

Edge lower bounds via discharging notes

January 4, 2016

1 Introduction

For a graph G , let $d(G)$ be the average degree of G . Let \mathcal{T}_k be the Gallai trees with maximum degree at most $k - 1$, excepting K_k .

2 Gallai's bound via discharging

Theorem 2.1 (Gallai). *For $k \geq 4$ and $G \neq K_k$ a k -AT-critical graph, we have*

$$d(G) < k - 1 + \frac{k - 3}{k^2 - 3}.$$

Proof. Start with initial charge function $\text{ch}(v) = d_G(v)$. Have each k^+ -vertex give charge $\frac{k-1}{k^2-3}$ to each of its $(k-1)$ -neighbors. Then let the vertices in each component of the low vertex subgraph share their total charge equally. Let $\text{ch}^*(v)$ be the resulting charge function. We finish the proof by showing that $\text{ch}^*(v) \geq k - 1 + \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

If v is a k^+ -vertex, then $\text{ch}^*(v) \geq d_G(v) - \frac{k-1}{k^2-3}d_G(v) = \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{k^2-3}\right)d_G(v) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{k^2-3}\right)k = k - 1 + \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}$ as desired.

Let T be a component of the low vertex subgraph. Then the vertices in T receive total charge

$$\frac{k-1}{k^2-3} \sum_{v \in V(T)} k - 1 - d_G(v) = \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} ((k-1)|T| - 2\|T\|).$$

So, after distributing this charge out equally, each vertex in T receives charge

$$\frac{1}{|T|} \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} ((k-1)|T| - 2\|T\|) = \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} ((k-1) - d(T)).$$

By Lemma 2.2, this is at least

$$\frac{k-1}{k^2-3} \left((k-1) - \left(k - 2 + \frac{2}{k-1} \right) \right) = \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} \left(\frac{k-3}{k-1} \right) = \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}.$$

Hence each low vertex ends with charge at least $k - 1 + \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}$ as desired. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Gallai). *For $k \geq 4$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$, we have $d(T) < k - 2 + \frac{2}{k-1}$.*

Proof. Suppose not and choose a counterexample T minimizing $|T|$. Then T has at least two blocks. Let B be an endblock of T . If B is K_t for $2 \leq t \leq k-2$, then remove the non-separating vertices of B from T to get T' . By minimality of $|T|$, we have

$$2\|T\| - t(t-1) = 2\|T'\| < \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T'| = \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T| - \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)(t-1).$$

Hence we have the contradiction

$$2\|T\| < \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T| + (t+2-k-\frac{2}{k-1})(t-1) \leq \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T|.$$

The case when B is an odd cycle is the same as the above, a longer cycle just makes things better. Finally, if $B = K_{k-1}$, remove all vertices of B from T to get T' . By minimality of $|T|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|T\| - (k-1)(k-2) - 2 &= 2\|T'\| \\ &< \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T'| \\ &= \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T| - \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $2\|T\| < \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T|$, a contradiction. \square

3 An initial improved bound

Lemma 2.2 is best possible as can be seen by the family of graphs with blocks on a path alternating K_{k-1} and K_2 . But we have reducible configurations (see the last section for the precise statements) that place restrictions on K_{k-1} blocks. To state these restrictions, we need the following auxiliary bipartite graph.

For a k -AT-critical graph G , let $\mathcal{L}(G)$ be the subgraph of G induced on the $(k-1)$ -vertices and $\mathcal{H}(G)$ the subgraph of G induced on the k -vertices. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$, let $W^k(T)$ be the set of vertices of T that are contained in some K_{k-1} in T . Let $\mathcal{B}_k(G)$ be the bipartite graph with one part $V(\mathcal{H}(G))$ and the other part the components of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Put an edge between $y \in V(\mathcal{H}(G))$ and a component T of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ if and only if $N(y) \cap W^k(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then Lemma 4.2 says that $\mathcal{B}_k(G)$ is 2-degenerate.

We can use this fact to refine our discharging argument. Let ϵ and γ be parameters that we will determine where $\epsilon \leq \gamma < 2\epsilon$. Start with initial charge function $\text{ch}(v) = d_G(v)$.

1. Each k^+ -vertex gives charge ϵ to each of its $(k-1)$ -neighbors not in a K_{k-1} ,
2. Each $(k+1)^+$ -vertex give charge γ to each of its $(k-1)$ -neighbors in a K_{k-1} ,
3. Let $Q = \mathcal{B}_k(G)$. Repeat the following steps until Q is empty.
 - (a) Remove all components T of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ in Q that have degree at most two in Q .

- (b) Pick $v \in V(\mathcal{H}(G)) \cap V(Q)$. Send charge γ from v to each $x \in N_G(v) \cap W^k(T)$ for each component T of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ where $vT \in E(Q)$.
- 4. The vertices in each component of the low vertex subgraph share their total charge equally.

Let $\text{ch}^*(v)$ be the resulting charge function.

4 Reducible Configurations

Definition 1. A graph G is *AT-reducible* to H if H is a nonempty induced subgraph of G which is f_H -AT where $f_H(v) := \delta(G) + d_H(v) - d_G(v)$ for all $v \in V(H)$. If G is not AT-reducible to any nonempty induced subgraph, then it is *AT-irreducible*.

This first lemma tells us how a single high vertex can interact with the low vertex subgraph. This is the version Hal and I used, it (and more) follows from the classification in “mostlow”.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k \geq 5$ and let G be a graph with $x \in V(G)$ such that:*

1. $K_k \not\subseteq G$; and
2. $G - x$ has t components H_1, H_2, \dots, H_t , and all are in \mathcal{T}_k ; and
3. $d_G(v) \leq k - 1$ for all $v \in V(G - x)$; and
4. $|N(x) \cap W^k(H_i)| \geq 1$ for $i \in [t]$; and
5. $d_G(x) \geq t + 2$.

Then G is f -AT where $f(x) = d_G(x) - 1$ and $f(v) = d_G(v)$ for all $v \in V(G - x)$.

To deal with more than one high vertex we need the following auxiliary bipartite graph. For a graph G , $\{X, Y\}$ a partition of $V(G)$ and $k \geq 4$, let $\mathcal{B}_k(X, Y)$ be the bipartite graph with one part Y and the other part the components of $G[X]$. Put an edge between $y \in Y$ and a component T of $G[X]$ if and only if $N(y) \cap W^k(T) \neq \emptyset$. The next lemma tells us that we have a reducible configuration if this bipartite graph has minimum degree at least three.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \geq 7$ and let G be a graph with $Y \subseteq V(G)$ such that:*

1. $K_k \not\subseteq G$; and
2. the components of $G - Y$ are in \mathcal{T}_k ; and
3. $d_G(v) \leq k - 1$ for all $v \in V(G - Y)$; and
4. with $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k(V(G - Y), Y)$ we have $\delta(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$.

Then G has an induced subgraph G' that is f -AT where $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$ for $y \in Y$ and $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$ for all $v \in V(G' - Y)$.

We also have the following version with asymmetric degree condition on \mathcal{B} . The point here is that this works for $k \geq 5$. As we'll see in the next section, the consequence is that we trade a bit in our size bound for the proof to go through with $k \in \{5, 6\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $k \geq 5$ and let G be a graph with $Y \subseteq V(G)$ such that:*

1. $K_k \not\subseteq G$; and
2. *the components of $G - Y$ are in \mathcal{T}_k ; and*
3. $d_G(v) \leq k - 1$ for all $v \in V(G - Y)$; and
4. *with $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k(V(G - Y), Y)$ we have $d_{\mathcal{B}}(y) \geq 4$ for all $y \in Y$ and $d_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \geq 2$ for all components T of $G - Y$.*

Then G has an induced subgraph G' that is f -AT where $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$ for $y \in Y$ and $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$ for all $v \in V(G' - Y)$.