i solid

I.1 Single Responsibility Principle (SRP)

- Definition: A class should have only one reason to change, meaning it should only handle one responsibility.
- Violation: A User class handles both storing user data and sending emails to new users. This means it's. responsible for managing data and handling email communication, which are two distinct tasks.
- Fix: Create separate classes: a UserDataService to handle user data and an EmailService to handle email communication.
- Explanation: By splitting the responsibilities, we make each class easier to maintain and understand. If there's a change in how we send emails, we only modify the EmailService, leaving the UserDataService untouched.

I.2 Open/Closed Principle (OCP)

- **Definition**: Classes should be open for extension but closed for modification, allowing new functionality without altering existing code.
- Violation: A DiscountCalculator class has logic to apply discounts based on customer types like "Regular" and "VIP." When a new customer type, "Premium," is introduced, the class needs modification to add the new discount rule.
- Fix: Create individual discount strategies for each customer type that can be applied without modifying II.1.2 Reducing what needs to be stored the DiscountCalculator. For example, use separate classes like RegularDiscount, VIPDiscount, and PremiumDiscount that DiscountCalculator can use without needing changes.
- **Explanation**: This makes it easy to add new discounts without modifying existing code, reducing the risk of bugs and keeping the system stable as it grows.

I.3 Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)

- Definition: Subtypes should be substitutable for their III.1 Master Theorem base types. If a function uses a base class, it should work with any subclass without issue.
- Violation: Suppose there's a Bird class with a fly method. If we create a Penguin class that inherits from Bird but overrides the fly method to throw an error (because penguins can't fly), it breaks the substitution.
- Fix: Use a different hierarchy or interface that better represents different types of birds. For instance, create a FlyingBird class that includes fly only for birds that actually fly, and a NonFlyingBird class for e.g. Binary Search a=1 b=2 d=0 $\log(n)$ Merge Sort a=2
- Explanation: This maintains predictable behavior. By ensuring subclasses behave consistently with the base class, the code is easier to understand and maintain.

I.4 Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)

- **Definition**: A class should not be forced to implement **III.2.2 Big** Ω **Lower Bound** interfaces it doesn't use. Instead, create smaller, more $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ iff (if and only if) specific interfaces.
- Violation: Imagine an interface called Worker with methods like startWork, stopWork, and $\verb|submitReport|. If some workers only need | \verb|startWork||$ and stopWork and have no use for submitReport, they're forced to implement an unnecessary method.
- Fix: Split the Worker interface into smaller interfaces $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^*, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that } \forall n \geq n_0 \text{ such that } f(n) < 0$ like Workable (with startWork and stopWork) and Reportable (with submitReport). Classes can implement only the interfaces they need.
- Explanation: By dividing interfaces into focused ones, III.3 Quiz classes only depend on what they actually need. This keeps classes and interfaces lean, reducing complexity and making them easier to maintain.

I.5 Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP)

Definition: High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules; both should depend on

- abstractions. Abstractions should not depend on details.
- Violation: A Report class directly creates a PDFGenerator to generate reports. This tightly couples the Report to the specific PDFGenerator, making it difficult to switch to another report generator without modifying Report. Fix: Define an interface, such as ReportGenerator,
- that PDFGenerator implements. Now, Report depends on ReportGenerator rather than the concrete PDFGenerator, allowing flexibility.
- Explanation: By depending on abstractions, the Report class can work with any ReportGenerator implementation. This makes the system more modular and flexible for changes, as new types of report generators can be added without altering the Report class.

II Recursion

Tail recursion uses less memory than non-tail recursion.

II.1 Tail Recursion

II.1.1 Cost of recursion

- · Each call to a function adds another frame on the stack
- · Each frame contains local variables and parameters and where to return the result

- If we can guarantee we won't need them, we can free III.3.6 the memory for the local variables and parameters.
- We won't need them as long as we do not use them after the recursive call.
- last thing the function does before it returns.

III Complexity

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) \\ T(x) &= \begin{cases} \Theta(n^d) & \text{if } a {<} b^d \\ \Theta(n^d \log(n)) & \text{if } a {=} b^d \\ \Theta\left(n^{\log_b(n)}\right) & \text{if } a {>} b^d \end{cases} \end{split}$$

- a: number of subproblems in the recursion n/b: size of each subproblem
- d: the exponent in the cost of the work done outside the sorted boundary one step forward with each iteration recursive calls, specifically in the non-recursive part of the algorithm (like splitting or merging the problem)
- b=2 d=1 $n \log(n)$

III.2 Bound

III.2.1 Big O - Upper Bound

f(n) = O(g(n)) iff (if and only if) $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \text{such that } \forall n \geq n_0 : f(n) \leq cg(n)$

 $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \text{such that } \forall n \geq n_0 : f(n) \geq cg(n)$

III.2.3 Big Θ - Tight Bound

 $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ iff (if and only if) f(n) = O(g(n)) and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

III.2.4 Little o upper Bound

 $cg(n)\left(\left|\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}\right| < c\right)$ In other words: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$

- if $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ then $g(n) = \Theta(f(n))$
- if f(n) = O(n) then f(n) = o(n)
- if f(n) = o(n) and g(n) = o(n) then f(n) + g(n) =
- O(n) is the complexity in the worst case

• if $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$ and f(n)=O(h(n)), then $g(n)\leq$ h(n) for all n > 0

III.3.2

 $o(n^2) = \sqrt{n} / 1 / n \log(n) / n$

 $1 < \log(n) < \log(n^2) < (\log(n))^2 < 5n\log(\log(n)) + 100 <$ $n < n \log(n) < n^{1.5} + 1000000 < n^2 < n^3 < 2^n < 3^n < n! < n^3 < n^2 < n^3 < n^2 < n^3 < n^3 < n^2 < n^3 < n^$ n^n

III.3.4

- If f(n) = O(g(n)), then f(n) = o(g(n)). The opposite is not true, because Little o assumes that f(n) and q(n) can't be of the same order.
- If f(n) = o(g(n)), then f(n) = O(g(n)). The opposite is not true, because Little o assumes that f(n) and g(n) can't be of the same order.
- f(n) = o(g(n)) if and only if f(n) = O(g(n)).
- If f(n) = O(g(n)) it does not mean f(n) = o(g(n)), and if f(n) = o(g(n)) it does not mean f(n) =O(g(n)).

III.3.5

- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if f(n) =O(g(n)) and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- $n = o(n^2)$ and $n = O(n^2)$
- If $f(n) = \Omega(n)$, then f(n) >n for all n starting from some n_0
- If f(n) = o(g(n)) and g(n) = O(h(n)), then f(n) =o(h(n))

Suppose we need to find an occurrence of the array of size m inside a larger array of size n. We know that the array of size n is sorted and consists of unique Tail recursive functions have the recursive call as the elements. What will be the time complexity of the optimal algorithm?

• $\Theta(\log(n) + m)$

IV Sorting

IV.1 Selection

不断从未排序部分中选择最小(或最大)元素,并将其 与第一个未排序元素交换,每次迭代后将排序边界向前 移动一步 repeatedly selects the smallest (or largest) element from the unsorted portion of an array and swaps it with the first unsorted element, moving the

```
for (int i = 0; i < array.size(); i++) {</pre>
  // Find min element from i to n-1
  for (int j = i + 1; i < array.size(); j++) {</pre>
 }
  // Swap elements at index i and min elements
```

IV.2 Insertion

逐一将未排序部分的下一个元素插入到(不断交换)已 排序部分的正确位置,逐步构建出一个已排序的数组 builds the sorted array one element at a time by repeatedly taking the next unsorted element and inserting it into its correct position in the sorted part

```
for (int i = 1; i < array.size(); i++) {</pre>
  for (int j = i; j > 0; j--) {
    if (array.at(j) < array.at(j - 1)) {</pre>
      swap(array.at(j - 1), array.at(j));
    } else break;
```

IV.3 Bubble

repeatedly compares adjacent elements and swaps them if they are in the wrong order, causing larger elements to "bubble" to the end of the array. With each pass through the list, the largest (or smallest) element settles in its correct position, and this process continues until the entire array is sorted.

```
for (int i = array.size() - 1; i > 0; i--) {
   for (int j = 0; j < i; j++) {
     if (array.at(j) > array.at(j + 1)) {
        swap(array.at(j), array.at(j + 1));
     }
   }
}
```

IV.4 Merge

```
6 5 12 10 9 1

6 5 12 10 9 1

6 5 12 10 9 1

6 5 12 10 9 1

6 5 12 10 9 1

6 5 12 10 9 1

1 5 6 9 10 12
```

```
if (array.size() == 1) return array;
// Sort left and right subarrays
int mid = array.size() / 2;
// ... Define left and right arrays
vector<int> sortedRightArray = sort(rightArray);
vector<int> sortedLeftArray = sort(leftArray);
// Merge left and right subarrays
vector<int> result;
int l = 0, r = 0;
while (l < sortedLeftArray.size() && r <</pre>
sortedRightArray.size()) {
if (sortedLeftArray.at(l) <</pre>
sortedRightArray.at(r)) {
    result.push_back(sortedLeftArray.at(l)); l++;
  } else {
    result.push_back(sortedRightArray.at(r)); r+
}
// ... Add remaining elements form
sortedLeftArray or sortedRightArray
return result;
```

IV.5 Quick

```
if (start >= end) return;
// Select the last element as pivot
int pivot = array.at(end);
int pivotIndex = start;
for (int i = start; i < end; i++) {
   if (array.at(i) < pivot) {
     swap(array.at(i), array.at(pivotIndex));
     pivotIndex++;
   }
}
swap(array.at(pivotIndex), pivot);
sort(array, start, pivotIndex - 1);
sort(array, pivotIndex + 1, end);</pre>
```

V Linked List & Friend Class

	Best	Average	Worst	Space	Stable
Selection		$O(n^2)$	O(1)		
Insertion	O(n)	$O(n^2)$	O(1)	>	
Bubble		$O(n^2)$	O(1)	\	
Merge		$O(n\log(n))$	O(n)	>	
Quick	$O(n\log(n))$ $O($			$O(\log(n))$	
Bucket	O(n)	O(n+k) (k: numbers of buckets)	$O(n^2)$	O(n+k)	✓
	` ′	(k: numbers of buckets)	` '	` ′	
Counting		O(n+k)	O(n+k)	/	
	(k: rar	nge of the input values, ma	O(n + k)		
Heap		$O(n\log(n))$	O(1)		

		Wors	t	Average			Cnoos			
	Insert	Delete	Search	Insert	Delete	Search	Space			
Vector Ordered	0	$\overline{(n)}$	$O(\log(n))$	($O(n)$ $O(\log(n))$					
Vector Unordered	O(1)*)(m)	O(1)*	0(m)					
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)		O(1)	O(n)		O(n)			
Binary Search Tree	O(n)		$O(\log(n))$							
Balanced BST (RBT)	$O(\log(n))$									
Priority Queues	Insert	RMH**	Peek	Insert	RMH**	Peek				
Linked List	O(n)	O(n) $O(1)$		O(n)	O(1)					
Heap	O(lc)	g(n)	O(1)	O(1)	$O(\log(n))$	O(1)				
d. A 1 .	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

^{*:} Amortised - ie over a sequence of this operation. Resizing vector O(n)**: Remove highest priority

