

Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 9968914 Date: JUNE 30, 2020

Appeal of California Service Center Decision

Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (H-1B)

The Petitioner, an international staffing and consulting services company, seeks to temporarily employ the Beneficiary under the H-1B nonimmigrant classification for specialty occupations. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The H-1B program allows a U.S. employer to temporarily employ a qualified foreign worker in a position that requires both (a) the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge and (b) the attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum prerequisite for entry into the position.

The Director of the California Service Center denied the petition, concluding that the record did not establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. On appeal, the Petitioner submits a brief and additional documentation, and asserts that the Director's decision was erroneous.

The Petitioner bears the burden of proof to demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. Section 291 of the Act; *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010). We review the questions in this matter *de novo*. *See Matter of Christo 's Inc.*, 26 I&N Dec. 537, 537 n.2 (AAO 2015). Upon *de novo* review, we will remand the matter for the entry of a new decision.

The Petitioner submits additional evidence on appeal and asserts that the evidence submitted demonstrates that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. Because the Director has not yet addressed the additional evidence, we shall return the matter for the Director to consider the Petitioner's claim anew.

ORDER: The decision of the Director is withdrawn. The matter is remanded for the entry of a new decision.