

# MAD 2019-20, Assignment 2

Bulat Ibragimov, Fabian Gieseke, Kim Steenstrup Pedersen

hand in until: 3.12.2019 at 23:59

**General comments:** The assignments in MAD must be completed and written individually. You are allowed (and encouraged) to discuss the exercises in small groups. If you do so, you are required to list your group partners in the submission. The report must be written completely by yourself. In order to pass the assignment, you will need to get at least 40% of the available points. The data needed for the assignment can be found in the assignment folder that you download from Absalon.

**Submission instructions:** Submit your report as a PDF, not zipped up with the rest. Please add your source code to the submission, both as executable files and as part of your report. To include it in your report, you can use the `lstlisting` environment in LaTeX, or you can include a “print to pdf” output in your pdf report.

---

**Exercise 1 (Weighted Average Loss, 4 points, Exercise 1.11 in Rogers & Girolami).** *The following expression is known as the **weighted** average loss:*

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (f(\mathbf{x}_n; \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n - t_n)^2$$

where the influence of each data point is controlled by its associated  $\alpha_n > 0$  parameter.

- a) (2 points): Assuming that each  $\alpha_n$  is fixed, derive the optimal least squares parameter value  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ .

*Hint: Make use of a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that contains the weights  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ .*

- b) (2 points): Similar to Exercises 3 and 4 of Assignment 1, implement a corresponding regression model in Python (e.g., implement a new `linweighreg.py` module). Afterwards, fit a model on all the features in the training set `boston_train.csv` using  $\alpha_n = t_n^2$ . Compute corresponding predictions for the test instances given in `boston_test.csv` and generate a scatter plot as in Exercises 3 and 4 of Assignment 1. What do you expect to happen? What do you observe? Do the additional weights have an influence on the outcome?

Deliverables. a) Derivation for the optimal solution (similarly to the lecture). Note that you do not have to show that the computed solution is a global minimum; just providing the solution and the corresponding derivation is enough. (b) The scatter plot as well as short answers to the questions raised (2-3 lines each). Add your source code to your submission!

**Exercise 2 (Polynomial Fitting with Regularized Linear Regression and Cross-Validation, 4 points).** *In this exercise, you will apply leave-one-out cross-validation to study the influence of the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  on the predictive performance of regularized linear regression. In the `men-olympic-100.txt` file, you will find data on the men’s Olympic 100m running times. Take the first place running times (second column) as target values  $t_1, \dots, t_{27}$ . The input variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{27}$  are given in the first column.*

- a) (3 points): Apply polynomial fitting with regularized linear regression to fit a **first order polynomial** to the data. Plot the leave-one-out cross-validation error (y-axis) as a function of  $\lambda$  for some values  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  (x-axis); use `numpy.logspace(-8, 0, 100, base=10)` to generate the values to be tested. Report the best value of  $\lambda$  and the regression coefficients  $\mathbf{w}$  corresponding to both  $\lambda = 0$  (no regularization) and the best value of  $\lambda$ .

*Hint: Be aware of the fact that the values outputted via `print` are usually rounded. Make use of a higher precision when printing, e.g., via `print("lam=%.10f and loss=%.10f" % (lam, loss))`.*

- b) (1 point): Repeat the same for fitting a **fourth order polynomial** to the data.

Deliverables. a) The plot, best value of  $\lambda$ , and the two sets of regression coefficients; b) the plot, best value of  $\lambda$ , and the two sets of regression coefficients. Add your source code to your submission!

**Exercise 3 (Pdf and cdf, 4 points).** We model the life span of a chip (in years) with a distribution that has the following cumulative distribution function (cdf).

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ 1 - \exp(-\beta x^\alpha) & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  being parameters.

- a) (1 point): Determine the probability density function (pdf) of the distribution.
- b) (1.5 points): Suppose  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ . What is the probability that the chip works longer than four years? What is the probability that the chip stops working in the time interval  $[5; 10]$  years?
- c) (1.5 points): How large is the median of a life span (for general  $\alpha, \beta$ )?

**Exercise 4 (Conditional probability and expectations, 4 points).** Professor James Duane from Regent University, USA advocates for constant execution of the right to remain silent and immediately calling the lawyer every time a person is questioned by the police on any topic. He has a popular YouTube lecture "Don't talk to the Police" and the book "You Have the Right to Remain Innocent". Let's try to probabilistically evaluate his claims using the following model.

We have individuals with no history of convictions (person NC) and with a history of convictions (person C). Suppose:

- 1) If any person remains silent, there is a 0.001 risk of his case ending up in the court.
- 2) If a person NC talks to the police, there is a 0.002 risk of the police using his words against him and his case ending up in the court.
- 3) If a person C talks to the police, there is a 0.005 risk of the police using his words against him and his case ending up in the court.
- 4) The probability of not being convicted in the court are 0.5 for person NC and 0.1 for person C.
- 5) The probability of not being convicted drops 4 times if a person did not talk to the police during the investigation, as the jury thinks he has something to hide.
- 6) If a person is convicted and talked to the police during the investigation, his sentence is reduced by 0.75 factor as he is considered cooperative.

The police arrested a person suspecting he is involved in a certain crime. The penalty for the crime is 5 years in prison:

- a) (2 points): A person has no history of convictions. What are the expected mean sentence durations he will have to spend in prison if he talks to the police or remains silent?
- b) (2 points): A person has a history of convictions. What are the expected mean sentence durations he will have to spend in prison if he talks to the police or remains silent?