ECE 358 Assignment

Jason Shao, Lihao Luo, Minghao Lu
June 5, 2016

1. The tight lower bound for the largest-sized routing table across all the *n* routers is 2. This is because a router in this IP network forwards packets between exactly 2 subnetworks. That means it has to be able to lookup at least two different subnet prefixes to know how to forward the datagram to each of the two subnetworks.

3. In the site depicted on slide 20 of lecture 1-4, all traffic destined for 12.46.129.5 may arrive at interface D. This is because S is a multi-homed site with both provider-aggregatable (PA) and provider-independent (PI) IPv4 addresses. If the site uses the PA address, it advertises 12.46.129.0/25 at points C and D to P1 and P2 respectively. While P1 can aggregate this prefix into 12/8, P2 cannot since the prefix is not numerically adjacent to its own. For destinations matching 12.46.129.0/25, the prefix is more specific when going through P2 than P1. Therefore, traffic with destination 12.46.129.5 tends to go through P2 due to the longest-matching-prefix algorithm used in internet routing.

To address this, the site S can use the PI prefix instead of PA. Then, 198.134.135.0/24 is advertised to P1 and P2. Since neither of the ISPs are able to aggregate this prefix, the full 198.134.135.0/24 is advertised to both points A and B. Therefore, hosts would send datagrams to 198.134.135.0/24 and S can be reached naturally through whichever ISP is closer to the sender.

4. Yes, I concur with Alice.

Let T be the initial minimum spanning tree of G. Let u be the node that leaves G, and let v be the node such that uv is the only edge incident to u in T. Let T' be T without u and uv. I want to show that T' is the minimum spanning tree of the new graph.

Proof By Contradiction

Assume for the sake of contradiction that T' is not the most minimum spanning tree of the new graph. That means there must exist some tree R that spans the new graph, and the total weight of its edges is less than that of T'.

Now consider R with uv added to the tree. We can show that R with uv is a spanning tree of G, because R is a tree that spans every node in G except u. However, we know v is in R so uv can be added to R to span all nodes in G while maintaining the tree structure.

Moreover, observe that R with uv has total weight less than that of T because:

$$weight(R) < weight(T')$$

 $weight(R) + weight(uv) < weight(T') + weight(uv)$
 $weight(R) + weight(uv) < weight(T)$

Now we have shown that R with uv is a spanning tree of G and its weight is less than that of the T, the minimum spanning tree. This is clearly a contradiction, so we can declare that there does not exist another spanning tree that has total weight less than T'. Therefore, we do not need to recompute the MST as when a node leaves the network and it only has one edge incident on it, the new MST is merely the original tree with the node and its incident edge removed.

5. According to the book, for a request there are two "receiver" MAC address in the frame: DST address in the header that is 48 bits of 1 or Target address in the message that is just 0. I'm going to assume you want the latter.

part a)

- (i) request (ii) 1.2.3.4 (iii) 1.2.3.4's MAC address (iv) 1.2.3.10 (v) 0
- (i) response (ii) 1.2.3.10 (iii) 1.2.3.10's MAC address (iv) 1.2.3.4 (v) 1.2.3.4's MAC address

part b)

- (i) request (ii) 1.2.3.4 (iii) 1.2.3.4's MAC address (iv) 1.2.1.1 (v) 0
- (i) response (ii) 1.2.1.1 (iii) 1.2.1.1's MAC address (iv) 1.2.3.4 (v) 1.2.3.4's MAC adress
- (i) request (ii) 10.11.12.1 (iii) 10.11.12.1's MAC address (iv) 10.11.12.25 (v) 0
- (i) response (ii) 10.11.12.25 (iii) 10.11.12.25's MAC address (iv) 10.11.12.1 (v) 10.11.12.1's MAC address

- (i) request (ii) 15.16.17.25 (iii) 15.16.17.25's MAC address (iv) 15.16.17.18 (v) 0 $\,$
- (i) response (ii) 15.16.17.18 (iii) 15.16.17.18's MAC address (iv) 15.16.17.25 (v) 15.16.17.25's MAC address