CS5460: Operating Systems, Spring 2018

University of Utah

6.13 Chapter 5 of OSC discusses possible race conditions on various kernel data structures. Most scheduling algorithms maintain a run queue, which lists processes eligible to run on a processor. On multicore systems, there are two general options: (1) each processing core has its own run queue, or (2) a single run queue is shared by all processing cores. Briefly give at least one advantage and one disadvantage of each of these approaches.

Multiple queue pros: The semaphore operations wait() and signal() must be executed atomically. It's difficult to disable interrupts on every processor (to prevent interleaved operations). But when each processor has it's own queue, only interrupts on the processor executing the wait() or signal() operation needs to be disabled. Much more scalable than single queue systems and multiple queues make exploiting cache affinity easier.

Multiple queue cons: Load balancing is required in an attempt to keep an even distribution across all of the processors. Moving processes around during the load balancing process will invalidate the cache and lose cache affinity.

Single queue pros: Simple to implement. Can use the same scheduling algorithms as you would use in a single processor setup.

Single queue cons: Locks in code can reduce performance of a single queue system more dramatically than a multiple queue system. Additionally, since a processor pulls a process off the front of the queue processes end up running on different processors often. This makes for poor cache affinity unless a mechanism is in place to promote not moving processes around as much.

## 5.20a Identify the race condition(s).

On the second line of allocate\_process() the variable number\_of\_processes is checked to see if the maximum number of processes have been allocated. Multiple threads could not satisfy this if-condition and enter the else block. Now each thread in the else block could allocate a new process and it would be possible for the number of processes to surpass MAX\_PROCCESSES.

The race condition is on the variable number\_of\_processes in general. A race condition can occur when releasing a processes resources and decrementing number\_of\_processes. Another thread could be making the if-condition in allocate\_process() and there could be available resources that number\_of\_processes doesn't reflect yet.

5.20b Assume you have a mutex lock named mutex with the operations acquire() and release(). Indicate where the locking needs to be placed to prevent the race condition(s).

The race conditions are caused by number\_of\_processes. Anytime this variable is accessed a lock must be acquired. This means called acquire() at the top of allocate\_process() and release\_process(). As well as release() before they each return.

5.20c Could we replace the integer variable "int number\_of\_processes = 0" with the atomic integer "atomic\_t number\_of\_processes = 0" to prevent the race condition(s)? (Assume here this atomic\_t has safe, lock-free, atomic loads and stores and an atomic fetch\_and\_add/fetch\_and\_subtract like operation.)

This wouldn't help prevent the race condition described in part a.

10.10 Briefly explain why the OS often uses an FCFS disk-scheduling algorithm when the underlying device is an SSD.

An SSD is a random access device. Any location on the disk can be read quickly regardless of where the data is located on the device and independent of the previous read. This makes FCFS a nice algorithm of choice as there are no disk seeks with an SSD. Other algorithms such as SSTF, Scan/Look, and C-Scan/C-Look are better for magnetic disks as seek time must be taken into account.

10.14 Describe one advantage and two disadvantages of using SSDs as a caching tier compared with using only magnetic disks.

Advantages: SSDs are 10 times cheaper than DRAM per bit. They also have 10 times lower-latency compared to a magnetic disk. This makes them a good candidate to operate as a caching tier, of reasonable size, for the magnetic disk. SSD's perform very well at random I/O as there are no mechanical parts.

Disadvantages: If too many writes are performed to an SSD it will wear out its flash blocks. Caching data that a process reads from the magnetic disk will cause many writes to the SSD. Cache eviction polices could be tricky as well. When you want to re-write any page (evicting old data) the entire block the page resides in must be erased. Finally, when the disk is more than 50% utilized the performance begins to fall quickly. They will result in a lot of wasted disk space as you can't use the entire disk as a cache.

12.16 Consider a file system that uses inodes to represent files. Disk blocks are 8 KB in size, and a pointer to a disk block requires 4 bytes. This filesystem has 12 direct disk blocks, as well as one single, one double, and one triple indirect disk blocks entry in its inode. What is the maximum size of a file that can be stored in this file system?

First we will consider how many block addresses can be stored in a block. Disk blocks are 8 KB and have 4 byte addresses. So they can store  $8{,}192/4 = 2{,}048$  addresses.

There are 12 disc blocks address by the 12 direct disk blocks.

The single indirect disk block can address 2,048 disk blocks.

The double indirect block can address 2,048 single indirect blocks that each address 2,048 disc blocks themselves giving  $2,048^2$  addressed disc blocks.

Finally the triple indirect block addresses 2,048 double indirect blocks or  $2,048^3$  direct blocks.

To get the maximum size of a file that can be stored, we sum together the number of addressed disc blocks and multiply by the storage of a disc block:

$$(12+2,048+2,048^2+2,048^3)*8,192$$
 bytes