

线性回归第七周作业

宋歌 2015080086 数52

4/11/2018

1. 考虑线性模型

$$Y = X\beta + e, \quad e \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

其中 Y 是 $n \times 1$ 的响应值向量, X 是 $n \times p$ 的设计矩阵, β 是 $p \times 1$ 的未知参数向量, e 是 $n \times 1$ 的随机误差向量, σ^2 是未知的方差, $\text{rank}(X) = p < n$. 考虑假设

$$H_0 : L\beta = c$$

其中 L 是 $r \times p$ 的常数矩阵, c 是 $r \times 1$ 的常数列向量, $\text{rank}(L) = r$. 试求出上述假设的似然比检验统计量的表达式。

According to the given conditions, we know that y_1, \dots, y_n are independent and

$$y_i \sim N(x_i^T \beta, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Then we can write the likelihood function

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2 | X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - X^T \beta\|^2\right)$$

By the definition of the likelihood ratio test statistic, we have

$$\lambda(X, Y) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta | X, Y)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta | X, Y)}, \quad \theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$$

When $\theta \in \Theta$, we already know that

$$\arg \sup_{\beta, \sigma^2} L(\beta, \sigma^2 | X, Y) = (\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \left((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y, \frac{1}{n} \|Y - \hat{Y}\|^2 \right)$$

When $\theta \in \Theta_0$ i.e. $L\beta = c$, we can see it as r constraints, that is

$$L\beta = c \Leftrightarrow l_j^T \beta = c_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, r$$

Now we apply Lagrange Multiplier. First we write the Lagrange function as follows

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda) &= \log L(\beta, \sigma^2 | X, Y) + \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j (l_j^T \beta - c_j) \\ &= n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda^T (L\beta - c) \end{aligned}$$

We first fix σ^2 and solve the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [-2X^T Y + 2X^T X \beta] + L^T \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = (L\beta - c)^T = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $\text{rank}(X) = p < n$, we know that $X^T X$ is invertible. Thus, by solving the first equation, we obtain

$$\tilde{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} [\sigma^2 L^T \lambda + X^T Y]$$

Since $\text{rank}(L) = r$ i.e. L is row full rank, we know that for any nonzero vector z , $z^T L$ and $L^T z$ is nonzero. Thus, for any nonzero vector z , $z^T L (X^T X)^{-1} L^T z > 0$, that is, $L (X^T X)^{-1} L^T$ is positive definite. Therefore, we can obtain $\tilde{\lambda}$ by plugging $\tilde{\beta}$ into the second equation, then we can also obtain $\tilde{\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[L (X^T X)^{-1} L^T \right]^{-1} c - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[L (X^T X)^{-1} L^T \right]^{-1} L (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \\ \tilde{\beta} &= (X^T X)^{-1} L^T \left[L (X^T X)^{-1} L^T \right]^{-1} \left[c - L (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \right] + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \end{aligned}$$

When σ^2 is fixed, the $\tilde{\beta}$ we obtained by Lagrange Multiplier is the local extremum point of the likelihood function $L(\beta, \sigma^2)$ under the constraint $L\beta = c$. And because for any β

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta^2} = -\frac{X^T X}{\sigma^2} < 0$$

we know that when σ^2 is fixed, $\tilde{\beta}$ is the global (under the constraint $L\beta = c$) maximum

point of L , that is

$$\sup_{\beta, L\beta=c} L(\beta, \sigma^2) = L(\tilde{\beta}, \sigma^2)$$

Now we are left to solve

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 = 0$$

Using the above $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\tilde{\beta}\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left\| Y - X(X^T X)^{-1} L^T \left[L(X^T X)^{-1} L^T \right]^{-1} \left[c - L(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \right] - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We also find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} &> 0 \quad \text{if } \sigma^2 < \tilde{\sigma}^2 \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} &< 0 \quad \text{if } \sigma^2 > \tilde{\sigma}^2 \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ is the global maximum point of $L(\tilde{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ under the constraint $L\beta = c$. Thus,

$$\arg \sup_{\Theta_0} L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \arg \sup_{L\beta=c} L(\beta, \sigma^2) = (\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2)$$

Therefore, the likelihood ratio test statistic can be written as

$$\lambda(X, Y) = \frac{L(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2)}{L(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)} = \left(\frac{\|Y - X^T \hat{\beta}\|}{\|Y - X^T \tilde{\beta}\|} \right)^n$$

2. 阅读教材并完成题目：11.4, 11.8, 11.11

11.4 Suppose $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{V})$ for known positive definite $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{V} . Then the likelihood function is

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \frac{1}{|\mathbf{V}|^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \right)$$

- a) Suppose that $\hat{\beta}_G$ minimizes $(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$. Show that $\hat{\beta}_G$ is the MLE of β .

The log likelihood function is

$$l(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = \log L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{V}| - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

For any fixed σ^2 , we know that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{MLE} = \max_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} L(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} l(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_G$$

b) Find the MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$ of σ^2 .

From a) we obtain the log likelihood function

$$l(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{V}| - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

Then by setting

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0$$

We have

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_G)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_G)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} &> 0 \quad \text{if } \sigma^2 < \hat{\sigma}^2 \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} &< 0 \quad \text{if } \sigma^2 > \hat{\sigma}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \arg \max_{\sigma^2} l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_G, \sigma^2) = \hat{\sigma}^2$$

11.8 Let $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ so that $E(Y) = \mu$ and $Var(Y) = \sigma^2 = E(Y^2) - [E(Y)]^2$. If $k \geq 2$ is an integer, then

$$E(Y^k) = (k-1)\sigma^2 E(Y^{k-2}) + \mu E(Y^{k-1})$$

Let $Z = (Y - \mu)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$. Hence $\mu_k = E(Y - \mu)^k = \sigma^k E(Z^k)$. Use this fact and

the above recursion relationship $E(Z^k) = (k-1)E(Z^{k-2})$ to find μ_3 and μ_4 .

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_3 &= \sigma^3 E(Z^3) = 2\sigma^3 E(Z) = 0 \\ \mu_4 &= \sigma^4 E(Z^4) = 3\sigma^4 E(Z^2) = 3\sigma^4\end{aligned}$$

11.11 Consider the model $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{i,2} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{i,p} + e_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + e_i$. The least squares estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ minimizes

$$Q_{OLS}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

and the weighted least squares estimator minimizes

$$Q_{WLS}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

where the w_i , Y_i and \mathbf{x}_i are known quantities. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$

by identifying \tilde{Y}_i , and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$. (Hence the WLS estimator is obtained from the least squares regression of \tilde{Y}_i on $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$ without an intercept.)

Let $\tilde{Y}_i = \sqrt{w_i} Y_i$, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i = \sqrt{w_i} \mathbf{x}_i$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta})^2$$