Exploring COVID-19 Deathrates and Deathtolls - Handout

Jean-Sebastien Paul

Explanation of the variables in the raw dataset that were used

Unifying Data

Countries and territories countries And Territories

Date Date

Recovery Data

COVID-19 cumulative recovery numbers $recoveries^1$

Predictor Data

Population density $Density^2$

Urban Population Urban.Pop

2020 Population Population.2020

Median age Median.Age

Hospital Beds/ 1000 citizens *Hospital.Bed*³

Sex ratio by age (0.14, 15.24, 25.54, 54.64, 65+) $sex 14, sex 25, sex 54, sex 64, sex 65 plus^4$

Overall population sex ratio Sex.Ratio⁵

Overall and for both sexes death rate from lung disease lung, Female.Lung, Male.Lung⁶

2018 Sex %Female $Females.2018^7$

2018 Gross Domestic Product GDP.2018⁸

Crime index score $Crime.Index^9$

Smoking Rate (2016) $Smoking.2016^{10}$

 $^{^1{\}rm This}$ was taken from the John Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering https://raw.githubusercontent.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19/master/csse_covid_19_data/csse_covid_19_time_series/time_series_covid19_recovered_global.csv

²https://www.worldometers.info/ - Data on Density, Population, Median Age, Urban Population

³https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.MED.BEDS.ZS

⁴https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_sex_ratio

⁵https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL.FE.ZS

⁶https://www.worldlifeexpectancy.com/cause-of-death/lung-disease/by-country/

⁷https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL.FE.ZS

⁸https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD

⁹https://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/crime-rate-by-country/

 $^{^{10} \}rm https://ourworldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking\#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking#prevalence-of-smoking-across-the-worldindata.org/smoking-across-th$

Testing Data

Cumulative number of COVID-19 tests Total.tests¹¹

Case and Death Data

COVID-19 new cases $cases^{12}$

COVID-19 new deaths deaths

COVID-19 total cases cases2

COVID-19 total deaths deaths2

Major Conclusions Briefly

Binomial and Poisson models are not successful at modelling the time series data of COVID-19 death toll

The distribution of COVID-19 death rate counts is well approximated by the heavy tail Burr distribution

There is a significant mean difference in death rate between rich and poor countries¹³ with poor countries having a lower deathrate. This is perhaps because poorer countries have less testing and are therefore less able to determine who has died from COVID-19, to such a great extent.

Crime and high death rates are not independent.

Death rate is actually not strongly correlated much at all with the other variables. Perhaps this indicates that deathrate is relatively constant. Interestingly, we dont see high degrees of similarity within most of the variables.

We were able to fit a logistic regression model of deathrate onto the interaction terms of all the available numeric variables, adjusted for multicollinearity, to the second power. This had R^2 value of 0.5249956 and adjusted R^2 very close at 0.5060354, indicating that the model did not overfit and strongly explained some of the variance. It should be noted that in diagnostics, a single point's cook's distance was worrying and could have compromised the model.

We were able to fit a forward/backward stepwise linear model (on a linear regression model of deaths onto the interaction terms of all the available numeric variables, adjusted for multicollinearity, to the second power with AIC criterion). This had a strong R^2 of 0.9443243 and similarly strong adjusted R^2 of 0.9424646, indicating that the model did not overfit and strongly explained much of the variance. It should be noted however that diagnostic plots were not promising with the fitted values versus residuals plot not looking random on the left hand side (although it was well spaced as fitted values increased) and the standardized residuals not following a normal distribution. Moreover, an non constant variance test indicated heteroscedasticity. These violations of linear regression assumptions could compromise the model.

 $^{^{11} \}rm https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/full-list-total-tests-for-covid-19$

 $^{^{12}} https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide - all case and death data$

¹³Where rich is defined as being above mean GDP/capita