Expectations

Covariance

<ロ > < 母 > < 喜 > < 喜 > 言 の へ つ 1/41

Distributions

ECON2125/4021/8013

Lecture 12

John Stachurski

Semester 1, 2015

Distributions

Background Reading on Prob Theory

Most relevant

- The lecture slides
- The course notes PDF file

Least useful

- Simon and Blume
- Most other intermediate math econ books

If you really want something else

- Google for related PDFs
- Takashi Amemiya, Introduction to Statistics and Econometrics, first 6 chapters

Covariance

Distributions

Random Variables

What is a **random variable** (RV)?

- Bad definition: A value X that "changes randomly"
- Good definition: a function X from Ω into $\mathbb R$

Interpretation: RVs convert sample space outcomes into numerical outcomes

General idea:

- "nature" picks out ω in Ω
- random variable gives numerical summary $X(\omega)$

Note: Some technical details omitted — see course notes





Figure : A random variable $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

<ロト < 母 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I > < I >

Example. NZ in final of WC and IND, AUS in semi



Sample space for winner is

 $\Omega = \{\mathsf{AUS}, \mathsf{IND}, \mathsf{NZ}\}$

My payoffs

$$X(\omega) = \begin{cases} 39.95 & \text{if } \omega = \text{AUS} \\ -39.95 & \text{if } \omega = \text{NZ} \\ -39.95 & \text{if } \omega = \text{IND} \end{cases}$$

<ロト < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 王 の へ で 5/41

Expectations

Covariance

Distributions

Example

Suppose Ω is set of infinite binary sequences

$$\Omega := \{(b_1, b_2, \ldots) : b_n \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for each } n\}$$

We can create different random variables mapping $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$:

• Number of "flips" till first "heads":

$$X(\omega) = X(b_1, b_2, \ldots) = \min\{n : b_n = 1\}$$

• Number of "heads" in first 10 "flips":

$$Y(\omega) = Y(b_1, b_2, \ldots) = \sum_{n=1}^{10} b_n$$

<ロト

Notational Conventions for RVs

First, note that

 $\{X \text{ has some property}\} := \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \text{ has some property}\}$

Example

$$\{X \leq 2\} := \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \leq 2\}$$

This helps us understand how to evaluate $\mathbb{P}\left\{X\leq 2\right\}$

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{P}}$ assigns probability to events, so

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \le 2\} = \mathbb{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le 2\}$$

<ロト < 母 ト < 王 ト < 王 ト 三 の へ で 7/41

Example. Recall the prob space associated with rolling a dice twice:

$$\Omega := \{(i,j) : i, j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}\} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(E) := \#E/36$$

If $X(\omega) = X((i, j)) = i + j$, what is $\mathbb{P}\{X \leq 3\}$?

We have

$$\{X \le 3\} := \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le 3\}$$
$$= \{(i,j) : i, j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}, i+j \le 3\}$$
$$= \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1)\}$$

$$\therefore \quad \mathbb{P}\{X \le 3\} = \frac{\#\{X \le 3\}}{36} = \frac{3}{36} = \frac{1}{12}$$

<ロト
・ロト

Expectations

Covariance

Distributions

Example

Let ${\mathbb P}$ be any probability on some sample space Ω

Given random variable X and scalars $a \leq b$, we claim that

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \le a\} \le \mathbb{P}\{X \le b\}$$

This holds because

$$\begin{aligned} \{X \le a\} &:= \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le a\} \\ &\subset \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le b\} := \{X \le b\} \end{aligned}$$

Now apply monotonicity: $A \subset B \implies \mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B)$

Expectations

Covariance

Distributions

Example

As before, let \mathbb{P} be any probability and X any RV

Given scalars $a \leq b$, we claim that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{a < X < b\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{a < X \le b\right\} - \mathbb{P}\left\{X = b\right\}$$

Ex. Show that

•
$$\{X = b\} \subset \{a < X \le b\}$$

• $\{a < X < b\} = \{a < X \le b\} \setminus \{X = b\}$

(Translate into statments about ω as in previous slide)

Now apply $A \subset B \implies \mathbb{P}(B \setminus A) = \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A)$

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 シ 9 0 10/41

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(11/41)

Pointwise Interpretation

In probability theory we often see statements like

- "Since $X \leq Y$, we know that...", or
- "Letting $Z := \alpha X + \beta Y$, we have..."

Such statements about RVs should be interpreted <u>pointwise</u> Thus,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \leq Y & \Longleftrightarrow & X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega), & \forall \, \omega \in \Omega \\ \\ Z := \alpha X + \beta Y & \Longleftrightarrow & Z(\omega) = \alpha X(\omega) + \beta Y(\omega), & \forall \, \omega \in \Omega \\ \\ & X = Y & \Longleftrightarrow & X(\omega) = Y(\omega), & \forall \, \omega \in \Omega \end{array}$$

Types of Random Variables

There is a hierarchy of random variables, from simple to complex

- 1. binary random variables take only two values
- 2. finite random variables take only finitely many values
- 3. general random variables range can be infinite

RVs of types 1 and 2

- are useful in practice
- are great for building intuition

Type 3 RVs are often technically demanding

But results for cases 1-2 usually carry over to case 3

A binary random variable is an RV taking values in $\{0,1\}$

Example. Let Ω be the sample space for rolling a dice twice

$$\Omega := \{(i,j) : i,j \in \{1,\ldots,6\}\}$$

and let

$$X(\omega) = X((i,j)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example. Let Ω be set of infinite binary sequences and let X be existence of heads in first 5 flips

$$X(\omega) = X(b_1, b_2, \ldots) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists i \leq 5 \text{ s.t. } b_i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

<ロト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の へ C 13/41

Covariance

Distributions

Indicator Functions

A useful piece of notation for binary RVs is indicator functions

Type 1: Let Q be a statement, such as "X is greater than 3"

Then the **indicator function** for Q is

$$\mathbb{1}\{Q\} := egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } Q ext{ is true} \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example. Bet payoffs from WC example

$$X(\omega) = 39.95 \,\mathbb{1}\{\omega = AUS\} - 39.95 \,\mathbb{1}\{\omega = IND \text{ or } NZ\}$$

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ で 14/41

Type 2: Given $C \in \mathcal{F}$, the **indicator function** for *C* is the function

$$\mathbb{1}_C \colon \Omega \to \{0,1\}, \qquad \mathbb{1}_C(\omega) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } \omega \in C \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Figure : Visualization when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の へ で 15/41

Fact. Every binary RV is of the form $\mathbb{1}_C$ for some $C \in \mathcal{F}$

Proof: Fixing $C \in \mathcal{F}$, note that $\mathbb{1}_C$ is a binary random variable because

- 1. $\mathbb{1}_C$ is a map from Ω to \mathbb{R} and hence an RV
- 2. $\mathbb{1}_C$ takes values in $\{0,1\}$ and hence binary

To see that every binary RV has this form, let \boldsymbol{X} be any binary random variable

Define

$$C := \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = 1\}$$

Then $X(\omega) = \mathbbm{1}_C(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ (check it) That is, $X = \mathbbm{1}_C$

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ で 16/41

Finite Random Variables

A **finite random variable** is an RV that takes only finitely many values

• That is, X is finite \iff rng(X) is finite

Example. Let

- Ω be set of infinite binary sequences
- X be number of heads in first N flips

That is

$$X(\omega) = X(b_1, b_2, \ldots) = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i$$

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ で 17/41

Finite RVs can be formed by taking "linear combinations" of binary RVs

Example. From WC example,

$$X(\omega) = 39.95 \,\mathbbm{1}\{\omega = \mathsf{AUS}\} - 39.95 \,\mathbbm{1}\{\omega = \mathsf{IND} \text{ or } \mathsf{NZ}\}$$

Example. $X(\omega) = s \mathbb{1}_A(\omega) + t \mathbb{1}_B(\omega)$ with A and B disjoint means

$$X(\omega) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ t & \text{if } \omega \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in (A \cup B)^c \end{cases}$$

<ロト < 母 ト < 王 ト < 王 ト 三 の へ C 18/41





 $X(\omega) = s \mathbb{1}_A(\omega) + t \mathbb{1}_B(\omega)$ when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$

<ロト < 部 < E > < E > E のへで 19/41

Fact. Every finite RV can be expressed as a linear combination of binary RVs

To see this let X be finite with $rng(X) = \{s_1, \dots, s_J\}$

Letting $A_j := \{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = s_j \}$, X can be expressed as

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j}(\omega)$$

With the pointwise notational convention, also written as

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j}$$

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(20/41)

Expectations

Covariance

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 王 のへで 21/41

Distributions

Thus, a general expression for a finite RV is

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j}$$

With this expression we always assume that

- the s_i 's are distinct
- the A_i 's are a partition of Ω

Ex. Using these assumptions, show that

1.
$$X(\omega) = s_j$$
 if and only if $\omega \in A_j$
2. $\{X = s_j\} = A_j$
3. $\mathbb{P}\{X = s_j\} = \mathbb{P}(A_j)$

Covariance

Distributions

Example. Recall $X = s \mathbb{1}_A + t \mathbb{1}_B$



We actually want the sets to form a partition of Ω To do this, rewrite as

$$X = s \mathbb{1}_A + t \mathbb{1}_B + 0 \mathbb{1}_{(A \cup B)^c}$$

Covariance

Expectations

Roughly speaking, for a random variable X, the expectation is

$$\mathbb{E}[X] :=$$
 the "sum" of all possible values of X,
weighted by their probabilities

scare quotes because range might be uncountable

Example. Recall WC example

$$X(\omega) = 39.95 \, \mathbb{1}\{\omega = AUS\} - 39.95 \, \mathbb{1}\{\omega = IND \text{ or } NZ\}$$

From previous lectures numbers I get $\mathbb{P}\{\omega = AUS\} = 0.39$ so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] = 39.95 \times 0.39 - 39.95 \times (1 - 0.39) = -8.79$$

Expectations

Covariance

Distributions

Formally, for a finite RV X with range s_1, \ldots, s_J we define its **expectation** $\mathbb{E}[X]$ to be

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{P}\{X = s_j\}$$

Fact.

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j} \implies \mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{P}(A_j)$$

Proof: True because $A_j = \{X = s_j\}$

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 王 のへで 24/41

Random Variables

Expectations

Covariance

Distributions

25/41

Example. Let $X = s \mathbb{1}_A + t \mathbb{1}_B + 0 \mathbb{1}_{(A \cup B)^c}$



Applying the definition gives

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = s\mathbb{P}(A) + t\mathbb{P}(B) + 0 \times \mathbb{P}\{(A \cup B)^c\}$$
$$= s\mathbb{P}(A) + t\mathbb{P}(B)$$

Distributions

Expectations of Binary Random Variables

Fact. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_A\right] = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

Proof: We can write

$$\mathbb{1}_A = 1 imes \mathbb{1}_A + 0 imes \mathbb{1}_{A^c}$$

Applying the definition gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{A}\right] = 1 \times \mathbb{P}(A) + 0 \times \mathbb{P}(A^{c}) = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

<ロト < 部ト < Eト < Eト E の C 26/41

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(27/41)

Fact. The expectation of a constant α is α True meaning:

- α is the constant random variable $\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}$
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha\right]$ is short for $\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha\mathbbm{1}_{\Omega}\right]$

Proof: From the definition we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\alpha] = \mathbb{E} [\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}] \qquad (\text{true meaning})$$
$$= \alpha \mathbb{P}(\Omega) \qquad (\text{by def of } \mathbb{E})$$
$$= \alpha$$

Expectations of General RVs

How about the expectation of an RV with infinite range?

The idea: any RV X can be approximated by a sequence of finite-valued random variables X_n .

The expectation of X is then defined as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right]$$

Loosely speaking, we are replacing sums with integrals

The full definition involves measure theory, so we skip it

Later we'll learn how to calculate $\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]$ in specific situations

<ロト < 母 ト < 王 ト < 王 ト ミ のへで 29/41



Figure : Approximation of general X with finite X_n

Monotonicity of Expectations

Fact. If X and Y are RVs with $X \leq Y$, then $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y]$

• Recall that $X \leq Y$ should be interpreted pointwise

Proof for the case $X = \mathbb{1}_A$ and $Y = \mathbb{1}_B$:

Observe that $\mathbb{1}_A \leq \mathbb{1}_B \implies A \subset B$

- To see this pick any $\omega \in A$
- Since $\mathbb{1}_A(\omega) \leq \mathbb{1}_B(\omega)$ we must have $\omega \in B$ (why?)

Now we apply monotonicity of $\mathbb P$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{A}\right] = \mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{B}\right]$$

٠

Linearity of Expectations

Fact. If *X* and *Y* are RVs and α and β are constants, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha X + \beta Y\right] = \alpha \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]$$

Proof for the case $\beta = 0$ and X finite

We aim to show that $\mathbb{E}[\alpha X] = \alpha \mathbb{E}[X]$ for $X := \sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j}$ Let $Y := \alpha X$ we have

$$Y = \alpha X = \alpha \left[\sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \alpha s_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j}$$

$$\therefore \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\alpha X \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[Y \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \alpha s_j \mathbb{P}(A_j) = \alpha \left[\sum_{j=1}^{J} s_j \mathbb{P}(A_j) \right] = \alpha \mathbb{E} \left[X \right]$$

・ロト <
一 ト <
一 ト <
三 ト <
三 ト <
三 ・ つへで 31/41
</p>

Distributions

Variance and Covariance

The *k*-th moment of *X* is defined as $\mathbb{E}[X^k]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

The variance of X is defined as

$$\operatorname{var}[X] := \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right])^2 \right]$$

The standard deviation of X is $\sqrt{\operatorname{var}[X]}$

• Measure the dispersion of *X*

The **covariance** of random variables X and Y is defined as

$$\operatorname{cov}[X,Y] := \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])\right]$$

• All of these might or might not exist (be finite)

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(33/41)

Fact. If α and β are constants and X and Y are random variables, then

- 1. $var[X] \ge 0$
- 2. $var[\alpha] = 0$
- 3. $\operatorname{var}[\alpha + \beta X] = \beta^2 \operatorname{var}[X]$
- 4. $\operatorname{var}[\alpha X + \beta Y] = \alpha^2 \operatorname{var}[X] + \beta^2 \operatorname{var}[Y] + 2\alpha\beta \operatorname{cov}[X, Y]$

 $\ensuremath{\text{Ex.}}$ Check all these facts using the properties of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{E}}$

Expectations

Covariance

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(2)、(34/41)

Distributions

Correlation

Let X and Y be RVs with variances σ_X^2 and σ_Y^2

The correlation of X and Y is defined as

$$\operatorname{corr}[X,Y] := \frac{\operatorname{cov}[X,Y]}{\sigma_X \, \sigma_Y}$$

If corr[X, Y] = 0, we say that X and Y are **uncorrelated**

Fact. Given RVs *X* and *Y*, constants α , $\beta > 0$, we have

1.
$$-1 \leq \operatorname{corr}[X, Y] \leq 1$$

2. $\operatorname{corr}[\alpha X, \beta Y] = \operatorname{corr}[X, Y]$

Covariance

Distributions

CDFs

A cumulative distribution function (cdf) on $\mathbb R$ is a function $F\colon\mathbb R\to[0,1]$ that is

- right-continuous
- monotone increasing
- satisfies $F(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$ and $F(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$

Here

- right continuity means $x_n \downarrow x$ implies $F(x_n) \downarrow F(x)$
- monotonicity $x \le x'$ implies $F(x) \le F(x')$

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(2)、(35/41)

Example. The function $F(x) = \arctan(x)/\pi + 1/2$ is a cdf called the **Cauchy cdf**



<ロト < 部ト < Eト < Eト E のへで 37/41

Example. Given a < b, the function

$$F(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a} \mathbb{1}\{a \le x < b\} + \mathbb{1}\{b \le x\}$$

is a cdf called the **uniform cdf** on [a, b]



Example. The function $F(x) = \tanh((x - \mu)/2s)/2 + 1/2$ is a cdf for each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in (0, \infty)$, called the **logistic cdf**



<ロト < 母 ト < 王 ト < 王 ト 三 の へ C 38/41

Covariance

Distributions

Distributions

Let

- Ω be any sample space
- X be any random variable on Ω
- $\mathbb P$ be any probability on Ω

Consider the function $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ defined by

 $F(x) = \mathbb{P}\{X \le x\}$

This function is called the **distribution function** generated by *X* We write $X \sim F$

Summarizes lots of useful information about X

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 · ク Q C 39/41

Distributions

Fact. The distribution function of any random variable is a cdf

Partial proof: Fix X and let F be its distribution

Let's just show that F is increasing

- To see this, pick any $x \leq x'$
- Note that $\{X \le x\} \subset \{X \le x'\}$

As a result we have

$$F(x) := \mathbb{P}\{X \le x\} \le \mathbb{P}\{X \le x'\} =: F(x')$$

(Further details omitted—see course notes for related exercises)

Here's an example of how F summarizes useful info about X

Fact. If $X \sim F$ and $a \leq b$, then $\mathbb{P}\{a < X \leq b\} = F(b) - F(a)$

Proof: Recall that

$$A \subset B \implies \mathbb{P}(B \setminus A) = \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A)$$

Also, if $a \leq b$, then

•
$$\{X \le a\} \subset \{X \le b\}$$

• $\{a < X \le b\} = \{X \le b\} \setminus \{X \le a\}$
 $\therefore \quad \mathbb{P}\{a < X \le b\} = \mathbb{P}\{X \le b\} - \mathbb{P}\{X \le a\} = F(b) - F(a)$

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の へ で 41/41