

Probability theory II Assignment 2

2021-21116 Taeyoung Chang

Exercises

Section 4.2 Martinagles, Almost Sure Convergence

Section 4.3 Examples

Section 4.3 Doob's Inequality, Convergence in L^p

4.2.1 Suppose X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_n and let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Then $\mathcal{G}_n \supset \mathcal{F}_n$ and X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n .

Proof. Since X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_n , X_n is integrable. Also, $X_n \in \mathcal{G}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a sequence of collections $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_n$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{(X_j \in B) : j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$$

Then we have $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $X_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$, we have $(X_j \in B) \in \mathcal{G}_j \subset \mathcal{G}_n$. It implies that $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, since \mathcal{G}_n is a σ -field, we get $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we should show that X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n . As we said before, X_n is integrable. Also, $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the definition of \mathcal{F}_n . Finally, $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= E[E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{G}_n]|\mathcal{F}_n] \quad \because \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n \text{ smoothing property} \\ &= E[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] \quad \because X_n \text{ is martingale w.r.t } \mathcal{G}_n \\ &= X_n \quad \because X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n □

Remark. If X_n is a martingale then \mathcal{F}_n defined by $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is the smallest filtration that makes X_n a martingale.

4.2.4 Let $X_n, n \geq 0$, be a submartingale with $\sup X_n < \infty$. Let $\xi_n = X_n - X_{n-1}$ and suppose $E(\sup \xi_n^+) < \infty$. Show that X_n converges a.s.

Proof. Take $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $N = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : X_n > M\}$. Then N is a stopping time.

$$\because (N = n) = (X_0 \leq M) \cap \dots \cap (X_{n-1} \leq M) \cap (X_n > M) \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Consider $X_{N \wedge n}$. Since X_n is a martingale and N is a stopping time, $X_{N \wedge n}$ is also a submartingale.

i. If $n < N$

$$X_{N \wedge n} = X_n \text{ and } X_n \leq M. \text{ Also } X_n^+ \leq M$$

ii. Else, if $n \geq N$

$$X_{N \wedge n} = X_N > M. \text{ Note that } X_{N-1} \leq M \text{ and } \xi_N = X_N - X_{N-1}. \text{ Hence we have}$$

$$X_N = X_{N-1} + \xi_N \leq M + \xi_N^+ \leq M + \sup_n \xi_n^+ \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and also } X_N^+ \leq M + \sup_n \xi_n^+ \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Combining two cases, we have $X_{N \wedge n}^+ \leq M + \sup_n \xi_n^+ \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Taking expectation, we have $E[X_{N \wedge n}^+] \leq M + E[\sup_n \xi_n^+] \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By taking supremum, we get $\sup_n E[X_{N \wedge n}^+] \leq M + E[\sup_n \xi_n^+]$

Since $E[\sup_n \xi_n^+] < \infty$ by assumption, we have $\sup_n E[X_{N \wedge n}^+] < \infty$. By the submartingale convergence theorem, $X_{N \wedge n}$ converges a.s.

Note that if $N = \infty$ then $X_{N \wedge n} = X_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence X_n converges a.s. on $(N = \infty)$

We have taken arbitrary $M \in \mathbb{N}$ for defining a stopping time N . Hence we can write it as N_M to emphasize that it depends on the value of M . Then for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(N_M = \infty) = (X_n \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) = \left(\sup_n X_n \leq M \right)$$

Therefore $P\left(\sup_n X_n \leq M\right) = P(N_M = \infty) \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\left(\sup_n X_n \leq M\right)$ is increasing sequence of events w.r.t M . i.e. $\left(\sup_n X_n \leq M\right) \subset \left(\sup_n X_n \leq M+1\right) \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $(N_M = \infty)$ is also increasing sequence of events w.r.t M . By assumption $\sup_n X_n < \infty$ a.s.,

$$1 = P\left(\sup_n X_n < \infty\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sup_n X_n \leq M\right)\right) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup_n X_n \leq M\right)$$

due to continuity from below of probability measure. Combining the results above, we have

$$1 = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} P(N_M = \infty) = P\left(\bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} (N_M = \infty)\right)$$

Let $C = \left(\bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} (N_M = \infty)\right)$. Since X_n converges a.s. on $(N_M = \infty)$ for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have X_n converges a.s. on C with $P(C) = 1$. Therefore X_n converges a.s. \square

4.2.7 Suppose $y_n > -1$ for all n and $\sum |y_n| < \infty$. Show that $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 + y_m)$ exists.



Proof. We shall use the result $|\log(1+x)| \leq |x-x^2| \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \dots (*)$ by the visual result of graphing device (Desmos).

From the assumption $\sum_n |y_n| < \infty$, we have $y_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$|y_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq M$ which implies $y_n^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \forall n \geq M$. Since $\sum_n \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n$ converges, $\sum_n y_n^2$ also converges by the comparison test. Now take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $N \geq M$ and observe that

$$\left| \sum_{n>N} \log(1+y_n) \right| \leq \sum_{n>N} |\log(1+y_n)| \leq \sum_{n>N} |y_n - y_n^2| \leq \sum_{n>N} |y_n| + \sum_{n>N} y_n^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

The second inequality comes from the two fact : $|y_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq M$ and the inequality $(*)$. The convergence to zero is derived from the fact that $\sum_n |y_n|$ and $\sum_n y_n^2$ are both convergent series and the tail part of the convergent series tends to zero.

Therefore $\sum_n \log(1+y_n)$ converges to a finite number and $\prod_n (1+y_n) = \exp(\sum_n \log(1+y_n))$ also converges to a finite number \square

4.2.8 Let X_n and Y_n be positive integrable and adapted to \mathcal{F}_n . Suppose

$$E(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) \leq (1+Y_n)X_n$$

with $\sum Y_n < \infty$ a.s. Prove that X_n converges a.s. to a finite limit by finding a closely related supermartingale to which Theorem 4.2.12 can be applied.

Proof. We shall define a nonnegative supermartingale to utilize supermartingale convergence thm.

$$W_n := \frac{X_n}{\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1+Y_m)}$$

Since X_n and Y_n are positive, W_n is also positive. Also, $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1+Y_m) \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, we have $W_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $(1+Y_n) > 1$ so that $\prod_{m=1}^n (1+Y_m) > 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$E(W_n) = E\left[\frac{X_n}{\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1+Y_m)}\right] \leq E[X_n] < \infty \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

The last inequality $E(X_n) < \infty$ comes from the assumption that X_n is integrable. Thus W_n is integrable. To show W_n is a supermartingale, it suffices to show that $E[W_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \leq W_n$

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= E\left[\frac{X_{n+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^n (1+Y_m)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^n (1+Y_m)} E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \quad \because \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^n (1+Y_m)} \in \mathcal{F}_n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^n (1+Y_m)} (1+Y_n)X_n \quad \because \text{By assumption } E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \leq (1+Y_n)X_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1+Y_m)} X_n = W_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, W_n is a nonnegative supermartingale. By supermartingale convergence thm, $W_n \rightarrow W$ a.s. for some integrable r.v. W . Note that

$$X_n = W_n \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1+Y_m)$$

Since $Y_n > 0$ and $\sum_n Y_n < \infty$ a.s. by the assumption, we can apply the result of the previous exercise so that $\prod_n (1+Y_n)$ converges a.s. . In other words, $\prod_n (1+Y_n) \rightarrow Z$ a.s. for some r.v. Z . Then we can conclude that $X_n \rightarrow WZ$ a.s. . Note that Z is finite by the result of the previous exercise and W is finite a.s. since it is nonnegative and integrable. Hence WZ is finite a.s. \square

4.3.1 Give an example of a martingale X_n with $\sup_n |X_n| < \infty$ and $P(X_n = a \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ for $a = -1, 0, 1$. This example shows that it is not enough to have $\sup |X_{n+1} - X_n| < \infty$ in Theorem 4.3.1.

Proof. Let $\{U_n\}_n$ be i.i.d random sequence with $U_1 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(U_1, \dots, U_n)$. Let $X_0 = 0$ and define X_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as below :

$$X_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{if } U_{n+1} < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} & \text{if } X_n = 0 \\ \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } U_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \\ n^2 X_n & \text{if } U_{n+1} < \frac{1}{n^2} \end{cases} & \text{if } X_n \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that $P(U_n < \frac{1}{n^2}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_n P(U_n < \frac{1}{n^2}) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$. By Borel Cantelli lemma, this implies that $P(U_{n+1} < \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. In other words,

$$P(U_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ all but finitely many } n) = 1$$

Let $B = (U_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ all but finitely many } n)$. Then $P(B) = 1$ and for each $\omega \in B$, there is large enough N s.t. sequence $X_N, X_{N+1}, X_{N+2}, \dots$ is given by $0, \pm 1, 0, \pm 1, \dots$.

Hence $\omega \in (X_n = 1 \text{ i.o.}) \cap (X_n = -1 \text{ i.o.}) \cap (X_n = 0 \text{ i.o.})$ whenever $\omega \in B$. Since $P(B) = 1$, we have $P(X_n = a \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ for $a = -1, 1, 0$.

Also, since $|X_n| \leq (n!)^2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|X_n| \leq 1$ for large enough n a.s. we have $\sup_n |X_n| < \infty$. By this X_n is integrable. Also $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$. To show X_n is a martingale, it suffices to show that $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= E[X_{n+1}I(X_n = 0) + X_{n+1}I(X_n \neq 0)|\mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= E[X_{n+1}I(X_n = 0)|\mathcal{F}_n] + E[X_{n+1}I(X_n \neq 0)|\mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= I(X_n = 0)E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] + I(X_n \neq 0)E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= I(X_n = 0)\left\{\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-1)\right\} + I(X_n \neq 0)\left\{\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n^2 X_n\right\} \\ &= 0 \cdot I(X_n = 0) + X_n \cdot I(X_n \neq 0) = X_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore X_n is a martingale w.r.t \mathcal{F}_n □

4.3.3 Let X_n and Y_n be positive integrable and adapted to \mathcal{F}_n . Suppose $E(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) \leq X_n + Y_n$, with $\sum Y_n < \infty$ a.s. Prove that X_n converges a.s. to a finite limit. Hint: Let $N = \inf_k \sum_{m=1}^k Y_m > M$, and stop your supermartingale at time N .

Proof. We want to define a supermartingale. Define W_n as below :

$$W_n := X_n - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We shall show that W_n is a supermartingale w.r.t \mathcal{F}_n .

First, since $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, we have $W_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Second, $E|W_n| \leq E|X_n| + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} E|Y_m|$ and X_n, Y_n are all integrable so that $E|W_n| < \infty$. For showing W_n is a supermartingale, it suffices to show that $E[W_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \leq W_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= E\left[X_{n+1} - \sum_{m=1}^n Y_m \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right] = E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] - \sum_{m=1}^n Y_m \\ &\leq X_n + Y_n - \sum_{m=1}^n Y_m \quad \because E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \leq X_n + Y_n \quad \text{by assumption} \\ &= X_n - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m = W_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, W_n is a supermartingale.

Take $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $N = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{m=1}^n Y_m > M\}$. Then N is a stopping time.

$$\because (N = n) = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m \leq M\right) \cap \left(\sum_{m=1}^n Y_m > M\right) \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since W_n is a supermartingale and N is a stopping time, $W_{N \wedge n}$ is a supermartingale.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{N \wedge n} &= X_{N \wedge n} - \sum_{m=1}^{(N \wedge n)-1} Y_m \\ &\geq X_{N \wedge n} - M \quad \because \sum_{m=1}^{(N \wedge n)-1} Y_m \leq \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} Y_m \leq M \\ W_{N \wedge n} + M &\geq X_{N \wedge n} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have used the assumption that X_n and Y_n are positive.

Thus $W_{N \wedge n} + M$ is nonnegative supermartingale. By supermartingale convergence thm, $W_{N \wedge n} + M$ converges *a.s.* to an integrable random variable. It implies that $W_{N \wedge n} \rightarrow W$ *a.s.* for some integrable r.v. W . Note that if $N = \infty$ then $W_{N \wedge n} = W_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $W_n \rightarrow W$ *a.s.* on $(N = \infty)$.

We have taken arbitrary $M \in \mathbb{N}$ for defining a stopping time N . Hence we can write it as N_M to emphasize that it depends on the value of M . Then for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(N_M = \infty) = \left(\sum_{m=1}^n Y_m \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\right) = \left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M\right)$$

Therefore $P\left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M\right) = P(N_M = \infty) \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M\right)$ is increasing sequence of events w.r.t M . i.e. $\left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M\right) \subset \left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M+1\right) \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $(N_M = \infty)$ is also increasing sequence of events w.r.t M .

By assumption $\sum_n Y_n < \infty$ *a.s.*,

$$1 = P\left(\sum_n Y_n < \infty\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M\right)\right) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sum_n Y_n \leq M\right)$$

due to continuity from below of probability measure. Combining the results above, we have

$$1 = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} P(N_M = \infty) = P\left(\bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} (N_M = \infty)\right)$$

Let $C = \left(\bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} (N_M = \infty) \right)$. Since $W_n \rightarrow W$ a.s. on $(N_M = \infty)$ for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $W_n \rightarrow W$ a.s. on C with $P(C) = 1$. Therefore $W_n \rightarrow W$ a.s. with W being integrable. Since $X_n = W_n + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m$, $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s. where $X = W + \sum_n Y_n$ with W and $\sum_n Y_n$ being finite a.s. . Therefore X_n converges a.s. to a finite limit X \square

4.3.4 Let $p_m \in [0, 1)$. Use the Borel-Cantelli lemmas to show that

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - p_m) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_m = \infty.$$

Proof. Define a random sequence $\{X_n\}_n$ such that $X_n \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p_n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using this random sequence, we can write that

$$\prod_n (1 - p_n) = P(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N})$$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\sum_n p_n = \infty$. Then $\sum_n P(X_n = 1) = \infty$. Since X_n 's are independent, we can apply Borel Cantelli lemma so that $P(X_n = 1 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$. Thus $P(X_n = 0 \text{ all but finitely many } n) = 0$.

Since $(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \subset (X_n = 0 \text{ all but finitely many } n)$, we have $P(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) = 0$. Therefore $\prod_n (1 - p_n) = 0$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $\sum_n p_n < \infty$. Since the tail part of the convergent series tends to zero, we have large N s.t. $\sum_{n>N} p_n < 1$ so that $1 - \sum_{n>N} p_n > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n > N) &= P\left(\bigcap_{n>N} (X_n = 0)\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{n>N} (X_n = 1)\right) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n>N} P(X_n = 1) = 1 - \sum_{n>N} p_n > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) &= P(X_1 = 0)P(X_2 = 0) \cdots P(X_N = 0)P(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n > N) \\ &= (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) \cdots (1 - p_N)P(X_n = 0 \quad \forall n > N) > 0 \quad \because p_n < 1 \quad \forall n \text{ is assumed} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\prod_n (1 - p_n) > 0$. As a result, $\sum_n p_n < \infty$ implies $\prod_n (1 - p_n) > 0$. By contrapositive, we have the right direction proved. \square

Remark. Notice that for the left direction, we have not taken advantage of assumption $p_n < 1 \quad \forall n$

4.3.5 Show $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(A_n | \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c) = \infty$ implies $P(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^c) = 0$.

Proof. Define $p_1 = P(A_1)$ and $p_n = P(A_n | \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By assumption, we have $\sum_n p_n = \infty$. From the previous exercise, we get $\prod_n (1 - p_n) = 0 \quad \cdots (*)$
 $1 - p_1 = P(A_1^c)$. What about $1 - p_n$ for each $n > 1$?

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - p_n &= 1 - P\left(A_n \mid \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right) = 1 - E\left[I_{A_n} \mid \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right] = P\left(A_n^c \mid \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right) \\ &= E\left[1 - I_{A_n} \mid \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right] = E\left[I_{A_n^c} \mid \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right] = P\left(A_n^c \mid \bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right) = \frac{P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^n A_m^c\right)}{P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^c\right)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can calculate $\sum_{m=1}^n (1 - p_m)$ as below :

$$\prod_{m=1}^n (1 - p_m) = P(A_1^C) \frac{P(A_1^C \cap A_2^C)}{P(A_1^C)} \frac{P(A_1^C \cap A_2^C \cap A_3^C)}{P(A_1^C \cap A_2^C)} \cdots \frac{P(\bigcap_{m=1}^n A_m^C)}{P(\bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} A_m^C)} = P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^n A_m^C\right)$$

Therefore, using continuity from above of probability measure , we have

$$\prod_n (1 - p_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=1}^n (1 - p_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^n A_m^C\right) = P\left(\bigcap_n A_n^C\right)$$

By (*) above, we have $P\left(\bigcap_n A_n^C\right) = 0$ □

4.4.1 Show that if $j \leq k$, then $E(X_j; N = j) \leq E(X_k; N = j)$ and sum over j to get a second proof of $EX_N \leq EX_k$.

Proof. Assume X_n is a submartingale and N is a stopping time w.r.t a filtration \mathcal{F}_n . Suppose $N \leq k$ a.s. for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$X_N = \sum_{j=0}^k X_j I(N = j) \text{ a.s.}$$

We shall claim that

$$E[X_j I(N = j)] \leq E[X_k I(N = j)] \quad \forall j \leq k$$

Choose $j \leq k$. Since X_n is a submartingale , $X_j \leq E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_j]$ holds true. For $A_j \in \mathcal{F}_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_j I_{A_j}] &= \int_{A_j} X_j dP \leq \int_{A_j} E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_j] dP \quad \because X_j \leq E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_j] \\ &= \int_{A_j} X_k dP \quad \because \text{def. of conditional expectation} \\ &= E[X_k I_{A_j}] \end{aligned}$$

$(N = j) \in \mathcal{F}_j$ since N is a stopping time. Therefore, our claim is proved. Using the claim, we can show that

$$E[X_N] = \sum_{j=0}^k E[X_j I(N = j)] \leq \sum_{j=0}^k E[X_k I(N = j)] = E[X_k]$$

The last equality holds since $N \leq k$ a.s. implies that $\{(N = 0), \dots, (N = k)\}$ is a partition of Ω in almost sure sense. i.e. $\sum_{j=0}^k I(N = j) = 1$ a.s.

Therefore, we have proved that $E[X_N] \leq E[X_k]$ □

4.4.2 Generalize the proof of Theorem 4.4.1 to show that if X_n is a submartingale and $M \leq N$ are stopping times with $P(N \leq k) = 1$, then $EX_M \leq EX_N$.

Proof. Define $K_n = I(M < n \leq N) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then K_n is predictable since

$$(K_n = 1) = (N \geq n) \cap (M < n) = (N \leq n-1)^C \cap (M \leq n-1) \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$$

Then, we can define a process $(K \cdot X)_n$ as below :

$$\begin{aligned} (K \cdot X)_n &= \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(X_j - X_{j-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n I(M < j \leq N)(X_j - X_{j-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n I(M+1 \leq j \leq N)(X_j - X_{j-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=(M \wedge n)+1}^{N \wedge n} X_j - X_{j-1} = X_{N \wedge n} - X_{M \wedge n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Define $(K \cdot X)_0 = 0$. Then since X_n is a submartingale and K_n is a predictable sequence, $\{K \cdot X\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ is a submartingale. It implies that $\{X_{N \wedge n} - X_{M \wedge n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ is a submartingale. Note that if Y_n is a martingale then $E[Y_i] \leq E[Y_j]$ whenever $i \leq j$. Plugging in $i = 0$ and $j = k$ on $X_{N \wedge n} - X_{M \wedge n}$, we have $E[X_0 - X_0] \leq E[X_N - X_M]$ since $M \leq N \leq k$ a.s. . Therefore, $0 \leq E[X_N] - E[X_M]$ i.e. $E[X_N] \geq E[X_M]$ \square

4.4.3 Suppose $M \leq N$ are stopping times. If $A \in \mathcal{F}_M$, then

$$L = \begin{cases} M & \text{on } A \\ N & \text{on } A^c \end{cases} \quad \text{is a stopping time.}$$

Proof. $\mathcal{F}_M = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap (M = n) \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Take $A \in \mathcal{F}_M$ and define L as above. Choose arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to show that $(L = n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Note that

$$(L = n) = ((M = n) \cap A) \cup ((N = n) \cap A^C)$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{F}_M$, $(M = n) \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ by definition of \mathcal{F}_M .

Observe that since $M \leq N$, $(N = n) = (N = n) \cap (M \leq N)$. Using this equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (N = n) \cap A^C &= (N = n) \cap (M \leq n) \cap A^C \\ &= (N = n) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{(M = k) \cap A^C\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{F}_M$ and \mathcal{F}_M is a σ -field, we have $A^C \in \mathcal{F}_M$ so that $(M = k) \cap A^C \in \mathcal{F}_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{(M = k) \cap A^C\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Also, since N is a stopping time, $(N = n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Thus $(N = n) \cap A^C \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and combining with $(M = n) \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_n$, we have $(L = n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$ \square

4.4.4 Use the stopping times from the previous exercise to strengthen the conclusion of Exercise 4.4.2 to $X_M \leq E(X_N|\mathcal{F}_M)$.

Proof. Assume X_n is a submartingale and $M \leq N$ are stopping times with $N \leq k$ a.s. Note that $X_M \in \mathcal{F}_M$ by definition of \mathcal{F}_M and $E[X_N|\mathcal{F}_M] \in \mathcal{F}_M$ by definition of conditional expectation. Hence, if we can show

$$\int_A X_M dP \leq \int_A E[X_N|\mathcal{F}_M] dP \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_M$$

then $X_M \leq E[X_N|\mathcal{F}_M]$ is proved. Notice that for $A \in \mathcal{F}_M$, we have $\int_A E[X_N|\mathcal{F}_M] dP = \int_A X_N dP$. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\int_A X_M dP \leq \int_A X_N dP \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_M \quad \dots (*)$$

Take $A \in \mathcal{F}_M$. To use the result of the previous exercise, define L by

$$L = M \cdot I_A + N \cdot I_{A^c}$$

By Exer. 4.4.3, L is a stopping time. Notice that $L \leq N$ since $M \leq N$. Using Exer. 4.4.2, we have $E[X_L] \leq E[X_N]$. By the definition of L , we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_L &= X_M I_A + X_N I_{A^c} \\ E[X_L] &= E[X_M I_A] + E[X_N I_{A^c}] \\ E[X_N] &= E[X_N I_A] + E[X_N I_{A^c}] \\ E[X_M I_A] + E[X_N I_{A^c}] &\leq E[X_N I_A] + E[X_N I_{A^c}] \quad \because E[X_L] \leq E[X_N] \\ E[X_M I_A] &\leq E[X_N I_A] \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \int_A X_M dP \leq \int_A X_N dP \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proved (*) holds true. We can conclude that $X_M \leq E[X_N|\mathcal{F}_M]$ □

4.4.5 Prove the following variant of the conditional variance formula. If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$, then

$$E(E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2 = E(E[Y|\mathcal{G}])^2 - E(E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2$$

Proof. We shall take advantage of the fact that for any integrable r.v. X and a σ -field \mathcal{F} , we have $E[E[X|\mathcal{F}]] = E[X]$. Also, we will denote $E^2[X] := \{E(X)\}^2$

$$E[(E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2] = E[E[(E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2|\mathcal{F}]] = E[Z]$$

$$\text{where } Z := E[(E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2|\mathcal{F}] = E[W|\mathcal{F}]$$

$$\text{with } W := (E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2$$

$$W = E^2[Y|\mathcal{G}] + E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}] - 2E[Y|\mathcal{G}]E[Y|\mathcal{F}]$$

★ We shall take $E[\cdot|\mathcal{F}]$

$$E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}]|\mathcal{F}] = E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}] \quad \because E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}] \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$E[E[Y|\mathcal{G}]E[Y|\mathcal{F}]|\mathcal{F}] = E[Y|\mathcal{F}]E[E[Y|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{F}] = E[Y|\mathcal{F}]E[Y|\mathcal{F}] \quad \because \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = E[W|\mathcal{F}] = E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{F}] + E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}] - 2E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}] = E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{F}] - E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}]$$

$$E[Z] = E[E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{F}]] - E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}]] = E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{G}]] - E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}]]$$

Since $E[(E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2] = E[Z]$, we have

$$E[(E[Y|\mathcal{G}] - E[Y|\mathcal{F}])^2] = E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{G}]] - E[E^2[Y|\mathcal{F}]]$$

□

4.4.6 Suppose in addition to the conditions introduced earlier that $|\xi_m| \leq K$ and let $s_n^2 = \sum_{m \leq n} \sigma_m^2$. Exercise 4.2.2 implies that $S_n^2 - s_n^2$ is a martingale. Use this and Theorem 4.4.1 to conclude

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m| \leq x\right) \leq (x + K)^2 / \text{var}(S_n)$$

Proof. Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be independent random seq. with $E[\xi_i] = 0$ and $E[\xi_i^2] = \sigma_i^2 < \infty \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. S_n, \mathcal{F}_n and s_n^2 are defined by $S_n = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$, $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ and $s_n^2 = \text{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$. Especially, $S_0 = 0, s_0^2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\phi, \Omega\}$. In addition, assume $|\xi_n| \leq K \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $K > 0$. Note that S_n is integrable and $S_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Since s_n^2 is finite constant for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $S_n^2 - s_n^2$ is integrable and $S_n^2 - s_n^2 \in \mathcal{F}_n$. To show $S_n^2 - s_n^2$ is a martingale, it suffices to show that $E[S_{n+1}^2 - s_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] = S_n^2 - s_n^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[S_{n+1}^2 - s_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] &= E[(S_n + \xi_{n+1})^2 - s_n^2 - \sigma_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[S_n^2 - s_n^2 + \xi_{n+1}^2 - \sigma_{n+1}^2 + 2S_n\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= S_n^2 - s_n^2 + E[\xi_{n+1}^2 - \sigma_{n+1}^2] + 2S_n E[\xi_{n+1}] = S_n^2 - s_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Take $x > 0$. Define $A := (\max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m| > x)$. Note that we want to find the upper bound of $P(A^C)$ in this problem. Let $N = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{N} : |S_m| > x\}$. N is a stopping time since

$$(N = n) = (|S_1| \leq x) \cap \dots \cap (|S_{n-1}| \leq x) \cap (|S_n| > x) \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Due to the fact that $S_n^2 - s_n^2$ is a martingale and N is a stopping time, we have $S_{N \wedge n}^2 - s_{N \wedge n}^2$ is also a martingale. Since $N \wedge n \leq n$, we can apply bounded optional stopping theorem.

$$E[S_0^2 - s_0^2] = E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 - s_{N \wedge n}^2] = E[S_n^2 - s_n^2]$$

We will use the left equality, which implies that $E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 - s_{N \wedge n}^2] = 0 \dots (*)$

- i. On $A = (\max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m| > x)$
 $|S_m| > x$ for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ so that $N \leq n \Rightarrow N \wedge n = N$
 $|S_{N \wedge n}| = |S_N| = |S_{N-1} + \xi_N| \leq |S_{N-1}| + |\xi_N| \leq x + K$
- ii. On $A^c = (\max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m| \leq x)$
 $|S_m| > x$ is not attained for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ so that $N > n \Rightarrow N \wedge n = n$
 $|S_{N \wedge n}| = |S_n| \leq x$

Using (*), we have the following results.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 - s_{N \wedge n}^2] = E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 I_A + S_{N \wedge n}^2 I_{A^C}] - E[s_{N \wedge n}^2 I_A + s_{N \wedge n}^2 I_{A^C}] \\
E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 I_A] &\leq E[(x + K)^2 I_A] = (x + K)^2 P(A) \\
E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 I_{A^C}] &\leq E[x^2 I_{A^C}] = x^2 P(A^C) \\
E[s_{N \wedge n}^2 I_A] &= E[s_N^2 I_A] \geq 0 \quad \sqrt{s_N^2} \text{ is random ; not constant} \\
E[s_{N \wedge n}^2 I_{A^C}] &= E[s_n^2 I_{A^C}] = s_n^2 P(A^C) = \text{Var}(S_n) P(A^C) \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= E[S_{N \wedge n}^2 - s_{N \wedge n}^2] \leq (x + K)^2 P(A) + x^2 P(A^C) - \text{Var}(S_n) P(A^C) \\
\Rightarrow 0 &\leq (x + K)^2 - \{\text{Var}(S_n) + (x + K)^2 - x^2\} P(A^C) \\
\Rightarrow (x + K)^2 &\geq \{\text{Var}(S_n) + (x + K)^2 - x^2\} P(A^C) \geq \text{Var}(S_n) P(A^C) \\
\therefore P(A^C) &\leq \frac{(x + K)^2}{\text{Var}(S_n)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $A^C = (\max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m| \leq x)$, we have proved our desired result. □