<ロ > < 母 > < 喜 > < 喜 > 言 の Q @ 1/25

# ECON2125/4021/8013

#### Lecture 20

#### John Stachurski

Semester 1, 2015

### Introduction

In this lecture we continue to study nonlinear equations

- Which problems have solutions?
- When do we have uniqueness?
- How can we compute solutions?
- How can we apply these ideas?

We will study these problems from the perspective of fixed point theory

• An important branch of analysis

### **Fixed Points**

Let  $T: S \to S$  where  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^K$ 

- The function T is a "self-mapping" because it maps S to S
- We write  $T\mathbf{x}$  instead of  $T(\mathbf{x})$  below

A point  $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$  is called a **fixed point** of T if

$$T\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}^*$$

Related to

- optimization because  $\mathbf{x}^*$  solves  $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in S} \|T\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}\|$
- zeros because  $\mathbf{x}^*$  solves  $H(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $H(\mathbf{x}) := T\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の Q (P 3/25

Example. If  $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the identity f(x) = x, then every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a fixed point

Example. If  $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by f(x) = x + 1, then no  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a fixed point

Example. Let  $f \colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be defined by

$$f(x) = 4x(1-x)$$

Then  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is a fixed point of f because

$$f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = 4\frac{3}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{3}{4}$$



Figure : Fixed points in one dimension

# Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem

**Fact.** If  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^K$  is closed, bounded and convex and  $T: S \to S$  is continuous, then T has at least one fixed point in S

Proof for case S = [0, 1]

Let

• T be a continuous function from [0,1] to [0,1]

• 
$$f(x) := x - Tx$$

**Ex.** Show that f is continuous on [0,1] and  $f(0) \le 0 \le f(1)$ Result now follows from the Intermediate Value Theorem

General proof: Quite long, omitted

<ロト 4 個 ト 4 星 ト 4 星 ト 星 の 9 9 9 7/25



Figure : Brouwer fixed point theorem in one dimension

<ロ > < 母 > < 喜 > < 喜 > 言 の < で 8/25



Figure : When continuity fails the theorem does not apply

### Contractions

Like the Intermediate Value Theorem, Brouwer's fixed point theorem can give us existence

But do we have uniqueness?

Uniqueness is important in practice

- "My model predicts this..."
  - or this...
    - or this...

Also important is finding that fixed point

Let's look at a method that makes strong assumptions but gives us uniqueness and a way to find the fixed point

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^K$  and let  $T: S \to S$ 

### T is called a **contraction mapping** on S if

$$\exists \ eta < 1 \quad ext{s.t.} \quad \|T\mathbf{x} - T\mathbf{y}\| \le eta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \quad ext{for all} \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$$



<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の へ で 10/25

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の へ で 11/25

#### Example. Let $T \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$Tx = ax + b$$

where a and b are parameters

For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$|Tx - Ty| = |ax + b - ay - b|$$
$$= |ax - ay|$$
$$= |a(x - y)|$$
$$= |a||x - y|$$

Hence  $|a| < 1 \implies T$  is a contraction mapping on  $\mathbb R$ 

(ロ)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(12/25)

### **Fact.** If T is a contraction mapping on S then T is continuous on S

Proof: Pick

- any  $\mathbf{x} \in S$
- any sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  with  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$

Since T is a contraction on S, we can find a  $\beta < 1$  with

$$\|T\mathbf{x}_n - T\mathbf{x}\| \le \beta \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since  $\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\| \to 0$  we see that  $\|T\mathbf{x}_n - T\mathbf{x}\| \to 0$ 

Hence  $T\mathbf{x}_n \rightarrow T\mathbf{x}$ , and T is continuous as claimed

### Banach Contraction Mapping Theorem

**Fact.** If S is closed and T is a contraction mapping on S then

- 1. T has a unique fixed point  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in S$
- 2.  $T^n \mathbf{x} \to \bar{\mathbf{x}}$  as  $n \to \infty$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in S$

Proof of uniqueness: Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$  with

$$T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$$
 and  $T\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}$ 

Then

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \|T\mathbf{x} - T\mathbf{y}\| \le \beta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

Since eta < 1, it must be that  $\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \| = 0$ , and hence  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 王 ・ 王 ・ ク へ で 14/25

Sketch of existence proof: Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in S$  and let

$$d := \|T\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\|$$

It can be shown that  $||T^{n+1}\mathbf{x} - T^n\mathbf{x}|| \le \beta^n d$  for all n



One can then show that  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\} := \{T^n \mathbf{x}\}$  is Cauchy The Cauchy property implies convergence to some  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in S$ It can then be shown that  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  is a fixed point By the way, why does S need to be closed?

An example of failure when S is not closed:

-

$$Tx = x/2$$
 and  $S = (0, \infty)$ 



<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ で 15/25

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 王 のへで 16/25

Example. Recall: If  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $N \times N$  with  $\|\mathbf{A}\| < 1$  then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution

• this is part of the Neumann series lemma

One proof: Define  $T: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  by  $T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$ A fixed point of  $T \iff$  a solution of  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$ For any  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  we have

$$\|T\mathbf{x} - T\mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|$$
$$= \|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\|$$
$$\leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

A contraction on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $\beta := \|\mathbf{A}\|$ 

<ロト < 母 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ C 17/25

### Comments on Iteration

Suppose that

- T is a contraction mapping on closed set S
- $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  is the unique fixed point

We know that for any  $\mathbf{x} \in S$  we have  $T^n \mathbf{x} \to \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ 

This means that we can compute the fixed point "iteratively"

- 1. Pick any  $\mathbf{x} \in S$
- 2. Let  $\mathbf{y} = T\mathbf{x}$
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  and go to step 2

This generates the sequence  $\mathbf{x}, T\mathbf{x}, T^2\mathbf{x}, \dots$ 

### Application: Job Search Again

Let's apply these ideas to solving the the McCall job search model We seek a  $\bar{w}$  that solves the reservation wage equation

$$\bar{w} = c(1-\beta) + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max\{w_k, \bar{w}\} p_k$$
 (\*)

Here c > 0,  $\beta \in (0,1)$  and  $p_1, \ldots, p_K$  is a pmf

Note that  $\bar{w}$  solves ( $\star$ ) if and only if it is a fixed point of

$$Tx = c(1-eta) + eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max \left\{ w_k, x 
ight\} p_k$$

Ex. Check it

Claim: The operator T defined by

$$Tx = c(1-eta) + eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max\left\{w_k, x\right\} p_k$$

is a contraction mapping on  $S := [0, \infty)$ 

To check this we'll use two facts:

**Fact.** If  $x_1, \ldots, x_K$  are any K numbers, then  $\left|\sum_{k=1}^K x_k\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^K |x_k|$ 

• Any extension of the triangle inequality to K numbers

**Fact.** For any *a*, *x*, *y* in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\max\{a, x\} - \max\{a, y\}| \le |x - y|$ 

• Draw a picture, check the different possibilities

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 のへで 20/25

Proof: For any  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$|Tx - Ty| = \left| \beta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max \{w_k, x\} p_k - \beta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max \{w_k, y\} p_k \right|$$

$$= \beta \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[ \max \left\{ w_k, x \right\} - \max \left\{ w_k, y \right\} \right] \, p_k \right|$$

$$\leq eta \sum\limits_{k=1}^{K} \left| \max \left\{ w_k, \, x 
ight\} - \max \left\{ w_k, \, y 
ight\} 
ight| \, p_k$$

$$\leq \beta \sum_{k=1}^{K} |x - y| \, p_k = \beta |x - y|$$

Since  $\beta < 1$ , we see that T is indeed a contraction on S

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(21/25)

## Equivalent Norms

Recall that  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$  is a measure of "distance" between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ 

• called the Euclidean distance between x and y

There are other notions of distance that are also useful This leads us to introduce the family of p-norms

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p := \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$
 if  $1 \le p < \infty$ 

and

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} := \max_{1 \le k \le K} |x_k|$$

If p = 2 then this is the Euclidean norm

Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and let  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^K$ We say that  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  in *p*-norm if

$$\|\mathbf{x}_n-\mathbf{x}\|_p o 0$$
 as  $n o \infty$ 

If p = 2 this is ordinary Euclidean convergence

The next fact generalizes an earlier result about Euclidean distance

**Fact.** A sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^{K}$  converges in *p*-norm  $\iff$  each component sequence converges in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

That is, for any  $p \in [1,\infty]$  and sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  we have

 $\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\|_p \to 0 \quad \iff \quad |\mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{x}| \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } k$ 

We give the proof for  $p < \infty$  and leave  $p = \infty$  as an **Ex.** Proof:

$$(\Longrightarrow)$$
 Suppose first that  $\|\mathbf{x}_n-\mathbf{x}\|_p
ightarrow 0$ 

Then, fixing any k in  $1, \ldots, K$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{e}'_k\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{e}'_k\mathbf{x}| = |x_n^k - x^k| \le \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\|_p \to 0$$

**Ex.** Confirm the inequality in the last expression (  $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose instead that  $|x_n^k - x^k| \rightarrow 0$  for all kThen  $|x_n^k - x^k|^p \rightarrow 0$  for all k by continuity of  $g(x) = x^p$ 

$$\therefore \quad z_n := |x_n^1 - x^1|^p + \dots + |x_n^K - x^K|^p \to 0$$
$$\therefore \quad \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\|_p = z_n^{1/p} \to 0$$

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(2),25

There is an important implication of this result

**Fact.** For any  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and any sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ ,

 $\mathbf{x}_n 
ightarrow \mathbf{x}$  in *p*-norm  $\iff \mathbf{x}_n 
ightarrow \mathbf{x}$  in Euclidean norm

Proof: Fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ We have

 $\mathbf{x}_n o \mathbf{x}$  in *p*-norm  $\iff$  every component sequence converges  $\iff \mathbf{x}_n o \mathbf{x}$  in Euclidean norm

Here's a nice example of why p-norms are important

**Fact.** The conclusions of the Banach contraction mapping theorem continue to hold if T is a contraction with respect to any p-norm

Thus, if S is closed and there exists a  $p \in [1,\infty]$  and  $\beta < 1$  with

$$\|T\mathbf{x} - T\mathbf{y}\|_p \le \beta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_p$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$ , then

- 1. T has a unique fixed point  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in S$
- 2.  $T^n \mathbf{x} \to \bar{\mathbf{x}}$  as  $n \to \infty$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in S$

Implication: When we try to show the contraction property, we can pick the most convenient p to work with