


Does Connectivity Control Lake Phosphorus Retention?

Joseph Stachelek, Patricia A. Soranno

Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University, MI, USA



Introduction

- ▶ Water residence time is viewed as a major factor controlling lake P retention.
- ▶ However, there is large variation in that relationship with some evidence that this variation may be partly explained by lake connectivity.





Figure 1: Lake P Retention as a function of residence time and lake connectivity. Re-analysis of data from [2] with data from [3].



Research Questions

1. Do connected lakes retain less P than less connected lakes (given equal residence times)?
2. Does lake connectivity influence the relative control of internal and hydrological processes on P retention?





Figure 2: Expected relationship between lake connectivity, residence time, and P retention.

Methods


- ▶ Data on P loading, P export, and residence time from approximately 250 lakes included in the National Eutrophication Survey (1972 - 1975)[4].
- ▶ Model P retention as a function of residence time using 2 parameter (k , x) Vollenweider models [1].
- ▶ k (removal rate coefficient) and x (hydrologic flux coefficient) can be interpreted as representing internal and hydrological controls on P retention respectively.

Results

1. No: Lakes with and without upstream lakes had similar distributions of residence time and P retention.



2. Yes: The relative control of internal processes over P retention appears to be greater in less connected lakes (without upstream lakes) because estimates of the removal rate coefficient (k) were higher.



Future Work

- ▶ Calculate additional lake connectivity measures such as stream density, upstream lake area, average link length, and stream order ratio.
- ▶ Model k and x separately via 2-component hierarchical models that relate P retention to **lake connectivity properties** as well as other potential explanatory factors such as landuse and climate.

References

- [1] M. Brett et al. en. In: *Freshwater Biology* 53 (2008).
- [2] W. B. Milstead et al. en. In: *PLoS ONE* 8.11 (2013).
- [3] P. Soranno et al. en. In: *GigaScience* 4.1 (2015).
- [4] J. Stachelek et al. In: *Earth System Science Data Discussions* (2017).



Funding provided by NSF #1517823. CNH-L: Linking Land-Use Decision Making, Water Quality, and Lake Associations to Understand Human-Natural Feedbacks in Lake Catchments