

# **PasswordStore Audit Report**

Version 1.0

# PasswordStore Audit Report

Josh Regnart

April 1, 2025

Prepared by: Josh Regnart

Lead Security Researcher: - Josh Regnart

# **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
  - Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
    - \* Likelihood & Impact:
    - \* [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning anyone can set the password
    - \* Likelihood & Impact:
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.
    - \* Likelihood & Impact:

# **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access the password.

# **Disclaimer**

I make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but hold no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

# **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

# **Audit Details**

# The findings described in this document corresspond to the following commit hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

# Scope

```
1 .src/
2 ---PasswordStore.sol
```

#### **Roles**

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

# **Executive Summary**

The security audit of the PasswordStore protocol revealed critical vulnerabilities that fundamentally undermine its core functionality as a secure password storage solution. Given these findings, particularly the first high-severity issue, the protocol requires a complete architectural redesign to achieve its security objectives. A potential solution could involve implementing off-chain encryption before storing passwords, though this would introduce additional complexity and user requirements.

#### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of Issues Found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

# **Findings**

# High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

# **Description:**

All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable is intended to be private and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to only be called by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

#### Impact:

Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

## **Proof of Concept:**

The below test case shows anyone can read directly from the blockchain.

Start an anvil chain:

```
1 anvil
```

#### Deploy the contract:

```
1 forge script script/DeployPasswordStore.s.sol:DeployPasswordStore $(
    NETWORK_ARGS)
```

Read storage slot one (s\_password) of the deployed contract: bash cast storage 0 x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545 Parse the bytes output to a string:

#### Returning the password:

```
1 myPassword
```

# **Recommended Mitigation:**

Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accdently send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password. ### Likelihood & Impact: - Impact: HIGH - Likelihood: HIGH - Severity: HIGH

# [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning anyone can set the password

**Description:** The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that **this** function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There are no access controls
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

#### Impact:

Anyone can set/change the password of the contract. Severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

# **Proof of Concept:**

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol:

Code

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password() public {
2
          vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
          vm.prank(randomAddress);
3
          string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
4
5
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7
           vm.prank(owner);
8
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10
       }
```

# **Recommended Mitigation:**

Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function.

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner) {
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

#### Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

#### Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore: : getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

# **Description:**

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4 */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

The PasswordStore: :getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect natspec line

```
1  /*
2  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 -  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4  */
```

# **Likelihood & Impact:**

Impact: NONELikelihood: NONE

• Severity: Informational