

Figure 1: Major lake and watershed factors affecting lake P retention. Shaded symbols indicate factors typically considered in P retention models whereas open symbols indicate additional factors specific to the present study. Dashed lines indicate inferred relationships, which cannot be tested with available data, but are otherwise discussed herein.




Figure 2: Connectivity metric definitions along with simplified examples of high and low value lakes that might arise from a binary classification. Both lake-stream-based and stream-based metrics are associated with restrictions on in-stream transport whereas stream-based metrics are associated with differences in transport of P from terrestrial runoff to streams. We use the term "first order stream" to describe a headwater stream.




Figure 3: Diagram showing the lake subwatershed and lake watershed of three lakes. Here the lake subwatershed of lake 3 encompasses the lake subwatershed of lake 2 because it is smaller than 10 ha but it does not encompass the lake subwatershed of lake 1 because it has an area of at least 10 ha. In contrast to the lake subwatershed boundaries, the lake watershed boundaries extend to the headwaters of the lake chain.




Figure 4: Correlation among connectivity metrics and selected lake characteristics. WS is the lake watershed extent whereas SWS is the lake subwatershed extent. Connectivity metrics are defined in Figure 2.




Figure 5: Locations of lakes of different connectivity classes at the (A) lake subwatershed and (B) lake watershed extent. Low connectivity lakes are represented by green symbols, and high connectivity lakes are represented by purple symbols. WS is the lake watershed extent. SWS is the lake subwatershed extent. Connectivity metrics are defined in Figure 2.




Figure 6: Residence time (yr) versus P retention for the NES dataset and the global model fit to the data ($R^2=0.34$, n = 129) where the solid line and shaded interval represents the median and central 95% interval estimates respectively (A). As above except that solid lines and shaded interval estimates represent hierarchical model fits to the data ($R^2=0.41$, n = 129) based on watershed average link length, which had the strongest association of any connectivity metric relative to P retention (B). Equations for these lines at median water residence time for low and high link length lakes are: Rp = 1 - (1 / (1 + 1.32 $\tau^{0.4}$)) and Rp = 1 - (1 / (1 + 1.08 $\tau^{0.4}$)) respectively.




Figure 7: Distribution of the k parameter from Eq. 1 in lakes of differing connectivity, depth, or baseflow at the (A) lake subwatershed and (B) lake watershed extents. Lighter (green) lines indicate lakes with lower connectivity metrics values while darker (purple) lines indicate lakes with higher connectivity metrics values. For lake connection, lighter colored lines indicate lakes without upstream lakes. Connectivity metrics are defined in Figure 2. Labels associated with models where differences in k translated to significant differences in P retention are bolded and starred.