

# Stat 135 Spring 2018 MT Problem #2 Solutions

Name: Solutions  
SID: Solutions  
Section Number: Solutions

## Problem 2:

Suppose I am conducting an experiment where  $X_i$  represents the waiting time until a component fails, and I have 100 independent observations of  $X_i$ , exponential random variables with unknown  $\lambda$ .

(a) Find the MoM estimate of  $\lambda$ .

*Proof:* The first moment of an exponential is  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \bar{x} \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$$

(b) Find the ML estimate of  $\lambda$ .

*Proof:* The likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_{100}) = \prod_{i=1}^{100} \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^{100} e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{100} x_i}$$

And the log likelihood is

$$\ell(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_{100}) = 100 \log(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{100} x_i$$

The first derivative is

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ell(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_{100}) = \frac{100}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{100} x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$$

The second derivative is

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ell(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_{100}) = -\frac{100}{\lambda^2} < 0$$

Hence,  $\hat{\lambda}$  is a maximum.

(c) Find Fisher Information and estimated variance of the ML estimate.

*Proof:* The Fisher Information is

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda) = -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ell(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_{100}) \right] = \frac{100}{\lambda^2}$$

Hence the estimated variance is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{100}$$

(d) Suppose that instead of data for all 100  $X_i$ , the data are paired so we have 50 observations of independent gamma(2,  $\lambda$ ) random variables. (In other words, each of the 50 observations has density  $\lambda^2 y e^{-\lambda y}$  for  $y \geq 0$ .) You may write these observations as  $Y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 50$ . Find the MLE for  $\lambda$  based on these 50 observations.  
*Proof:* First the likelihood function

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda | y_1, \dots, y_{50}) = \prod_{i=1}^{50} \lambda^2 y_i e^{-\lambda y_i} = \lambda^{100} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{50} y_i \right) e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i}$$

Its log likelihood is

$$\ell(\lambda | y_1, \dots, y_{50}) = 100 \log(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{50} \log(y_i) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i$$

Its first derivative is

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ell(\lambda | y_1, \dots, y_{50}) = \frac{100}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\bar{y}}$$

The second derivative is

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ell(\lambda | y_1, \dots, y_{100}) = -\frac{100}{\lambda^2} < 0$$

Hence  $\hat{\lambda}$  is a maximum.

(e) Is the MLE in d) based on a sufficient statistic? Prove your answer.

*Proof:* From the likelihood function, we have the following factorization with

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_{50}) = \prod_{i=1}^{50} y_i$$

And

$$g_{\lambda}(T(y_1, \dots, y_{50})) = \lambda^{100} e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i}$$

Hence,  $T(y_1, \dots, y_{50}) = \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i$  so yes the MLE is based on a sufficient statistic

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{50} T(y_1, \dots, y_n)}$$

Note, we could also have

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_{50}) \propto c$$

For some constant  $c$  and

$$g_{\lambda}(T(y_1, \dots, y_{50})) = \lambda^{100} \prod_{i=1}^{50} y_i e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i}$$

So that

$$T(y_1, \dots, y_{50}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{50} y_i, \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i \right)$$

Our MLE would still be based on this sufficient statistic as follows. Let  $P_2 : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_2$  be a projection onto the second coordinate. Then

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{50} P_2(T(y_1, \dots, y_{50}))}$$