Logi

<ロ > < 母 > < 喜 > < 喜 > 三 の Q で 1/48

## ECON2125/8013

Lecture 4

John Stachurski

Semester 1, 2015

<ロト</a>
(日)

### Announcements and Reminders

- No lecture tomorrow
- First tutorial tomorrow
- Extra tutorial on the way (11am Fridays?)
- Small study groups?
- Extra reading?

## **Optimization and Computers**

Some optimization problems are pretty easy

- All functions are differentiable
- Few choice variables (low dimensional)
- Concave (for max) or convex (for min)
- First order / tangency conditions relatively simple

Textbook examples often chosen to have this structure

Logic

In reality many problems don't have this structure

- Can't take derivatives
- Many choice variables (high dimensional)
- Neither concave nor convex local maxima and minima

Moreover, even if we can use derivative conditions they can be useless

• For N choice variables, FOCs are a nonlinear system in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

## Can Computers Save Us?

#### For any function we can always try brute force optimization

Here's an example for the following function

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(で 5/48)

Logic



Figure : The function to maximize

<ロト 4 母 ト 4 国 ト 4 国 ト 国 の 9 9 9 6/48

Logic



#### Figure : Grid of points to evaluate the function at

<ロト < 母 > < E > < E > E の < で 7/48

Logic



#### Figure : Evaluations

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 かへで 8/48

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 王 の Q ( g/48

Grid size =  $20 \times 20 = 400$ 

Outcomes

- Number of function evaluations = 400
- Time taken = almost zero
- Maximal value recorded = 1.951
- True maximum = 2

Not bad and we can easily do better

Logic



Figure :  $50^2 = 2500$  evaluations

4 日 ト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 目 の 4 で 10/48

<ロト < 母 ト < 主 ト < 主 ト う へ C 11/48

Sets

- Number of function evaluations  $= 50^2$
- Time taken = 101 microseconds
- Maximal value recorded = 1.992
- True maximum = 2

So why even study optimization?

Logic

The problem is mainly with larger numbers of choice variables

- 3 vars:  $\max_{x_1, x_2, x_3} f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$
- 4 vars:  $\max_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4} f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$

• • • •

If we have 50 grid points per variable and

- 2 variables then evaluations  $= 50^2 = 2500$
- 3 variables then evaluations  $= 50^3 = 125,000$
- 4 variables then evaluations  $= 50^4 = 6,250,000$
- 5 variables then evaluations  $= 50^5 = 312,500,000$

• • • •

Logic

<ロト < 母 ト < 王 ト < 王 ト 三 の < で 13/48

Sets

Example. Recent study: Optimal placement of drinks across vending machines in Tokyo

Approximate dimensions of problem:

- Number of choices for each variable = 2
- Number of choice variables = 1000

Hence number of possibilities  $= 2^{1000}$ 

How big is that?

Logic

In [10]: 2\*\*1000

Out[10]:

 $107150860718626732094842504906000181056140481170 \\ 553360744375038837035105112493612249319837881569 \\ 585812759467291755314682518714528569231404359845 \\ 775746985748039345677748242309854210746050623711 \\ 418779541821530464749835819412673987675591655439 \\ 460770629145711964776865421676604298316526243868 \\ 37205668069376 \\ \end{cases}$ 

Set

<ロト < 母 ト < 主 ト < 主 ト う へ C 15/48

Let's say my machine can evaluate about 1 billion possibilities per second

How long would that take?

ets

In [16]: (2\*\*1000 / 10\*\*9) / 31556926 # In years
Out[16]:
339547840365144349278007955863635707280678989995
899349462539661933596146571733926965255861364854
060286985707326991591901311029244639453805988092
045933072657455119924381235072941549332310199388
301571394569707026437986448403352049168514244509
939816790601568621661265174170019913588941596

<ロト < 部 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト ○ ○ ○ ○ 16/48

Logi

Sets

What about high performance computing?

- more powerful hardware
- faster CPUs
- GPUs
- vector processors
- cloud computing
- massively parallel supercomputers

• • • •

Let's say speed up is  $10^{12}$  (wildly optimistic)

<ロト < 母 ト < 王 ト < 王 ト 三 の < <sup>()</sup> 17/48

Logic

In [19]: (2\*\*1000 / 10\*\*(9 + 12)) / 31556926
Out[19]:
3395478403651443492780079558636357072806789899958
9934946253966193359614657173392696525586136485406
0286985707326991591901311029244639453805988092045
9330726574551199243812350729415493323101993883015

9330726574551199243812350729415493323101993883015 7139456970702643798644840335204916851424450993981 6790601568621661265174170019

For comparison:

In [20]: 5 \* 10\*\*9 # Expected lifespan of sun
Out[20]: 5000000000

Logic

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 · ク Q で 19/48

Sets

Message: There are serious limits to computation

What's required is clever analysis

Exploit what information we have

- without information (oracle) we're stuck
- with information / structure we can do clever things

Examples later on...

Logi

<ロト<日<br />
・< 日<br />
・< 三<br />
・< 三<br />
・< 三<br />
・< 三<br />
・< 20/48

# **New Topic**

## **ELEMENTS OF SET THEORY**

Sets

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ C 21/48

## Elements of Set Theory

We now turn to more formal / foundational ideas

- sets
- functions
- logic
- proofs

Mainly review of key ideas

Logic

### **Common Symbols**

- $P \implies Q$  means "P implies Q"
- $P \iff Q$  means " $P \implies Q$  and  $Q \implies P$ "
- ∃ means "there exists"
- ∀ means "for all"
- s.t. means "such that"
- ∵ means "because"
- ∴ means "therefore"
- a := 1 means "a is defined to be equal to 1"
- ${\mathbb R}$  means all real numbers
- $\mathbb{N}$  means the natural numbers  $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$

Logic

## Logic

Let P and Q be statements, such as

- x is a negative integer
- x is an odd number
- the area of any circle in the plane is -17

Law of the excluded middle: Every mathematical statement is either true or false

Statement " $P \implies Q$ " means "P implies Q" Example. k is even  $\implies k = 2n$  for some integer n

Logic

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ C 24/48

Sets

### Equivalent forms of $P \implies Q$ :

- 1. If P is true then Q is true
- 2. P is a sufficient condition for Q
- 3. Q is a necessary condition for P
- 4. If Q fails then P fails

Q true		
	<i>P</i> true	

Equivalent ways of saying  $P \implies Q$  is <u>not</u> true:

- 1. P does not imply Q
- 2. P is not sufficient for Q
- 3. Q is not necessary for P
- 4. Even if Q fails, P can still hold



<ロト<日<br />
ト<<br />
日<br />
ト<br />
<<br />
ト<br />
</br />
</br />
</br>

### Example

#### Let

- $P := "n \in \mathbb{N}$  and even"
- Q := "n even"

#### Then

- 1.  $P \implies Q$
- 2. P is sufficient for Q
- 3. Q is necessary for P
- 4. If Q fails then P fails

<ロト < 母 ト < 主 ト < 主 ト ラ マ へ C 27/48

### Example

Let

- P := "R is a rectangle"
- Q := "R is a square"

Then

- 1.  $P \not\Rightarrow Q$
- 2. P is not sufficient for Q
- 3. Q is not necessary for P
- 4. Just because Q fails does not mean that P fails

## Proof by Contradiction

Suppose we wish to prove a statement such as  $P\implies Q$ 

A proof by contradiction starts by assuming

- 1. P holds
- 2. and yet Q fails

We then show that this scenario leads to a contradiction

Examples.

- 1 < 0
- 10 is an odd number

We conclude that  $P \implies Q$  is valid after all

Example. Suppose that island X is populated only by pirates and knights

- pirates always lie
- knights always tell the truth

Claim to prove: If person Y says "I'm a pirate" then person Y is  $\underline{not}$  a native of island X

Strategy for the proof:

- 1. Suppose person Y is a native of the island
- 2. Show that this leads to a contradiction
- 3. Conclude that Y is not a native of island X, as claimed

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(2)/48

Sets

Proof:

Suppose to the contrary that person Y  $\underline{is}$  a native of island X

Then Y is either a pirate or a knight

Suppose first that Y is knight

• Y is a knight who claims to be a pirate

This is impossible, since knights always tell the truth Suppose next that Y is pirate

• Y is a pirate who claims to be a pirate

Since pirates always lie, they would not make such a statement Either way we get a contradiction Example. There is <u>no</u>  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that 0 < x < 1/n,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that such an x exists



Since x > 0 the number 1/x exists, is finite

Let N be the smallest integer such that  $N \ge 1/x$ 

• If x = 0.3 then  $1/x = 3.333 \cdots$  so set N = 4

Since  $N \ge 1/x$  we also have  $1/N \le x$ On the other hand, since  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have x < 1/NBut then 1/N < 1/N, which is impossible — a contradiction

<ロト < 母 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ C 32/48

Example. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Claim:  $n^2 \text{ odd} \implies n \text{ odd}$ 

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that

1.  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n^2$  is odd

2. but *n* is even

Then n = 2k for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ Hence  $n^2 = (2k)^2$ But then  $n^2 = 2m$  for  $m := 2k^2 \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Contradiction

Logic



### Will often refer to the real numbers, $\mathbb{R}$

Understand it to contain "all of the numbers" on the "real line"



#### Contains both the rational and the irrational numbers

<ロト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の へ C 33/48

<ロト < 部 < ミト < ミト ミ の へ C 34/48

Sets

 ${\mathbb R}$  is an example of a set

A set is a collection of objects viewed as a whole

(In case of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the objects are numbers)

Other examples of sets:

- set of all rectangles in the plane
- set of all prime numbers
- set of monkeys in Japan

Logic

<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 25/48

Sets

Notation:

- Sets: *A*, *B*, *C*
- Elements: *x*,*y*,*z*

Important sets:

- $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$
- $\mathbb{Z} := \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$
- $\mathbb{Q} := \{p/q : p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0\}$
- $\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{Q} \cup \{ \text{ irrationals } \}$

### Intervals of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}$

#### Common notation:

$(a,b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$
$(a,b] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x \le b\}$
$[a,b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x < b\}$
$[a,b] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$
$[a,\infty):=\{x\in\mathbb{R}:a\leq x\}$
$(-\infty, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < b\}$

Etc.

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の へ で 36/48

Logic

Sets

Let A and B be sets

Statement  $x \in A$  means that x is an element of A

 $A \subset B$  means that any element of A is also an element of BExamples.

- $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$
- irrationals are a subset of  ${\mathbb R}$

A = B means that A and B contain the same elements

• Equivalently,  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$ 

<ロト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q (P 37/48)

Logic

Sets

Let S be a set and A and B be subsets of S

**Union** of A and B

$$A \cup B := \{x \in S : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$$

**Intersection** of A and B

$$A \cap B := \{x \in S : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$$

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ で 38/48

(ロ)、(日)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(2)、(3)/48

Sets

#### Set theoretic difference:

$$A \setminus B := \{x \in S : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$$

In other words, all points in A that are not points in B

#### Examples.

- $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0\}$
- $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  = the set of irrational numbers
- $\mathbb{R} \setminus [0,\infty) = (-\infty,0)$
- $\mathbb{R} \setminus (a,b) = (-\infty,a] \cup [b,\infty)$

Logic

<ロト < 母 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ C 40/48

**Complement** of *A* is all elements of *S* that are not in *A*:

$$A^c := S \setminus A :=: \{x \in S : x \notin A\}$$

Remarks:

- Need to know what S is before we can determine A<sup>c</sup>
- If not clear better write  $S \setminus A$

Example.  $(a, \infty)^c$  generally understood to be  $(-\infty, a]$ 

Sets





#### Figure : Unions, intersections and complements

Logi

Sets

```
In [1]: set_1 = {'green', 'eggs', 'ham'}
```

```
In [2]: set_2 = {'red', 'green'}
```

```
In [3]: set_1.intersection(set_2)
Out[3]: {'green'}
```

```
In [4]: set_1.difference(set_2)
Out[4]: {'eggs', 'ham'}
```

```
In [5]: set_1.union(set_2)
Out[5]: {'eggs', 'green', 'ham', 'red'}
```

Logic

Set operations:

If A and B subsets of S, then

1. 
$$A \cup B = B \cup A$$
 and  $A \cap B = B \cap A$   
2.  $(A \cup B)^c = B^c \cap A^c$  and  $(A \cap B)^c = B^c \cup A^c$   
3.  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$   
4.  $(A^c)^c = A$ 

The **empty set**  $\emptyset$  is the set containing no elements If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then A and B said to be **disjoint**  Sets

### Infinite Unions and Intersections

Given a family of sets  $K_{\lambda} \subset S$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda} := \{ x \in S : x \in K_{\lambda} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda \}$$

 $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda} := \{ x \in S : \text{there exists an } \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ such that } x \in K_{\lambda} \}$ 

"there exists" means "there exists <u>at least</u> one"

Logi

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の へ C 45/48

Sets

### Example. Let $A := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (0, 1/n)$

Claim:  $A = \emptyset$ 

Proof: We need to show that A contains no elements Suppose to the contrary that  $x \in A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (0, 1/n)$ Then x is a number satisfying 0 < x < 1/n for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ No such x exists

Contradiction

<ロト < 母 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ で 46/48

Example. For any a < b we have  $\cup_{\epsilon > 0} [a + \epsilon, b) = (a, b)$ 

Proof: Pick any a < bSuppose first that  $x \in \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} [a + \epsilon, b)$ This means there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $a + \epsilon \le x < b$ Clearly a < x < b, and hence  $x \in (a, b)$ Conversely, if a < x < b, then  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $a + \epsilon \le x < b$ Hence  $x \in \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} [a + \epsilon, b)$ 

**Ex.** Show that  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-n, n) = \mathbb{R}$ 

Logi

Sets

Let S be any set

Let  $K_{\lambda} \subset S$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ 

de Morgan's laws state that:

 $\left[\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}\right]^{c} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}^{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}\right]^{c} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}^{c}$ 

<ロト < 部 > < E > < E > E の < C 47/48

Let's prove that  $A := (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda})^{c} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}^{c} =: B$ Suffices to show that  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$ 

Let's just do  $A \subset B$ 

Must show that every  $x \in A$  is also in B

Fix  $x \in A$ 

Since  $x \in A$ , it must be that x is not in  $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}$ 

 $\therefore$  x is not in any  $K_{\lambda}$ 

 $\therefore \quad x \in K^c_\lambda \text{ for each } \lambda \in \Lambda$ 

 $\therefore \quad x \in \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_{\lambda}^c =: B$ 

<ロト < 目 × 4 目 × 4 目 × 目 の Q で 48/48