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## INSTRUCTIONS

- Read the questions carefully.
- There are 16 questions. Questions 1-14 are worth 2 marks. Question 15 is worth 4 marks. Question 16 is worth 8 marks.
- To maximize your marks, explain the steps in your arguments while at the same time avoiding irrelevant discussions. Try to be clear and succinct.
- In solving the questions, you are welcome to use any fact that you remember from the lecture slides without any form of proof. However, you should clearly state the relevant fact.
- You do not need to do the questions in order, as long as you clearly mark in your answer sheet which question you are addressing.


## QUESTIONS

Question 1. The mode of a density $p$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is the maximizer of $p$ on $\mathbb{R}$, if it exists. Consider the beta density

$$
p(x)= \begin{cases}c x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} & \text { if } 0<x<1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant and $\alpha, \beta>1$. This density has a unique mode. Obtain it as a function of the parameters. Explain your derivation. In particular, justify your claim that the point you obtain is the mode.

Solution 1. If a point $x$ is a maximizer of $p$ then it must be that $x \in(0,1)$, since $p$ is strictly positive on this interval. Since $p$ is differentiable on $(0,1)$, any maximizer must be a stationary point in this inverval. Hence the set of maximizers is a subset of the set of stationary points of $p$ in $(0,1)$. Differentiating $p$ and setting the result equal to zero gives the equation

$$
x=\gamma(1-x) \quad \text { where } \quad \gamma:=\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta-1}
$$

This equation has the unique solution

$$
x^{*}=\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}=\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}
$$

Since this point is the only stationary point, it is the only candidate for a maximizer. In the question we are told that a maximizer exists, so $x^{*}$ must be the maximizer. In other words, $x^{*}$ is the mode.

Question 2. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the $10 \times 10$ diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(1,2, \ldots, 10)$.
(i) What is $\mathbf{D}^{2}$ ?
(ii) Is $\mathbf{D}$ invertible? If so, what is the inverse?

Solution 2. We know from the lecture slides that the $k$-th power of $\mathbf{D}$ is the diagonal matrix formed by taking the $k$-th power of the element along the principle diagonal of $\mathbf{D}$. That is,

$$
\mathbf{D}^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, \ldots, 10^{2}\right)=\operatorname{diag}(1,4, \ldots, 100)
$$

We also know that $\mathbf{D}$ is invertible (since all elements on the principle diagonal are nonzero), and that the inverse is

$$
\mathbf{D}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(1 / 1,1 / 2 \ldots, 1 / 10)
$$

Question 3. What is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ? Explain your answer, using the definition of dimension.

Solution 3. The dimension of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is $N$. The explanation is as follows: The dimension of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is the number of elements in any basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. A set of vectors is a basis for a linear subspace if it is linearly independent and spans that subspace. Hence it suffices to find $N$ linearly independent vectors that span $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The canonical basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{N}$ are such a set.

Question 4. Let A and B be square matrices of the same shape. Show that if $\mathbf{A}$ is singular then so is $\mathbf{C}:=\mathbf{A B}$.

Solution 4. By the rules for determinants, $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{C})=\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A}) \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{B})$. Since $\mathbf{A}$ is singular, the right hand size and therefore the left hand side is zero. Since a zero determinant implies singularity, we conclude that $\mathbf{C}$ is singular.

Question 5. Consider the matrix

$$
\mathbf{B}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 8 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

(ij Find a basis for the column space (i.e., the span of the columns) of $\mathbf{B}$. Explain your derivation.
(iij What is the rank of $\mathbf{B}$ ? Explain your answer.

Solution 5. Regarding part (i), the column space of $\mathbf{B}$ is denoted span(B) and defined as the span of its columns. Since the columns are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the span of the columns is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The first and last columns together are the canonical basis vectors for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We know that these two vectors span $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The span of a larger set is at least as large. Hence the span of all three vectors is all of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The first two vectors of $\mathbf{B}$ form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and hence of $\operatorname{span}(\mathbf{B})$, since they are linearly independent and span $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Regarding part (ii), the rank of $\mathbf{B}$ is 2 , since the dimension of the column space is the number of elements in this (or any other) basis, which is 2.
Question 6. Let A be any $N \times K$ matrix, let $\lambda$ be a real number, and let $\mathbf{B}:=\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}+\lambda \mathbf{I}$ where $\mathbf{I}$ is the $K \times K$ identity.
(i) Show that $\mathbf{B}$ is symmetric.
(ii) Show that $\mathbf{B}$ is positive definite whenever $\lambda>0$.

Solution 6. B is symmetric, because $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ are symmetric. In particular,

$$
\mathbf{B}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \mathbf{I}^{\prime}=\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}+\lambda \mathbf{I}=\mathbf{B}
$$

To show that $\mathbf{B}$ is positive definite when $\lambda>0$, we need to show that if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{B x}>0$. To see that this is the case, take such an $\mathbf{x}$ and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} & =\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{x} \\
& =\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\lambda \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} \\
& =\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} \\
& =(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x})^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} \\
& =\|\mathbf{A x}\|^{2}+\lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side is nonnegative, and the second term is strictly positive, because $\lambda>0$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence $\mathbf{B}$ is positive definite, as claimed.

Question 7. Let A be a square matrix with columns $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{N}$. Show that if $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{j}=\mathbb{1}\{i=j\}$, then $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ is the inverse of $\mathbf{A}$.

Solution 7. To show that $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ is the inverse of $\mathbf{A}$, we need to show that $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}_{N}$. By the definition of matrix multiplication, $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}$ is the matrix such that the $i, j$-th element is $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{j}$. By the assumption $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{j}=\mathbb{1}\{i=j\}$, this matrix is equal to $\mathbf{I}_{N}$.

Question 8. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be positive definite. Show that $\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{A})>0$.
Solution 8. The trace of $\mathbf{A}$ is the sum of the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{A}$, and will be strictly positive if all of the diagonal elements are strictly positive. This must be the case, because if $\mathbf{e}_{n}$ is the $n$-th canonical basis vector, then $\mathbf{e}_{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and hence $\mathbf{e}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_{n}>0$. But $\mathbf{e}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_{n}=a_{n n}$. Hence $a_{n n}>0$ for all $n$.

Question 9. Let $\Omega$ be a sample space, let $\mathbb{P}$ be a probability on $\Omega$, and let $A$ and $B$ be events. Show that $\mathbb{P}(A)=\mathbb{P}(B)=1$ implies $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)=1$.

Solution 9. Let $\mathbb{P}, A$ and $B$ be as stated in the question, with $\mathbb{P}(A)=$ $\mathbb{P}(B)=1$. From the lecture slides we know that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left(A^{c} \cup B^{c}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(A^{c}\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(B^{c}\right)=0 \\
\therefore \quad \mathbb{P}\left((A \cap B)^{c}\right)=0 \\
\therefore \quad \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Question 10. Let $\Omega$ be any sample space, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of events. Define $\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow[0,1]$ by $\mathbb{P}(A)=1$ if $A$ is nonempty and $\mathbb{P}(\varnothing)=0$. Is $\mathbb{P}$ a probability on $\mathcal{F}$ ? Why or why not?

Solution 10. In general, $\mathbb{P}$ is not a probability, because if $A$ and $B$ are disjoint nonempty sets, then $A \cup B$ is nonempty, and hence $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B)=1$ while $\mathbb{P}(A)+\mathbb{P}(B)=1+1=2$. Therefore additivity does not hold.
(The only caveat to this argument is that we may not be able to select two disjoint nonempty sets. This occurs precisely when $\Omega$ has only one element. If $\Omega$ has only one element, then additivity cannot be contradicted, and $\mathbb{P}$ is a probability. Students are not expected to notice this and it is not part of the marks.)

Question 11. Let $X$ be a random variable on sample space $\Omega$ with

$$
\operatorname{rng}(X)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{K}\right\}
$$

where $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{K}$. Show that the events

$$
\left\{X=x_{k}\right\}, \quad k=1, \ldots, K
$$

form a partition of $\Omega$.
Solution 11. Let

$$
E_{k}:=\left\{X=x_{k}\right\}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)=x_{k}\right\}
$$

To show that $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{K}$ is a partition, we need to show that the sets are disjoint and that their union is $\Omega$. To see that the sets are disjoint, observe that if $k \neq j$ and $\omega \in E_{k}$, then $X(\omega)=x_{k}$ and hence $X(\omega) \neq x_{j}$. It follows that $\omega \notin E_{j}$. A similar argument shows that if $\omega \in E_{j}$ then $\omega \notin E_{k}$. Hence the sets are disjoint.

In addition, since $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{K}\right\}$ is the range of $X$, and since $X$ is a function on $\Omega$, it must be that, given any $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $X(\omega)=x_{k}$ for some $k$ in $1, \ldots, K$. Hence $\omega \in E_{k}$. In other words, every $\omega \in \Omega$ must be in one of the sets $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{K}$. Hence their union is all of $\Omega$.

Question 12. Let $X$ be a binary random variable with $\mathbb{P}\{X=1\}=p$.
(i) Calculate the expectation of $X$.
(ii) Calculate the variance of $X$.

Solution 12. The expectation of $X$ is $0 \times \mathbb{P}\{X=0\}+1 \times \mathbb{P}\{X=1\}=p$. The variance is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[(X-\mathbb{E}[X])^{2}\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[(X-p)^{2}\right] \\
& =(0-p)^{2} \times \mathbb{P}\{X=0\}+(1-p)^{2} \times \mathbb{P}\{X=1\} \\
& =p^{2}(1-p)+(1-p)^{2} p \\
& =p(1-p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Question 13. Let $\theta>0$ and let $X$ be a random variable uniformly distributed (i.e., having the uniform distribution) on the interval $(0, \theta)$.
(i) Give an expression for the density of $X$.
(ii) Calculate the expectation of $X$.
(iii) Calculate the variance of $X$.

Show your derivation for parts (ii) and (iii).

Solution 13. The density of $X$ is the uniform density

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{1}\{0<x<\theta\}
$$

The expectation of $X$ is therefore

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p(x) d x=\int_{0}^{\theta} x \frac{1}{\theta} d x=\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta^{2}}{2}=\frac{\theta}{2}
$$

The variance of $X$ is

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(x-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2} p(x) d x=\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(x-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\theta} d x=\frac{\theta^{2}}{12}
$$

Question 14. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, where $N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is the multivariate normal distribution in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with mean equal to the origin $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and variancecovariance matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\mathbf{Y}:=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{B X}$ where

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\binom{Y_{1}}{Y_{2}}, \quad \mathbf{a}=\binom{1}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 0 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

(i) What is the distribution of $\mathbf{Y}$ ? Give a full description of the distribution with explanation.
(ii) Are $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ independent? Why or why not?

Solution 14. Since linear combinations of multivariate normals are multivariate normal, $\mathbf{Y}$ is multivariate normal. To fully describe its distribution we need to pin down the mean and variance covariance matrix. By the rules for multivariate expectations and variances, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]=\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{B X}]=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{B} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}]=\mathbf{a}=\binom{1}{3}
$$

For the variance-covariance matrix we have the rule

$$
\operatorname{var}[\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{B X}]=\mathbf{B} \operatorname{var}[\mathbf{X}] \mathbf{B}^{\prime}
$$

which in this case gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}[\mathbf{Y}] & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 0 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 4 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 0 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 4 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
32 & 32 \\
32 & 34
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Regarding part (ii), $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are not independent, since their covariance is $32 \neq 0$.

Question 15. Let $X$ be any random variable with $\mathbb{E}[|X|]<\infty$. Show that $X_{n}:=X / n$ converges to zero in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution 15. To show that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ we need to show that for any $\delta>0$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|X_{n}-0\right|>\delta\right\}=\mathbb{P}\{|X / n|>\delta\} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

To show this we can use an inequality from the lecture slides (the Markov inequality) to get

$$
\mathbb{P}\{|X / n|>\delta\}=\mathbb{P}\{|X|>n \delta\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|}{n \delta} \rightarrow 0
$$

Question 16. Consider the system of equations $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{A X}+\mathbf{B W}$ where

- B is $N \times K$ and $N>K$
- $\mathbf{A}$ is $N \times N$
- $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are $N \times 1$
- $\mathbf{W}$ is $K \times 1$

Suppose we are able to observe both $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{X}$, and we know the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{W}$ is a vector of unobservable shocks. Our aim is to solve for $\mathbf{W}$ in terms of the observable matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$. Show that if $\mathbf{B}$ has rank $K$ then this is possible and give the expression for $\mathbf{W}$ in terms of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$.

Solution 16. If we premultiply $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{A X}+\mathbf{B W}$ by $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{W}
$$

or

$$
\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{B}^{\prime}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}) .
$$

We can now write

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left(\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}) .
$$

provided that $\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}$ is invertible. To show this it suffices to show the $\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}$ is positive definite. To show that this is true, take any $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Note that, since $\mathbf{B}$ has full column rank its columns are linearly independent, and, since $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we must have $\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}=(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x})^{\prime}(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x})=\|\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}\|^{2}>0 .
$$

This proves positive definiteness and hence invertibility of $\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}$.

