committees with scribes

landon rabern*

January 10, 2017

the setup

You have a group of people P in the red room and those people are on some committees, possibly some people on none or many committees; that is, you can describe your committees as a collection X of subsets of P. There are never committees without members. For each committee $C \in X$, there is a group of scribes $\sigma(C)$ for C, where $\sigma(C) \subseteq C$. Think of the scribes of C as the people that are allowed to speak for the committee C. Taken together, the tuple (P, X, σ) is an oriented hypergraph. Given an oriented hypergraph (P, X, σ) , you are interested in finding a group of people $K \subseteq P$ so that each $x \in P \setminus K$ is on at least one committee with a scribe in K. If you take such a group of people K out of the red room into the green room, then person in the green room is spoken for on some committee by someone in the red room. You also want to leave a representative of each committee (not necessarily one that can speak for the group) in the red room at all times; that is, we want to make sure that $C \not\subseteq K$ for all committees C. Such a group K is a kernel for the oriented hypergraph (P, X, σ) . More succinctly, $K \subseteq P$ is a kernel for (P, X, σ) just in case $C \subseteq K$ for all committees $C \in X$ and for each person $x \in P \setminus K$, there is a committee $C \ni x$ such that $K \cap \sigma(C) \neq \emptyset$. Deciding whether or not a given oriented hypergraph has a kernel appears to be a hard problem (many known NP-hard problems are special cases of this). But things are not hard for all oriented hypergraphs and you can build some tools by looking for useful structure. For constructing kernels the notion of an induced oriented subhypergraph will be indispensable. Let $\mathcal{H} := (P, X, \sigma)$

^{*}landon.rabern@gmail.com

be an oriented hypergraph. If $A \subseteq P$, then the oriented subhypergraph of \mathcal{H} induced on A, written $\mathcal{H}[A]$ is the tuple $(A, X [A], \sigma)$ where X [A] is the set of $C \in X$ where $C \subseteq A$ and we view σ as restricted to X [A]. To simplify notation, write $\mathcal{H}-x$ for $\mathcal{H}[P \setminus \{x\}]$ when $x \in P$. An oriented hypergraph \mathcal{H} is kernel-perfect if every induced oriented subhypergraph of \mathcal{H} has a kernel. When $\mathcal{H} := (P, X, \sigma)$, set $|\mathcal{H}| := |P|$ and $||\mathcal{H}|| := |X|$.

Lemma 1 Let $\mathcal{H} := (P, X, \sigma)$ be an oriented hypergraph. If there is $K \subseteq P$ containing no committee of \mathcal{H} such that $\sigma(C) > 1$ for all $C \in X[P \setminus K]$, then \mathcal{H} is kernel-perfect.

Suppose for the moment that the lemma is false. Go grab a counterexample $\mathcal{H} := (P, X, \sigma)$ with $|\mathcal{H}|$ as small as possible. Then \mathcal{H} contains no kernel by minimality of $|\mathcal{H}|$; in particular $|\mathcal{H}| > 0$. Now grab $K \subseteq P$ containing no committee of \mathcal{H} such that $\sigma(C) > 1$ for all $C \in X[P \setminus K]$. Since K contains no committee of \mathcal{H} and it isn't a kernel, we can grab $x \in P \setminus K$ so that $\sigma(C) \cap K = \emptyset$ for every $C \in X$ with $x \in C$. Grab $x \in \sigma(C)$. Since $|\mathcal{H} - x| < |\mathcal{H}|$ applying the lemma to $\mathcal{H} - x$ gives a kernel $L \subseteq P \setminus \{x\}$ in $\mathcal{H} - x$.