## graph theory notes\*

Stiebitz's proof of Gallai's conjecture on the number of components in the high and low vertex subgraphs of critical graphs

Tibor Gallai conjectured the following in 1963 [1, 2] and Michael Stiebitz proved it in 1982 [3]. For a graph G, let  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  be the subgraph of G induced on the vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$  and let  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  be the subgraph of G induced on the vertices of degree larger than  $\delta(G)$ .

**Theorem** (Stiebitz). If G is a color-critical graph with  $\delta(G) = \chi(G) - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  has at most as many components as  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ .

**Lemma.** Let G be a connected graph and  $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G)$  such that

- $d_G(x) \leq k-1$  for all  $x \in X$ ; and
- for each component C of G-X, we have  $\chi(G-V(C)) \leq k-1$ ; and
- G[X] has  $\ell$  components and G-X has at least  $\ell+1$  components.

If G-X is the disjoint union of (possibly not connected) graphs  $M_1, \ldots, M_{\ell+1}$  and  $f_i$  is a (k-1)-coloring of  $M_i$  for each  $i \in [\ell+1]$ , then there are permutations  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{\ell+1}$  of [k-1] such that the (k-1)-coloring of G-X given by  $(\pi_1 \circ f_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\pi_{\ell+1} \circ f_{\ell+1})$  extends to a (k-1)-coloring of G.

*Proof.* Suppose the lemma is false and choose a counterexample G and nonempty  $X \subseteq V(G)$  so that |X| is as small as possible. So, G - X is the disjoint union of graphs  $M_1, \ldots, M_{\ell+1}$  and we have (k-1)-colorings  $f_i$  of  $M_i$  for each  $i \in [\ell+1]$  so that no permutations allow us to extend to a (k-1)-coloring of G.

Claim 1. Each component of G[X] has edges to at least two of the  $M_i$ . Suppose to the contrary that we have a component C of G[X] that has edges to at most one of the  $M_i$ . Then, since G is connected, we must have  $\ell \geq 2$ . But now the hypotheses of the lemma are satisfied with  $X' = X \setminus V(C)$  in place of X, so by minimality of |X| we get permutations that allow us to extend to a (k-1)-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Claim 2. Each non-separating vertex in G[X] has neighbors in at least two of the  $M_i$ . Suppose to the contrary that we have a component C of G[X] and  $x \in V(C)$  a

<sup>\*</sup>clarifications, errors, simplifications ⇒ landon.rabern@gmail.com

non-separating vertex that has neighbors in at most one of the  $M_i$ . Then, by Claim 1, we must have  $|C| \geq 2$ . But then x has at most k-2 neighbors in G-X, so we can greedily complete any (k-1)-coloring of G-X to G-X' where  $X'=X\setminus\{x\}$ . So, the hypotheses of the lemma are satisfied with X' in place of X. Again, by minimality of |X|, we get permutations that allow us to extend to a (k-1)-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Claim 3. The lemma is true. Pick a component C in G[X] and a non-separating vertex  $x \in V(C)$ . By Claim 2 and symmetry, we may assume that x has neighbors  $y_1, y_2$  in  $M_1, M_2$  respectively. Let G' = G - V(C) and  $X' = X \setminus V(C)$ . Then G' is the disjoint union of the  $\ell$  graphs  $M_1 \cup M_2, M_3, \ldots, M_{\ell+1}$ . Let  $\tau$  be a permutation of [k-1] such that  $(\tau \circ f_2)(y_2) = f_1(y_1)$  and let  $f_* = f_1 \cup (\tau \circ f_2)$ . WHY G' CONNECTED? By minimality of |X|, we can apply the lemma to G' with  $M_1 \cup M_2, M_3, \ldots, M_{\ell+1}$  and colorings  $f_*, f_3, \ldots, f_{\ell+1}$  to get permutations  $\pi_*, \pi_3, \ldots, \pi_{\ell+1}$  such that the (k-1)-coloring of G' - X' given by  $(\pi_* \circ f_*) \cup (\pi_3 \circ f_3) \cup \cdots \cup (\pi_{\ell+1} \circ f_{\ell+1})$  extends to a (k-1)-coloring of G'. But this is the same as the (k-1)-coloring  $(\pi_* \circ f_1) \cup (\pi_* \circ \tau \circ f_2) \cup (\pi_3 \circ f_3) \cup \cdots \cup (\pi_{\ell+1} \circ f_{\ell+1})$ , so using the permutations  $\pi_*, \pi_* \circ \tau, \pi_3, \ldots, \pi_{\ell+1}$  we get a coloring of G - X that extends to G - V(C). But in this coloring,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  receive the same color. This means that x has  $k - 1 - (d_G(x) - d_C(x)) + 1 \ge d_C(x) + 1$  colors available and each other vertex v in C has  $k - 1 - (d_G(v) - d_C(v)) + 1 \ge d_C(v) \ge d_C(v)$  colors available. So, coloring C greedily in order of decreasing distance from x gives an extension to a (k-1)-coloring of G, a contradiction.  $\square$ 

## References

- [1] T. Gallai, Kritische graphen I., Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci 8 (1963), 165–192 (in German).
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Kritische graphen II., Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci 8 (1963), 373–395 (in German).
- [3] M. Stiebitz, Proof of a conjecture of T. Gallai concerning connectivity properties of colour-critical graphs, Combinatorica 2 (1982), no. 3, 315–323.