

14 Manuscripts on Progress Presentation

Topic:

Entry/Exit Model, Power law and A conjecture

1 Intro to Firm Size Distribution and Power Law*

1.1 Preparation

1.2 Potential Contexts on slides

1.3 Manuscripts

2 Intro to Markov Chain-give an example*

2.1 Preparation*

Def. (Stochastic (markov) matrices)

A stochastic matrix (or Markov matrix) is an $n \times n$ square matrix $P = (p_{i,j})$ such that

1. Each element of P is nonnegative ($p_{i,j} \geq 0$), and
2. each row of P sums to 1, i.e., $p_{i,1} + p_{i,2} + \dots + p_{i,n} = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Remarks

Each row of P , $p_{i,j}(j)$ can be regarded as a probability mass function over n possible outcomes.

Proposition

If P is a stochastic matrix, then the k -th power P^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is also a stochastic matrix.

Def. (Markov Chains)

Let S be a finite set with n elements $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, where the set S is called the **state space** and x_1, \dots, x_n are the **state values**.

A **Markov chain** $\{X_t\}$ on S is a sequence of random variables on S (from probability sample space Ω) that have the **Markov property**: for any date t and any state $y \in S$,

$$\mathcal{P}\{X_{t+1} = y | X_t\} = \mathcal{P}\{X_{t+1} = y | X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots\} \quad (1)$$

The dynamics of a Markov chain are fully determined by the set of values

$$P(x, y) = \mathcal{P}\{X_{t+1} = y | X_t = x\} \quad (x, y \in S) \quad (2)$$

Example: Job search model

Set up

1. Consider a worker who, at any given time t , is either unemployed (state 0) or employed (state 1).
2. Suppose that, over a one month period,
 - An unemployed worker finds a job with probability $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
 - An employed worker loses her job and becomes unemployed with probability $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Markov Chain and Markov matrix

1. Sample space $E = \{unemployed, employed\}$;
2. State space: $S = \{0, 1\}$;
3. Markov Chain: $\{X_t = x\}, \forall x \in S$ and X_t is a random variable $X_t : E \rightarrow S$:
 - $X_t(unemployed) = 0$;
 - $X_t(employed) = 1$
4. Transition probabilities: $P(0, 1) = \alpha$ and $P(1, 0) = \beta$.
 - In matrix form, Markov Matrix

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \begin{pmatrix} P(0, 0) & P(0, 1) \\ P(1, 0) & P(1, 1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

2.2 Potential Contexts on slides

2.3 Manuscripts

3 Intro to Stationary distribution, Aperiodicity and irreducibility

3.1 Preparation

3.1.1 Marginal distribution

Suppose that (given)

1. $\{X_t\}$ is a Markov chain with stochastic matrix P ;
2. the distribution of X_t is known to be ψ_t .

Q: what is the distribution of $\{X_{t+1}\}$ or, more generally, of X_{t+m} ?

Solution

Let ψ be the distribution of X_t for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Step 1: To find ψ_{t+1} , given ψ_t and P .

By the Law of total probability, we can decompose the probability that $X_{t+1} = y$:

$$P\{X_{t+1} = y\} = \sum_{x \in S} P\{X_{t+1} = y | X_t = x\} \cdot P\{X_t = x\} \quad (4)$$

which means that to get the probability of being at y tomorrow, we account for all ways this can happen and sum their probabilities.

We can rewrite (3) in terms of marginal and conditional probabilities

$$\psi_{t+1}(y) = \sum_{x \in S} P(x, y) \psi_t(x) \quad (5)$$

Step 2: Represent n equations by the matrix form

There are n possible values of y in the state space S , so one equation (4) for each $y \in S$, there are n such equations.

If we think of ψ_{t+1} and ψ_t as row vectors, these n equations are summarized by the matrix expression

$$\psi_{t+1} = \psi_t P \quad (6)$$

which means that to move the distribution forward one unit of time, we postmultiply by P .

Step 3:

By repeating this m time, we move forward m steps into the future.

By iterating on (5), we get the expression

$$\psi_{t+m} = \psi_t P^m \quad (7)$$

where P^m is the m -th power of P .

Step 4:

For a special case, if ψ_0 is the initial distribution from which X_0 is drawn, then $\psi_0 P^m$ is the distribution of X_m .

Let's repeat it

$$X_0 \sim \psi_0 \implies X_m \sim \psi_0 P^m \quad (8)$$

and more generally,

$$X_t \sim \psi_t \implies X_{t+m} \sim \psi_t P^m \quad (9)$$

Multiple step transition probabilities

Since the probability of transitioning from x to y in one step is $P(x, y)$, the probability of transitioning from x to y in m steps is

$$P^m(x, y) \quad (10)$$

Which is the (x, y) -th element of the m -th power of P .

Reasons behind

Consider ψ_t with putting all probability on state x , that is, we put 1 in the x -th position and 0 elsewhere.

Inserting this into (8), conditional on $X_t = x$, the distribution of X_{t+m} is the x -th row of P^m .

In particular, we have

$$P\{X_{t+m} = y\} = P^m\{x, y\} = (x, y) - \text{th element of } P^m \quad (11)$$

3.1.2 Irreducibility and aperiodicity

They are central concepts of modern Markov chain theory.

Def. (Two states communicate)

Let P be a fixed stochastic matrix.

Two states x and y are said to communicate with each other if there exist positive integers j and k such that

$$P^j(x, y) > 0 \text{ and } P^k(y, x) > 0 \quad (4)$$

which means precisely that

1. state x can be reached eventually from state y ,
2. state y can be reached eventually from state x .

Def. (Irreducibility)

The stochastic matrix P is called **irreducible** if all states communicate, that is, x and y communicate for **all** (x, y) in $S \times S$.

Remark

Irreducibility is important in terms of long run outcomes. e.g., poverty is a life sentence in the second graph but not the first.

Def. (Period)

The period of a state x is the greatest common divisor of the set of integers

$$D(x) = \{j \geq 1 \mid P^j(x, x) > 0\} \quad (5)$$

Def. (Aperiodicity: formal)

A stochastic matrix is called aperiodic if the period of every state is 1, and periodic otherwise.

3.1.3 Stationary distributions

As seen in (5), we can shift probabilities forward one unit of time via post multiplication by P .

Some distributions are invariant under this updating process, and such distributions are called stationary, or invariant.

Def. (Stationary, Invariant)

A distribution ψ^* on S is called stationary for P if

$$\psi^* = \psi^* P \quad (6)$$

Remarks

1. From (14), we can get $\psi^* = \psi^* P^t$ for all t .

which tells us an **important fact**:

If the distribution of X_0 is a stationary distribution, then X_t will have this same distribution for all t .

2. Hence, stationary distributions have a natural interpretation as stochastic steady states.
3. Mathematically, a stationary distribution is a fixed point of P when P is thought of as the map $P : \psi \rightarrow \psi$ (**A little mistake in the lecture notes**) from (row) vectors to (row) vectors.

Thm.1 (Stochastic matrix vs. Stationary distribution; Existence)

Assume that the state space S is finite if not more assumptions are required.

Every stochastic matrix P has at least one stationary distribution.

Proof. (See my note 4)

Thm.2 (Markov Chain Convergence Theorem)

If P is both aperiodic and irreducible, then

1. P has exactly one stationary distribution ψ^* ;
2. For any initial distribution ψ_0 , we have

$$\|\psi_0 P^t - \psi^*\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

3.1.4 Example

Marginal Distribution

For X_t , since it is a random variable, it follows a distribution

X_t	0	1
$P(X_t)$	$P(X_t = 0)$	$P(X_t = 1)$

Denote this distribution as $\psi_t = (P(X_t = 0), P(X_t = 1))$.

By the Law of total probability, we can decompose the probability that $X_{t+1} = y, y \in S$:

$$P\{X_{t+1} = y\} = \sum_{x \in S} P\{X_{t+1} = y | X_t = x\} \cdot P\{X_t = x\} \quad (12)$$

In this example, when $X_{t+1} = 0$ and $X_{t+1} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_{t+1} = 0\} &= \sum_{x \in S} P\{X_{t+1} = 0 | X_t = x\} \cdot P\{X_t = x\} \\ &= P\{X_{t+1} = 0 | X_t = 0\} \cdot P\{X_t = 0\} + P\{X_{t+1} = 0 | X_t = 1\} \cdot P\{X_t = 1\} \\ &= P(0, 0) \cdot P\{X_t = 0\} + P(1, 0) \cdot P\{X_t = 1\} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_{t+1} = 1\} &= \sum_{x \in S} P\{X_{t+1} = 1 | X_t = x\} \cdot P\{X_t = x\} \\ &= P\{X_{t+1} = 1 | X_t = 0\} \cdot P\{X_t = 0\} + P\{X_{t+1} = 1 | X_t = 1\} \cdot P\{X_t = 1\} \\ &= P(0, 1) \cdot P\{X_t = 0\} + P(1, 1) \cdot P\{X_t = 1\} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By ψ_t and the Markov matrix P , the two equations above can be written in matrix form

$$\psi_{t+1} = \psi_t \cdot P \quad (15)$$

By repeating this m times, we can move forward m steps from t into future $m + t$,

By iterating on the above matrix form, we get

$$\psi_{t+m} = \psi_t \cdot P^m \quad (16)$$

- where P^m is the m -th power of P .

For a special case, if ψ_0 is the initial distribution from which X_0 is drawn, then $\psi_0 P^m$ is the distribution of X_m .

Let's repeat it

$$X_0 \sim \psi_0 \implies X_m \sim \psi_0 P^m \quad (17)$$

and more generally,

$$X_t \sim \psi_t \implies X_{t+m} \sim \psi_t P^m \quad (18)$$

Irreducibility & aperiodicity

Two states communicates

Consider the Markov matrix P and state space \mathcal{S} here.

Two states 0 and 1 of the Markov matrix P are said to communicate with each other if there exist positive integer n such that

$$P^{(n)}(0, 1) > 0 \text{ and } P^{(n)}(1, 0) > 0 \quad (19)$$

Since $P(0, 1) = \alpha$ and $P(1, 0) = \beta$, for example, if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, we can find positive integer 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(1)}(0, 1) &= P(0, 1) = \alpha > 0 \\ &\text{and} \\ P^{(1)}(1, 0) &= P(1, 0) = \beta > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

So by definition of two-state communication, we can say that two states 0 and 1 communicate.

Irreducibility

By the same example, the Markov matrix P is irreducibility if there exist positive integer n such that

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(n)}(0, 1) &> 0 \text{ and } P^{(n)}(1, 0) > 0 \\ &\text{and} \\ P^{(n)}(0, 0) &> 0 \text{ and } P^{(n)}(1, 1) > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

For example, if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, then we can find positive integer 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(1)}(0, 1) &= \alpha > 0 \text{ and } P^{(1)}(1, 0) = \beta > 0 \\ &\text{and} \\ P^{(1)}(0, 0) &= 1 - \alpha > 0 \text{ and } P^{(1)}(1, 1) = 1 - \beta > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By definition of communication, all states of the Markov matrix P communicate.

By definition of irreducibility, the Markov matrix P is irreducible

Period and Aperiodicity

For example, if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, we have $0 < 1 - \alpha < 1$ and $0 < 1 - \beta < 1$. So we have

$$P^{(1)}(0, 0) > 0 \text{ and } P^{(1)}(1, 1) > 0 \quad (23)$$

By definition of period, we can say that the period of the state 0 is 1, and the period of the state 1 is 1.

Since the period of every state of P is 1, by definition of aperiodicity, the Markov matrix P is aperiodic.

3.2 Potential Contexts on slides

3.3 Manuscripts

4 Intro to Entry/Exit Model

4.1 Preparation

4.2 Potential Contexts on slides

4.3 Manuscripts

5 Carvalho & Grassi's Double-Pareto Theory

5.1 Preparation

Assumption 1

Firm size evolves as a **Markov Chain** on the state space $\Phi = \{\varphi^s\}_{s=1,\dots,S}$ with transition matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} a+b & c & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a & b+c \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

- where
 - $0 < a < 1$;
 - $0 < b < 1$;
 - $0 < c < 1$;
 - $a + b + c = 1$.

Corollary 1

Define $\hat{\mu}_t = \frac{\mu_t}{M}$ for any t .

With a **continuum of firms**, or equivalently, when **aggregate uncertainty is absent**, $\epsilon_{t+1} = 0$:

$$\hat{\mu}_{t+1} = (\tilde{P}_t)'(\hat{\mu}_t + G) \quad (2)$$

- where

- \tilde{P}_t : the transition matrix P ,
 - where the first $\tilde{s}(\mu_t) - 1$ rows are replaced by 0s;
 - where $\tilde{s}(\mu_t)$ is the threshold of the entry and exit rule when the variance-covariance of the ϵ_{t+1} is 0 (???)

Corollary 2

Assume **Assumption 1** holds.

If the **potential entrants' firm size distribution is Pareto (i.e., $G_s = K_e(\varphi^s)^{-\delta_e}$)**, then as $S \rightarrow \infty$, the **stationary firm size distribution converges point-wise to**:

$$\hat{\mu}_s = K_1 \left(\frac{\varphi^s}{\varphi^{\bar{s}^*}} \right)^{-\delta} + K_2 \left(\frac{\varphi^s}{\varphi^{\bar{s}^*}} \right)^{-\delta_e} \text{ for } s \geq \bar{s}^* \quad (24)$$

- where
 - \bar{s}^* : the steady-state entry/exit thresholds for $S \rightarrow \infty$;
 - $\delta = \frac{\log(a/c)}{\log \varphi}$ (??? Same as above, but ???);
 - K_1, K_2 : constants, independent of s .

Basic idea

$$\mathbb{Q}\{\varphi_{s+1}^* = \varphi^{s+1} | \varphi_s^* = \varphi^s\} = P(\varphi^{s+1}, \varphi^s) 1\{\varphi^s \geq \varphi^{s*}\} + G(\varphi^{s+1}) 1\{\varphi^s < \varphi^{s*}\} \quad (25)$$

5.2 Potential Contexts on slides

5.3 Manuscripts

6 My Conjecture, Uniqueness Proof and Supporting graphs from Simulation

6.1 Preparation*

6.1.1 Formal Expression of the conjecture

Given **Assumption 1**, and let s^* be the threshold of the entry and exit rule at the stationary. If the potential entrants' firm size distribution is any distribution $G = (G(\varphi^1), G(\varphi^2), \dots, G(\varphi^S))$

- Where (This means G can be any discrete distribution with S values)
 - $0 < G(\varphi^s) \leq 1$; (**Important**)
 - $\sum_{s=1}^S G(\varphi^s) = 1$.

Then

1. as $S \rightarrow \infty$, the stationary firm size productivity distribution will **uniquely** converges point-wise to a **Zipf's distribution**, $\mu^* = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_S)$, where

$$\mu_s^* = \mathbb{P}\{\varphi = \varphi^s\} = C \cdot (\varphi^s)^{-\delta} \quad (6)$$

- where
 - C : a constant;
 - $\delta > 0$: pinned down by a, c, φ

2. This firm size stationary distribution is given by

$$\mu^* = \mu^* Q \quad (7)$$

- Where
 - Q is the evolution Markov matrix followed by all firms at the stationary state;
 - follow the law of motion between incumbents and entrants:\$\$

6.1.2 Main idea of the proof***

If we want to prove that Q only has one stationary distribution, then we should first prove its existence and then its uniqueness.

Existence

To prove the existence of stationary distribution, by **Theorem 1**, we should prove the matrix Q is a Markov matrix. It is easier to do that by using the definition of Q and Markov matrix.

Uniqueness

To prove its uniqueness, by **Theorem 2**, I should prove two features of the markov matrix Q : Q is irreducible and aperiodic.

Irreducibility

To prove Q is irreducible, by definition of irreducibility, we should prove that all states communicate with each other, that is, for all $x, y \in \Phi$, we can find a positive integer n such that

$$P^{(n)}(x, y) > 0 \text{ and } P^{(n)}(y, x) > 0 \quad (26)$$

Aperiodicity

To prove Q is aperiodic, by definition of aperiodicity, we should prove that for all $x \in \Phi$, we have

$$P(x, x) > 0 \quad (27)$$

A trick comes from Q 's definition

Since $Q^{(y-x)}(x, y)$ can be represented as

$$Q^{(y-x)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=1}^S [\sum_{i=1}^S Q^{(y-x-2)}(x, i) Q(i, s)] Q(s, y) & \text{if } x < y \\ Q(x, y) & \text{if } x = y \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We notice that there exists one term $Q(x, x+1)Q(x+1, x+2) \cdots Q(y-1, y)$ in the expansional expression of $Q^{(y-x)}(x, y)$ when $x < y$; and $Q^{(y-x)}(x, y) = Q(x, y)$ when $x = y$.

Similarly, there exists one term $Q(y, y-1)Q(y-1, y-2) \cdots Q(x+1, x)$ involved in the expansional expression of $Q^{(y-x)}(y, x)$ when $y > x$; and $Q^{(y-x)}(x, y) = Q(x, y)$ when $x = y$.

Let $n = \{(y-x) | (x, y) \in \{1, \dots, S\} \times \{1, \dots, S\} \text{ and } x \leq y\} = S - 1$.

Since other possible terms in the above expansional expressions are all non-negative, if we can prove that

1. $Q(s, s+1) > 0, \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S-1\}$;
2. $Q(s, s-1) > 0, \forall s \in \{2, \dots, S\}$.
3. $Q(s, s) > 0, \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}$.

Then we can prove that for all $(x, y) \in \{(x, y) \in \{1, \dots, S\} \times \{1, \dots, S\} | x \leq y\}$, and $n = S - 1$, we have

1. $Q^n(x, y) > 0$ and;
2. $Q^n(y, x) > 0$.

By the definition of irreducibility, we know that the Markov matrix Q is irreducible.

6.1.3 Preparation for the simulation

A Setting up

1. state space: $s \in \{1, \dots, S\}$;
2. Assumption 1: $P = \begin{pmatrix} a+b & c & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a & b+c \end{pmatrix}_{S \times S}$;
3. The threshold of the entry and exit rule when $\nabla ar(\epsilon_{t+1}) = 0$: $s^* \in \{1, \dots, S\}$;
4. Firm size state space: $\varphi^s \in \{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^S\}$;
5. Firm size threshold: $\varphi^{s^*} \in \{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^S\}$;
6. Stationary firm size distribution $\mu^* = (\mu_1^*, \dots, \mu_S^*)$;
7. Law of motion for stationary firm size distribution between incumbents and entrants: $\mathbb{Q}\{\varphi_{s+1}^* = \varphi^{s+1} | \varphi_s^* = \varphi^s\} = P(\varphi^{s+1}, \varphi^s)1\{\varphi^s \geq \varphi^{s^*}\} + G(\varphi^{s+1})1\{\varphi^s < \varphi^{s^*}\}$.

B Simulation: Steps

1. Define a function to generate Markov Matrix Q for firm size distribution μ by using the Law of Motion, which considers Incumbents and Entrants' evolutions;
 - Incumbents's evolution follows a Markov matrix P and converges to a Pareto distribution at steady state;
 - Entrants' firm-level productivity distribution follows another distribution.

2. Define a function to calculate stationary firm size distribution by the iteration method based on Stationary Distribution Theorem 2.
3. Assign certain values to size of the state space S , threshold s^* and other parameters.
4. Calculate 9 potential distribution (including Pareto (Zipf) distribution) of entrants' firm size, G ;
5. For each potential distributioin of entrants', calculate stationary firm size distribution of all firms, μ^* by **Step 1 & 2 & 3** above, and plot each of them;
6. Take the log terms of both the distribution's probability mass μ_s^* and its support φ^s , and plot each of these log terms again;
7. Plot the Right-Hand tail of the log-term firm size distribution for each of 9 cases above.

C Simulation: Expectations about the firm size distribution

Expectaction 1: Its shape depends on the threshold s^*

By the Law of Motion, if s^* is larger, then we can observe that μ_s^* looks more like entrants' firm size distribution G ; if s^* is smaller, then we can observe that μ_s^* looks more like incumbents' firm size distribution, followed by Markov matrix P ;

This expectation will be tested by the 1st & 2nd-type plotting graphs for each simulation (generated by Step 5 & 6). Verify

Expectation 2: the Right-hand tail of its log form will look like a straight line with a negative slope, as firm size increases.

Recall Proposition 3, stationary firm size distribution will converges to a Pareto distribution

$$\mu_s^* = \mathbb{P}\{\varphi^* = \varphi^s\} = C \cdot (\varphi^s)^{-\delta} \quad (9)$$

Take the log terms for both sides of the above equation, we will get

$$\log \mu_s^* = \log \mathbb{P}\{\varphi^* = \varphi^s\} = \log C - \delta \cdot \log(\varphi^s) \quad (10)$$

Since $\delta > 0$, then $\log \mu_s^*$ will be linear in $\log(\varphi^s)$ with a negative slope $-\delta$ on the right-hand tail of the firm productivity (size) distribution.

This expectation will be tested by the 2nd & 3rd-type plotting graphs for each simulation (generated by Step 6 & 7).

On the graphs of the right-hand side of the log-form plotting, we can observe a downward sloping straight line.

6.1.4 Simulation

6.2 Potential Contexts on slides

6.3 Manuscripts

7 What's next

7.1 Preparation

7.2 Potential Contexts on slides

7.3 Manuscripts
