The RBrel14 Manual

SADIE Red-Blue Analysis
With Rbrel14.exe For
32-bit Windows®
Operating Systems

by

Kelvin F. Conrad & Joe N. Perry

Table of Contents

What is Rbrel14?	3
SADIE	3
RBrel14.exe	3
What's New	3
Program input	4
Overview of the program structure	4
Input File Structure	5
Program output	6
The "Non-Parametric" Method	8
Known Problems.	10
Frequently-Asked Questions.	11
Selected References	
Papers that explain methods	14
Cluster indices and red-blue plots	14
Mapped data	14
Simulation of spatial data	14
General expository papers	15
Papers giving examples of data analysis	15
Appendix 1: History of modifications	19
Appendix 2: Command-line help.	
Appendix 3: Interactive mode	23
Appendix 4: Error codes	
License For This Documentation.	25
License for RBRel14.exe.	26

What is Rbrel14?

SADIE

SADIE is a acronym for Spatial Analysis by Distance IndicEs. The concepts underlying SADIE regard a set of data as represented by regions, within which the observed counts are either arranged effectively at random, or form local neighbourhoods of similarly-sized counts close to one another, termed clusters. In SADIE, spatial pattern is measured locally, at each sampled unit, through an index of clustering. Each unit with a count greater than the overall mean is assigned a patch cluster index, which by convention is positive. Each unit with count less than the overall mean is assigned a gap cluster index, which by convention is negative. Each index is computed to allow for the size of the count (abundance) at each sample unit.

RBrel14.exe

The program RBrel14.exe analyzes the spatial pattern of data that are in the form of spatially referenced counts. These are counts taken at specific spatial locations, for example numbers of moths in light-traps, numbers of plants in selected quadrats, where the two-dimensional location of the traps or quadrats is known. RBrel14.exe measures and detects the degree of clustering in the form of patches and gaps in the data.

The software uses the "red-blue techniques", described in: *Perry, J.N., Winder, L., Holland, J.M. & Alston, R.D., (1999), Red-blue plots for detecting clusters in count data, Ecology Letters, 2, 106-113*. The output of the software may be used in other graphics packages, such as Surfer® or Genstat®, to produce coloured graphical displays and maps of the clustering in the data.

In order to understand the output from the program it is essential to read Perry et al (1999).

Additionally, indices and randomization tests based on previous work are provided, specifically those based on the distance to regularity and the distance to crowding, as described in: *Perry, J.N., (1998), Measures of spatial pattern for counts, Ecology, 79, 1008-1017.*

What's New

On the surface, little has changed between RBRelV13.exe and RBRel14.exe. Perhaps, most visibly, the output has been compacted slightly by removal of blank lines and more stringent formatting of decimal outputs.

Internally, there has been extensive revision, motivated by two main goals:

1. RBRelV13 was an amalgamation of Fortran IV, Fortran 77 and Fortran 90/95 code, which was really only permitted by the now-extinct Microsoft Powerstation Fortran Compiler. The code needed to be rewritten to a Fortran standard.

2. A command-line interface (CLI) is no longer acceptable in these mouse-driven times and the Fortran code needed extensive re-organization toward building a 'dynamic link library' (.dll) from it, so that a more currently-supported language such as Visual Basic or Java might be used to build a modern point-and-click user interface.

A history of the recent modifications appears in Appendix 1.

Changes which should be of immediate interest to the user are as follows:

- 1. True support for up to 2000 sampling locations.
- 2. Addition of a rudimentary command-line help system, activated by typing "*rbrel14 -h*" at a command prompt (See Appendix 2).
- 3. Combination of both the usual analysis and the "non-parametric method" into a single code base. Type "*rbrel14 -r*" at a command prompt to execute a non-parametric red-blue analysis (see below).
- 4. Addition of an "interactive mode" with prompts for user inputs, activated by typing "*rbrel14 -i*" at a command prompt (See Appendix 3).
- 5. Using the interactive mode allows specification of an input file other than rbni5.dat, and the use of "project folders" permits reading files from, and writing files to folders other than the one currently containing *RBRel14.exe*
- 6. The role of *RBno6.dat* has been changed such that it is now more of a log file. It records the name of the input file read, its contents, some basic statistics of the data and any errors that have occurred during execution.
- 7. The program should now report most common execution errors to the command console (DOS Box) and to *Rbno6.dat*.

Program input

Overview of the program structure

The program uses two files for input. If you aren't using a shell or the interactive mode, the first input file must have the name: *RBni5.dat*; this contains the spatial coordinates with the corresponding raw counts.

The second file must be called *RBni8.dat*; this contains two parameters that control program execution. Examples of files *RBni5.dat* and *RBni8.dat* accompany the software. The program produces five files of output called *RBno6.dat*, *RBno7.dat*, *RBno9.dat*, *RBno10.dat* and *cluster.dat*. The file *RBno6.dat* records a copy of the raw data, the parameter values selected, plus some basic summary statistics of the data. It is also used to log the path and name of the file read and to record any error messages from the program. The file *RBno7.dat* contains the minimal output required for an analysis. The file *RBno9.dat* contains output for further graphical analyses that must then be cut and pasted as input to some other package. The file *RBno10.dat* contains the briefest summary of the most important of those indices and probabilities output to *RBno7.dat*. The file *Cluster.dat*, contains x and y coordinates in columns 1 and 2, ordered by the corresponding cluster indices in column 3; you can ignore

column 4; this file can be read straight into the SURFER® mapping program or similar software for mapping and interpolation of the clustering indices. The core of the program is the transportation algorithm for determining the moves to regularity (this was adapted from code kindly supplied by Dr Les Proll of the University of Leeds); the output from this is unnecessary for reporting analyses and its action is made transparent to the user.

Note: (i) both files *RBni5.dat* and *RBni8.dat* must be present in the same folder as RBrel14.exe in order to run the program, unless you are using the interactive mode, AND (ii) none of the files *RBno6.dat*, *RBno7.dat*, *RBno9.dat*, *RBno10.dat* or *Cluster.dat* can be present when the program is run.

In the case that you are using the interactive mode, the files must not be in the project folder. If the files are present, you must rename or delete any existing versions of *RBno7.dat*, *RBno9.dat*, *RBno10.dat* and *Cluster.dat*. The presence of these files could cause *RBrel14.exe* to fail without any obvious error message, although an error message should be logged to *Rbno6.dat*.

Also note that, if present, *RBno6.dat* will be overwritten without warning.

Input File Structure

With the above provisos, running the program is easy! This is what you must do. First, put the *n* records in your data into file rbni5.dat, in the following form:

Where the x & y co-ordinates for each pair, (x_k, y_k) , k=1,...,n, should be read in as real numbers and the count, c_k , k=1,...,n, should be read in, on the same line, as an integer, with no decimal point. No more than 2000 records can be analyzed in the version supplied.

Secondly, specify two parameters in the file *RBni8.dat* as follows: On line one, specify an integer seed, *iseed*, between 1 and 30,000, for the random number generator. Specifying the same seed in successive runs of the program will generate identical randomizations; specifying a different value will result in different randomizations. On line two, specify an integer value, *k5psim*, between 1 and 153 that will determine the number of randomizations done. The value of *k5psim* relates to how many blocks of 39 randomizations are performed. Within the program, the value of *k5psim* is multiplied by 39 to give the total number of randomizations performed; the result is denoted *nsims* in the output. If you can afford the time required to perform the randomizations, then you should use the largest value of *k5psim* possible, 153. Hence you should put the two values required into file *RBni8.dat* in the following form:

iseed

k5psim

Note: If you are using the interactive mode, you are prompted to enter *iseed* and *k5psim*, and *RBni8.dat* is not used.

Program output

The file RBno6.dat, created by the program, is a small file. It first tells you the name of the input file read and the number of records, n, there are in your data, and then outputs them, giving each a unique reference number. Then the values of the parameters you have given in file rbni8.dat or entered in the interactive mode are output, together with nsims, the number of randomizations done. Next, some basic summary spatial statistics of the data are printed. First, the x and y co-ordinates of the centroid of the sample units (the 'middle' of the sample, defined as location P, with co-ordinates (x_p , y_p), where $x_p = \Sigma k x_k / n$, and $y_p = \Sigma k y_k / n$)

Second, the x and y coordinates of the centroid of the counts (the spatial equivalent of the arithmetic mean, defined as location C, with co-ordinates (x_c, y_c) , where $x_c = \sum k c_k x_k / \sum k c_k$, and $y_c = \sum k c_k y_k / \sum k c_k$).

Third, the distance, referred to as δ , between these two centroids, i.e. the distance between P and C. [See also Perry et al. (1996) Aspects of Applied Biology, 46, 95-102, or Perry (1998) Measures of spatial pattern and spatial association for counts of insects. pp. 21-33 in: Population and Community Ecology for Insect Management and Conservation (eds. J. Baumgartner, P. Brandmayr & B.F.J. Manly). Balkema, Rotterdam Proceedings of the Ecology and Population Dynamics Section of the 20th International Congress of Entomology, Florence, Italy, 25-31 August 1996. ISBN 90 5410 930 0], for further discussion of the importance of the distance δ .

Fourth, for comparison, the maximum distance between any two sample units is given. Next, some basic numerical summary statistics of the data are given: the sample mean, sample variance, the index of dispersion (n-1) s² / m, and the total number of individuals in the entire sample.

The file RBno7.dat, created by the program, is a medium-sized file that contains all the important results from the analysis of clustering and spatial pattern. It first gives the sample mean of the n counts. Next come some results from the analysis based on the distance to regularity. Firstly, D, the value for the observed data. Secondly, the value of P_a , the probability that the observed counts are arranged randomly among the given sample units. Thirdly, the mean distance to regularity over the randomizations, i.e. the quantity denoted as E_a in Perry (1998). Fourthly, the index, I_a , computed from $I_a = D / E_a$.

Next comes a longer section, devoted to the measurement and detection of clustering. First, several values are given for each unit, with notation that follows that in Perry et al. (1999). There is a row for each unit, ordered by the observed average flow distance, with inflow units, $\Box j$, given above and outflow units, $\Box i$, given below. The most important value is the standardized clustering index, v_i or v_j given in column five. (An ordered copy of this information is given in the output file *cluster.dat*. Other columns are annotated and should be self-explanatory.) Second, the mean of these clustering indices over inflows and over

outflows, v_i and v_j , respectively, mentioned in the discussion of Perry et al. (1999) are given, together with the equivalent value for all flows. Thirdly, the results of the formal randomization tests of these mean clustering indices are given, also mentioned in the discussion of Perry *et al.*, and again done separately for inflows, outflows and all flows. Fourthly, results are given relating to the distribution of clustering indices under the null hypothesis of a random distribution of the observed counts amongst the sample units, i.e. the clustering indices produced by the randomizations. These are as follows. Percentiles are given of the entire set of (*nsims* x number of counts in observed data) randomized clustering indices, so that the observed indices may be assessed against objective criteria. Then, percentiles are given for the distribution of the *nsims* values of the maximum clustering index (both for inflows and outflows), where the distribution is formed from the single value found for each randomization. Finally, following a caveat that should be taken seriously, some results are given from the analysis based on the distance to crowding, if they are required, in similar format to that for regularity, described above.

The file *RBno9.dat*, created by the program, is a large file that contains various statistics and results to enable further graphical output. The file begins with the data required to graph the so-called 'initial-and-final' plot (see both papers referenced above). First, all the flows are given in a single block, in arbitrary order, with the total number of flows at the end; then the flows are given again, but now on a unit by unit basis. Note that the flows referred to in these two sections of output have been almost always been scaled to achieve integer values - to get back to the actual values on the original scale of the counts just divide the scaled flows by n, the number of sample units.

Next, information is given, unit by unit, to allow the drawing of the so-called 'vector flow' plot in Fig. 6 of Perry et al. (1999). Again the flows are scaled as above. The important information is given in the final two columns, which give the x- and y- components of the vector for each unit, respectively.

Next, information is given concerning the observed distance to regularity, D, and the corresponding value for each of the *nsims* randomizations, as they were generated. The next block of values in three columns facilitates the drawing of the most important contour map of clustering, such as that in Fig. 3 of Perry et al. (1999). The information is essentially the same as that given in file RBno7.dat, i.e. the standardized clustering indices, v_i or v_j , given as column three, together with the corresponding x and y co-ordinates of each unit in the first two columns of the block. The next block of values gives information to draw the E.D.F. plots given as Figs. 4 & 5 of Perry et al. (1999). These plots are, however, probably less useful than those formal probability tests given in file RBno7.dat, that used the means of the clustering indices.

The next block repeats those same observed mean clustering indices, given in file *RBno7.dat*, and, immediately underneath, gives the equivalent values from each of the *nsims* randomizations. These are the raw values used in the comparison between observed and randomized values that was summarized in the formal randomization tests of clustering given in file *RBno7.dat*.

Finally, information is given concerning the observed distance to crowding, and the corresponding value for each of the *nsims* randomizations, as they were generated.

The file *RBno10.dat*, created by the program, is a very small file that contains the three most important indices and their probabilities under the null hypothesis of a random distribution of the observed counts amongst the sample units.

The file *Cluster.dat* contains approximately ordered cluster indices in column 3 and corresponding x and y values in columns 1 and 2; you can ignore column 4. This file can be read straight into the SURFER® mapping program for mapping and interpolation of the clustering indices.

The "Non-Parametric" Method

SADIE is, by definition, a non-parametric method

There is no statistical model underlying SADIE, so the method *is always* non-parametric. But the term as used here applies to the set of data, not the method.

Why is a non-parametric version of SADIE needed?

When a set of counts is very skew, with a variance much greater than its mean, there may be relatively very few counts greater than the mean. For example, in the set of counts {0,0,1,2,4,9,16,63,904}, the mean is 111, so eight of the nine counts are less than the mean and only one, 904, is greater than the mean. The variance, 88832, greatly exceeds the mean. In the SADIE system, for this set of data, only one sample unit, the one with count 904, is a 'donor' unit and could possibly be assessed as being within a (red) patch. All the eight other units are 'receiver' units and are therefore potential (blue) gap units. This clearly limits the ability of the method to discriminate spatial pattern if it exists.

What is the idea behind the non-parametric approach?

To yield greater discrimination, consider replacing the actual counts with their non-parametric ranks, and, for technical reasons, first multiply each rank by 2. The above set would then be transformed to: {3,3,6,8,10,12,14,16,18}. Now, this set is the non-parametric equivalent of the original. The mean is now 10, and there are four units with count smaller than this and four units with count larger. This new set of counts may be used as input to SADIE, exactly as the original counts would have been. With the new set, there are thus four potential patch units and four potential gap units and the ability of the new data to discriminate spatial pattern is therefore enhanced. Note that the arrangement of the original set, defined by the coordinates of its sample units is retained; it is just the counts that are different.

Hence, if the original data had coordinates:

X	у	count
1.0	1.0	0
2.0	2.0	0
1.0	2.0	1
2.0	1.0	2
2.0	2.0	4
٠		
5.0	6.0	904

the new, transformed, equivalent non-parametric data would have the same coordinates, but ranks replace the counts:

X	y	count
1.0	1.0	3
2.0	2.0	3
1.0	2.0	6
2.0	1.0	8
2.0	2.0	10
5.0	6.0	18

In summary, the non-parametric approach addresses the problem, for very skew data, that there may be relatively few counts greater than the mean, and therefore an inherent difficulty for the method to detect clustering in the form of patchiness. It does this by "centering" the data about the median. The median of the (old) parametric data becomes the mean of the (new) non-prarametric equivalent data, so, by definition, there are as many values greater than the new mean than there are less than it. However, crucially, in transforming the data it retains the information in the arrangement of the counts relative to one another.

When should the non-parametric version of SADIE be used?

If you believe that the order or rank of the counts relative to one another are as, or more important, as their actual magnitude. This is especially useful with data that is highly skew and for which the variance far exceeds the mean.

How can I run a non-parametric analysis?

If you are using the command-line version, you may either specify the "-r" option (rbrel14 -r), or invoke the interactive mode with "rbrel14 -i" and enter "y" when prompted whether you wish to use the non-parametric method.

Note that if you choose the non-parametric option there is no need for you to do anything to your data prior to input; the program generates the transformation to the non-parametric version of your data automatically. The output in *RBno6.dat* will be slightly different and will explain how the data have been transformed.

How do the results differ when I run a non-parametric analysis?

In general, if the count data are skewed, the mean count will be larger than the median count. Values between the median and mean count will switch from being "receiver" (potential gap) units to being "donor" (potential patch) units. So, if the red-blue plot for the parametric analysis shows moderate gaps and few patches, then the non-parametric analysis will display moderate gaps and moderate patches. These are qualitative changes. They occur in addition to the purely quantitative numerical changes in the data brought about by the transformation. It is important to realize that, for a non-parametric analysis, the data change, and therefore the results must also change.

Known Problems

- 1. RBRel14 now exits "gracefully" if the output files *RBno6.dat*, *RBno7.dat*, *RBno10.dat* or *Cluster.dat* already exist in the project folder. The one exception occurs if *RBno6.dat* is "locked" for editing by another program (e.g. Microsoft Word). RBRel14 will exit with a mysterious system error, which will only be seen if it was run in a "DOS Box" manually from a command prompt. Unfortunately, while Rbrel14.exe should be able to test if the file is locked, the act of testing seems to cause the same error, so the only current workaround is to make sure *RBno6.dat* is not locked by another application.
- 2. In interactive mode, RBRel14 does not create Project Folders. You must enter an existing folder name.

Frequently-Asked Questions

What is all this RBni and RBno stuff?

The original red-blue analysis software was written in Fortran 77, with bits and pieces of Fortran IV and Fortran 90 code. It provided no user interface and read input directly from Fortran file units 5 and 8 and wrote output directly to file units 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11. Consequently the input files were named with 'RB' (Red-Blue), 'i' for input and the file unit: *RBni5.dat* and *RBni8.dat*. The original program ran only if these input files were present

Similarly, the output file names contained an 'o' for output and the file unit number: *RBno6.dat*, *RBno7.dat*, *RBno9.dat* and *RBno10.dat*. Cluster.dat is an excerpt from RBno7.dat containing the local cluster scores to simplify transferring the data to graphics packages and other analyses. The original program worked only when these output files were absent (existing versions couldn't be overwritten and the program crashed)

Why is there so much output?

The original Red-Blue analysis program was written as much to study the mathematical properties of Red-Blue analysis as it was to provide a means of analyzing data. The output was made very detailed to show how the analysis performed on different data sets and understand how the results reflected properties of the data. This meant, however, that the results that were important to ecological researchers were difficult to extract, so specific output to *RBno10.dat* and *Cluster.dat* was added.

Researchers have now created tools, macros and protocols to deal with the extensive output and extract the crucial portions. Tradition now dictates continued production of the extensive output, or these tools may be broken.

In any case, minimal output is satisfactory when an analysis goes well, but the extensive output is still essential to understanding unusual patterns in the data.

Why do I need a project folder? Why can't I pick the names and folders of the output files?

RBrel14 still outputs the four traditional *RBno* output files plus *Cluster.dat*. While it would not be difficult to allow the user to choose a name for each of these files, running multiple SADIE analyses and selecting six separate file names each time would become very tedious. It would also be possible to write all of the output to a single file, but this file would be unwieldy. The simplest solution is to write all five files to a project folder and organize output from multiple analyses according to project folder names, rather than individual output file names.

What is the smallest sample size I can analyze?

There has been no rigorous testing of minimum sample sizes for a SADIE analysis. Extensive experience with small data sets suggests something in the range of 25-30 rows of data is the practical minimum for reliable analysis. Certain spatial configurations in small data sets can also mean that the transportation algorithm at the heart of the SADIE red-blue method

cannot find a unique solution and the analysis will fail, often after displaying seemingly bizarre results.

If you do use small data sets, make use of the extensive output and study the results carefully.

What is the largest sample size I can analyze?

The current version of RBrel14 is designed to analyse up to 2000 rows of data. However, it is worth noting that if you plan to use the output (i.e. *Cluster.dat*) as input for SADIE Association Analysis (N_A15.exe or N_AShell), the software for Association Analysis supports only 1005 rows of data.

When using large (>800?) data sets, it is also worth checking the bottom of RBno6.dat for error messages regarding 'arcs', which may indicate RBrel14 is not capable of handling the particular combination of sample size and spatial pattern.

My data are not integers (counts). Can I still use SADIE analysis?

If you can get your head around the philosophical issues of having clusters of continuous measures, such as, say, pH, rather than counts, then all you need to do is transform your data from real numbers to integers and proceed as usual. In fact, this can be a useful way to detect spatial association between counts of a species and physical measurements. The variable entered in the Count column of the input file does not need to be a count, but it does need to be an integer.

I 'misplaced' my original input file. Can I re-run an analysis from the project folder?

All of the information necessary to re-run a particular Red-Blue analysis is stored in the *RBno6.dat* file. The easiest thing to do is to cut and paste the contents of the 'X, Y, Count, Ref. No'. table to a spreadsheet, delete the 'Ref. No.' column and save the file as a Tab-Separated or Comma-Separated text file to serve as your new input file.

The values of *iseed* and *k5psim* can also be obtained from *RBno6.dat*.

I've analyzed four 25-row data sets (n = 25) and although the I_a are all different, the *P*-values for significance are all identical. Is there something wrong?

When you run analyses on similar, small, identically-sized data sets, all with the same iseed, *P*-values may indeed be identical across several analyses. This is a valid outcome of the randomization tests used, but is suspicious-looking. In this case, use a different *iseed* to run each data set and the *P*-values should then differ just slightly from each other.

I don't have/ can't afford/ don't want Golden Software's Surfer® Software. Can I do Red-Blue analysis without it? And do you guys get a commission from Golden Software?

One of the most important features of SADIE analysis is the availability of local clustering indices – a bit like a patch or gap membership score for each sampling point. Surfer® provides a relatively simple means to interpolate, grid and contour map local clustering indices, along with statistics to validate the interpolation method. The result is the nicely

coloured, contoured "Red-Blue" plot to visualize clustering in a data set. There are many other statistical and GIS packages that can perform interpolation and contour plotting. Also, if visualization of the local clustering indices is your primary concern, these can be plotted in many different programs with a little creative thinking. For example, plotting the absolute value of the indices as two series (one for patches and one for gaps) of a 'Bubble Plot' in Excel® will often illustrate patch and gap sampling points very well, if the sampling points are well-spaced.

Although we like Surfer very much, we are not affiliated with Golden Software in any way. No fat brown envelopes stuffed with cash ever appear in our mailboxes as a result of our admiration of Surfer and we even have to pay full price for it just like everyone else.

Selected References

Papers that explain methods

Cluster indices and red-blue plots

- Perry, J.N., Winder, L., Holland, J.M. & Alston, R.D. (1999). Red-blue plots for detecting clusters in count data. *Ecology Letters*, 2, 106-113.
- Winder, L., Alexander, C., Holland, J.M., Woolley, C. and Perry, J.N. (2001). Modelling the dynamic spatio-temporal response of predators to transient prey patches in the field. *Ecology Letters*, 4, 568-576.
- Winder, L., Alexander, C., Holland, J.M., Woolley, C. and Perry, J.N. (2001). Modelling the dynamic spatio-temporal response of predators to transient prey patches in the field. *Ecology Letters*, 4, 568-576.
- Perry, J.N. & Dixon, P. (2002). A new method for measuring spatial association in ecological count data. *Ecoscience*, 9, 133-141.

Mapped data

Perry, J.N. (1995a). Spatial analysis by distance indices. Journal of Animal Ecology, 64, 303-314.

Simulation of spatial data

- Perry, J.N. (1996). Simulating spatial patterns of counts in agriculture and ecology. *Computers & Electronics in Agriculture*, 15, 93-109.
- Perry, J.N. (1995b). Spatial aspects of animal and plant distribution in patchy farmland habitats. *In: Ecology and Integrated Arable Farming Systems*, pp. 221-242 (eds, D. Glen, M. Greaves & H.M. Anderson). Wiley, Chichester.
- Perry, J.N. (1996). Simulating spatial patterns of counts in agriculture and ecology. *Computers & Electronics in Agriculture*, 15, 93-109.
- Perry, J.N. & Klukowski, Z. (1997). Spatial distributions of counts at the edges of sample areas. *Proceedings of the VI Conference of the Biometric Society* (Spanish Region), Córdoba 21-24 September, 1997, pp. 103-108.
- Perry, J.N. (1998a). Measures of spatial pattern for counts. *Ecology*, 79, 1008-1017.
- Perry, J.N. (1997a). Spatial association for counts of two species. *Acta Jutlandica*, 72, 149-169.
- Perry, J.N. (1998b). Measures of spatial pattern and spatial association for counts of insects. pp. 21-33 *In: Population and Community Ecology for Insect Management and Conservation*. (eds. J. Baumgartner, P. Brandmayr & B.F.J. Manly). Balkema, Rotterdam. *Proceedings of the Ecology and Population Dynamics Section of the 20th Int. Cong. Ent.*, Florence, Italy, 25-31 August 1996. ISBN 9054109300.

Korie, S., Perry, J.N., Mugglestone, M.A., Clark, S.J., Thomas, C.F.G. & Mohamad Roff, M.N. (2000). Spatiotemporal Associations in Beetle and Virus Count Data. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological & Environmental Statistics*, 5, 214-239.

General expository papers

- Perry, J.N., Bell, E.D., Smith, R.H. & Woiwod, I.P. (1996). SADIE: software to measure and model spatial pattern. *Aspects of Applied Biology*, 46, 95 102.
- Perry, J.N. (1997b). "Inchworm, inchworm, measuring the marigolds...": Biometry in action. *Inaugural Lecture Series of the University of Greenwich*. 30pp. ISBN: 1 86166 049 9.
- Perry, J.N. (1997c). Some aspects of the spatial dynamics of weeds. Pages 625-628 In: *Proceedings of the 1997 Brighton Conference: Weeds, Volume 2*, 7B-4. BCPC, Farnham, Surrey.
- Korie, S., Clark, S.J., Perry, J.N., Mugglestone, M.A., Bartlett, P.W., Marshall, E.J.P. & Mann, J.A. (1998). Analysing maps of dispersal around a single focus (with Discussion). *Ecological & Environmental Statistics*, 5, 317-350.
- Dungan, J.L., Perry, J.N., Dale, M.R.T., Citron-Pousty, S., Fortin, M.-J., Jakomulska, A., Legendre, P., Miriti, M. & Rosenberg, M. (2002). A balanced view of scaling in spatial statistical analysis. *Ecography*, 25, 626-640.
- Perry, J.N., Liebhold, A., Rosenberg, M.S., Dungan, J., Miriti, M., Jakomulska, A. & Citron-Pousty, S. (2002). Illustration and Guidelines for Selecting Statistical Methods for Quantifying Spatial Patterns in Ecological Data. *Ecography*, 25, 578-600.

Papers giving examples of data analysis

- Perry, J.N. (1995a). Spatial analysis by distance indices. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 64, 303-314.
- Perry, J.N. (1995b). Spatial aspects of animal and plant distribution in patchy farmland habitats. *In: Ecology and Integrated Arable Farming Systems*, pp. 221-242 (eds, D. Glen, M. Greaves & H.M. Anderson). Wiley, Chichester.
- Perry, J.N. (1998a). Measures of spatial pattern for counts. *Ecology*, 79, 1008-1017.
- Korie, S., Clark, S.J., Perry, J.N., Mugglestone, M.A., Bartlett, P.W., Marshall, E.J.P.
 & Mann, J.A. (1998). Analysing maps of dispersal around a single focus (with Discussion). *Ecological & Environmental Statistics*, 5, 317-350.
- Winder, L., Holland, J. & Perry, J.N. (1998). The within-field spatial and temporal distribution of the grain aphid *Sitobion avenae* in winter wheat. *Proceedings of the Brighton Conference 1998, Volume 3, Session 9D-18*, pp. 1089-1094. BCPC, Farnham.
- Perry, J.N., Winder, L., Holland, J.M. & Alston, R.D. (1999). Red-blue plots for detecting clusters in count data. *Ecology Letters*, 2, 106-113.
- Murchie, A., Williams, I. & Perry, J.N. (1999). Spatial distributions of *Ceutorynchus assimilis* Paykull (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and its parasitoid *Trichomalus*

- perfectus (Walker) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) in a crop of winter oilseed rape (Brassica napus). *BioControl*, 44, 379-390.
- Winder, L., Perry, J.N. & Holland, J.M. (1999). The spatial and temporal distribution of the grain aphid *Sitobion avenae* in winter wheat. *Entomologia experimentalis et applicata*, 93, 277-290.
- Holland, J.M., Perry, J.N. &. Winder, L. (1999). The within-field spatial and temporal distribution of arthropods in winter wheat. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 89, 499-513.
- Perry, J.N. & López Granados, Francisca (1999). Measuring the spatial pattern of *Orobanche crenata* weeds by SADIE red-blue analysis. *Proceedings of VII Conferencia Espaòola de Biometria, Palma de Mallorca 10-12 de marzo de 1999*, pp. 247-250.
- Ferguson, A.W., Williams, I.H., Klukowski, Z., Walczak, B. & Perry, J.N. (1999). Spatial population dynamics of a pest and its parasitoid in an oilseed rape crop. *Aspects of Applied Biology*, 53, 143-148.
- Holland, J.M., Winder, L. & Perry, J.N. (1999). Arthropod prey of farmland birds: their spatial distribution within a sprayed field with and without buffer zones. *Aspects of Applied Biology*, 54, 53-60.
- Ingrid H. Williams, Archie K. Murchie, Andrew W. Ferguson, Zdzislaw Klukowski, Joe N. Perry, Barbara Walczak, Moira A. Mugglestone, Suzanne J. Clark (1999). Spatio-temporal dynamics of insect distribution in oilseed rape. CD ROM *Proceedings of 10th International Rapeseed Congress, Canberra, Australia, 26 29 September 1999*.
- Turechek, W. W. & Madden, L. V. (1999). Spatial pattern analysis of strawberry leaf blight in perennial production systems. *Phytopathology* 89: 421-433.
- Turechek, W. W. & Madden, L. V. (1999). Spatial pattern analysis and sequential sampling for the incidence of leaf spot on strawberry in Ohio. *Plant Disease*, 83, 992-1000.
- Turechek, W. W. & Madden, L. V. (1999). Analysis of the association between the incidence of two spatially aggregated foliar diseases of strawberry. Madden, 90, 157-170.
- Ferguson, A.W., Klukowski, Z., Williams, I.H., Walczak, B., Perry, J.N., Mugglestone, M., & Clark, S.J. (2000). The spatio-temporal distribution of adult *Ceutorhynchus assimilis* in a crop of winter oilseed rape in relation to the distribution of their larvae and that of the parasitoid *Trichomalus perfectus*. *Entomologia experimentalis et applicata*, 95, 161-171.
- Korie, S., Perry, J.N., Mugglestone, M.A., Clark, S.J., Thomas, C.F.G. & Mohamad Roff, M.N. (2000). Spatiotemporal Associations in Beetle and Virus Count Data. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological & Environmental Statistics*, 5, 214-239.
- Holland, J.M., Winder, L. & Perry, J.N. (2000). The impact of dimethoate on the spatial distribution of beneficial arthropods in winter wheat. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 136, 93-105.

- Winder, L., Wooley, C., Holland, J.M., Perry, J.N. & Alexander, C.J. (2000). The field-scale distribution of insects in winter wheat. *Proceedings of the 2000 Brighton Conference: Pests and Diseases, Volume 2*, 573-578.
- Holland, J.M., Begbie, M., Birkett, T., Reynolds, C.J.M. & Thomas, C.F.G. (2001). The influence of hedgerows on coleopteran distributions: results from a multi-field sampling study. In: *Hedgerows of the World, Proceedings of IALE (UK) 2001*, 177-186.
- Shah, D. A., Bergstrom, G. C. & Ueng, P. P. (2001). Foci of *Stagonospora nodorum* blotch in winter wheat before canopy development. *Phytopathology*, 91, 642-647.
- Winder, L., Alexander, C., Holland, J.M., Woolley, C. and Perry, J.N. (2001). Modelling the dynamic spatio-temporal response of predators to transient prey patches in the field. *Ecology Letters*, 4, 568-576.
- Nansen, C., Meikle, W.G. & Korie, S. (2002). Spatial analysis of *Prostephanus truncatus* (Bostrichidae:Coleoptera) flight activity near maize stores and in different forest types in southern Benin, West Africa. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 95, 66-74.
- Kleijn, D. & Steinger, T. (2002). Contrasting effects of grazing and hay cutting on the spatial and genetic population structure of *Veratrum album*, an unpalatable, longlived, clonal plant species. *Journal of Ecology*, 90, 360-370.
- Thackray, D.J, Smith, L.J, Cheng, Y., Perry, J.N. & Jones, R.A.C. (2002) (pages 1-4) Effect of strain-specific hypersensitive resistance on spatial patterns of virus spread. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 141, 45-59.
- Maestre, F.T. & Cortina, J. (2002). Spatial patterns of surface soil properties and vegetation in a Mediterranean semi-arid steppe. *Plant and Soil*, 241, 279-291.
- Dungan, J.L., Perry, J.N., Dale, M.R.T., Citron-Pousty, S., Fortin, M.-J., Jakomulska, A., Legendre, P., Miriti, M. & Rosenberg, M. (2002). A balanced view of scaling in spatial statistical analysis. *Ecography*, 25, 626-640.
- Perry, J.N. & Dixon, P. (2002). A new method for measuring spatial association in ecological count data. *Ecoscience*, 9, 133-141.
- Perry, J.N., Liebhold, A., Rosenberg, M.S., Dungan, J., Miriti, M., Jakomulska, A. & Citron-Pousty, S. (2002). Illustration and Guidelines for Selecting Statistical Methods for Quantifying Spatial Patterns in Ecological Data. *Ecography*, 25, 578-600.

Appendix 1: History of modifications

2 JUNE 1999 – Original version by J. N. Perry

29 OCT 2007 – Recoded to avoid use of EQUIVALENCE of NODE and ARC in the TRANSP subroutine

- Removed BLOCK DATA and DATA statements and used simple assignment to initialize variables.
- Replaced the varible FLOOR with TFLOOR b/c FLOOR is a reserved word
- Replaced the varible XOR with TXOR b/c XOR is a reserved word
- Replaced the bubble sorts in SORT2K, SORT6K, SORT78K and DTSORT with slightly faster Shell sorts (all could be replaced eventually with one SBR)

30 OCT 2007 – Replaced the bubble sort in ICSORT with a slightly faster Shell sort

- Replaced the bubble sort in AVFLPP with a slightly faster Shell sort
- Declared TEMP and ITEMP explicitly in AVFLPP, which may have caused rounding errors in EDF in previous versions

28 NOV 2007 – Changed Holerith variables to characters and NAME to a character array

29 NOV 2007 – Reduced sorting sbr (sort2k,sort6k,sort78k) to use one universal one

30 NOV 2007 – imposed IMPLICIT NONE on all sbr and explicitly declared all variables

02 DEC 2007 - added initialization of CAT(J100) = 0 in sbr INDAT

- Moved STFROM(2000), STTO(2000), STFLOW(2000) to a common block
- Increased the dimension of DUMFRO, DUMTO, TNF, DINCX, DUMFLO, DINCY, DUMDIS, DINCL in AVFLPP from 2000 to 4000 to account for the fact that they could require indices up to 2*NOP (=TNN)
- NOTE: program now tested successufully with 1300 sampling points

30 DEC 2007 – Revised code to provide both parametric and non-parametric versions from one code base using RFLAG (=TRUE when non-parametric analysis needed)

• Added the command-line parameter -r to switch to the "non-parametric" mode

30 JAN 2008 – Revised ERRMES so that errors from the transportation algorithm are written to *RBno6* as well as the console window

• Increased the allowable number of arcs from 444444 to 2600000. A better estimate of the max arcs is needed

01 FEB 2008 – Reduced CONTINUES in the Transportation algorithm by restructuring DO and IF...GOTO statements

Removed Arithmetic IF statements

18 FEB 2008 – Recoded sections of the Transportation Algorithm for g77 and gfortran compliance

- Reduced redundant common blocks
- Reduced redundant variable declarations
- Converted GOTOs in the Transportation Algorithm to IF...THEN structures
- Tarted up the code

12 MAR 2008 – Added SAVE subroutines

• Tried to enforce stricter formatting of output

21 MAR 2008 – Changed *RBno6* from I/O unit 6 to unit 12 to avoid conflicts with console output

- Changed RBno6 from STATUS=NEW to STATUS=REPLACE so it can be used for logging errors
- Consolidated and simplified (??) error-handling by moving error handling to the end of the main prog.
- Also added the /errs/ common block to share error messages among modules

23 MAR 2008 – Changed RBni5 from I/O unit 5 to unit 15 to avoid conflicts with console output

• Added the -i command-line option to prompt the user for the input file and *iseed* and *k5psim*

26 MAR 2008 – Implemented rudimentary support for project folders

- Note that no folders can be created and there is no checking validity of project folders
- Added the filename read to RBno6
- Note that implementing folder handling means that code is no longer portable between MS Fortran and g77 (and linux) b/c the system and CHAR functions are non-standard Fortran

23 July 2008 - Re-defined INTEGER as INTEGER*4

- Removed SAVE from main routine DTSORT, DISCRO; Switched from Shell to Bubble sort in AVFLPP; These changes removed discrepancies with distance to crowding compared with RBRELV13.
- Verified rounding error discrepancies caused by previously inplicitly defined TEMP in AVFLPP: TEMP now declared DOUBLE PRECISION

Appendix 2: Command-line help

```
Program RBRel14

Usage: rbrel14 [-r] [-h] [-i]

Performs a Red-Blue SADIE analysis

Optionally uses input files rbni5.dat rbni8.dat

Optional command-line parameters:

-r Analyses ranks of counts rather than the counts
    (The "non-parametric method")

-i Enters interactive mode with prompts for input

-h Displays this text

Only one option may be used at a time
```

Appendix 3: Interactive mode

The following prompts are displayed on the screen in interactive mode (using the -i option). User responses, here shown in bold, are entered after the -->. On the screen, the prompts appear one at a time and the program waits at the --> for each user response.

```
*** Program Red-Blue version 1.4 ***
Enter project path for output files
Use . for current directory
--> .
Enter the file name for input
(full pathnames permitted)
--> rbni5.dat
Use non-parametric method? (y/n)
--> n
Enter a seed for the random number generator (1 < seed <
30000)
--> 3000
Enter a number for k5psim (max=153)
--> 3
Program running ...
Program executed successfully!
```

Appendix 4: Error codes

1	rbno6 I/O error
2	Input file I/O error
	•
3	Error: negative counts in the data
4	Too many sampling points
5	Error reading unit 5
6	No numbers in data
7	Error creating output files
8	Value of ISEED too large
9	Too many simulations
10	Error reading unit 8
11	Total supply <> total demand
12	Number of neg, zero and pos nodes do not equal total number of units
13	All data values identical
14	Two units have same coordinates
15	Invalid number of arcs
16	Too many arcs for this version
17	Problem infeasible - lower bounds on arc flow cannot be met
18	Too many nodes for this version
19	Too many arcs for this version
20	Supply/demand already specified for node
21	Problem infeasible - demand exceeds supply
22	Improper bounds on arc flow
23	Too many arcs for this version

License For This Documentation

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 2.5 Canada License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2.5/ca/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

You are free:

to Share — to copy, distribute and transmit the work

to Remix — to adapt the work

Under the following conditions:

Attribution. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

Noncommercial. You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

Share Alike. If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under the same or similar licence to this one.

For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the licence terms of this work.

Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

The author's moral rights are retained in this licence.

Disclaimer

The Commons Deed is not a licence. It is simply a handy reference for understanding the Legal Code (the full license) — it is a human-readable expression of some of its key terms. Think of it as the user-friendly interface to the Legal Code beneath. This Deed itself has no legal value, and its contents do not appear in the actual licence.

Creative Commons is not a law firm and does not provide legal services. Distributing of, displaying of, or linking to this Commons Deed does not create a solicitor-client relationship.

Your fair dealing and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

License for RBRel14.exe

GNU GENERAL PUBLIC LICENSE

Version 3, 29 June 2007

Copyright © 2007 Free Software Foundation, Inc. http://fsf.org/

Everyone is permitted to copy and distribute verbatim copies of this license document, but changing it is not allowed.

Preamble

The GNU General Public License is a free, copyleft license for software and other kinds of works.

The licenses for most software and other practical works are designed to take away your freedom to share and change the works. By contrast, the GNU General Public License is intended to guarantee your freedom to share and change all versions of a program--to make sure it remains free software for all its users. We, the Free Software Foundation, use the GNU General Public License for most of our software; it applies also to any other work released this way by its authors. You can apply it to your programs, too.

When we speak of free software, we are referring to freedom, not price. Our General Public Licenses are designed to make sure that you have the freedom to distribute copies of free software (and charge for them if you wish), that you receive source code or can get it if you want it, that you can change the software or use pieces of it in new free programs, and that you know you can do these things.

To protect your rights, we need to prevent others from denying you these rights or asking you to surrender the rights. Therefore, you have certain responsibilities if you distribute copies of the software, or if you modify it: responsibilities to respect the freedom of others.

For example, if you distribute copies of such a program, whether gratis or for a fee, you must pass on to the recipients the same freedoms that you received. You must make sure that they, too, receive or can get the source code. And you must show them these terms so they know their rights.

Developers that use the GNU GPL protect your rights with two steps: (1) assert copyright on the software, and (2) offer you this License giving you legal permission to copy, distribute and/or modify it.

For the developers' and authors' protection, the GPL clearly explains that there is no warranty for this free software. For both users' and authors' sake, the GPL requires that modified versions be marked as changed, so that their problems will not be attributed erroneously to authors of previous versions.

Some devices are designed to deny users access to install or run modified versions of the software inside them, although the manufacturer can do so. This is fundamentally incompatible with the aim of protecting users' freedom to change the software. The systematic pattern of such abuse occurs in the area of products for individuals to use, which is precisely where it is most unacceptable. Therefore, we have designed this version of the GPL to prohibit the practice for those products. If such problems arise substantially in other domains, we stand ready to extend this provision to those domains in future versions of the GPL, as needed to protect the freedom of users.

Finally, every program is threatened constantly by software patents. States should not allow patents to restrict development and use of software on general-purpose computers, but in those that do, we wish to avoid the special danger that patents applied to a free program could make it effectively proprietary. To prevent this, the GPL assures that patents cannot be used to render the program non-free.

The precise terms and conditions for copying, distribution and modification follow.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR COPYING, DISTRIBUTION AND MODIFICATION

0. Definitions.

"This License" refers to version 3 of the GNU General Public License.

"Copyright" also means copyright-like laws that apply to other kinds of works, such as semiconductor masks

"The Program" refers to any copyrightable work licensed under this License. Each licensee is addressed as "you". "Licensees" and "recipients" may be individuals or organizations.

To "modify" a work means to copy from or adapt all or part of the work in a fashion requiring copyright permission, other than the making of an exact copy. The resulting work is called a "modified version" of the earlier work or a work "based on" the earlier work.

A "covered work" means either the unmodified Program or a work based on the Program.

To "propagate" a work means to do anything with it that, without permission, would make you directly or secondarily liable for infringement under applicable copyright law, except executing it on a computer or modifying a private copy. Propagation includes copying, distribution (with or without modification), making available to the public, and in some countries other activities as well.

To "convey" a work means any kind of propagation that enables other parties to make or receive copies. Mere interaction with a user through a computer network, with no transfer of a copy, is not conveying.

An interactive user interface displays "Appropriate Legal Notices" to the extent that it includes a convenient and prominently visible feature that (1) displays an appropriate copyright notice, and (2) tells the user that there is no warranty for the work (except to the extent that warranties are provided), that licensees may convey the work under this License,

and how to view a copy of this License. If the interface presents a list of user commands or options, such as a menu, a prominent item in the list meets this criterion.

1. Source Code.

The "source code" for a work means the preferred form of the work for making modifications to it. "Object code" means any non-source form of a work.

A "Standard Interface" means an interface that either is an official standard defined by a recognized standards body, or, in the case of interfaces specified for a particular programming language, one that is widely used among developers working in that language.

The "System Libraries" of an executable work include anything, other than the work as a whole, that (a) is included in the normal form of packaging a Major Component, but which is not part of that Major Component, and (b) serves only to enable use of the work with that Major Component, or to implement a Standard Interface for which an implementation is available to the public in source code form. A "Major Component", in this context, means a major essential component (kernel, window system, and so on) of the specific operating system (if any) on which the executable work runs, or a compiler used to produce the work, or an object code interpreter used to run it.

The "Corresponding Source" for a work in object code form means all the source code needed to generate, install, and (for an executable work) run the object code and to modify the work, including scripts to control those activities. However, it does not include the work's System Libraries, or general-purpose tools or generally available free programs which are used unmodified in performing those activities but which are not part of the work. For example, Corresponding Source includes interface definition files associated with source files for the work, and the source code for shared libraries and dynamically linked subprograms that the work is specifically designed to require, such as by intimate data communication or control flow between those subprograms and other parts of the work.

The Corresponding Source need not include anything that users can regenerate automatically from other parts of the Corresponding Source.

The Corresponding Source for a work in source code form is that same work.

2. Basic Permissions.

All rights granted under this License are granted for the term of copyright on the Program, and are irrevocable provided the stated conditions are met. This License explicitly affirms your unlimited permission to run the unmodified Program. The output from running a covered work is covered by this License only if the output, given its content, constitutes a covered work. This License acknowledges your rights of fair use or other equivalent, as provided by copyright law.

You may make, run and propagate covered works that you do not convey, without conditions so long as your license otherwise remains in force. You may convey covered works to others for the sole purpose of having them make modifications exclusively for you, or provide you with facilities for running those works, provided that you comply with the terms of this License in conveying all material for which you do not control copyright. Those

thus making or running the covered works for you must do so exclusively on your behalf, under your direction and control, on terms that prohibit them from making any copies of your copyrighted material outside their relationship with you.

Conveying under any other circumstances is permitted solely under the conditions stated below. Sublicensing is not allowed; section 10 makes it unnecessary.

3. Protecting Users' Legal Rights From Anti-Circumvention Law.

No covered work shall be deemed part of an effective technological measure under any applicable law fulfilling obligations under article 11 of the WIPO copyright treaty adopted on 20 December 1996, or similar laws prohibiting or restricting circumvention of such measures.

When you convey a covered work, you waive any legal power to forbid circumvention of technological measures to the extent such circumvention is effected by exercising rights under this License with respect to the covered work, and you disclaim any intention to limit operation or modification of the work as a means of enforcing, against the work's users, your or third parties' legal rights to forbid circumvention of technological measures.

4. Conveying Verbatim Copies.

You may convey verbatim copies of the Program's source code as you receive it, in any medium, provided that you conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate copyright notice; keep intact all notices stating that this License and any non-permissive terms added in accord with section 7 apply to the code; keep intact all notices of the absence of any warranty; and give all recipients a copy of this License along with the Program.

You may charge any price or no price for each copy that you convey, and you may offer support or warranty protection for a fee.

5. Conveying Modified Source Versions.

You may convey a work based on the Program, or the modifications to produce it from the Program, in the form of source code under the terms of section 4, provided that you also meet all of these conditions:

- a) The work must carry prominent notices stating that you modified it, and giving a relevant date.
- b) The work must carry prominent notices stating that it is released under this License and any conditions added under section 7. This requirement modifies the requirement in section 4 to "keep intact all notices".
- c) You must license the entire work, as a whole, under this License to anyone who comes into possession of a copy. This License will therefore apply, along with any applicable section 7 additional terms, to the whole of the work, and all its parts, regardless of how they are packaged. This License gives no permission to license the work in any other way, but it does not invalidate such permission if you have separately received it.

d) If the work has interactive user interfaces, each must display Appropriate Legal Notices; however, if the Program has interactive interfaces that do not display Appropriate Legal Notices, your work need not make them do so.

A compilation of a covered work with other separate and independent works, which are not by their nature extensions of the covered work, and which are not combined with it such as to form a larger program, in or on a volume of a storage or distribution medium, is called an "aggregate" if the compilation and its resulting copyright are not used to limit the access or legal rights of the compilation's users beyond what the individual works permit. Inclusion of a covered work in an aggregate does not cause this License to apply to the other parts of the aggregate.

6. Conveying Non-Source Forms.

You may convey a covered work in object code form under the terms of sections 4 and 5, provided that you also convey the machine-readable Corresponding Source under the terms of this License, in one of these ways:

- a) Convey the object code in, or embodied in, a physical product (including a physical distribution medium), accompanied by the Corresponding Source fixed on a durable physical medium customarily used for software interchange.
- b) Convey the object code in, or embodied in, a physical product (including a physical distribution medium), accompanied by a written offer, valid for at least three years and valid for as long as you offer spare parts or customer support for that product model, to give anyone who possesses the object code either (1) a copy of the Corresponding Source for all the software in the product that is covered by this License, on a durable physical medium customarily used for software interchange, for a price no more than your reasonable cost of physically performing this conveying of source, or (2) access to copy the Corresponding Source from a network server at no charge.
- c) Convey individual copies of the object code with a copy of the written offer to provide the Corresponding Source. This alternative is allowed only occasionally and noncommercially, and only if you received the object code with such an offer, in accord with subsection 6b.
- d) Convey the object code by offering access from a designated place (gratis or for a charge), and offer equivalent access to the Corresponding Source in the same way through the same place at no further charge. You need not require recipients to copy the Corresponding Source along with the object code. If the place to copy the object code is a network server, the Corresponding Source may be on a different server (operated by you or a third party) that supports equivalent copying facilities, provided you maintain clear directions next to the object code saying where to find the Corresponding Source. Regardless of what server hosts the Corresponding Source, you remain obligated to ensure that it is available for as long as needed to satisfy these requirements.
- e) Convey the object code using peer-to-peer transmission, provided you inform other peers where the object code and Corresponding Source of the work are being offered to the general public at no charge under subsection 6d.

A separable portion of the object code, whose source code is excluded from the Corresponding Source as a System Library, need not be included in conveying the object code work.

A "User Product" is either (1) a "consumer product", which means any tangible personal property which is normally used for personal, family, or household purposes, or (2) anything designed or sold for incorporation into a dwelling. In determining whether a product is a consumer product, doubtful cases shall be resolved in favor of coverage. For a particular product received by a particular user, "normally used" refers to a typical or common use of that class of product, regardless of the status of the particular user or of the way in which the particular user actually uses, or expects or is expected to use, the product. A product is a consumer product regardless of whether the product has substantial commercial, industrial or non-consumer uses, unless such uses represent the only significant mode of use of the product.

"Installation Information" for a User Product means any methods, procedures, authorization keys, or other information required to install and execute modified versions of a covered work in that User Product from a modified version of its Corresponding Source. The information must suffice to ensure that the continued functioning of the modified object code is in no case prevented or interfered with solely because modification has been made.

If you convey an object code work under this section in, or with, or specifically for use in, a User Product, and the conveying occurs as part of a transaction in which the right of possession and use of the User Product is transferred to the recipient in perpetuity or for a fixed term (regardless of how the transaction is characterized), the Corresponding Source conveyed under this section must be accompanied by the Installation Information. But this requirement does not apply if neither you nor any third party retains the ability to install modified object code on the User Product (for example, the work has been installed in ROM).

The requirement to provide Installation Information does not include a requirement to continue to provide support service, warranty, or updates for a work that has been modified or installed by the recipient, or for the User Product in which it has been modified or installed. Access to a network may be denied when the modification itself materially and adversely affects the operation of the network or violates the rules and protocols for communication across the network.

Corresponding Source conveyed, and Installation Information provided, in accord with this section must be in a format that is publicly documented (and with an implementation available to the public in source code form), and must require no special password or key for unpacking, reading or copying.

7. Additional Terms.

"Additional permissions" are terms that supplement the terms of this License by making exceptions from one or more of its conditions. Additional permissions that are applicable to the entire Program shall be treated as though they were included in this License, to the extent that they are valid under applicable law. If additional permissions apply only to part of the Program, that part may be used separately under those permissions, but the entire Program remains governed by this License without regard to the additional permissions.

When you convey a copy of a covered work, you may at your option remove any additional permissions from that copy, or from any part of it. (Additional permissions may be written to require their own removal in certain cases when you modify the work.) You may place additional permissions on material, added by you to a covered work, for which you have or can give appropriate copyright permission.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this License, for material you add to a covered work, you may (if authorized by the copyright holders of that material) supplement the terms of this License with terms:

- a) Disclaiming warranty or limiting liability differently from the terms of sections 15 and 16 of this License; or
- b) Requiring preservation of specified reasonable legal notices or author attributions in that material or in the Appropriate Legal Notices displayed by works containing it; or
- c) Prohibiting misrepresentation of the origin of that material, or requiring that modified versions of such material be marked in reasonable ways as different from the original version; or
- d) Limiting the use for publicity purposes of names of licensors or authors of the material; or
- e) Declining to grant rights under trademark law for use of some trade names, trademarks, or service marks; or
- f) Requiring indemnification of licensors and authors of that material by anyone who conveys the material (or modified versions of it) with contractual assumptions of liability to the recipient, for any liability that these contractual assumptions directly impose on those licensors and authors.

All other non-permissive additional terms are considered "further restrictions" within the meaning of section 10. If the Program as you received it, or any part of it, contains a notice stating that it is governed by this License along with a term that is a further restriction, you may remove that term. If a license document contains a further restriction but permits relicensing or conveying under this License, you may add to a covered work material governed by the terms of that license document, provided that the further restriction does not survive such relicensing or conveying.

If you add terms to a covered work in accord with this section, you must place, in the relevant source files, a statement of the additional terms that apply to those files, or a notice indicating where to find the applicable terms.

Additional terms, permissive or non-permissive, may be stated in the form of a separately written license, or stated as exceptions; the above requirements apply either way.

8. Termination.

You may not propagate or modify a covered work except as expressly provided under this License. Any attempt otherwise to propagate or modify it is void, and will automatically

terminate your rights under this License (including any patent licenses granted under the third paragraph of section 11).

However, if you cease all violation of this License, then your license from a particular copyright holder is reinstated (a) provisionally, unless and until the copyright holder explicitly and finally terminates your license, and (b) permanently, if the copyright holder fails to notify you of the violation by some reasonable means prior to 60 days after the cessation.

Moreover, your license from a particular copyright holder is reinstated permanently if the copyright holder notifies you of the violation by some reasonable means, this is the first time you have received notice of violation of this License (for any work) from that copyright holder, and you cure the violation prior to 30 days after your receipt of the notice.

Termination of your rights under this section does not terminate the licenses of parties who have received copies or rights from you under this License. If your rights have been terminated and not permanently reinstated, you do not qualify to receive new licenses for the same material under section 10.

9. Acceptance Not Required for Having Copies.

You are not required to accept this License in order to receive or run a copy of the Program. Ancillary propagation of a covered work occurring solely as a consequence of using peer-to-peer transmission to receive a copy likewise does not require acceptance. However, nothing other than this License grants you permission to propagate or modify any covered work. These actions infringe copyright if you do not accept this License. Therefore, by modifying or propagating a covered work, you indicate your acceptance of this License to do so.

10. Automatic Licensing of Downstream Recipients.

Each time you convey a covered work, the recipient automatically receives a license from the original licensors, to run, modify and propagate that work, subject to this License. You are not responsible for enforcing compliance by third parties with this License.

An "entity transaction" is a transaction transferring control of an organization, or substantially all assets of one, or subdividing an organization, or merging organizations. If propagation of a covered work results from an entity transaction, each party to that transaction who receives a copy of the work also receives whatever licenses to the work the party's predecessor in interest had or could give under the previous paragraph, plus a right to possession of the Corresponding Source of the work from the predecessor in interest, if the predecessor has it or can get it with reasonable efforts.

You may not impose any further restrictions on the exercise of the rights granted or affirmed under this License. For example, you may not impose a license fee, royalty, or other charge for exercise of rights granted under this License, and you may not initiate litigation (including a cross-claim or counterclaim in a lawsuit) alleging that any patent claim is infringed by making, using, selling, offering for sale, or importing the Program or any portion of it.

11. Patents.

A "contributor" is a copyright holder who authorizes use under this License of the Program or a work on which the Program is based. The work thus licensed is called the contributor's "contributor version".

A contributor's "essential patent claims" are all patent claims owned or controlled by the contributor, whether already acquired or hereafter acquired, that would be infringed by some manner, permitted by this License, of making, using, or selling its contributor version, but do not include claims that would be infringed only as a consequence of further modification of the contributor version. For purposes of this definition, "control" includes the right to grant patent sublicenses in a manner consistent with the requirements of this License.

Each contributor grants you a non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free patent license under the contributor's essential patent claims, to make, use, sell, offer for sale, import and otherwise run, modify and propagate the contents of its contributor version.

In the following three paragraphs, a "patent license" is any express agreement or commitment, however denominated, not to enforce a patent (such as an express permission to practice a patent or covenant not to sue for patent infringement). To "grant" such a patent license to a party means to make such an agreement or commitment not to enforce a patent against the party.

If you convey a covered work, knowingly relying on a patent license, and the Corresponding Source of the work is not available for anyone to copy, free of charge and under the terms of this License, through a publicly available network server or other readily accessible means, then you must either (1) cause the Corresponding Source to be so available, or (2) arrange to deprive yourself of the benefit of the patent license for this particular work, or (3) arrange, in a manner consistent with the requirements of this License, to extend the patent license to downstream recipients. "Knowingly relying" means you have actual knowledge that, but for the patent license, your conveying the covered work in a country, or your recipient's use of the covered work in a country, would infringe one or more identifiable patents in that country that you have reason to believe are valid.

If, pursuant to or in connection with a single transaction or arrangement, you convey, or propagate by procuring conveyance of, a covered work, and grant a patent license to some of the parties receiving the covered work authorizing them to use, propagate, modify or convey a specific copy of the covered work, then the patent license you grant is automatically extended to all recipients of the covered work and works based on it.

A patent license is "discriminatory" if it does not include within the scope of its coverage, prohibits the exercise of, or is conditioned on the non-exercise of one or more of the rights that are specifically granted under this License. You may not convey a covered work if you are a party to an arrangement with a third party that is in the business of distributing software, under which you make payment to the third party based on the extent of your activity of conveying the work, and under which the third party grants, to any of the parties who would receive the covered work from you, a discriminatory patent license (a) in connection with copies of the covered work conveyed by you (or copies made from those copies), or (b) primarily for and in connection with specific products or compilations that contain the covered work, unless you entered into that arrangement, or that patent license was granted, prior to 28 March 2007.

Nothing in this License shall be construed as excluding or limiting any implied license or other defenses to infringement that may otherwise be available to you under applicable patent law.

12. No Surrender of Others' Freedom.

If conditions are imposed on you (whether by court order, agreement or otherwise) that contradict the conditions of this License, they do not excuse you from the conditions of this License. If you cannot convey a covered work so as to satisfy simultaneously your obligations under this License and any other pertinent obligations, then as a consequence you may not convey it at all. For example, if you agree to terms that obligate you to collect a royalty for further conveying from those to whom you convey the Program, the only way you could satisfy both those terms and this License would be to refrain entirely from conveying the Program.

13. Use with the GNU Affero General Public License.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this License, you have permission to link or combine any covered work with a work licensed under version 3 of the GNU Affero General Public License into a single combined work, and to convey the resulting work. The terms of this License will continue to apply to the part which is the covered work, but the special requirements of the GNU Affero General Public License, section 13, concerning interaction through a network will apply to the combination as such.

14. Revised Versions of this License.

The Free Software Foundation may publish revised and/or new versions of the GNU General Public License from time to time. Such new versions will be similar in spirit to the present version, but may differ in detail to address new problems or concerns.

Each version is given a distinguishing version number. If the Program specifies that a certain numbered version of the GNU General Public License "or any later version" applies to it, you have the option of following the terms and conditions either of that numbered version or of any later version published by the Free Software Foundation. If the Program does not specify a version number of the GNU General Public License, you may choose any version ever published by the Free Software Foundation.

If the Program specifies that a proxy can decide which future versions of the GNU General Public License can be used, that proxy's public statement of acceptance of a version permanently authorizes you to choose that version for the Program.

Later license versions may give you additional or different permissions. However, no additional obligations are imposed on any author or copyright holder as a result of your choosing to follow a later version.

15. Disclaimer of Warranty.

THERE IS NO WARRANTY FOR THE PROGRAM, TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW. EXCEPT WHEN OTHERWISE STATED IN WRITING THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND/OR OTHER PARTIES PROVIDE THE PROGRAM "AS

IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. THE ENTIRE RISK AS TO THE QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAM IS WITH YOU. SHOULD THE PROGRAM PROVE DEFECTIVE, YOU ASSUME THE COST OF ALL NECESSARY SERVICING, REPAIR OR CORRECTION.

16. Limitation of Liability.

IN NO EVENT UNLESS REQUIRED BY APPLICABLE LAW OR AGREED TO IN WRITING WILL ANY COPYRIGHT HOLDER, OR ANY OTHER PARTY WHO MODIFIES AND/OR CONVEYS THE PROGRAM AS PERMITTED ABOVE, BE LIABLE TO YOU FOR DAMAGES, INCLUDING ANY GENERAL, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE USE OR INABILITY TO USE THE PROGRAM (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOSS OF DATA OR DATA BEING RENDERED INACCURATE OR LOSSES SUSTAINED BY YOU OR THIRD PARTIES OR A FAILURE OF THE PROGRAM TO OPERATE WITH ANY OTHER PROGRAMS), EVEN IF SUCH HOLDER OR OTHER PARTY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

17. Interpretation of Sections 15 and 16.

If the disclaimer of warranty and limitation of liability provided above cannot be given local legal effect according to their terms, reviewing courts shall apply local law that most closely approximates an absolute waiver of all civil liability in connection with the Program, unless a warranty or assumption of liability accompanies a copy of the Program in return for a fee.

END OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS