# A slightly better lower bound on the number of edges in (online) list critical graphs

September 24, 2015

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{T}_k$  be the Gallai trees with maximum degree at most k-1, excepting  $K_k$ . For a graph G, let  $W^k(G)$  be the set of vertices of G that are contained in some  $K_{k-1}$  in G.

**Definition 1.** A graph G is AT-reducible to H if H is a nonempty induced subgraph of G which is  $f_H$ -AT where  $f_H(v) := \delta(G) + d_H(v) - d_G(v)$  for all  $v \in V(H)$ . If G is not AT-reducible to any nonempty induced subgraph, then it is AT-irreducible.

## 2 Reducible Configurations

This first lemma tells us how a single high vertex can interact with the low vertex subgraph. This is the version Hal and i used, it (and more) follows from the classification in "mostlow".

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $k \geq 5$  and let G be a graph with  $x \in V(G)$  such that:

- 1.  $K_k \not\subseteq G$ ; and
- 2. G-x has t components  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$ , and all are in  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ; and
- 3.  $d_G(v) \leq k-1$  for all  $v \in V(G-x)$ ; and
- 4.  $|N(x) \cap W^k(H_i)| \ge 1$  for  $i \in [t]$ ; and
- 5.  $d_G(x) > t + 2$ .

Then G is f-AT where  $f(x) = d_G(x) - 1$  and  $f(v) = d_G(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G - x)$ .

To deal with more than one high vertex we need the following auxiliary bipartite graph. For a graph G,  $\{X,Y\}$  a partition of V(G) and  $k \geq 4$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_k(X,Y)$  be the bipartite graph with one part Y and the other part the components of G[X]. Put an edge between  $y \in Y$  and a component T of G[X] iff  $N(y) \cap W^k(T) \neq \emptyset$ . The next lemma tells us that we have a reducible configuration if this bipartite graph has minimum degree at least three.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $k \geq 7$  and let G be a graph with  $Y \subseteq V(G)$  such that:

- 1.  $K_k \not\subseteq G$ ; and
- 2. the components of G-Y are in  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ; and
- 3.  $d_G(v) \leq k-1$  for all  $v \in V(G-Y)$ ; and
- 4. with  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k(V(G-Y), Y)$  we have  $\delta(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$ .

Then G has an induced subgraph G' that is f-AT where  $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G' - Y)$ .

We also have the following version with asymmetric degree condition on  $\mathcal{B}$ . The point here is that this works for  $k \geq 5$ . As we'll see in the next section, the consequence is that we trade a bit in our size bound for the proof to go through with  $k \in \{5, 6\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $k \geq 5$  and let G be a graph with  $Y \subseteq V(G)$  such that:

- 1.  $K_k \not\subseteq G$ ; and
- 2. the components of G-Y are in  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ; and
- 3.  $d_G(v) \leq k-1$  for all  $v \in V(G-Y)$ ; and
- 4. with  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k(V(G-Y),Y)$  we have  $d_{\mathcal{B}}(y) \geq 4$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $d_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \geq 2$  for all components T of G-Y.

Then G has an induced subgraph G' that is f-AT where  $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G' - Y)$ .

## 3 Improved bounds on $\sigma$

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ . Then each block of T is regular. Say  $\operatorname{type}(B) = b$  if B is (b-1)-regular. Let  $x \in V(T)$  and let  $B_1, \ldots, B_\ell$  be the blocks of T containing x where  $B_i$  is of type  $b_i$ . Then we say that  $\operatorname{type}_T(x) = (b_1, \ldots, b_\ell)$ . For an endblock B of T, let  $x_B$  be the cutvertex of T contained in B and put  $T_B := T - (V(B) \setminus \{x\})$ . For  $b \ge 1$ , put  $t(b) := 2 - \frac{2}{b}$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  and  $x \in V(T)$  put

$$\sigma_T(x) := k - 2 + \frac{2}{k - 1} - d_T(x).$$

For  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  and  $x \in V(T)$  with  $\operatorname{type}_T(x) = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ , put

$$\sigma'_T(x) := \sigma_T(x) - 2 + \sum_{i \in [\ell]} t(b_i).$$

Furthermore, put

$$\sigma(T) := \sum_{x \in V(T)} \sigma_T(x),$$

and

$$\sigma'(T) := \sum_{x \in V(T)} \sigma'_T(x).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  where  $k \geq 4$ . Then,

- (a) If B is a block of T, then  $\sigma(B) = 2$  if  $B = K_{k-1}$  and  $\sigma(B) \ge k 2 + \frac{2}{k-1}$  otherwise,
- (b) If B is an endblock of T, then  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_B) + \sigma(B) (k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1})$ .

*Proof.* Immediate from the definitions (see Kostochka and Stiebitz [1]).

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  and  $k \geq 4$ , then  $\sigma(T) \geq \sigma'(T) + 2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the lemma is false and let T be a counterexample with the minimum number of blocks. First, suppose T has one block. Then, T is complete or an odd cycle. If T is complete, then  $T = K_b$  with  $b \in [k-1]$  and hence  $\sigma'(T) = \sigma(T) + (t(b) - 2)b = \sigma(T) - 2$ . If instead T is an odd cycle, then  $\sigma'(T) = \sigma(T) + (t(3) - 2)|T| = \sigma(T) - \frac{2}{3}|T| \le \sigma(T) - 2$ . Hence T must have at least two blocks.

Let B be an endblock of T. Say  $\operatorname{type}(B) = b$  and  $\operatorname{type}_T(x_B) = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$  where  $b_\ell = b$ . Then  $\operatorname{type}_{T_B}(x_B) = (b_1, \dots, b_{\ell-1})$ . Therefore, we have

$$\sigma'_{T_B}(x_B) = k - 2 + \frac{2}{k - 1} - d_{T_B}(x_B) - 2 + \sum_{i \in [\ell - 1]} t(b_i),$$

and

$$\sigma_B'(x_B) = k - 2 + \frac{2}{k - 1} - d_B(x_B) + t(b_\ell) - 2,$$

and

$$\sigma'_T(x_B) = k - 2 + \frac{2}{k-1} - d_T(x_B) - 2 + \sum_{i \in [\ell]} t(b_i).$$

Since  $d_T(x_B) = d_{T_B}(x_B) + d_B(x_B)$ , we have

$$\sigma'(T) = \sigma'(T_B) + \sigma'(B) + 2 - \left(k - 2 + \frac{2}{k - 1}\right).$$

By our minimality condition on T, we have

$$\sigma(T_B) \ge \sigma'(T_B) + 2,$$

and

$$\sigma(B) \ge \sigma'(B) + 2.$$

Putting this all together with Lemma 3.1 gives the contradiction

$$\sigma'(T) \le \sigma(T_B) + \sigma'(B) - \left(k - 2 + \frac{2}{k - 1}\right) = \sigma(T) - 2.$$

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  and  $x \in V(T)$  with  $\operatorname{type}_T(x) = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ . We always have  $\sum_{i \in [\ell]} b_i \leq k + \ell - 1$ . We say that x is full if  $\sum_{i \in [\ell]} b_i = k + \ell - 1$ . When positive integers  $k, b_1, \dots, b_\ell$  are such that  $\sum_{i \in [\ell]} b_i < k + \ell - 1$ , put

$$\Gamma_{k,(b_1,\dots,b_\ell)} := 1 - \frac{3 - 2\ell - \frac{2}{k-1} + \sum_{i \in [\ell]} \frac{2}{b_i}}{k + \ell - 1 - \sum_{i \in [\ell]} b_i},$$

when  $\sum_{i \in [\ell]} b_i = k + \ell - 1$ , put

$$\Gamma_{k,(b_1,\ldots,b_\ell)} := \ell.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ , then  $\sigma'_T(x) \geq \Gamma_{k, \text{type}_T(x)} (k - 1 - d_T(x))$  for all  $x \in V(T)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\sigma'_T(x) = \sigma_T(x) - 2 + \sum_{i \in [\ell]} t(b_i).$$

So,  $\sigma'_T(x) \ge c(k-1-d_T(x))$  for some c and  $x \in V(T)$  with  $\operatorname{type}(x) = (b_1, \ldots, b_\ell)$  if and only if

$$(1-c)\left(k-1-\sum_{i\in[\ell]}(b_i-1)\right)+\sum_{i\in[\ell]}\left(2-\frac{2}{b_i}\right)\geq 3-\frac{2}{k-1}.$$

A quick computation shows that  $\Gamma_{k,(b_1,\ldots,b_\ell)}$  is the largest such c that works when x is not full. When x is full, the first term is zero, so c is irrelevant. We need

$$\sum_{i \in [\ell]} \left( 2 - \frac{2}{b_i} \right) \ge 3 - \frac{2}{k - 1}.$$

Since x is full, we have  $\sum_{i \in [\ell]} b_i = k + \ell - 1$ . In particular, since  $K_k \not\subseteq T$ , we must have  $\ell \geq 2$  and hence  $b_i \geq 2$  for all  $i \in [\ell]$ . So, if  $\ell \geq 3$ , then we have

$$\sum_{i \in [\ell]} \left( 2 - \frac{2}{b_i} \right) \ge \ell \ge 3 - \frac{2}{k - 1}.$$

Hence we may assume  $\ell = 2$ . So,  $b_1 + b_2 = k + 1$ . Simplifying the inequality we need gives

$$\frac{k+1}{b_1(k+1-b_1)} = \frac{b_1+b_2}{b_1b_2} \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

The worst case is when  $b_1 = 2$ , in which case we have

$$\frac{k+1}{b_1(k+1-b_1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

Note that  $\Gamma_{k,\text{type}_T(x)}(k-1-d_T(x))=0$  whenever  $x\in W^k(T)$ . To make that explicit, put

$$\sigma_T^*(x) := \begin{cases} \Gamma_{k, \text{type}_T(x)} \left( k - 1 - d_T(x) \right) & \text{if } x \in V(T) \setminus W^k(T), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, put

$$\sigma^*(T) := \sum_{x \in V(T)} \sigma_T^*(x).$$

With those definitions, the following is immediate from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  and  $k \geq 4$ , then  $\sigma(T) \geq \sigma^*(T) + 2$ .

So, now our task is to prove lower bounds on  $\Gamma_{k,(b_1,\ldots,b_\ell)}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $k \geq 6$  and let  $b_1, \ldots, b_\ell$  be positive integers. We have

$$\Gamma_{k,(b_1,\ldots,b_\ell)} \geq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = 1 \text{ and } b_1 = k-1, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)} & \text{if } \ell = 1 \text{ and } b_1 = k-2, \\ 1 - \frac{3k-5}{(k-1)^2} & \text{if } \ell = 1 \text{ and } b_1 = 1, \\ \frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{3(k-1)(k-3)} & \text{if } \ell = 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq b_1 \leq k-3, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{k-1} & \text{if } \ell = 2, \\ \ell - 2 + \frac{2}{k-1} & \text{if } \ell \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Just compute, for now i checked things with wolfram alpha. The  $\ell \geq 3$  case can be improved, but not sure we need it.

### 4 The lower bound

We need the following definitions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{k}(G) := G \left[ x \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(x) < k \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k}(G) := G \left[ x \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(x) \ge k \right],$$

$$\sigma_{k}(G) := \left( k - 2 + \frac{2}{k - 1} \right) |\mathcal{L}_{k}(G)| - 2 ||\mathcal{L}_{k}(G)||,$$

$$\tau_{k,c}(G) := 2 ||\mathcal{H}_{k}(G)|| + \left( k - c - \frac{2}{k - 1} \right) \sum_{y \in V(\mathcal{H}_{k}(G))} (d_{G}(y) - k),$$

$$g_{k}(n,c) := \left( k - 1 + \frac{k - 3}{(k - c)(k - 1) + k - 3} \right) n.$$

#### 4.1 We only really care about low degree vertices

As proved in [1], a computation gives the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let G be a graph with  $\delta := \delta(G) \geq 3$  and  $0 \leq c \leq \delta + 1 - \frac{2}{\delta}$ . If  $\sigma_{\delta+1}(G) + \tau_{\delta+1,c}(G) \geq c |\mathcal{H}_{\delta+1}(G)|$ , then  $2 ||G|| \geq g_{\delta+1}(|G|, c)$ .

With a lower value of c, we can make it so we only have to care about vertices of degree  $\delta$  and  $\delta + 1$  as follows.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let G be a graph with  $\delta := \delta(G) \geq 3$  and  $0 \leq c \leq \frac{\delta+1}{2} + \frac{1}{\delta}$ . Put  $H' := \{v \in V(G) : d_G(v) = \delta + 1\}$ . If  $\sigma_{\delta+1}(G) + \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}_{\delta+1}}(y) \geq c |H'|$ , then  $2 ||G|| \geq g_{\delta+1}(|G|, c)$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\delta+1}$  and  $k := \delta + 1$ . For  $y \in V(\mathcal{H})$ , put

$$\tau_{k,c}(y) := d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) + \left(k - c + \frac{2}{k-1}\right) (d_G(y) - k).$$

We have

$$\tau_{k,c}(G) = \sum_{y \in V(\mathcal{H})} \tau_{k,c}(y)$$

$$\geq \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) + \sum_{y \in V(\mathcal{H}) \setminus H'} \left( d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) + k - c + \frac{2}{k-1} \right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) + \left( k - c + \frac{2}{k-1} \right) |\mathcal{H} - H'|$$

$$\geq \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) + c |\mathcal{H} - H'|,$$

where the last inequality follows since  $c \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1}$ . Now applying Lemma 4.1 proves the lemma.

#### 4.2 Finishing the proof

We need the following degeneracy lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be a graph and  $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ . If  $||G|| > \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ , then G has an induced subgraph H such that  $d_H(v) > f(v)$  for each  $v \in V(H)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not and choose a counterexample G minimizing |G|. Then  $|G| \geq 3$  and we have  $x \in V(G)$  with  $d_G(x) \leq f(x)$ . But now  $||G - x|| > \sum_{v \in V(G-x)} f(v)$ , contradicting minimality of |G|.

**Theorem 4.4.** If G is an AT-irreducible graph with  $\delta(G) \geq 4$  and  $\omega(G) \leq \delta(G)$ , then  $2 \|G\| \geq g_{\delta(G)+1}(|G|,c)$  where  $c := (\delta(G)-2)\alpha_{\delta(G)+1}$  when  $\delta(G) \geq 6$  and  $c := (\delta(G)-3)\alpha_{\delta(G)+1}$  when  $\delta(G) \in \{4,5\}$ .

Proof. Put  $k := \delta(G) + 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_k(G)$  and  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_k(G)$ . Put  $W := W^k(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $L' := V(\mathcal{L}) \setminus W$  and  $H' := \{v \in V(\mathcal{H}) : d_G(v) = k\}$ . By Lemma 4.2, it will be sufficient to prove that

$$S := \sigma_k(G) + \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) \ge c |H'|.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the components of  $\mathcal{L}$  containing  $K_{k-1}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the components of  $\mathcal{L}$  not containing  $K_{k-1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_k$  for otherwise some  $T \in \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{C}$  is  $d_0$ -AT and hence  $f_T$ -AT and G is AT-reducible. By Lemma ??, we have  $\sigma_k(T) \geq 2 + q_k(T)$  for if  $T \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\sigma_k(T) \geq 2 - \alpha_k + q_k(T)$  if  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence, we have  $\sigma_k(G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{D}} \sigma_k(T) + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{C}} \sigma_k(T) \geq 2 |\mathcal{D}| + (2 - \alpha_k) |\mathcal{C}| + \alpha_k \sum_{v \in L'} (k - 1 - d_{\mathcal{L}}(v))$ . That is,

$$\sigma_k(G) \ge 2 |\mathcal{D}| + (2 - \alpha_k) |\mathcal{C}| + \alpha_k \sum_{v \in L'} (k - 1 - d_{\mathcal{L}}(v)).$$

Now we define an auxiliary bipartite graph F with parts A and B where:

- 1. B = H' and A is the disjoint union of the following sets  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$ ,
- 2.  $A_1 = \mathcal{D}$  and each  $T \in \mathcal{D}$  is adjacent to all  $y \in H'$  where  $N(y) \cap W^k(T) \neq \emptyset$ ,
- 3. For each  $v \in L'$ , let  $A_2(v)$  be a set of  $|N(v) \cap H'|$  vertices connected to  $N(v) \cap H'$  by a matching in F. Let  $A_2$  be the disjoint union of the  $A_2(v)$  for  $v \in L'$ ,
- 4. For each  $y \in H'$ , let  $A_3(y)$  be a set of  $d_{\mathcal{H}}(y)$  vertices which are all joined to y in F. Let  $A_3$  be the disjoint union of the  $A_3(y)$  for  $y \in H'$ .

#### Case 1. $\delta \geq 6$ .

Define  $f: V(F) \to \mathbb{N}$  by f(v) = 1 for all  $v \in A_2 \cup A_3$  and f(v) = 2 for all  $v \in B \cup A_1$ . First, suppose  $||F|| > \sum_{v \in V(F)} f(v)$ . Then by Lemma 4.3, F has an induced subgraph Q such that  $d_Q(v) > f(v)$  for each  $v \in V(Q)$ . In particular,  $V(Q) \subseteq B \cup A_1$  and  $\delta(Q) \ge 3$ . Put  $Y := B \cap V(Q)$  and let X be  $\bigcup_{T \in V(Q) \cap A_1} V(T)$ . Now  $H := G[X \cup Y]$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2, so H has an induced subgraph G' that is f-AT where  $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$  for  $v \in X$ . Since  $Y \subseteq H'$  and  $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ , we have  $f(v) = \delta(G) + d_{G'}(v) - d_G(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G')$ . Hence, G is AT-reducible to G', a contradiction.

Therefore  $||F|| \leq \sum_{v \in V(F)} f(v) = 2(|H'|+|\mathcal{D}|) + |A_2|+|A_3|$ . By Lemma 2.1, for each  $y \in B$  we have  $d_F(y) \geq k-1$ . Hence  $||F|| \geq (k-1) |H'|$ . This gives  $(k-3) |H'| \leq 2 |\mathcal{D}| + |A_2| + |A_3|$ . By our above estimate we have  $S \geq 2 |\mathcal{D}| + \alpha_k \sum_{v \in L'} (k-1-d_{\mathcal{L}}(v)) + \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) = 2 |\mathcal{D}| + \alpha_k |A_2| + |A_3| \geq \alpha_k (2 |\mathcal{D}| + |A_2| + |A_3|)$ . Hence  $S \geq \alpha_k (k-3) |H'|$ . Thus our desired bound holds by Lemma 4.2.

#### Case 2. $\delta \in \{4, 5\}$ .

Define  $f: V(F) \to \mathbb{N}$  by f(v) = 1 for all  $v \in A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$  and f(v) = 3 for all  $v \in B$ . First, suppose  $||F|| > \sum_{v \in V(F)} f(v)$ . Then by Lemma 4.3, F has an induced subgraph Q such that  $d_Q(v) > f(v)$  for each  $v \in V(Q)$ . In particular,  $V(Q) \subseteq B \cup A_1$  and  $d_Q(v) \ge 4$  for  $v \in B \cap V(Q)$  and  $d_Q(v) \ge 2$  for  $v \in A_1 \cap V(Q)$ . Put  $Y := B \cap V(Q)$  and let X be  $\bigcup_{T \in V(Q) \cap A_1} V(T)$ . Now  $H := G[X \cup Y]$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3, so H has an induced subgraph G' that is f-AT where  $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$  for  $v \in X$ . Since  $Y \subseteq H'$  and  $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ , we have  $f(v) = \delta(G) + d_{G'}(v) - d_{G}(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G')$ . Hence, G is AT-reducible to G', a contradiction.

Therefore  $||F|| \leq \sum_{v \in V(F)} f(v) = 3 |H'| + |\mathcal{D}| + |A_2| + |A_3|$ . By Lemma 2.1, for each  $y \in B$  we have  $d_F(y) \geq k-1$ . Hence  $||F|| \geq (k-1) |H'|$ . This gives  $(k-4) |H'| \leq |\mathcal{D}| + |A_2| + |A_3|$ . By our above estimate we have  $S \geq 2 |\mathcal{D}| + \alpha_k \sum_{v \in L'} (k-1-d_{\mathcal{L}}(v)) + \sum_{y \in H'} d_{\mathcal{H}}(y) = 2 |\mathcal{D}| + \alpha_k |A_2| + |A_3| \geq \alpha_k (|\mathcal{D}| + |A_2| + |A_3|)$ . Hence  $S \geq \alpha_k (k-4) |H'|$ . Thus our desired bound holds by Lemma 4.2.

#### References

[1] A.V. Kostochka and M. Stiebitz, A new lower bound on the number of edges in colour-critical graphs and hypergraphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 87 (2003), no. 2, 374–402.