Key Words

f-element coordination chemistry
extraction selectivity
actinide separations
solvent extraction
nuclear fuel reprocessing
ligands
TBP

REFERENCE: 20009

DMDBTDMA

CMPO

втвр

BTP

radiolytic stability

chemical stabilty

nuclear waste remediation

environmental impact

Objective

- 1. To highlight recent accomplishments in f-element coordination chemistry aimed at probing the fundamental chemical differences between lanthanides (4f elements) and actinides (5f elements)
- 2. To improve knowledge of fundamental chemistry to aid in increased selectivity for the extraction of actinides
- 3. To detail previously described separation methods and recent investigations into the fundamental coordination chemistry of actinides

4. To probe the critical features necessary for improved selectivity of separations

5. To address the safe remediation of contaminated sites and the reprocessing of nuclear fuel

sources used in civilian and noncivilian energy production

6. To support the development of new separation technologies and fundamental discoveries in

inorganic coordination chemistry with the 4f and 5f elements

7. To improve understanding of the fundamental chemistry, relativistic effects, and differences

between the chemical behavior of 4f and 5f orbitals

8. To support new systems for nuclear waste remediation and energy production by characterizing

the coordination behavior and stability of f-element complexes

9. To support new systems for nuclear waste remediation and energy production by characterizing

the coordination behavior and stability of f-element complexes

Methodology

Using Lanthanides as Models for Actinides: This approach involves employing lanthanides as

structural models for actinides due to their similar ionic radii and preferred coordination numbers.

This is convenient because lanthanides are less hazardous and allow for increased access to

analytical tools

Synthesis and Evaluation of Ligands: The study investigates the synthesis of new ligands such as

CyMe4-BTP and CyMe4-BTBP, evaluating their stability, extraction efficiency, and selectivity for

trivalent actinides over lanthanides. The ligands are tested for radiolytic and hydrolytic stability to

ensure they can withstand harsh conditions in nuclear waste processing

Investigation of Coordination Chemistry: Detailed structural characterizations of actinide complexes

are performed using techniques such as X-ray crystallography. This helps in understanding the

coordination behavior of actinides and the role of different donor atoms (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus,

sulfur) in forming stable complexes

Extraction Process: The study examines various solvent extraction processes like PUREX, DIAMEX, and SANEX, focusing on their ability to selectively extract actinides from nuclear waste. The processes are compared based on their efficiency, selectivity, and practical applicability in nuclear fuel reprocessing

Optimization and Testing: The methodology involves optimizing the extraction conditions, including pH, solvent composition, and ligand concentration, to achieve high selectivity and efficiency in separating actinides from lanthanides. Testing is carried out under simulated conditions to mimic real-world scenarios

Comparative Analysis: The study compares the performance of new and existing ligands and processes, providing insights into their advantages and limitations. This helps in identifying the most promising approaches for further development and application in nuclear waste remediation

Key Findings

PUREX Process

- Widely used for plutonium and uranium recovery.
- Uses tributyl phosphate (TBP) as an extractant in hydrocarbon solvents like kerosene.
- Reduces the volume and radiotoxicity of waste compared to the once-through fuel cycle.
- Key milestones include high efficiency, increased production of UO2 and mixed-oxide (MOX) fuels, and modifications to decrease solid waste volume and environmental impact.
- Does not address the isolation of minor actinides, posing challenges for long-term waste storage.

DIAMEX Process

- Developed by French researchers for the extraction of actinides from high-level waste nitric acid solutions.

- Uses diamides like N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-dibutyltetradecylmalonamide (DMDBTDMA).

- Advantages include the CHON principle for incineration of separation materials to minimize waste

volume.

- Challenges include structural complexity and narrow range of HNO3 concentrations for good

recovery of trivalent actinides.

TRUEX Process

- Uses (N,N'-diisobutylcarbamoylmethyl)octylphenylphosphine oxide (CMPO) for extraction.

- CMPO forms complexes with actinides and lanthanides, but shows little discrimination between

them.

- Mixed-solvent systems with TBP enhance distribution ratios and compatibility with aliphatic

diluents.

- Challenges include difficulties in stripping and recovering metals due to high nitric acid

concentration.

SANEX Process

- Focuses on the selective removal of americium and curium from waste.

- Uses BTP (1,2,4-triazin-3-yloligopyridines) ligands for metal extraction.

- CyMe4-BTP is resistant to hydrolysis and radiolysis but has slow extraction kinetics.

- New ligands like CA-BTP improve extraction kinetics and stability.

TALSPEAK Process

- Separates trivalent actinides from trivalent lanthanides using carboxylic and aminopolycarboxylic

acids.

- Uses diethylenetriamine-N,N,N',N",N"-pentaacetic acid (DTPA) as a complexant.

- Achieves separation through selective partitioning, with improvements sought in extractant and

holdback reagent complex strength.

GANEX Process

- Designed for Generation IV reactors to separate all actinides from lanthanides.
- Uses two extractants, TBP and BTBP, with cyclohexanone as a diluent.
- Simplifies separation by eliminating intermediate steps and enhancing proliferation resistance.

Lanthanides as Models for Actinides in Structural Characterization

- Lanthanides are used as analogues for actinides due to similar ionic radii and coordination preferences.
- Allows safer research and training with wider access to analytical tools.
- Lanthanides provide models for understanding actinide behavior, though differences in chemistry and bonding must be considered .

BTP and BTBP Ligands

- BTP and BTBP ligands show high selectivity for actinides over lanthanides.
- Investigations focus on understanding structural differences and improving extraction efficiency.
- New ligands like CyMe4-BTBP enhance radiolytic resistance and extraction kinetics .

5f Coordination Compounds

- Complexes of 5f elements like uranium and plutonium are characterized to understand bonding and stability.
- Coordination environments are studied to design ligands with optimal extraction efficiency and selectivity .

Conclusion

Continued Research: The conclusion emphasizes the necessity of ongoing research to develop new separation technologies and understand the fundamental chemistry of actinides and lanthanides.

Safe Recyclling and Recovery: Addressing concerns related to the safe recycling or recovery of fissionable materials remains a priority, highlighting the need for innovative separation methods.

Fundamental Coordination Chemistry: Further studies in fundamental coordination chemistry are critical to define parameters for optimizing separations and to explore the differences in chemical behavior between the 4f and 5f elements.

Limited Structural Data: The conclusion notes the relatively small amount of structural data available for 4f and 5f elements compared to first-row transition metals, which hinders adequate evaluation of computational methods and characterizations.

Need for New Models: It stresses the need for new models to probe and elucidate the chemistry and environmental behavior of these important elements, which is essential for advancing separation technologies and ensuring environmental safety.

Relevance to Study

Ligand Design and Synthesis: The article discusses the design and synthesis of ligands such as BTP and BTBP, which are crucial for selective extraction of actinides from lanthanides. These ligands have been tailored to improve selectivity, stability, and resistance to radiolysis, making them ideal candidates for nuclear waste remediation processes

Evaluation of Extraction Process: The article provides a detailed analysis of various extraction processes like PUREX, DIAMEX, SANEX, and GANEX. Each process uses specific ligands and conditions optimized for selective separation of actinides, which is essential for efficient nuclear fuel reprocessing

Structual Characterization: The use of lanthanides as models for actinides in structural characterization helps in understanding the coordination chemistry of actinides. This knowledge is vital for designing ligands that can form stable and selective complexes with actinides

Challenges and Innovations: The document highlights the challenges in achieving high selectivity and stability in ligand design and extraction processes. It also presents innovative solutions and

recent advancements in ligand chemistry that address these challenges

Fundamental Chemistry Understanding: The insights into the fundamental coordination chemistry of f-elements, including differences in chemical behavior between 4f and 5f elements, are crucial for developing effective ligands for nuclear fuel cycle applications

Critical Parameters Identified

High Importance

Chemical Stability: The study emphasizes the need for ligands that remain stable under various chemical conditions, including acidic and basic environments. Examples include the use of diamides in the DIAMEX process and BTP ligands in the SANEX process, which are designed to withstand the harsh conditions of nuclear waste processing

Radiolysis Resistance: Radiolytic stability is crucial due to the radioactive nature of the materials.

The document discusses ligands like CyMe4-BTP, which have been developed to resist radiolytic degradation, ensuring they maintain their efficiency during the separation process

Thermodynamics: The feasibility and selectivity of extraction processes are heavily influenced by the thermodynamic properties of the ligands. The study provides insights into the binding strength and selectivity of various ligands towards actinides, which is fundamental for designing effective separation processes

Medium Importance

Kinetics (forwards and reverse): The speed of the separation process and the ability to reach equilibrium within practical time frames are discussed, particularly in the context of the SANEX and TRUEX processes. Efficient kinetics are essential for the practical application of these extraction methods

Loading Capacity: The efficiency of ligands in processing large amounts of material before becoming saturated is mentioned. This is crucial for the operational efficiency of processes like

PUREX and DIAMEX, where high loading capacities are beneficial

Operational Condition Range: The ability of ligands to function under a broad range of conditions increases the flexibility of the separation process. The study highlights the importance of ligands that can operate effectively under different pH levels and solvent conditions, which is vital for processes like GANEX and DIAMEX

Low Importance

Solubility: While solubility is important, it can often be managed through solvent selection. The study mentions the solubility of ligands like DMDBTDMA in the DIAMEX process but treats it as less critical compared to stability and selectivity

Dispersion Numbers: This influences the efficiency of mass transfer between phases but is specific to the system setup. The document does not heavily focus on dispersion numbers, indicating its lower relative importance

Phase Disengagment: Critical for practical separation after extraction, phase disengagement is discussed in the context of solvent extraction processes like PUREX and TRUEX. However, it is treated as less critical compared to other factors like stability and kinetics