COMP0083 Convex Optimisation Assignment

Jan 9, 2023

Part 1

1.1

The function for (a):

$$\max\{ax+b, x^4-5, e^{x^2}\}$$

is a convex function.

1.2

For the function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x \in]-1,0] \\ x^2 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

the sub-differential is:

$$\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x \in]-1,0[\\ [0,1] & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 2x & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

corresponding to Figure (a).

1.3

For a function:

$$f(x) = \langle Ax, x\rangle + \langle x, b\rangle + c$$

where A is a square matrix not necessarily symmetric, the gradient is (a):

$$\nabla f(x) = A^* x + Ax + b$$

1.4

The Fenchel conjugate of $f(x) = g(2x)$ is (a):

$$f^*(u) = g^*(u/2)$$

1.5

The solution to the dual problem is (c):

$$\bar{u} = (\mathbf{K} + \lambda n \mathbf{Id})^{-1} y$$

Part 2

2.1

2.1.1

Given :

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ -\log x & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

The Fenchel conjugate is defined:

$$f^*(u) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{\langle x, u \rangle + \log x\}$$

To find the supremum, we can take the partial derivative with respect to x, set to zero, and solve for x:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(ux + logx) = u + \frac{1}{x} = 0$$

Thus, the supremum above is solved when $x = \frac{-1}{u}$:

$$f^*(u) = u\left(\frac{-1}{u}\right) + \log\left(\frac{-1}{u}\right)$$

Simplifying, we have the Fenchel conjugate:

$$f^*(u) = -(1 + \log u)$$

2.1.2

Given:

$$f(x) = x^2$$

The Fenchel conjugate is defined:

$$f^*(u) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{\langle x, u \rangle - x^2\}$$

We can compute the partial derivative:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(ux - x^2) = u - 2x = 0$$

Thus, the supremum is solved when $x = \frac{u}{2}$:

$$f^*(u) = u\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^2$$

Simplifying, we have the Fenchel conjugate:

$$f^*(u) = \frac{u^2}{4}$$

2.1.3

Given:

$$f(x) = i_{[0,1]}$$

The Fenchel conjugate is defined:

$$f^*(u) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{\langle x, u\rangle - i_{[0,1]}\}$$

Thus,

$$f^*(u) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \{\langle x, u\rangle\}$$

We can see the Fenchel conjugate is:

$$f^*(u) = \max(0, u)$$

2.2.1

Given f a proper convex function, to prove by induction Jensen's inequality:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f(x_i)$$

for all $x_1, ...x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and for all $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$, we start with the definition of convexity which states:

$$f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) \leq \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(x_2)$$

for all $x1, x2 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. In this base case $n = 2$.
Our inductive step will prove that the inequality continues to hold for $n + 1$:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\right)$$

We can insert the term $(1 - \lambda_{n+1})$:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) = f\left((1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{n+1}} x_i + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\right)$$

If we define $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} x_i$ and we are back to our $n = 2$ base case, so we know from convexity:

$$f\left((1-\lambda_{n+1})\bar{x} + \lambda_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \leq (1-\lambda_{n+1})f\left(\bar{x}\right) + \lambda_{n+1}f\left(x_{n+1}\right)$$

Rewriting this,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq (1-\lambda_{n+1})f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} x_i\right) + \lambda_{n+1}f\left(x_{n+1}\right)$$

We know that the first term on the right hand side:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} x_i\right) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f\left(x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} f\left(x_i\right)$$

Thus can upper bound our previous inequality:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} f\left(x_i\right) + \lambda_{n+1}f\left(x_{n+1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} f\left(x_i\right)$$

proving our inductive step and Jensen's inequality as required. □

2.2.2

The characterisation of convexity states:

$$\text{f is convex} \leftrightarrow \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x-y \rangle \geq 0$$

$\forall x, y \in dom f$.
For $f(x) = -\log(x)$, $\nabla f(x) = \frac{-1}{x}$:

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x-y \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x}, x-y \rangle$$

If $x > y$ we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{y} > \frac{1}{x}$$
$$x - y > 0$$
$$\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x} > 0$$

and

$$\langle \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x}, x-y \rangle > 0$$

If $x < y$ we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{y} < \frac{1}{x}$$
$$x - y < 0$$
$$\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x} < 0$$

and

$$\langle \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x}, x - y \rangle > 0$$

If $x = y$ we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{x}$$
$$x - y = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = 0$$

and

$$\langle \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x}, x - y \rangle = 0$$

Thus, $\forall x, y \in dom f, \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0$ so $f(x) = -\log(x)$ is convex. $\square$

2.2.3

Given Jensen's inequality in 2.2.1 for a convex function $f(x)$:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f(x_i)$$

and having proved in 2.2.2 that $f(x) = -\log(x)$ is convex, we can write:

$$-\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \log(x_i)$$

Rearranging:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i \geq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i^{\lambda_i})\right)$$

Choosing $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{n}$:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \geq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i^{\frac{1}{n}})\right)$$

The sum of logarithms is the logarithm of the products:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \geq \exp\left(\log((x_1 \cdots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}})\right)$$

Thus we get our inequality:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \geq \sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdots x_n}$$

$\square$

2.3

2.4

2.5

Conditions for the existence of minimizers: f is closed and coercive.
Conditions for the uniqueness of minimizers: f is strictly convex.

2.6

Part 3

Part 4

We are given the primal problem:

$$\min_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \frac{\lambda}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(1-y_i\langle w,\Lambda(x_i)\rangle)_+ + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2$$

We can express in the form:

$$\min_{w\in\mathcal{H}} g(w)+f(w)$$

where:

$$g(w)=\frac{\lambda}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(1-y_i\langle w,\Lambda(x_i)\rangle)_+$$

and

$$f(w)=\frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2$$

The corresponding dual problem:

$$\min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{K}_y\alpha,\alpha\rangle - \langle \mathbf{1}_n,\alpha\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n} i_{\left[0,\frac{1}{\lambda n}\right]}(\alpha_i)$$

We can express in the form:

$$\min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n} g^*(\alpha)+f^*(\alpha)$$

4.1