

---

**1: PAC Learning**


---

**Rule 1:** You are free to combine any of the parts as they are.

**Rule 2:** You may also cut any of the parts into two distinct pieces before using them.

**(1a)**

Given  $N$  parts, each product that can be made out of these parts is a distinct hypothesis  $h$  in the hypothesis space  $H$ . From *Rule 1*, a worker can choose to include or not include any of the parts in a product. This can be viewed as a monotone conjunction as a product is defined by choosing to include or not include each of the  $N$  parts. There exists  $2^N$  possible products as there are two choices for each of the  $N$  parts. We will not consider the product constructed by using none of the parts.

$$|H| = 2^N - 1$$

**(1b)**

The experienced worker now creates a product using *Rule 1* and *Rule 2*. There are now four choices that can be made for each of the parts: don't include it, include it, cut the part and use the first half or cut the part and use the second half. A product is now defined as making four choices for each of the  $N$  parts. Thus there are  $4^N$  possible products. We will not consider the product constructed by using none of the parts.

$$|H| = 4^N - 1$$

**(1c)**

By applying the principles of Occams's Razor we can make a statement about the number of required examples the robot will have to see to have an error of 0.01 with probability 99% on products with 6 available parts.

Given a hypothesis space  $H$ , we can say with probability  $1 - \delta$ , a hypothesis  $h \in H$ , that is consistent with a training set of size  $m$ , will have an error  $< \epsilon$  on future examples if

$$m > \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\ln(|H|) + \ln \frac{1}{\delta})$$

We want an error rate of  $\epsilon = 0.01$  with probability  $1 - \delta = 0.99$  with a  $|H| = 4^6 = 4,096$ .

$$m > \frac{1}{0.01} (\ln(4,096) + \ln \frac{1}{0.01})$$

$$m > 1,292.27$$

The robot will have to see at least 1,293 examples to guarantee a 0.01 error with probability 99% if there are 6 available parts. We round up as the number of required examples must be an integer value and rounding down would not satisfy the equality.

at least 1,293 examples

(2)

---

**2: VC Dimensions**


---

(1) We want to prove that a finite hypothesis space  $\mathcal{C}$  has a VC dimension at most  $\log_2|\mathcal{C}|$ . That is,  $VC(\mathcal{C}) \leq \log_2|\mathcal{C}|$ .

**Proof by contradiction:** Assume the opposite that  $VC(\mathcal{C}) > \log_2|\mathcal{C}|$  is true.

**Shatter:** A set of examples  $S$  is *shattered* by a set of functions  $H$  if for every partition of the examples in  $S$  into positive and negative examples there is a function in  $H$  that gives exactly these labels to the examples.

**VC Dimension:** The *VC Dimension* of hypothesis space  $H$  over instance space  $X$  is the size of the largest finite subset of  $X$  that is shattered by  $H$ .

Take a set of examples  $S$  that is the largest finite subset of  $X$  that is shattered by a hypothesis space  $\mathcal{C}$  and is of size  $d$ . There exists  $2^d$  (the number of possible binary vectors of length  $d$ ) ways to partition  $S$ . Thus  $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^d$  as we have  $2^d$  hypothesis functions.

$$VC(\mathcal{C}) > \log_2|\mathcal{C}|$$

The VC Dimension of  $\mathcal{C} = |S| = d$  as  $S$  is the largest finite subset of  $X$  that is shattered by  $H$ . The size of the hypothesis class is  $2^d$ .

$$d > \log_2(2^d)$$

$$d > d$$

Arriving at the contradiction  $d > d$ . Thus proving  $VC(\mathcal{C}) \leq \log_2|\mathcal{C}|$ .

(2a)

(2b)

(3)

(4)

(5)

---

**3: AdaBoost**


---

$x = [x_1, x_2]$	$y_i$	$h_a(x)$	$D_1$	$D_1(i)y_i h_t(x_i)$	$D_2$
[1,1]	-1	1	1/4	-1/4	
[1,-1]	1	1	1/4	1/4	
[-1,-1]	-1	-1	1/4	1/4	
[-1,1]	-1	-1	1/4	1/4	

Table 1: Choose  $h_a(x) = \text{sgn}(x_1)$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = 1/4$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{\ln 3}{2}$ ,  $Z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$

$x = [x_1, x_2]$	$y_i$	$h_a(x)$	$D_1$	$D_1(i)y_i h_t(x_i)$	$D_2$
[1,1]	-1				
[1,-1]	1				
[-1,-1]	-1				
[-1,1]	-1				

Table 2: Choose  $h_a(x) = \text{sgn}(x_1)$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = 1/4$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{\ln 3}{2}$ ,  $Z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$

$x = [x_1, x_2]$	$y_i$	$h_a(x)$	$D_1$	$D_1(i)y_i h_t(x_i)$	$D_2$
[1,1]	-1				
[1,-1]	1				
[-1,-1]	-1				
[-1,1]	-1				

Table 3: Choose  $h_a(x) = \text{sgn}(x_1)$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = 1/4$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{\ln 3}{2}$ ,  $Z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$

$x = [x_1, x_2]$	$y_i$	$h_a(x)$	$D_1$	$D_1(i)y_i h_t(x_i)$	$D_2$
[1,1]	-1				
[1,-1]	1				
[-1,-1]	-1				
[-1,1]	-1				

Table 4: Choose  $h_a(x) = \text{sgn}(x_1)$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = 1/4$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{\ln 3}{2}$ ,  $Z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$