



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

In Re: 8721183

Date: JULY 21, 2020

Appeal of California Service Center Decision

Form I-129, Petition for Nonimmigrant Worker (H-1B)

The Petitioner, an information technology service company, seeks to temporarily employ the Beneficiary as a “network and computer systems administrator” under the H-1B nonimmigrant classification for specialty occupations. Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The H-1B program allows a U.S. employer to temporarily employ a qualified foreign worker in a position that requires both: (a) the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge; and (b) the attainment of a bachelor’s or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum prerequisite for entry into the position.

The Director of the California Service Center denied the petition, concluding that the Petitioner did not establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. On appeal, the Petitioner submits a brief and asserts that the Director erred in denying the petition.

In these proceedings, it is the Petitioner’s burden to establish eligibility for the requested benefit, and we follow the preponderance of the evidence standard as specified in *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375-76 (AAO 2010). Based upon our *de novo* review, we conclude that the nature of the proffered position’s specific duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a bachelor’s degree in a specific specialty, or the equivalent. The record of proceedings therefore satisfies 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4). The Petitioner has also established that the proffered position qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation as defined by section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), and 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii). Further, the record also establishes that the Beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of the proffered position.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained.