## graph theory notes\*

## Haxell's independent transversal lemma

In 1995, Penny Haxell [5, 4] proved a lemma that gives a necessary condition for the existence of an independent transversal. This lemma is very powerful tool for many coloring problems. In [2], Haxell gave a simpler proof of her lemma using the technique from Haxell and Szabó [3]. We prove the following variation of the lemma using the same technique (see [6, 1] for the original proof).

**Transversal Lemma** (Haxell, Aharoni-Berger-Ziv, King). Let H be a graph and  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  a partition of V(H). Suppose there exists  $t \geq 1$  such that for each  $i \in [r]$  and each  $v \in V_i$  we have  $d(v) \leq \min\{t, |V_i| - t\}$ . For any  $S \subseteq V(H)$  with  $|S| < \min\{|V_1|, \dots, |V_r|\}$ , there is an independent transversal I of  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  with  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ .

In fact, a more general statement holds. First we need some notation. Write  $f: A \to B$  for a surjective function from A to B. Let G be a graph. For a k-coloring  $\pi: V(G) \to [k]$  of G and a subgraph H of G we say that  $I := \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq V(H)$  is an H-independent transversal of  $\pi$  if I is an independent set in H and  $\pi(x_i) = i$  for all  $i \in [k]$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let G be a graph and  $\pi: V(G) \to [k]$  a proper k-coloring of G. Suppose that  $\pi$  has no G-independent transversal, but for every  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $\pi$  has a (G - e)-independent transversal. Then for every  $xy \in E(G)$  there is  $J \subseteq [k]$  with  $\pi(x), \pi(y) \in J$  and an induced matching M of  $G[\pi^{-1}(J)]$  with  $xy \in M$  such that:

- 1.  $\bigcup M$  totally dominates  $G[\pi^{-1}(J)]$ ,
- 2. the multigraph with vertex set J and an edge between  $a, b \in J$  for each  $uv \in M$  with  $\pi(u) = a$  and  $\pi(v) = b$  is a (simple) tree. In particular |M| = |J| 1.

*Proof.* Suppose the lemma is false and choose a counterexample G with  $\pi: V(G) \to [k]$  so as to minimize k. Let  $xy \in E(G)$ . By assumption  $\pi$  has a (G - xy)-independent transversal T. Note that we must have  $x, y \in T$  lest T be a G-independent transversal of  $\pi$ .

By symmetry we may assume that  $\pi(x) = k - 1$  and  $\pi(y) = k$ . Put  $X := \pi^{-1}(k-1)$ ,  $Y := \pi^{-1}(k)$  and  $H := G - N(\{x,y\}) - E(X,Y)$ . Define  $\zeta \colon V(H) \to [k-1]$  by  $\zeta(v) := \min \{\pi(v), k-1\}$ . Note that since  $x, y \in T$ , we have  $|\zeta^{-1}(i)| \ge 1$  for each  $i \in [k-2]$ . Put  $Z := \zeta^{-1}(k-1)$ . Then  $Z \ne \emptyset$  for otherwise  $M := \{xy\}$  totally dominates  $G[X \cup Y]$  giving a contradiction.

<sup>\*</sup>clarifications, errors, simplifications ⇒ landon.rabern@gmail.com

Suppose  $\zeta$  has an H-independent transversal S. Then we have  $z \in S \cap Z$  and by symmetry we may assume  $z \in X$ . But then  $S \cup \{y\}$  is a G-independent transversal of  $\pi$ , a contradiction.

Let  $H' \subseteq H$  be a minimal spanning subgraph such that  $\zeta$  has no H'-independent transversal. Now  $d(z) \geq 1$  for each  $z \in Z$  for otherwise  $T - \{x, y\} \cup \{z\}$  would be an H'-independent transversal of  $\zeta$ . Pick  $zw \in E(H')$ . By minimality of k, we have  $J \subseteq [k-1]$  with  $\zeta(z), \zeta(w) \in J$  and an induced matching M of  $H'[\zeta^{-1}(J)]$  with  $zw \in M$  such that

- 1.  $\bigcup M$  totally dominates  $H'[\zeta^{-1}(J)]$ ,
- 2. the multigraph with vertex set J and an edge between  $a, b \in J$  for each  $uv \in M$  with  $\zeta(u) = a$  and  $\zeta(v) = b$  is a (simple) tree.

Put  $M' := M \cup \{xy\}$  and  $J' := J \cup \{k\}$ . Since H' is a spanning subgraph of H,  $\bigcup M$  totally dominates  $H [\zeta^{-1}(J)]$  and hence  $\bigcup M'$  totally dominates  $G [\pi^{-1}(J')]$ . Moreover, the multigraph in (2) for M' and J' is formed by splitting the vertex  $k-1 \in J$  into two vertices and adding an edge between them and hence it is still a tree. This final contradiction proves the lemma.

Proof of Transversal Lemma. Suppose the lemma fails for such an  $S \subseteq V(H)$ . Put H' := H - S and let  $V'_1, \ldots, V'_r$  be the induced partition of H'. Then there is no independent transversal of  $V'_1, \ldots, V'_r$  and  $|V'_i| \ge 1$  for each  $i \in [r]$ . Create a graph Q by removing edges from H' until it is edge minimal without an independent transversal. Pick  $yz \in E(Q)$  and apply Lemma 1 on yz with the induced partition to get the guaranteed  $J \subseteq [r]$  and the tree T with vertex set J and an edge between  $a, b \in J$  for each  $uv \in M$  with  $u \in V'_a$  and  $v \in V'_b$ . By our condition, for each  $uv \in E(V_i, V_j)$ , we have  $|N_H(u) \cup N_H(v)| \le \min\{|V_i|, |V_j|\}$ .

Choose a root c of T. Traversing T in leaf-first order and for each leaf a with parent b picking  $|V_a|$  from min  $\{|V_a|, |V_b|\}$  we get that the vertices in M together dominate at most  $\sum_{i \in J \setminus \{c\}} |V_i|$  vertices in H. Since  $|S| < |V_c|$ , M cannot totally dominate  $\bigcup_{i \in J} V_i'$ , a contradiction.

Note that the condition on S can be weakened slightly. Suppose we have ordered the  $V_i$  so that  $|V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq \cdots \leq |V_r|$ . Then for any  $S \subseteq V(H)$  with  $|S| < |V_2|$  such that  $V_1 \not\subseteq S$ , there is an independent transversal I of  $V_1, \ldots, V_r$  with  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . The proof is the same except when we choose our root c, choose it so as to maximize  $|V_c|$ . Since  $|J| \geq 2$ , we get  $|V_c| \geq |V_2| > |S|$  at the end.

## References

- [1] R. Aharoni, E. Berger, and R. Ziv, *Independent systems of representatives in weighted graphs*, Combinatorica **27** (2007), no. 3, 253–267.
- [2] P. Haxell, On forming committees, The American Mathematical Monthly 118 (2011), no. 9, 777–788.
- [3] P. Haxell and T. Szabó, *Odd independent transversals are odd*, Combinatorics Probability and Computing **15** (2006), no. 1/2, 193.

- [4] PE Haxell, A note on vertex list colouring, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 10 (2001), no. 04, 345–347.
- [5] Penny E Haxell, A condition for matchability in hypergraphs, Graphs and Combinatorics 11 (1995), no. 3, 245–248.
- [6] A.D. King, Hitting all maximum cliques with a stable set using lopsided independent transversals, Journal of Graph Theory (2010).