

# Edge lower bounds via discharging notes

January 4, 2016

## 1 Introduction

For a graph  $G$ , let  $d(G)$  be the average degree of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_k$  be the Gallai trees with maximum degree at most  $k - 1$ , excepting  $K_k$ .

## 2 Gallai's bound via discharging

**Theorem 2.1** (Gallai). *For  $k \geq 4$  and  $G \neq K_k$  a  $k$ -AT-critical graph, we have*

$$d(G) < k - 1 + \frac{k - 3}{k^2 - 3}.$$

*Proof.* Start with initial charge function  $\text{ch}(v) = d_G(v)$ . Have each  $k^+$ -vertex give charge  $\frac{k-1}{k^2-3}$  to each of its  $(k-1)$ -neighbors. Then let the vertices in each component of the low vertex subgraph share their total charge equally. Let  $\text{ch}^*(v)$  be the resulting charge function. We finish the proof by showing that  $\text{ch}^*(v) \geq k - 1 + \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ .

If  $v$  is a  $k^+$ -vertex, then  $\text{ch}^*(v) \geq d_G(v) - \frac{k-1}{k^2-3}d_G(v) = \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{k^2-3}\right)d_G(v) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{k^2-3}\right)k = k - 1 + \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}$  as desired.

Let  $T$  be a component of the low vertex subgraph. Then the vertices in  $T$  receive total charge

$$\frac{k-1}{k^2-3} \sum_{v \in V(T)} k - 1 - d_G(v) = \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} ((k-1)|T| - 2\|T\|).$$

So, after distributing this charge out equally, each vertex in  $T$  receives charge

$$\frac{1}{|T|} \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} ((k-1)|T| - 2\|T\|) = \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} ((k-1) - d(T)).$$

By Lemma 2.2, this is at least

$$\frac{k-1}{k^2-3} \left( (k-1) - \left( k - 2 + \frac{2}{k-1} \right) \right) = \frac{k-1}{k^2-3} \left( \frac{k-3}{k-1} \right) = \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}.$$

Hence each low vertex ends with charge at least  $k - 1 + \frac{k-3}{k^2-3}$  as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** (Gallai). *For  $k \geq 4$  and  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ , we have  $d(T) < k - 2 + \frac{2}{k-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose not and choose a counterexample  $T$  minimizing  $|T|$ . Then  $T$  has at least two blocks. Let  $B$  be an endblock of  $T$ . If  $B$  is  $K_t$  for  $2 \leq t \leq k-2$ , then remove the non-separating vertices of  $B$  from  $T$  to get  $T'$ . By minimality of  $|T|$ , we have

$$2\|T\| - t(t-1) = 2\|T'\| < \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T'| = \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T| - \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)(t-1).$$

Hence we have the contradiction

$$2\|T\| < \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T| + (t+2-k-\frac{2}{k-1})(t-1) \leq \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T|.$$

The case when  $B$  is an odd cycle is the same as the above, a longer cycle just makes things better. Finally, if  $B = K_{k-1}$ , remove all vertices of  $B$  from  $T$  to get  $T'$ . By minimality of  $|T|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|T\| - (k-1)(k-2) - 2 &= 2\|T'\| \\ &< \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T'| \\ &= \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T| - \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $2\|T\| < \left(k-2 + \frac{2}{k-1}\right)|T|$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 An initial improved bound

Lemma 2.2 is best possible as can be seen by the family of graphs with blocks on a path alternating  $K_{k-1}$  and  $K_2$ . But we have reducible configurations (see the last section for the precise statements) that place restrictions on  $K_{k-1}$  blocks. To state these restrictions, we need the following auxiliary bipartite graph.

For a  $k$ -AT-critical graph  $G$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced on the  $(k-1)$ -vertices and  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced on the  $k$ -vertices. For  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ , let  $W^k(T)$  be the set of vertices of  $T$  that are contained in some  $K_{k-1}$  in  $T$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_k(G)$  be the bipartite graph with one part  $V(\mathcal{H}(G))$  and the other part the components of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ . Put an edge between  $y \in V(\mathcal{H}(G))$  and a component  $T$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  if and only if  $N(y) \cap W^k(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Then Lemma 4.2 says that  $\mathcal{B}_k(G)$  is 2-degenerate.

We can use this fact to refine our discharging argument. Let  $\epsilon$  and  $\gamma$  be parameters that we will determine where  $\epsilon \leq \gamma < 2\epsilon$ . Start with initial charge function  $\text{ch}(v) = d_G(v)$ .

1. Each  $k^+$ -vertex gives charge  $\epsilon$  to each of its  $(k-1)$ -neighbors not in a  $K_{k-1}$ ,
2. Each  $(k+1)^+$ -vertex give charge  $\gamma$  to each of its  $(k-1)$ -neighbors in a  $K_{k-1}$ ,
3. Let  $Q = \mathcal{B}_k(G)$ . Repeat the following steps until  $Q$  is empty.
  - (a) Remove all components  $T$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  in  $Q$  that have degree at most two in  $Q$ .

- (b) Pick  $v \in V(\mathcal{H}(G)) \cap V(Q)$ . Send charge  $\gamma$  from  $v$  to each  $x \in N_G(v) \cap W^k(T)$  for each component  $T$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  where  $vT \in E(Q)$ .
- (c) Remove  $v$  from  $Q$ .

4. Have the vertices in each component of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  share their total charge equally.

Let  $\text{ch}^*(v)$  be the resulting charge function. Here is some intuition for why this might be a useful refinement. In (3b),  $v$  sends charge to at most two different  $T$  and so, by Lemma 4.1 (or our ‘beyond degree choosability’ classification),  $v$  loses charge at most  $3\gamma$ . On the other hand, from (3a) each component  $T$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  receives charge  $\gamma$  for all but at most four non-separating vertices in a  $K_{k-1}$  (the at most four again coming from Lemma 4.1 and the fact that we leave  $T$  in  $Q$  until it has degree at most two). So, we can get each  $T$  almost as much charge as we could hope for without losing too much from the  $k$ -vertices. We don’t have the same control over  $(k+1)^+$ -vertices, but it won’t matter since they have extra charge to start with and sending  $\gamma$  to every  $(k-1)$ -neighbor will leave enough charge (we’ll use  $\gamma < 2\epsilon$  here).

To analyze this discharging procedure we need a bound like Lemma 2.2, but taking into account the number of edges in  $\mathcal{B}_k(G)$ . We can do this by taking into account the number of non-separating vertices in  $K_{k-1}$ ’s in  $T$ . To this end, for  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ , let  $q(T)$  be the number of non-separating vertices in a  $K_{k-1}$  in  $T$ . We give a family of such bounds.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that for all  $k \geq K$  we have*

1.  $f(k) \geq (k-1)(1-p(k)-h(k))$ ; and
2.  $f(k) \geq t(t+2-k-p(k))$  for all  $t \in [k-2]$ ; and
3.  $p(k) \geq h(k) + 5 - k$ ; and
4.  $p(k) \geq \frac{4}{k-2}$ ; and
5.  $p(k) \geq \frac{2+h(k)}{k-2}$ ; and
6.  $(k-1)p(k) + (k-3)h(k) \geq k+1$ .

Then for  $k \geq K$  and  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ , we have

$$2 \|T\| \leq (k-3+p(k)) |T| + f(k) + h(k)q(T).$$

## 4 Reducible Configurations

**Definition 1.** A graph  $G$  is *AT-reducible* to  $H$  if  $H$  is a nonempty induced subgraph of  $G$  which is  $f_H$ -AT where  $f_H(v) := \delta(G) + d_H(v) - d_G(v)$  for all  $v \in V(H)$ . If  $G$  is not AT-reducible to any nonempty induced subgraph, then it is *AT-irreducible*.

This first lemma tells us how a single high vertex can interact with the low vertex subgraph. This is the version Hal and I used, it (and more) follows from the classification in “mostlow”.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $k \geq 5$  and let  $G$  be a graph with  $x \in V(G)$  such that:*

1.  $K_k \not\subseteq G$ ; and
2.  $G - x$  has  $t$  components  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_t$ , and all are in  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ; and
3.  $d_G(v) \leq k - 1$  for all  $v \in V(G - x)$ ; and
4.  $|N(x) \cap W^k(H_i)| \geq 1$  for  $i \in [t]$ ; and
5.  $d_G(x) \geq t + 2$ .

*Then  $G$  is  $f$ -AT where  $f(x) = d_G(x) - 1$  and  $f(v) = d_G(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G - x)$ .*

To deal with more than one high vertex we need the following auxiliary bipartite graph. For a graph  $G$ ,  $\{X, Y\}$  a partition of  $V(G)$  and  $k \geq 4$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_k(X, Y)$  be the bipartite graph with one part  $Y$  and the other part the components of  $G[X]$ . Put an edge between  $y \in Y$  and a component  $T$  of  $G[X]$  if and only if  $N(y) \cap W^k(T) \neq \emptyset$ . The next lemma tells us that we have a reducible configuration if this bipartite graph has minimum degree at least three.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $k \geq 7$  and let  $G$  be a graph with  $Y \subseteq V(G)$  such that:*

1.  $K_k \not\subseteq G$ ; and
2. the components of  $G - Y$  are in  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ; and
3.  $d_G(v) \leq k - 1$  for all  $v \in V(G - Y)$ ; and
4. with  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k(V(G - Y), Y)$  we have  $\delta(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$ .

*Then  $G$  has an induced subgraph  $G'$  that is  $f$ -AT where  $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G' - Y)$ .*

We also have the following version with asymmetric degree condition on  $\mathcal{B}$ . The point here is that this works for  $k \geq 5$ . As we'll see in the next section, the consequence is that we trade a bit in our size bound for the proof to go through with  $k \in \{5, 6\}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $k \geq 5$  and let  $G$  be a graph with  $Y \subseteq V(G)$  such that:*

1.  $K_k \not\subseteq G$ ; and
2. the components of  $G - Y$  are in  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ; and
3.  $d_G(v) \leq k - 1$  for all  $v \in V(G - Y)$ ; and
4. with  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k(V(G - Y), Y)$  we have  $d_{\mathcal{B}}(y) \geq 4$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $d_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \geq 2$  for all components  $T$  of  $G - Y$ .

*Then  $G$  has an induced subgraph  $G'$  that is  $f$ -AT where  $f(y) = d_{G'}(y) - 1$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $f(v) = d_{G'}(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G' - Y)$ .*