

Assignment 5 - Solutions

Julia Haaf & Nicole Cruz, adapted from Shravan Vasishth

Exercise 1

```
library(tidyverse)
library(brms)
```

```
load("df_pupil_complete.rda")
df_pupil_complete <- df_pupil_complete %>%
  mutate(c_load = load - mean(load))
```

Fit a “maximal” model (correlated varying intercept and slopes for subjects) assuming a normal likelihood.

```
fit_pupil <- brm(p_size ~ 1 + c_load + (c_load | subj),
  data = df_pupil_complete,
  family = gaussian(),
  prior = c(
    prior(normal(1000, 500), class = Intercept),
    prior(normal(0, 1000), class = sigma),
    prior(normal(0, 100), class = b, coef = c_load),
    prior(normal(0, 1000), class = sd),
    prior(lkj(2), class = cor)),
  control=list(adapt_delta=0.99, max_treedepth=15))
```

(a) Examine the effect of load on pupil size, and the average pupil size.

```
fit_pupil

## Family: gaussian
## Links: mu = identity; sigma = identity
## Formula: p_size ~ 1 + c_load + (c_load | subj)
## Data: df_pupil_complete (Number of observations: 2228)
## Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 2000; warmup = 1000; thin = 1;
## total post-warmup draws = 4000
##
## Multilevel Hyperparameters:
## ~subj (Number of levels: 20)
##
```

	Estimate	Est.Error	1-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
## sd(Intercept)	3300.74	430.45	2521.74	4213.11	1.01	715
## sd(c_load)	71.95	15.88	47.61	108.87	1.00	1120
## cor(Intercept,c_load)	0.31	0.24	-0.20	0.71	1.00	1183

```
##
```

	Tail_ESS
## sd(Intercept)	967
## sd(c_load)	1702
## cor(Intercept,c_load)	1741

```
##
```

```
## Regression Coefficients:
##           Estimate Est.Error 1-95% CI u-95% CI Rhat Bulk_ESS Tail_ESS
## Intercept  2452.86    493.23  1425.88  3396.37 1.01      655      1177
## c_load      38.89     25.55   -12.19   87.01 1.00     1012     1513
##
## Further Distributional Parameters:
##           Estimate Est.Error 1-95% CI u-95% CI Rhat Bulk_ESS Tail_ESS
## sigma    505.32      7.57   491.26   520.40 1.00     4433     3085
##
## Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS
## and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential
## scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).
```

If we want to just report the change in pupil size as a function of one unit increase in (centered) load:

```
posterior_summary(fit_pupil, variable = "b_c_load")
```

```
##           Estimate Est.Error      Q2.5      Q97.5
## b_c_load 38.88977  25.54953 -12.18553  87.01479
```

There isn't a clear effect of load across all the subject.

But the intercept seems to be quite large,

```
posterior_summary(fit_pupil, variable = "b_Intercept")
```

```
##           Estimate Est.Error      Q2.5      Q97.5
## b_Intercept 2452.864  493.2283 1425.885 3396.366
```

and we assumed that it shouldn't be:

```
brms::prior_summary(fit_pupil)
```

```
##           prior      class      coef group resp dpar nlpar lb ub
##           (flat)         b
##      normal(0, 100)         b      c_load
##      normal(1000, 500) Intercept
##      lkj_corr_cholesky(2)      L
##      lkj_corr_cholesky(2)      L      subj
##      normal(0, 1000)         sd              0
##      normal(0, 1000)         sd      subj      0
##      normal(0, 1000)         sd      c_load subj      0
##      normal(0, 1000)         sd Intercept subj      0
##      normal(0, 1000)      sigma              0
##      source
##      default
##      user
##      user
##      user
## (vectorized)
##      user
## (vectorized)
## (vectorized)
## (vectorized)
##      user
```

See the row with class Intercept.

So maybe our prior for the intercept was overly informative.

(b) Do a sensitivity analysis for the prior on the intercept (α). What is the estimate of the effect (β) under different priors?

We'll try a wider prior for α . A more complete sensitivity analysis would investigate several possible priors

$$\alpha \sim \text{Normal}(4000, 2000)$$

```
fit_pupil_2 <- brm(p_size ~ 1 + c_load + (c_load | subj),
  data = df_pupil_complete,
  family = gaussian(),
  prior = c(
    prior(normal(4000, 2000), class = Intercept),
    prior(normal(0, 1000), class = sigma),
    prior(normal(0, 100), class = b, coef = c_load),
    prior(normal(0, 2000), class = sd),
    prior(lkj(2), class = cor))
)
```

```
fit_pupil_2
```

```
## Family: gaussian
## Links: mu = identity; sigma = identity
## Formula: p_size ~ 1 + c_load + (c_load | subj)
## Data: df_pupil_complete (Number of observations: 2228)
## Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 2000; warmup = 1000; thin = 1;
## total post-warmup draws = 4000
##
## Multilevel Hyperparameters:
## ~subj (Number of levels: 20)
##
```

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
sd(Intercept)	2555.57	410.15	1882.41	3503.52	1.00	739
sd(c_load)	69.13	14.19	46.43	100.51	1.01	1128
cor(Intercept,c_load)	0.27	0.21	-0.17	0.64	1.00	1822

```
##
```

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
sd(Intercept)	1369						
sd(c_load)	1898						
cor(Intercept,c_load)	2364						

```
##
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
Intercept	5467.07	550.72	4339.09	6505.09	1.01	414	745
c_load	59.07	17.05	24.44	91.84	1.00	1279	1982

```
##
```

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
sigma	505.53	7.80	490.60	520.87	1.00	6281	2679

```
##
```

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

Now there seems to be a clear effect! Our bad prior for the intercept was messing up our inferences!

(c) Is the effect of load consistent across subjects?

```
## For the hierarchical model, this is more complicated,
# because we want the effect (beta) + adjustment:
# we extract the overall group level effect:
beta <- c(as_draws_df(fit_pupil_2)$b_c_load)
# We extract the individual adjustments
ind_effects_v <- paste0("r_subj[", unique(df_pupil_complete$subj), ",c_load]")
adjustment <- as.matrix(as_draws_df(fit_pupil)[ind_effects_v])
# We get the by subject effects in a data frame where each adjustment
# is in each column.
by_subj_effect <- as_tibble(beta + adjustment)
# We summarize them by getting a table with the mean and the
# quantiles for each column and then binding them.
par_h <- lapply(by_subj_effect, function(x) {
  tibble(
    Estimate = mean(x),
    Q2.5 = quantile(x, .025),
    Q97.5 = quantile(x, .975)
  )
}) %>%
bind_rows() %>%
# We add a column to identify that the model,
# and one with the subject labels:
mutate(
  subj = unique(df_pupil_complete$subj)) %>%
arrange(Estimate) %>%
mutate(subj = factor(subj, levels = subj))

ggplot(
  par_h,
  aes(
    ymin = Q2.5, ymax = Q97.5, x = subj, y = Estimate
  )
) +
geom_errorbar() +
geom_point() +
# We'll also add the mean and 95% CrI of the overall difference
# to the plot:
geom_hline(
  yintercept =
    posterior_summary(fit_pupil_2)["b_c_load", "Estimate"]
) +
geom_hline(
  yintercept =
    posterior_summary(fit_pupil_2)["b_c_load", "Q2.5"],
  linetype = "dotted", linewidth = 0.5
) +
geom_hline(
  yintercept =
    posterior_summary(fit_pupil_2)["b_c_load", "Q97.5"],
  linetype = "dotted", linewidth = 0.5
) +
```

```
xlab("Change in pupil size") +  
coord_flip()
```

