{\mathbf{Y}} &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b}_z = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}_z) &= Var(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b}_z) = Var(\mathbf{w}^T \hat{\mathbf{Y}}) = Var(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) \\
&= E(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y})^T (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) - \left[E(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) \right]^T \left[E(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) \right] \\
&= E(\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}) - \left[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} E(\mathbf{Y}) \right]^T \left[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} E(\mathbf{Y}) \right] \\
&= tr \left(\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} Cov(\mathbf{Y}) \right) + E^T(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} E(\mathbf{Y}) - E^T(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} E(\mathbf{Y}) \\
&= tr \left(\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \right) = \sigma^2 tr(\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P}) = \sigma^2 tr(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{w}) \\
&= \sigma^2 tr(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{w}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{w}
\end{aligned}$$