## Your Turn!

We will use a simple Perceptual Decision Making (PDM) data set throughout for the practical part. You can load it using the following code in R:

```
install.packages('curl') #You need to load the R package "curl" to use this cleaning code
library(curl)
```

1. Familiarize yourself with the data. Maybe use a plot or two, see which variables might be relevant.

RT	accuracy	condition	EEG_session	experiment	session	subject	$\operatorname{spf}$
0.945	1	1	0	1	1	1	low
0.773	1	1	0	1	1	1	low
1.505	1	1	0	1	1	1	low
0.672	0	1	0	1	1	1	high
1.079	1	0	0	1	1	1	high
0.989	1	2	0	1	1	1	low

2. Suppose we wanted to model the accuracy of the responses using brms. As a first step, we will not use any predictors, but just model the responses provided and across incongruent and congruent trials. Here is the code (warning: this may take a while):

You can see an overview over the estimated parameters using

## summary(model\_fit)

```
## Family: gaussian
## Links: mu = identity; sigma = identity
## Formula: RT ~ 1
## Data: pdm (Number of observations: 5532)
## Draws: 2 chains, each with iter = 1500; warmup = 750; thin = 1;
## total post-warmup draws = 1500
##
## Population-Level Effects:
## Estimate Est.Error 1-95% CI u-95% CI Rhat Bulk_ESS Tail_ESS
```

```
## Intercept
                 0.78
                           0.00
                                    0.77
                                             0.78 1.00
                                                           1526
                                                                    1126
##
## Family Specific Parameters:
         Estimate Est.Error 1-95% CI u-95% CI Rhat Bulk_ESS Tail_ESS
                                         0.24 1.00
## sigma
             0.24
                       0.00
                                0.23
##
## Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS
## and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential
## scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).
```

- 3. Let's make sense of the results. First, what does the line represent? What do the columns represent? Then, what dare the estimates and do they make sense?
- 4. Is this a good prior for response time? What would be better?

Bonus. Suppose we wanted to model the accuracy of the responses using brms. As a first step, we will not use any predictors, but just model the responses provided and across incongruent and congruent trials. Here is the code (warning: this may take a while):

You can see an overview over the estimated parameters using

```
summary(model_fit)
```

```
Family: bernoulli
##
    Links: mu = logit
##
## Formula: accuracy ~ 1
##
      Data: pdm (Number of observations: 5532)
     Draws: 2 chains, each with iter = 1500; warmup = 750; thin = 1;
##
            total post-warmup draws = 1500
##
##
## Population-Level Effects:
##
             Estimate Est.Error 1-95% CI u-95% CI Rhat Bulk_ESS Tail_ESS
## Intercept
                 0.78
                           0.03
                                    0.72
                                             0.84 1.00
##
## Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS
## and Tail ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential
## scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).
```

Let's again make sense of the results. Be careful to properly understand what the estimate of .78 represents. It is not the probability of responding accurately (the observed proportion of accurate responses is 0.69. (hint: try the inv.logit from the gtools package.)

