

# Independent Variable Forms for Coulomb Relativistic Radius: Targeting Time of Flight Results.

J. Talman

March 30, 2014

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Syntax</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Appendix: Formula Overview</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Appendix: <math>r = r(\theta)</math></b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Appendix: <math>dt/d\theta</math></b>	<b>9</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Appendix: Design Angular Frequency (<math>\dot{\theta}_D</math>)</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>12</b>

# 1 Overview

Accelerator tracking tends to give  $r = r(s)$ , where independent variable  $s$  is arc length on design orbit.

Conservation of vector angular momentum,  $\vec{L}$ , in a Coulomb ( $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -k\frac{\hat{r}}{r^2}$ ) central field makes the motion planar. This in turn makes polar coordinates natural, and  $\theta$  the independent variable. This is where I start. The aim is to have time as an effective independent variable to get accurate time of flight formulae.

The utility of the Hamilton-like vector,  $\vec{h}$ , for calculating the exact  $r(\theta)$  functional dependency of a relativistic charged particle in a Coulomb field has been discussed previously [1], [2]. A completely general full period formula suitable for matching injected probe input parameters has not been given, however.

This note aims to gather/exhibit relevant results for achieving this or a numerical equivalent. It relies on a concurrent program, 2D\_5Values.cc, version controlled in the UAL section of Google codes.

Symbolically, the following equations are motivated

$$r = \frac{\lambda}{1 + C(A\cos(\kappa\theta) + B\sin(\kappa\theta))}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{dt}{d\theta} = \frac{r}{Lc^2}(k + r\mathcal{E}). \quad (2)$$

The first is an explicit function for  $r$  that has  $\theta$  as the independent variable, the second allows examination of time,  $t$ , as the independent variable. 2D\_5Values.cc has numerical values for the quantities referred to here. Some of these values are accepted physical constants, some are geared for a frozen spin proton Electric Dipole Moment experiment with design radius  $r_D = 40m$ . MKS units are used throughout. The symbols are presumably reasonably familiar, except for energy (often designated  $E$ , but here designated  $\mathcal{E}$ ). A symbol for electric field is also desirable.

"Total energy",  $\mathcal{E}$ , is also conserved. The script  $\mathcal{E}$  is to distinguish the energies corresponding to the different potentials used in conventional accelerator tracking ( $V(r_D)=0$ ), and here ( $\mathcal{V}(\infty)=0$ ).

The relationship between the energies is

$$E = \mathcal{E} - \frac{k}{r_D} \quad (3)$$

Possibly the most important relationship for our purposes is

$$\vec{h}_D = \vec{0} \tag{4}$$

(the design Hamilton-like vector is 0!) as it is used to define the circular design input values. This is a small change from an earlier orientation that used eccentricity,  $\epsilon = 0$ , to define a circle. An examination of [1] Eqs. (32) and (35) shows that they are all but equivalent.

## 2 Syntax

If 2D\_5Values is run with other than 10 inputs (including ./2D\_5Values) the message is

```
Usage: ./2D_5Values k(Design) rD(esign) gD(esign) dr th0 thD0 rD0 dg0 Ngrid
```

A sample input, geared for the Design orbit, is

```
./2D_5Values +2.6872238219e-09 40 +1.248107349 0 0 +4.484738326227404e+06 0 0 100
```

This excessive, and inconsistent, precision must be addressed, but will be adhered to for the time being.

The +2.6872238219e-09 is "design k" (equivalent to design bending electric field).

The 40 is the already mentioned design radius  $r_D = 40m$ .

The +1.248107349 is design/"frozen"  $\gamma_D$ .

The +4.484738326227404e+06 is design angular frequency. It is of particular interest, and a formula is given in Appendix : Design Angular Frequency ( $\dot{\theta}|_D$ ). This formula is used to give this value.

100 is the grid for  $\theta$  (number of  $\theta$  values used in the sum approximation to the integral).

The 0s indicate design (0 deviation from design).

### 3 Results

Design period is

$$T = 2\pi r_D / v_D = 6.28318531 * 40 / 1.793895330477678e + 08 = 251.327412 / 1.793895330477678e + 08 \quad (5)$$

$$= 1.40101492e - 06seconds. \quad (6)$$

The above example run gives

$$T = 1.41502507e - 06seconds. \quad (7)$$

Obviously more investigation is needed!

Again, design  $\vec{h}_D = \vec{0}$ .

The actual calculated value of  $h_\theta$  to start is +3.05e-08 m/s. It may be possible to improve on this, but this may be the effective zero for this numerical work.

## 4 Appendix: Formula Overview

The Hamilton-like vector is

$$\vec{h} = \gamma \vec{v} - \frac{k\gamma}{L} \hat{\theta} = \gamma \dot{r} \hat{r} + (\gamma r \dot{\theta} - \frac{k\gamma}{L}) \hat{\theta} = (\gamma \dot{r}, \gamma r \dot{\theta} - \frac{k\gamma}{L}) = (h_r, h_\theta) \quad (8)$$

by definition.

It is shown in the given references that

$$h_\theta'' = -\kappa^2 h_\theta \quad (9)$$

so

$$h_\theta = A \cos(\kappa \theta) + B \sin(\kappa \theta). \quad (10)$$

Here  $\kappa$  is a constant for the given fixed  $k$ ,  $L$ .

Thus

$$A = h_\theta|_0 \quad (11)$$

$$B\kappa = h_\theta'|_0. \quad (12)$$

It is also shown in the given references that

$$h_\theta' = -\kappa^2 h_r \quad (13)$$

so that

$$A = (\gamma r \dot{\theta} - \frac{k\gamma}{L})|_0 = \gamma_0 r_0 \dot{\theta}_0 - \frac{k\gamma_0}{L} \quad (14)$$

$$B = -\kappa h_r|_0 = -\kappa(\gamma \dot{r})|_0 = -\kappa \gamma_0 \dot{r}_0 \quad (15)$$

All of these values are parameters for a given injected particle. "Machine constants" are designated with no subscript, or with a D (Design) subscript. Injected particle specific values are designated with a 0 (angle or time) subscript.

Using [1] Eq. (17), and Appendix:  $r = r(\theta)$ , this gives (1) where

$$\lambda = \frac{\kappa^2 L^2 c^2}{k\mathcal{E}} \quad (16)$$

and

$$C = \frac{Lmc^2}{k\mathcal{E}}. \quad (17)$$

A simple numerical integration of (2) is then possible. The goal is to be able to investigate this, and more sophisticated approaches.

## 5 Appendix: $r = r(\theta)$

$$h_\theta = \gamma r \dot{\theta} - k\gamma/L = \frac{L}{mr} - \frac{k}{L} \frac{\mathcal{E} + k/r}{mc^2} = \kappa^2 \frac{L}{mr} - \frac{k\mathcal{E}}{Lmc^2} \quad (18)$$

so

$$r = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{Lmc^2}{k\mathcal{E}} h_\theta} \quad (19)$$

where  $\lambda$  is as above.  $\kappa^2$  is defined as

$$\kappa^2 = 1 - \left(\frac{k}{Lc}\right)^2. \quad (20)$$



## 6 Appendix: $dt/d\theta$

Following [1] Eq. (2)

$$L = \gamma m r^2 \dot{\theta} \quad (21)$$

so

$$\frac{1}{\dot{\theta}} = \frac{\gamma m r^2}{L}. \quad (22)$$

Thus

$$h_\theta = \gamma r \dot{\theta} - \frac{k\gamma}{L} = \frac{L}{mr} - \frac{k\gamma}{L} \quad (23)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{L^2}{k m r} - \frac{L h_\theta}{k} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\dot{\theta}} = \frac{m r^2}{L} \left( \frac{L^2}{k m r} - \frac{L h_\theta}{k} \right) = \frac{L r}{k} - \frac{m r^2 h_\theta}{k} = \frac{r}{k} (L - m r h_\theta). \quad (25)$$

Using [1] Eq. (16), the factor

$$L - m r h_\theta \quad (26)$$

can be written

$$L - m r \left( \frac{\kappa^2 L}{m r} - \frac{k \mathcal{E}}{L m c^2} \right) = L(1 - \kappa^2) + \frac{r k \mathcal{E}}{L c^2} \quad (27)$$

Using (20), this is

$$L \frac{k^2}{L^2 c^2} + \frac{r k \mathcal{E}}{L c^2} = \frac{k}{L c^2} (k + r \mathcal{E}). \quad (28)$$

Finally

$$\frac{dt}{d\theta} = \frac{r}{L c^2} (k + r \mathcal{E}) \quad (29)$$

which is (2)

## 7 Appendix: Design Angular Frequency ( $\dot{\theta}_D$ )

On an off momentum circular (radius =  $r_\Delta$ ) orbit,  $\gamma_\Delta$  is given by

$$\gamma_\Delta = \frac{\mathcal{E}_\Delta + k_D/r_\Delta}{mc^2} \quad (30)$$

so is constant. Also  $\dot{r}_\Delta = 0$  so  $(\vec{h}_\Delta)_r = 0$  so  $(\vec{h}_\Delta)_\theta = 0$ . Thus  $\vec{h}_\Delta = \vec{0}$ . Plugging this into (14) at  $\theta = 0$  gives

$$0 = \gamma_\Delta(r_\Delta\dot{\theta}_\Delta - \frac{k_D}{L_\Delta}) \quad (31)$$

$$r_\Delta\dot{\theta}_\Delta = \frac{k_D}{L_\Delta} \quad (32)$$

$$L_\Delta\dot{\theta}_\Delta = \frac{k_D}{r_\Delta} \quad (33)$$

$$\gamma_\Delta m r_\Delta^2 \dot{\theta}_\Delta^2 = \frac{k_D}{r_\Delta} \quad (34)$$

$$\gamma_\Delta \dot{\theta}_\Delta^2 = \frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^3} \quad (35)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_\Delta^2 = \frac{k_D}{\gamma_\Delta m r_\Delta^3} \quad (36)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_\Delta^4 = \left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^3}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_\Delta^2 \dot{\theta}_\Delta^2}{c}\right) \quad (37)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_\Delta^4 + \left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^3}\right)^2 \frac{r_\Delta^2}{c} \dot{\theta}_\Delta^2 - \left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^3}\right)^2 = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_\Delta^4 + \left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^2 c}\right)^2 \dot{\theta}_\Delta^2 - \left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^3}\right)^2 = 0 \quad (39)$$

$$2\dot{\theta}_\Delta^2 = -\left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^2 c}\right)^2 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^2 c}\right)^4 + 4\left(\frac{k_D}{m r_\Delta^3}\right)^2} \quad (40)$$

Applied to  $r_\Delta = 40.01[m]$  gives

$$\dot{\theta}_\Delta = +4.483179250462816e + 06[1/s] \quad (41)$$

$$v_\Delta = +1.793720018110173e + 08[m/s] = r_\Delta \dot{\theta}_\Delta \quad (42)$$

$$\beta_\Delta = +5.983205948797327e - 01[] \quad (43)$$

$$\gamma_\Delta = +1.248039324189375e + 00[] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - \beta_\Delta^2)}} \quad (44)$$

$$p_{\Delta} = +7.006336373906438e - 01[GeV] = \gamma_{\Delta}m_p\beta_{\Delta} \quad (45)$$

$$\mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = +9.382720130000000e - 01[GeV] \quad (46)$$

$$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = +2.327283560103245e - 01[GeV] = (\gamma_{\Delta} - 1) * \mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} \quad (47)$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = +1.048008836790803e - 04[GeV] \quad (48)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} + \mathcal{K}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} + \mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = +1.171105169894004e + 00[GeV] \quad (49)$$

all in "UAL" units (energy in GeV, and potential = 0 on design radius). This uses  $k_D = +2.687223824405700e-09$  [Jm] which is a design electric field of  $+1.048270839000000e+07$  [V/m].  $m_p = +9.382720129999997e - 01$

## 8 References

### References

- [1] Munoz, G., Pavic, I. A Hamilton-like vector for the special-relativistic Coulomb problem  
European Journal of Physics 27(2006) 1007-1018
- [2] Malitsky, N., Talman, J., Talman, R. Appendix UALcode: Development of the  
UAL/ETEAPOT Code for the Proton EDM Experiment 2013
- [3] Malitsky, N., Talman, J., Talman, R. Development of the UAL/ETEAPOT Code for  
the Proton EDM Experiment March 26, 2011