**Lemma 1.** Fixer has a winning strategy against Breaker in the chronicled game on G, with superabundant list assignment L where  $|L(v_1)| = 2$ ,  $|L(v_2)| = 2$ ,  $|L(v_3)| = 3$  and  $|L(v_4)| = 2$ .

*Proof.* We show that for each possible such list assignment L on G, Fixer has a winning strategy. Up to symmetry, the following cases cover all the possible list assignments that are not an immediate win for Fixer.

Case 1.  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}, L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}, L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ and } L(v_4) = \{2, 3\}.$ 

Fixer gets a winning strategy by coloring  $v_3v_4$  with 2 and applying Lemma 2.

Case 2.  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}, L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}, L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\} \text{ and } L(v_4) = \{1, 3\}.$ 

Fixer gets a winning strategy by coloring  $v_3v_4$  with 3 and applying Lemma 2.

Case 3.  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}, L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}, L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\} \text{ and } L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}.$ 

Let S and  $A_S$  be as in Lemma 3 using colors 2 and 3. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_1\}$  and  $\{v_2, v_3\}$ , then Fixer should swap 2 and 3 at  $v_1$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 3\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}$ , but then Fixer can edge-color the graph. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, v_3\}$ , then Fixer should swap 2 and 3 at  $v_2$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}$ , but then Fixer can edge-color the graph. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_3\}$  and  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ , then Fixer should swap 2 and 3 at  $v_3$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{1, 3\}$ , but then Fixer wins by Case 2.

Case 4.  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}, L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}, L(v_3) = \{0, 2, 3\} \text{ and } L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}.$ 

Let S and  $A_S$  be as in Lemma 3 using colors 1 and 3. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_0\}$  and  $\{v_2, v_3\}$ , then Fixer should swap 1 and 3 at  $v_0$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 3\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 2, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}$ , but then Fixer wins by Case 1. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_2\}$  and  $\{v_0, v_3\}$ , then Fixer should swap 1 and 3 at  $v_2$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}$ , but then Fixer can edge-color the graph. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_3\}$  and  $\{v_0, v_2\}$ , then Fixer should swap 1 and 3 at  $v_3$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 2, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{2, 3\}$ , but then Fixer can edge-color the graph.

Case 5.  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}, L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}, L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\} \text{ and } L(v_4) = \{0, 1\}.$ 

Let S and  $A_S$  be as in Lemma 3 using colors 0 and 2. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_0\}$  and  $\{v_2, v_3\}$ , then Fixer should swap 0 and 2 at  $v_0$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{0, 1\}$ , but then Fixer can edge-color the graph. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_2\}$  and  $\{v_0, v_3\}$ , then Fixer should swap 0 and 2 at  $v_2$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{0, 1\}$ , but then Fixer can edge-color the graph. If the components of  $A_S$  have vertex sets  $\{v_3\}$  and  $\{v_0, v_2\}$ , then Fixer should swap 0 and 2 at  $v_3$ . This results in a position with lists  $L(v_1) = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L(v_2) = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $L(v_3) = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $L(v_4) = \{1, 2\}$ , but then Fixer wins by Case 3.

**Lemma 2.** Let G be a multigraph and L a list assignment on G. Suppose we have an edgecoloring  $\pi$  of  $H \subseteq G$  where  $\pi(xy) \in L(x) \cap L(y)$  for all  $xy \in E(H)$ . Put G' := G - E(H)and  $L'(v) := L(v) - \pi(E_H(v))$  for all  $v \in V(G')$ . If Fixer has a winning strategy against Breaker in the chronicled game on G' with lists L', then Fixer has a winning strategy against Breaker in the chronicled game on G with lists L.

**Lemma 3.** Let G be a multigraph, L a list assignment on G and  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Pot}(L)$ . Let  $S \subseteq V(G)$  be those vertices v with  $|\{\alpha, \beta\} \cap L(v)| = 1$ . Then there is a graph  $A_S$  with vertex set S and  $\Delta(A_S) \leq 1$  such that Fixer has a sequence of moves against Breaker in the chronicled game resulting in a list assignment where Fixer has chosen to swap  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  all or none of the vertices in each component of  $A_S$ .

*Proof.* For each  $v \in S$ , Fixer should swap  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  at v twice in a row. Now every  $v \in S$  is incident to an edge in C; that is, as long as Fixer only does swaps with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , Breaker's moves are already foretold in the chronicle. Now add an edge in  $A_S$  for each  $xy \in C - \infty$  labeled  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . The lemma follows.