



Does Lake and Stream Connectivity Control Phosphorus Retention in Lakes?


Joseph Stachelek and Patricia Soranno

Michigan State University

Assoc. Limnology and Oceanography, 2018 June

<http://doi.org/ckpf>


LAKE PHOSPHORUS (P) RETENTION



P retention directly controls downstream transport [Alexander et al., 2002]


P retention indirectly controls sediment P accumulation [Søndergaard et al., 2013]

PREDICTING FLUX IS COMPLEX AND LABOR INTENSIVE




[Bhatt et al., 2014]


P RETENTION CONCEPTUAL MODEL



P RETENTION VERSUS WATER RESIDENCE TIME



EXTENDING P RETENTION MODELS




MULTIPLE WAYS TO DEFINE CONNECTIVITY


Low Connectivity

High Connectivity


Closest Lake Distance: Network distance to the closest upstream lake.





Average Link Length: Sum of the total length of stream reaches between junctions divided by the total number of reaches.




WATERSHED CONNECTIONS



WATERSHED CONNECTIONS




A faint, light gray background pattern consisting of a network of interconnected nodes and lines, resembling a molecular structure or a complex web, covering the entire slide.

1. Does connectivity of lakes and their watersheds influence lake phosphorus retention?


2. What is the relative importance of **different connectivity metrics** in determining lake P retention and **what spatial extents are most important** for connectivity and P retention?

METHODS - CONNECTIVITY PARTITIONS




NES Lakes (n = 129)


[Stachelek et al., 2017, USEPA, 1978]




METHODS - P RETENTION MODELLING



No Connectivity Effect





Connectivity Effect






P RETENTION (PROCESSING) COEFFICIENT DISTRIBUTIONS




CONCLUSIONS



CONCLUSIONS

- Connectivity metrics at the network scale have a greater effect on P retention than metrics at finer scales.
- Use caution when treating lake watersheds as an analysis unit
- Watershed to lake area ratio likely reflects connectivity in addition to residence time





Alexander, R. B., Elliott, A. H., Shankar, U., and McBride, G. B. (2002).

Estimating the sources and transport of nutrients in the Waikato River Basin, New Zealand: Sources and transport of nutrients.

Water Resources Research, 38(12).



Bhatt, G., Kumar, M., and Duffy, C. J. (2014).

A tightly coupled gis and distributed hydrologic modeling framework.

Environmental Modelling & Software, 62:70–84.



Milstead, W. B., Hollister, J. W., Moore, R. B., and Walker, H. A. (2013).

Estimating Summer Nutrient Concentrations in Northeastern Lakes from SPARROW Load Predictions and Modeled Lake Depth and Volume.

PLoS ONE, 8(11):e81457.



Søndergaard, M., Bjerring, R., and Jeppesen, E. (2013).

Persistent internal phosphorus loading during summer in shallow eutrophic lakes.

Hydrobiologia, 710(1):95–107.



Stachelek, J., Ford, C., Kincaid, D., King, K., Miller, H., and Nagelkirk, R. (2017).

The National Eutrophication Survey: Lake characteristics and historical nutrient concentrations.

Earth System Science Data Discussions, pages 1–11.



Stachelek, J. and Soranno, P. ("In prep").

Does lake and stream connectivity control phosphorus retention in lakes?



USEPA (1978).

National Eutrophication Survey - 475.

Technical report.