Answers to the Theory Questions

of the course of Real and Functional Analysis of prof. Fabio Punzo

by Jacopo Stringara jstringara@gmail.com

September 24, 2022

Contents

Sheet n.1		3
Question	1.1	3
1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.1.4 1.1.5 1.1.6	Sequence of sets Increasing sequence of sets Decreasing sequence of sets Limsup for a sequence of sets Limit for a sequence of sets Limit for a sequence of sets	3 3 3 3 4
Question	1.2	4
1.2.1 1.2.2 Question	Cover of a set	4 4
·		
1.3.1 1.3.2 1.3.3	Equivalence relation	4 4 5
Question	1.4	5
1.4.1 1.4.2	Equipotent sets	5 5
Question	1.5	5
1.5.1 1.5.2 1.5.3 1.5.4 Question	Finite sets	5 5 6 6
1.6.1	Algebra	6
1.6.2 1.6.3 1.6.4	σ — algebra	6
Question	1.7	6
1.7.1 Question	Minimal σ – algebra	7 7
1.8.1 1.8.2 1.8.3	Borel σ – algebra	7 7 8
Question	1.9	8
1.9.1 1.9.2	Measure	8

	1.9.3	σ – finite measure	9	
	1.9.4	Measure space	9	
	1.9.5	Probability space	9	
Question 1.10				
	1.10.1	Properties of measures	9	
Question 1.11				
	1.11.1	Sets of zero measure	11	
	1.11.2	Negligible sets	11	
	1.11.3	Almost Everywhere	12	
Question 1.12				
	1.12.1	Complete measure space	12	

Sheet n. 1

Question 1.1

Write the definitions of: sequence of sets $\{E_n\}$; increasing and decreasing sequence of sets $\{E_n\}$; $\limsup_{n\to\infty} E_n$, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} E_n$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n$.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Sequence of sets

A family (or collection) of sets $\{E_i\}_{i\in I}$ is called a sequence of sets if $I=\mathbb{N}$ (i.e. it is indexed by the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N})

• Increasing sequence of sets

a sequence of sets $\{E_n\}$ is said to be increasing (or ascending) if:

$$E_n \subseteq E_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

• Decreasing sequence of sets

A sequence of sets $\{E_n\}$ is said to be decreasing (or descending) if:

$$E_n \supseteq E_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

• Limsup for a sequence of sets

for a sequence of sets $\{E_n\}$ we define:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} E_n := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$$

• Liminf for a sequence of sets

analogously:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} E_n := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$$

• Limit for a sequence of sets

as for a sequence of real numbers if the limsup and liminf coincide we may define:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n := \liminf_{n \to \infty} E_n = \limsup_{n \to \infty} E_n$$

Question 1.2

Write the definitions of: cover (or covering) of a set; subcover.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Cover of a set

a family of sets $\{E_i\}_{i\in I}$ is called a cover (or covering) of X if:

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$$

• Subcover

a sub-family of a cover $\{E_i\}_{i\in J}$ $(J\subseteq I)$ which forms a cover is called a subcover.

Question 1.3

Write the definitions of: equivalence relation, equivalence class, quotient set.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Equivalence relation

a relation R in X (i.e. a subset $R \subseteq X \times X$) is an equivalence relation if:

- i) $(x, x) \in R \ \forall x \in X \ (\mathbf{reflexivity})$
- ii) $(x,y) \in R \implies (y,x) \in R$ (simmetry)
- iii) $(x,y) \in R, (y,z) \in R \implies (x,z) \in R$ (transitivity)

Equivalence class

we define an equivalence class for x w.r.t. R as:

$$E_x := \{ y \in X : yRx \}$$

i.e. the set of all elements equivalent to x for R

• Quotient set

we define the quotient set of X over R as:

$$X/R := \{E_x : x \in X\}$$

i.e. it is the set of all equivalence classes.

Question 1.4

Write the definition of equipotent sets. Write the definition of cardinality of a set.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Equipotent sets

Two sets X and Y are called equipotent if there exists a bijections, that is, a function:

$$f: X \to Y$$

that is both injective and surjective.

• Cardinality of a set

the cardinality of a set X is the collection of all sets equipotent to X.

Question 1.5

Write the definitions of: infinite set, finite set, countable set, uncountable set. Provide examples.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Finite sets

a set X is finite if $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is a bijection:

$$f: X \to 1, \dots, n$$

Example: $\{\frac{1}{1}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\}$

• Infinite sets

X is infinite if it is not finite. **Example:** \mathbb{N} is clearly infinite

• Countable sets

X is countable if X is equipotent to \mathbb{N} **Example:** \mathbb{Q} can be put in bijection with \mathbb{N}

• Uncountable sets

X is uncountable if it is infinite and not countable.

Example: \mathbb{R} is clearly infinite and not countable since it has the cardinality of continuum.

Question 1.6

Write the definitions of: algebra, σ – algebra, measurable space, measurable set. Show that if \mathcal{A} is a σ – algebra and $\{E_k\} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcap_{k=1}^{+\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Algebra

A family $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is an algebra if:

- i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$
- ii) $E \in \mathcal{A} \implies E^{\mathsf{c}} \in \mathcal{A}$
- iii) $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$

σ – algebra

A family $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ – algebra if:

- $\bullet \quad i) \ \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$
 - ii) $E \in \mathcal{A} \implies E^{\mathsf{c}} \in \mathcal{A}$
 - iii) $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}\implies\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n\in\mathcal{A}$

• Measurable space

The couplet (X, A) where A is a σ – algebra is called a measurable space.

• Measurable set

the elements of the σ – algebra of a measurable space are called measurable sets.

Question 1.7

State the theorem concerning the existence of the σ – algebra generated by a given set. Give an idea of the proof.

Solution

Minimal σ – algebra

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, then there exists a σ – algebra $\sigma_0(S)$ such that:

- 1. $S \subseteq \sigma_0(S)$
- 2. $\forall \sigma$ algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ we have $\sigma_0(S) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$

thus $\sigma_0(S)$ is the minimal σ – algebra generated by S.

Sketch of Proof

We construct the set:

$$\mathcal{V} \coloneqq \{ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) \, \| \mathcal{A} \supseteq S, \, \mathcal{A} \quad \sigma - \text{algebra} \}$$

we may define:

$$\sigma_0(S) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V} \}$$

Question 1.8

Write the definition of the Borel σ – algebra in a metric space. Provide classes of Borel sets. Characterize $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Solution

Borel σ – algebra

Let (X,d) be a metric space and let \mathcal{G} be the family of open sets of X, then we define the Borel σ – algebra as:

$$\mathcal{B}(X) \coloneqq \sigma_0(\mathcal{G})$$

The elements of \mathcal{G} are called Borel sets, let us enumerate some classes of them:

Classes of Borel sets

- i) open sets
- ii) closed sets (they are the complementary of open sets and this is a σ algebra)
- iii) countable intersections of open sets, known as the family G_{δ}
- iv) countable union of closed sets, known as the family F_{δ} .

Lastly, let us characterize the Borel σ – algebras $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

Characterization of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}\right),\mathcal{B}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

1.
$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \sigma_0(I) = \sigma_0(I_1) = \sigma_0(I_2) = \sigma_0(I_0) = \sigma_0(\hat{I})$$
 where:

$$\begin{split} I &= \{(a,b): a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\} \\ I_1 &= \{[a,b]: a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\} \\ I_2 &= \{(a,b]: a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\} \\ I_0 &= \{(a,b): -\infty \leq a < b < \infty\} \cup \{(a,\infty): a \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ \hat{I} &= \{(a,\infty): a \in \mathbb{R}\} \end{split}$$

2.
$$\mathcal{B}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}\right) = \sigma_0(\tilde{I}) = \sigma_0(\tilde{I}_1)$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{I} &= \{(a,b): a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \cup \{[-\infty,b): b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a,+\infty]: a \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ \tilde{I}_1 &= \{(a,+\infty]: a \in \mathbb{R}\} \end{split}$$

3.
$$\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right) = \sigma_0(K_1) = \sigma_0(K_2)$$
 where:

$$K_1 = \{\text{n-dimensional closed rectangles}\}\$$

 $K_2 = \{\text{n-dimensional open rectangles}\}\$

Question 1.9

Write the definitions of: measure, finite measure, σ -finite measure, measure space, probability space. Provide some examples of measures.

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Measure

Let X be a set and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, then a function μ :

$$\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$$

is a measure if:

1.
$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

2.
$$\sigma$$
 – additivity:

 $\forall \{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \text{ disjoint } (E_i \cap E_j \quad \forall i \neq j) \text{ such that } \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{C} \text{ we have that:}$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

Finite measure

a measure μ defined as above is said to bw finite if:

$$\mu(X) < +\infty$$

• σ – finite measure

a measure μ is said to be σ – finite if there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}$ such that:

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k, \quad \mu(E_k) < +\infty$$

• Measure space

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a σ – algebra and $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ a measure, then the triplet (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is called a measure space.

• Probability space

if $\mu(X) = 1$ then we say that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a probability space.

Question 1.10

State and prove the theorem regarding properties of measures. Why the two continuity properties are called in this way? For what concerns continuity w.r.t. a descending sequence E_k , show that the hypothesis $\mu(E_1) < +\infty$ is essential.

Solution

Properties of measures

Let us state and prove the properties of a measure μ on a set X and σ – algebra A:

i) Additivity:

 $\forall \{E_1, \ldots, E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ disjoint we have:}$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

Proof. indeed if we define a sequence such that:

$$\{E_n\} = \begin{cases} B_k = E_k & \forall k \le n \\ B_k = \emptyset & \forall k > n \end{cases}$$

this sequence is also disjoint $(A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \ \forall A \in X)$, thus we may write:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(E_k) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mu(E_k)}_{=0}$$

ii) Monotonicity:

 $\forall E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ we have:

$$E \subseteq F \implies \mu(E) \le \mu(F)$$

Proof. We may write F in the following way:

$$F = E \cup (F \setminus E)$$

and since these two sets are obviously disjoint we may use (i) to write:

$$\mu(F) = \mu(E) + \underbrace{\mu(E \setminus F)}_{\geq 0} > \mu(E)$$

iii) σ – subadditivity:

 $\forall \{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ (not disjoint) we have:}$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

Proof. Let us define:

$$\begin{cases} F_1 := E_1 \\ F_n := E_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k \quad \forall n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\{F_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\{F_n\}$ is a disjoint sequence and:

$$F_k\subseteq E_k\quad\forall k\in\mathbb{N}\implies \mu(F_k)\leq \mu(E_k)$$
 by (ii) $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k=\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k$

thus we may write:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_k) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

iv) Continuity from below:

 $\forall \{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E_k \nearrow \text{ we have:}$

$$\mu\left(\lim_{k\to\infty} E_k\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

Proof. Let us define a new sequence $\{F_n\}$ as:

$$\begin{cases} F_k := E_k \setminus E_{k-1} & \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } E_0 := \emptyset \\ \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k = E_n, \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k \end{cases}$$

and since $\{F_n\}$ is a disjoint sequence (we may visually think of it as a set of ever increasing rings) we may use (i) to write:

$$\mu\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(F_k) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(E_n)$$

v) Continuity from above:

 $\forall \{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E_k \searrow, \mu(E_1) < +\infty$ we have:

$$\mu\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(E_n)$$

Proof. Like we did above Let us define: a new sequence $\{F_n\}$

$$F_k := E_1 \setminus E_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

let us note that $\{F_n\}$ is an increasing sequence thus by (iv) we can write:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(F_k) = \mu(E_1) - \lim_{k \to \infty} (E_k)$$

because by (ii)

 $mu(F \setminus E) = \mu(F) - \mu(E)$, moreover:

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E_1 \cap E_k^{\mathsf{c}}) = E_1 \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^{\mathsf{c}}\right) = E_1 \setminus \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right)$$

$$\implies \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k\right) = \mu(E_1) - \mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right)$$

thus combining these two and canceling the $\mu(E_1)$ on both sides we obtain:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(E_k) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right)$$

let us note that for this last, crucial, step $\mu(E_1)$ must be finite, otherwise we would not be able to cancel it out from both sides.

Question 1.11

Write the definitions of: sets of zero measure; negligible sets. What is meant by saying that a property holds a.e.? Provide typical properties that can be true a.e. .

Solution

Let us define the following:

• Sets of zero measure

Given a measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) , we say that a set $E \subseteq X$ has zero measure if $E \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(E) = 0$. We denote the set of all sets of zero measure by \mathcal{N}_{μ}

• Negligible sets

a set $E \subseteq X$ is negligible if:

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{A} \text{ s.t. } E \subseteq N, \ \mu(N) = 0$$

So any subset of a set of zero measure is negligible, we denote the collection of all negligible sets by τ_{μ} . Moreover let us note that E doesn't need to be an element of \mathcal{A} $(E \notin \mathcal{A})$

• Almost Everywhere

a property P on X is said to hold almost everywhere if:

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in X : P(x) \text{ is false } \rbrace) = 0$$

We may also say that $\{x \in X : P(x) \text{ is false }\} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$

Examples

typical properties that can be true a.e. are: equality, continuity, monotonicity, etc. etc.

Question 1.12

Write the definition of complete measure space. Exhibit an example of a measure space which is not complete.

Solution

Complete measure space

A measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is said to be complete if $\tau_{\mu} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$

Counterexample

Let $X=\{a,b,c\},\,\mathcal{A}=\sigma(\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b,c\},X\})$ and $\mu\equiv 0$, clearly here we have:

$$\tau_{\mu} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\mu} = \{\{b\}, \{c\}\}\$$

and clearly $\{b\}, \{c\} \notin \mathcal{A}$. So this measure space is not complete.