

Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Protocol Audit Report March 7, 2023

Protocol Audit Report

Josh Regnart

March 7, 2023

Prepared by: Josh Regnart

Lead Security Researcher: - Josh Regnart

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
 - Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
 - * Likelihood & Impact:
 - * [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning anyone can set the password
 - * Likelihood & Impact:
 - Informational
 - * [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.
 - * Likelihood & Impact:

Protocol Audit Report March 7, 2023

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access the password.

Disclaimer

I make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but hold no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document corresspond to the following commit hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

Scope

Protocol Audit Report

```
1 .src/
2 ---PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

The security audit of the PasswordStore protocol revealed critical vulnerabilities that fundamentally undermine its core functionality as a secure password storage solution. Given these findings, particularly the first high-severity issue, the protocol requires a complete architectural redesign to achieve its security objectives. A potential solution could involve implementing off-chain encryption before storing passwords, though this would introduce additional complexity and user requirements.

Issues found

Severity	Number of Issues Found	
High	2	
Medium	0	
Low	0	
Info	1	
Total	3	

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description:

All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be private and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to only be called by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

Impact:

Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept:

The below test case shows anyone can read directly from the blockchain.

Start an anvil chain:

```
1 anvil
```

Deploy the contract:

```
forge script script/DeployPasswordStore.s.sol:DeployPasswordStore $(
    NETWORK_ARGS)
```

Read storage slot one (s_password) of the deployed contract: bash cast storage 0 x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545 Parse the bytes output to a string:

Returning the password:

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation:

Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accdently send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password. ### Likelihood & Impact: - Impact: HIGH - Likelihood: HIGH - Severity: HIGH

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning anyone can set the password

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that **this** function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There are no access controls
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

Impact:

Anyone can set/change the password of the contract. Severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

Proof of Concept:

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol:

Code

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password() public {
2
          vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
          vm.prank(randomAddress);
3
          string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
4
5
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7
           vm.prank(owner);
8
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
9
10
       }
```

Recommended Mitigation:

Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function.

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner) {
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore: : getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

Description:

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4 */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

The PasswordStore: :getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line

```
1  /*
2  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 -  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4  */
```

Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: NONELikelihood: NONE

• Severity: Informational