WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS

FIELD: SPECIALITY: Electronics

Advanced Applied Electronics

Numerical Methods: Eigenproblems

AUTHOR: Jaroslaw M. Szumega

SUPERVISOR:

Rafal Zdunek, D.Sc, K-4/W4

GRADE:

Contents

1	Solution to the given problems	1		
2	Algorithms code 2.1 Code for solving particular tasks	7 11		
$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$	Bibliography			

Chapter 1

Solution to the given problems

The solutions below are the requested numerical results of requested problems as well as the execution time's comparison between different algorithms. To ensure proper timings, all measurements are made for one thousands rounds/repetition – it will help to reduce the impact of setting up the Octave engine and other overheads.

Problem 1: Find the solution that best approximates the system of inconsistent linear equa-

(a)
$$\begin{cases} 3x_1 - x_2 = 4 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 = 1 \end{cases}$$
 (b),
$$\begin{cases} 3x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ 3x_1 - 3x_2 + 3x_3 = 8 \end{cases}$$
 (c)
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 5 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 + 6x_3 = 1 \\ -x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ 3x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Each system was solved using set of algorithms dedicated for solving Least Squares problem: there was LS approximation, solving LS using Singular Vector Decomposition (SVD) or QR factorization. In addition the first system is solved also by linear regression.

```
1 Matrix A
2 Classical LS
    time = 0.12578
4 SVD LS
5
     time = 0.78760
6 QR LS
     time = 0.061208
8 regression
9
      time = 0.087359
10
11 Matrix B
12 Classical LS
13
      time = 0.043440
14 SVD LS
15 time = 0.86493
16 QR LS
17
     time = 0.059717
18
19 Matrix C
20 Classical LS
21 time = 0.041433
22 SVD LS
23 time = 0.81831
24 QR LS
25 time = 0.057312
```

Classical LS x1 =	Classical LS x2 =	Classical LS x3 =
1.04819 -0.67470	-1.6667 3.8333 7.9167	1.80722 0.55854 -0.38097
b =	b =	b =
3.81928	D =	D =
-0.30120	6.75000	2.746728
1.42169	0.25000	0.770074
	0.75000	0.045985
SVD LS	7.25000	6.919703
x11 =		
	SVD LS	SVD LS
1.04819	x22 =	x33 =
-0.67470	-1.6667	1.80722
b =	3.8333	0.55854
D =	7.9167	-0.38097
3.81928		
-0.30120	b =	b =
1.42169		
	6.75000	2.746728
QR LS	0.25000	0.770074
x111 =	0.75000	0.045985
4 04040	7.25000	6.919703
1.04819 -0.67470	QR LS	QR LS
-0.67470	x222 =	x333 =
b =	7.2.2	
b –	-1.6667	1.80722
3.81928	3.8333	0.55854
-0.30120	7.9167	-0.38097
1.42169		
	b =	b =
regressionl	6.75000	2.746728
x1111 =	0.25000	0.770074
2.02857	0.75000	0.045985
-0.54286	7.25000	6.919703
0.0.200		
b =		
6.62857		
0.94286		
3.51429		

Figure 1.1 Calculations results. Also verification in form $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$

```
Problem 2: Find the least squares approximating function of the form a_0 + a_1 x^2 + a_2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) for each of the following sets of data pairs:

(a) (0,3), (1,0), (1,-1), (-1,2)

(b) (-1,0.5), (0,1), (2,5), (3,9)
```

The selected systems was written in the form of matrices. In Octave code it is like this:

```
A1= [1 0 sin(3.14*0/2);

2 1 1 sin(3.14*1/2);

3 1 1 sin(3.14*(-1)/2);

4 1 1 sin(3.14*(-1)/2);

5 6 b1 = [3; 0; -1; 2]

7 8

9 # b)

10 A2 = [1 1 sin(3.14*(-1)/2);

11 1 0 sin(3.14*0/2);

12 1 4 sin(3.14*2/2);

13 1 9 sin(3.14*3/2); ]

14

15 b2 = [0.5; 1; 5; 9]
```

Then the algorithms were applied to get the solution.

Classical LS x1 =	Classical LS x2 =
3.0000 -2.2500 -1.2500	0.89905 1.04988 1.39836
b =	b =
3.00000 -0.50000 -0.50000 2.00000	0.55057 0.89905 5.10079 8.94959
SVD LS x11 =	SVD LS x22 =
3.0000 -2.2500 -1.2500	0.89905 1.04988 1.39836
b =	b =
3.00000 -0.50000 -0.50000	0.55057 0.89905 5.10079 8.94959
2.00000	0.94939
	QR LS x222 =
2.00000 QR LS	QR LS
2.00000 QR LS x111 = 3.0000 -2.2500	QR LS x222 = 0.89905 1.04988
2.00000 QR LS x111 = 3.0000 -2.2500 -1.2500	QR LS x222 = 0.89905 1.04988 1.39836

As the picture shows, the calculated coefficients gives quite a good approximation, when using them in computing the "b" vector.

The listing below show also timings of selected algorithms:

```
1
 2 Case A:
 3
 4 Classical LS
 5
       time = 0.050778
6~{\tt SVD}~{\tt LS}
 7
       time =
               0.73374
8 QR LS
9
       time = 0.051985
10
11
12 Case B:
13
14 Classical LS
       time = 0.034670
15
16 SVD LS
17
       time = 0.71804
18 QR LS
       time = 0.051468
```

Problem 3: The yield y of wheat in quintals per hectare appears to be a linear function of the number of days x_1 of sunshine, the number of centimeters x_2 of rainfall, and the number of kilograms x_3 of fertilizer per hectare. Find the best fit to the data in the table with an equation in the form: $y = a_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$.

у	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃
28	50	18	10
30	40	20	16
21	35	14	10
23	40	12	12
23	30	16	14

The problem mentioned above should be presented in form of matrix: Once again, the

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 50 & 18 & 10 \\ 1 & 40 & 20 & 16 \\ 1 & 35 & 14 & 10 \\ 1 & 40 & 12 & 12 \\ 1 & 30 & 16 & 14 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 30 \\ 21 \\ 23 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

set of selected algorithms will be used. This time there also will be selected the Thikonov regularization.

Classical LS	SVD LS	QR LS	Tikhonov, alpha = 1
alpha1 =	alpha2 =	alpha3 =	alpha4 =
-11.10958	-11.10958	-11.10958	-0.24434
0.32523	0.32523	0.32523	0.18006
0.73297	0.73297	0.73297	0.77874
0.99586	0.99586	0.99586	0.49767
b =	b =	b =	b =
28.304	28.304	28.304	27.753
29.240	29.240	29.240	28.695
20.494	20.494	20.494	21.937
22.645	22.645	22.645	22.275
24.317	24.317	24.317	24.585

Figure 1.2 Results.

Looking at 1.2 we can see, that all the solutions during the verification give quite good approximation of "b". The first three has exactly the same values and are much better than last algorithm. However, Tikhonov regularization gives the coefficients that stand out of the rest of solutions, but this solution is still correct.

And the timing comparison:

```
1 Classical LS
           0.064596
2
  time =
3
4
5
  SVD LS
6
  time =
           0.75261
7
9 QR LS
10 time =
           0.052665
11
12
13 Gen. Tikhonov, alpha = 1
14 time =
           0.041787
```

Problem 4: Using least squares find the "best" straight-line fit and the error estimates for the slope and intercept of that line for the following set of data:

Xi	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
y i	1.5	2.0	2.8	4.1	4.9	6.3	5.0	11.5

At first, the problem presented in matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 7 \\ 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 2 \\ 2.8 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 6.3 \\ 5 \\ 11.5 \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Chapter 2

Algorithms code

Algorithm 1 – The classical LS fitting.

```
function [x] = classicLS(A, b)

[m,n] = size(A)

if(m >= n || rank(A) == n)
    disp(["There is an unique solution"])
    x = inv(A' * A) * A' * b;

else if ( m < n)
    disp(["Underdetermined system"])
    x = A' * inv(A * A') * b;

end
endfunction</pre>
```

Algorithm 2 – Pseudoinverse

Algorithm 3 – The orthogonal projectors

```
function [Pra, Prah, Pna, Pnah] = projectors(A)

[m,n] = size(A)

Pra = A * pseudoinverse(A)

Prah = pseudoinverse(A) * A

Pnah = eye(size(Pra)) - Pra

Pna = eye(size(Prah)) - Prah

endfunction
```

Algorithm 4 – The LS solution by SVD

```
function [x] = svdLS(A,b)

[u,s,v] = svd(A);

x = (v*pseudoinverse(s) * u') * b;

endfunctiong
```

Algorithm 5 – The LS solution by QR factorization

```
1 function [U, S, V] = svdQR(A,iterations)
3 [n, m] = size(A); # can be rectangular matrix
4 U=eye(n);
5 V = eye(m);
7 R=A';
8
9 for i = 0:iterations
10
       [Q,R]=qr(R'); # qr decompositions and updating
11
       U=U*Q;
12
       [Q,R]=qr(R');
13
       V = V * Q;
14 endfor
15 S=R';
                        # S is R transposed
16
17 endfunction
```

Algorithm 6 – The linear regression

```
1 function [x] = regression(A, b)
 3 [m,n] = size(A
 4 [p,r] = size(b);
6 \text{ if (n != 2 || r != 1)}
 7
       disp(["The matrix does not describe the polynomial of first degree"
 8
9 else
10
       meanY = sum(b)/p
11
       meanT = sum(A(:,n))/m
12
13
       \#beta = (sum(b.*A(:,n)) - m*meanY*meanT)/(sum(A(:,n).^2) - m * meanT
          *meanT)
14
       \#alpha = meanY - beta*meanT
15
16
       #more accurate b calculation
17
       first = (b.- meanY).*(A(:,n).-meanT)
18
       second = (b.-meanT).^2
       beta = sum(first)/sum(second)
19
20
       alpha = meanY - beta*meanT
21
22
       x = [alpha; beta]
23 endif
24 endfunction
```

Algorithm 7 – The TSVD algorithm

Algorithm 8 – The iterative refinement

```
function [x] = refinement(A,x,b,it)

for s = 1:it
    r = b - A*x

#extended refinement
    delta = qrLS(A,r);
    x = x + delta
endfor
endfunction
```

Algorithm 10 – The General Cross-Validation

```
function [x] = crossvalidation(A,b, mi)

C = inv(A'*A);
M = A' * A + (mi.^2).^C'*C;

x = inv(M)*A'*b;
endfunction
```

Algorithm 11 – The Iterative Tikhonov Regularization

Algorithm ** - The General Tikhonov Regularization

```
function [x] = tikhonovGen(A,b, alpha)

x = inv(A' * A + alpha.*eye(size(A'*A))) * A' * b;

endfunction
```

2.1 Code for solving particular tasks

Task1

```
1 A1 = [3 -1; 1 2; 2 1];
 2 b1 = [4; 0; 1];
 4 disp(["Classical LS"])
 5 tic
 6 \text{ for i} = 1:1000
 7 x1 = classicLS(A1,b1);
8 endfor
9 \text{ time} = \text{toc}
10
11 disp(["SVD LS"])
12 tic
13 \text{ for i} = 1:1000
14 \times 11 = svdLS(A1,b1);
15 endfor
16 \text{ time} = \text{toc}
17
18 disp(["QR LS"])
19 tic
20 \text{ for i} = 1:1000
21 \times 111 = qrLS(A1,b1);
22\ {\tt endfor}
23 time = toc
24
25 \text{ disp(["regressionl"])}
26 \ \mathrm{tic}
27 \text{ for i} = 1:1000
28 \times 1111 = regression(A1,b1);
29 endfor
30 \text{ time} = \text{toc}
31
32 [\ldots]
33
34 The similar calculations are performed for the remaining matrices.
```

Bibliography

- [1] Björck, Åke. Numerical methods for least squares problems. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1996.
- [2] Golub, Gene H., and Charles F. Van Loan. "Matrix computations, 3rd." (1996).
- [3] Zdunek R., Numerical Methods lecture slides.