
POLS 6313: Field Seminar in International Relations

University of Houston, Department of Political Science

Tyson Chatagnier

Office: PGH 413

Office Hours: By appointment

Email: jtchatagnier@uh.edu

Course Info:

Fall 2021

Days and Time: Thurs. 1:00–4:00 PM

Room Number: PGH 310

Course Description

This is a graduate-level course meant to provide students with a broad overview of the field of international relations and to prepare them for the field's comprehensive examination. Each week, we will examine a different topic within the IR literature. The literature that we survey will include both foundational and more recent works, and will take a variety of theoretical and methodological approaches.

The course has three primary objectives. First, by the semester's end, students should be broadly familiar with the IR canon, and should have a general understanding of the important questions that have motivated scholars in the past and that drive them today. Second, students will critically analyze a wide variety of published research, and will learn to understand and critique international relations literature. Finally, they will learn to identify theoretical and methodological trends, and will gain an understanding of how they can situate their own research within the literature.

Grading Policies

Final grades will be based on four components: class participation, weekly response papers, a final exam, and a research design project:

- **Class participation (15%):** As a survey course, this class carries with it a very heavy reading load. Students are expected not only to read all of the assigned readings, but to do so carefully, and to come prepared to discuss them. The key to success in any seminar is thoughtful reading and discussion, and class participation (both quantity and quality) is a crucial component of the final grade. Students are expected to attend every class and to participate actively. I *will* cold call on students who are not participating. Additionally, poor attendance can and will result in a reduced final grade.
- **Six response papers (15%):** Students are expected to write short papers (not more than two pages), critiquing the week's readings at least six times during the semester. Students may choose which weeks to cover, but a given week's response paper is due no later than **Wednesday at 1:00 PM** of the relevant week. Late papers will not be accepted. If students

opt to write more than six response papers, I will drop the lowest grades. Note that these response papers should be critical and analytical in nature, should tie the readings together, and *should not simply be summaries of the readings*.

- **Final exam (35%):** This will be take-home exam to be completed during the week of final exams. The final is meant to be a practice comprehensive exam, and its format and style will reflect this.
- **Research design project (35%):** Learning to do original research is a key component of graduate training. During the course, students will identify a research question and build a project around it. At the end of the semester, students will turn in a short research design essay, which will effectively comprise the “front end” of a larger paper. This should include an introduction, literature review, and the development of a theoretical argument. While students are not expected to conduct an analysis, the paper should discuss the methods (and, if appropriate, data) that would be used to analyze the research question. A few weeks into the semester, I will ask students to submit some possible ideas and will offer feedback. The final paper is due before class on our last meeting (December 2). **Unless cleared with the instructor *in advance*, late work will be penalized 10% per day.**

Computer Problems

Hard drive crashes and fried motherboards are almost inevitable. In all likelihood, you will experience these problems at least once in your careers. But dead laptops and bricked hard drives are no longer the problems that they once were. Since this class involves outside work that you'll be doing on home computer, *I expect you to maintain backups of your work*. If you don't already have an account with a cloud storage and syncing service, let me recommend Dropbox (<http://www.dropbox.com/>) or Google Drive (<http://www.google.com/drive/>). Using one of these (or a similar service) to back up your work will ensure that you will have access to it even in the event of a crash. Let me stress once more: **“my computer died just before I was going to turn in my assignment” is no longer a valid excuse.**

UH CAPS Statement

Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) can help students who are having difficulties managing stress, adjusting to the demands of a professional program, or feeling sad and hopeless. You can reach CAPS (<http://www.uh.edu/caps>) by calling 713-743-5454 during and after business hours for routine appointments or if you or someone you know is in crisis. No appointment is necessary for the “Let’s Talk” program, a drop-in consultation service at convenient locations and hours around campus. http://www.uh.edu/caps/outreach/lets_talk.html

Readings

To reiterate the above, students should be aware that this is a course with a heavy reading load, and that there exists an expectation that every student will do every reading carefully. Most readings will be journal articles, and can be located easily through JSTOR or Google Scholar. I will make book chapters and those articles to which UH does not have access available through Blackboard.

When doing the reading, try to keep the following six questions in mind:

1. What is the central question?
2. What is the central answer?
3. What are the competing explanations?
4. Why are they wrong?
5. Does the research design match the theory?
6. How could this be improved?

Academic Honesty

All University of Houston students are expected to adhere to the rules and spirit of the school's policies on academic honesty, which are detailed in the Student Handbook and posted online. In this course, although it is certainly acceptable for students to study together and to work together on homework assignments, all write-ups should be completed independently. The course requires a written research paper and students should be especially careful to understand what constitutes plagiarism and to avoid it. To avoid any ambiguities, students should see the section on "Academic Honesty" in the Student Handbook for a full statement regarding UH's rules against cheating and plagiarism (<http://catalog.uh.edu/content.php?catoid=6&navoid=1025>). Violation of the university's policy on academic honesty in an assignment or activity will almost invariably result in a failing grade, and may result in expulsion from the university.

Students with Disabilities

The University of Houston System complies with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, pertaining to the provision of reasonable academic adjustments/auxiliary aids for students who have a disability. In accordance with Section 504 and ADA guidelines, the Student Accessibility Center strives to provide reasonable academic adjustments/auxiliary aids to students who request and require them. If you believe that you have a disability requiring an academic adjustments/auxiliary aid, please call the Justin Dart, Jr. Student Accessibility Center at (713) 743-5400 or email them at JDCenter@central.uh.edu.

Syllabus Changes

Due to the changing nature of the COVID-19 pandemic, please note that the instructor may need to make modifications to the course syllabus and may do so at any time. Notice of such changes will be announced as quickly as possible through email, and modified syllabi will appear on the class Blackboard website.

Course Outline

Week 1

- Course introduction and overview
 - Singer, J. David. 1961. "The Level-Of-Analysis Problem in International Relations." *World Politics* 14(1): 77–92.
 - Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce. 1985. "Toward a Scientific Understanding of International Conflict: A Personal View." *International Studies Quarterly* 29(2): 121–136.
 - Lake, David A. 2011. "Why 'isms' Are Evil: Theory, Epistemology, and Academic Sects as Impediments to Understanding and Progress." *International Studies Quarterly* 55(2): 465–480.
 - Zambernardi, Lorenzo. 2015. "Politics Is Too Important to Be Left to Political Scientists: A Critique of the Theory-Policy Nexus in International Relations." *European Journal of International Relations* 22(1): 3–23.

Recommended readings

- * Bull, Hedley. 1966. "International Theory: The Case for the Classical Approach." *World Politics* 18(3): 361–377.
- * Waltz, Kenneth. 1959. *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. New York: Columbia University Press, Introduction.

Week 2

- Those evil 'isms'
 - Baldwin, David A. 1993. "Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics." In *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*, ed. David A. Baldwin. New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 3–25.
 - Morgenthau, Hans J. 1948. *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. Sixth Edition. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Ch. 1.
 - Thucydides. 1972. *History of the Peloponnesian War*. London: Penguin, "The Melian Dialogue."
 - Waltz, *Theory of International Politics*, Chs. 2, 3, 6

- Keohane and Nye, *Power and Interdependence*, Chs. 1-3

Recommended readings

- * Morgenthau, *Politics Among Nations*, complete book.
- * Gilpin, Robert. 1981. *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- * Mearsheimer, John J. 2001. *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
- * Keohane, Robert O. 1986. *Neorealism and its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- * Milner Helen. 1991. "The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique." *Review of International Studies* 17(1): 67–85.
- * Axelrod, Robert. 1984. *The Evolution of Cooperation*. New York: Basic Books.
- * Keohane, Robert O. 1984. *After Hegemony*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- * Moravcsik, Andrew. 2002. "The Liberal Paradigm in International Relations Theory: A Scientific Assessment." In *Progress in International Relations Theory: Metrics and Measures of Scientific Change*, eds. Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Week 3

- Rational and non-rational approaches to IR
 - Wendt, Alexander. 1992. "Anarchy Is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics." *International Organization* 46(2): 391–425.
 - Hopf, Ted. 1998. "The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory." *International Security* 23(1): 171–200.
 - Fearon, James and Wendt, Alexander. 2002. "Rationalism vs. Constructivism: A Skeptical View." In *Handbook of International Relations*, eds. Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, and Beth A Simmons. London: SAGE, pp.52–72.
 - Kahler, Miles. 1998. "Rationality in International Relations." *International Organization* 52(4): 919–941.
 - Johnson, James. Forthcoming. "Models-As-Fables: An Alternative to the Standard Rationale for Using Formal Models in Political Science." *Perspectives on Politics*.

Recommended readings

- * Walt, Stephen. 1995. "Rigor or Rigor Mortis? Rational Choice and Security Studies." *International Security* 23(4): 5–48.
- * Riker, William H. 1995. "The Political Psychology of Rational Choice Theory." *Political Psychology* 16(1): 23–44.

- * Schelling, Thomas C. 1960. *The Strategy of Conflict*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- * Schelling, Thomas C. 1966. *Arms and Influence*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- * Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce. 1983. *The War Trap*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- * Wendt, Alexander. 1999. *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- * Snidal, Duncan. 2002. "Rational Choice and International Relations." In *Handbook of International Relations*, eds. Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, and Beth A Simmons. London: SAGE, pp.73–94.

Week 4

- The Bargaining Model of War
 - Fearon, James D. 1995. "Rationalist Explanations for War." *International Organization* 49(3): 379–414.
 - Powell, Robert. 2006. "War as a Commitment Problem." *International Organization* 60(1): 169–203.
 - Schelling, Thomas C. 1960. *The Strategy of Conflict*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, Chs. 2–3.
 - Reiter, Dan. 2003. "Exploring the Bargaining Model of War." *Perspectives on Politics* 1(1): 27–43.
 - Quek, Kai. 2017. "Rationalist Experiments on War." *Political Science Research and Methods* 5(1): 123–142.

Recommended readings

- * Morgan, T. Clifton. 1984. "A Spatial Model of Crisis Bargaining." *International Studies Quarterly* 28(4): 407–426.
- * Wagner, Harrison. 2000. "Bargaining and War." *American Journal of Political Science* 44(3): 469–484.
- * Fey, Mark and Kristopher W. Ramsay. 2007. "Mutual Optimism and War." *American Journal of Political Science* 51(4): 738–754.
- * Fey, Mark, Adam Meirowitz, and Kristopher Ramsay. 2013. "Credibility and Commitment in Crisis Bargaining." *Political Science Research and Methods* 1(1): 27–52.
- * Wolford, Scott, Dan Reiter, and Clifford J. Carrubba. 2011. "Information, Commitment, and War." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 55(4): 556–579.
- * Powell, Robert. 1999. *In the Shadow of Power: States and Strategies in International Politics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Week 5

- Domestic politics and war
 - Fearon, James D. 1994. "Domestic Political Audiences and the Escalation of International Disputes." *American Political Science Review* 88(3): 577–592.
 - Weeks, Jessica L. 2008. "Autocratic Audience Costs: Regime Type and Signaling Resolve." *International Organization* 62(1): 35–64.
 - Tomz, Michael. 2007. "Domestic Audience Costs in International Relations: An Experimental Approach." *International Organization* 61(4): 821–840.
 - Crisman-Cox, Casey and Michael Gibilisco. 2018. "Audience Costs and the Dynamics of War and Peace." *Audience Costs and the Dynamics of War and Peace* 62(3): 566–580.
 - Downs, George W. and David M. Rocke. 1994. "Conflict, Agency, and Gambling for Resurrection: The Principal-Agent Problem Goes to War." *American Journal of Political Science* 38(2): 362–380.
 - Tarar, Ahmer. 2006. "Diversionary Incentives and the Bargaining Approach to War." *International Studies Quarterly* 50(1): 169–188.
 - Chiozza, Giacomo and Henk E. Goemans. 2004. "Avoiding Diversionary Targets." *Journal of Peace Research* 41(4): 423–443.

Recommended readings

- * Putnam, Robert. 1988. "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games." *International Organization* 42(3): 427–460.
- * Chatagnier, J. Tyson. 2012. "The Effect of Trust in Government on Rallies 'Round the Flag." *Journal of Peace Research* 49(5): 631–645.
- * Trachtenberg, Marc. 2012. "Audience Costs: An Historical Analysis." *Security Studies* 21(1): 3–42.
- * Dafoe, Allan and Devin Caughey. 2016. "Honor and War: Southern U.S. Presidents and the Effects of Concern for Reputation." *World Politics* 68(2): 341–381.
- * Snyder, Jack. 1991. *Myths of Empire: Domestic Politics and International Ambition*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- * Schultz, Kenneth A. 2001. *Democracy and Coercive Diplomacy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- * Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, Alastair Smith, Randolph M. Siverson, and James D. Morrow. 2003. *The Logic of Political Survival*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Week 6

- **APSA MEETING – NO CLASS**
 - Send me three ideas for a final project

Week 7

- Leaders

- Chiozza, Giacomo and H. E. Goemans. 2004. "International Conflict and the Tenure of Leaders: Is War Still *Ex Post* Inefficient?" *American Journal of Political Science* 48(3): 604–619.
- Debs, Alexandre and H. E. Goemans. 2010. "Regime Type, the Fate of Leaders, and War." *American Political Science Review* 104(3): 430–445.
- Horowitz, Michael C. and Allan C. Stam. 2014. "How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders." *International Organization* 68(3): 527–559.
- Croco, Sarah E. and Jessica L. P. Weeks. 2016. "War Outcomes and Leader Tenure." *World Politics* 68(4): 577–607.
- Hudson, Valerie M. 2005. "Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations." *Foreign Policy Analysis* 1(1): 1–30.
- Stein, Janice Gross and David A. Welch. 1997. "Rational and Psychological Approaches to the Study of International Relations: Comparative Strengths and Weaknesses." In *Decisionmaking on War and Peace: The Cognitive-rational Debate*, eds. Nehemia Geva and Alex Mintz. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 51–77.
- Waltz, Kenneth N. 1959. *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. New York: Columbia University Press, Ch. 2.

Recommended readings

- * Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce and Randolph M. Siverson. 1995. "War and the Survival of Political Leaders: A Comparative Study of Regime Types and Political Accountability." *American Political Science Review* 89(4): 841–855.
- * Caprioli, Mary and Boyer, Mark A., 2001. "Gender, Violence, and International Crisis." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 45(4): 503–518.
- * Debs, Alexandre and H. E. Goemans. 2010. "Regime Type, the Fate of Leaders, and War." *American Political Science Review* 104(3): 430–445.
- * Koch, Michael T. and Fulton, Sarah A. 2011. "In the Defense of Women: Gender, Office Holding, and National Security Policy in Established Democracies." *Journal of Politics* 73(1): 1–16.
- * Imamverdiyeva, Ulkar and Patrick Shea. 2017. "Female Leaders and Foreign Policy." University of Houston working paper.
- * Goemans, H. E. 2000. *War and Punishment: The Causes of War Termination and the First World War*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- * Chiozza, Giacomo and H. E. Goemans. 2011. *Leaders and International Conflict*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- * Weeks, Jessica L. P. 2014. *Dictators at War and Peace*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Week 8

- Liberalism and peace
 - Maoz, Zeev and Bruce Russett. 1993. "Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986." *American Political Science Review* 87(3): 624-638.
 - Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, James D. Morrow, Randolph M. Siverson, and Alastair Smith. 1999. "An Institutional Explanation of the Democratic Peace." *American Political Science Review* 93(4):791-807.
 - Gartzke, Erik. 2007. "The Capitalist Peace." *American Journal of Political Science* 51(1): 166-191.
 - McDonald, Patrick J. 2010. "Capitalism, Commitment, and Peace." *International Interactions* 36(2): 146-168.
 - Dafoe, Allan. 2011. "Statistical Critiques of the Democratic Peace: Caveat Emptor." *American Journal of Political Science* 55(2): 247-262.
 - Bell, Mark S. and Kai Quek. 2017. "Authoritarian Public Opinion and the Democratic Peace." *International Organization* 72(1): 227-242.

Recommended readings

- * Weede, Erich. 1984. "Democracy and War Involvement." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 28(4): 649-664.
- * Dixon, William J. 1994. "Democracy and the Peaceful Settlement of International Conflict." *American Political Science Review* 88(1): 14-32.
- * Owen, John M. 1994. "How Liberalism Produces Democratic Peace." *International Security* 19(2): 87-125.
- * Oneal, John R., Frances H. Oneal, Zeev Maoz, and Bruce Russett. 1996. "The Liberal Peace: Interdependence, Democracy, and International Conflict, 1950-85." *Journal of Peace Research* 33(1): 11-28.
- * Danilovic, Vesna and Joe Clare. 2007. "The Kantian Liberal Peace (Revisited)." *American Journal of Political Science* 51(2): 397-414.
- * Mousseau, Michael. 2012. "Capitalist Development and Civil War." *International Studies Quarterly* 56(3): 470-483.
- * Russett, Bruce M. and John R. Oneal. 1999. *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Week 9

- Alliances
 - Morrow, James D. 2000. "Alliances: Why Write Them Down?" *Annual Review of Political Science* 3:63-83.

- Gaubatz, Kurt Taylor. 1996. "Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations." *International Organization* 50(1): 109–139.
- Crescenzi, Mark J. C., Jacob D. Kathman, Katja B. Kleinberg, and Reed M. Wood. 2012. "Reliability, Reputation, and Alliance Formation." *International Studies Quarterly* 56(2): 259–274.
- Leeds, Brett Ashley. 2003. "Do Alliances Deter Aggression? The Influence of Military Alliances on the Initiation of Militarized Interstate Disputes." *American Journal of Political Science* 47(3): 427–439.
- Leeds, Brett Ashley. 2003. "Alliance Reliability in Times of War: Explaining State Decisions to Violate Treaties." *International Organization* 57(4): 801–827.
- Berekemeier, Molly and Matthew Fuhrmann. 2018. "Reassessing the Fulfillment of Alliance Commitments in War." *Research & Politics* 5(2): 1–5.

Recommended readings

- * Levy, Jack S. 1981. "Alliance Formation and War Behavior: An Analysis of the Great Powers, 1495–1975." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 25(4): 581–613.
- * Oren, Ido. 1990. "The War Proneness of Alliances." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 34(2): 208–233.
- * Morrow, James D. 1994. "Alliances, Credibility, and Peacetime Costs." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 38(2): 270–297.
- * Smith, Alastair. 1995. "Alliance Formation and War." *International Studies Quarterly* 39(4): 405–425.
- * Weitsman, Patricia A. 2004. *Dangerous Alliances: Proponents of Peace, Weapons of War*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Week 10

- Civil war and terrorism
 - Gurr, Ted Robert. 1970. *Why Men Rebel*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, Ch. 2.
 - Fearon, James D. and David D. Laitin. 2003. "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War." *American Political Science Review* 97(1): 75–90.
 - Collier, Paul and Anke Hoefer. 2004. "Greed and Grievance in Civil War." *Oxford Economic Papers* 56(4): 563–595.
 - Pape, Robert A., 2003. "The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism." *American Political Science Review* 97(3): 343–361.
 - Abrahms, Max. 2006. "Why Terrorism Does Not Work." *International Security* 31(2): 42–78.
 - Spaniel, William. 2018. "Terrorism, Wealth, and Delegation." *Quarterly Journal of Political Science* 13(2): 147–172.

Recommended readings

- * Lake, David A. 2002. "Rational Extremism: Understanding Terrorism in the Twenty-first Century." *Dialogue IO* 1(1): 15–29.
- * DeRouen, Jr., Karl R. and David Sobek. 2004. "The Dynamics of Civil War Duration and Outcome." *Journal of Peace Research* 41(3): 303–320.
- * Bueno de Mesquita, Ethan. 2005. "The Quality of Terror." *American Journal of Political Science* 49(3): 515–530.
- * Ashworth, Scott, Joshua D. Clinton, Adam Meirowitz, and Kristopher W. Ramsay. 2008. "Design, Inference, and the Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism." *American Political Science Review* 102(2): 269–273.
- * Crenshaw, Martha, 1981. "The Causes of Terrorism." *Comparative Politics* 13(4): 379–399.
- * Schultz, Kenneth A. 2010. "The Enforcement Problem in Coercive Bargaining: Interstate Conflict over Rebel Support in Civil Wars." *International Organization* 64(2): 281–312.
- * Gurr, Ted Robert. 1970. *Why Men Rebel*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, complete book.
- * Pape, Robert A. 2005. *Dying to Win: The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism*. New York: Random House.

Week 11

- International trade
 - Morrow, James D., Randolph M. Siverson, and Tressa E. Tabares. 1998. "The Political Determinants of International Trade: The Major Powers, 19071990." *American Political Science Review* 92(3): 649–661.
 - Mansfield, Edward D., Helen V. Milner, and B. Peter Rosendorff. 2000. "Free to Trade: Democracies, Autocracies and International Trade." *American Political Science Review* 94(2): 305–322.
 - Tomz, Michael, Judith L. Goldstein, and Douglas Rivers. 2007. "Do We Really Know That the WTO Increases Trade? Comment." *American Economic Review* 97(5): 2005–2018.
 - Barbieri, Katherine and Jack S. Levy. 1999. "Sleeping with the Enemy: The Impact of War on Trade." *Journal of Peace Research* 36(4):463–479.
 - Keshk, Omar M. G. , Brian M. Pollins, and Rafael Reuveny. 2004. "Trade Still Follows the Flag: The Primacy of Politics in a Simultaneous Model of Interdependence and Armed Conflict." [Journal of Politics] 66(4): 1155–1179.
 - Peterson, Timothy M. and Yuleng Zeng. 2021. "Conflict and Cooperation with Trade Partners." *International Interactions* 47(2): 266–290.

Recommended readings

- * Oneal, James and Bruce Russett. 1997. "The Classical Liberals Were Right: Democracy, Interdependence, and Conflict, 1950-1985." *International Studies Quarterly* 41(2):267-293.
- * Morrow, James D. 1999. "How Could Trade Affect Conflict?" *Journal of Peace Research* 36(4): 481-489.
- * Mansfield, Edward D. and Jon C. Pevehouse. 2000. "Trade Blocs, Trade Flows, and International Conflict." *International Organization* 54(4): 775-808.
- * Reinhardt, Eric. 2001. "Adjudication without Enforcement in GATT Disputes." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 45(2): 174-195.
- * Martin, Philippe, Thierry Mayer, and Mathias Thoenig. 2008. "Make Trade Not War?" *Review of Economic Studies* 75(3): 865-900.
- * De Bièvre, Dirk, Arlo Poletti, Marcel Hanegraaff, and Jan Beyers. 2016. "International Institutions and Interest Mobilization: The WTO and Lobbying in EU and US Trade Policy." *Journal of World Trade* 50(2): 289-312.
- * Chatagnier, J. Tyson and Kerim Can Kavakli. 2017. "From Economic Competition to Military Combat: Export Similarity and International Conflict." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 61(7): 1510-1536.

Week 12

- Foreign aid and economic sanctions
 - Morgenthau, Hans. 1962. "A Political Theory of Foreign Aid." *American Political Science Review* 56(2): 301-309.
 - Alesina, Alberto and David Dollar. 2000. "Who Gives Foreign Aid to Whom and Why?" *Journal of Economic Growth* 5(1): 33-63.
 - Licht, Amanda. 2010. "Coming Into Money: The Impact of Foreign Aid on Leader Survival." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 54(1): 58-87.
 - Pape, Robert A. 1997. "Why Economic Sanctions Do Not Work." *International Security* 22(2): 90-136.
 - Drury, A. Cooper. 1998. "Revisiting Economic Sanctions Reconsidered." *Journal of Peace Research* 35(4): 497-509.
 - McLean, Elena V. and Mitchell T. Radtke. 2018. "Political Relations, Leader Stability, and Economic Coercion." *International Studies Quarterly* 62(2): 357-370.

Recommended readings

- * Morgan, T. Clifton, and Valerie L. Schwebach. 1997. "Fools Suffer Gladly: The Use of Economic Sanctions in International Crises." *International Studies Quarterly* 41(1): 27-50.
- * Kirshner, Jonathan. 1997. "The Microfoundations of Economic Sanctions." *Security Studies* 6(3): 32-64.

- * Schraeder, Peter J., Steven W. Hook, and Bruce Taylor. 1998. "Clarifying the Foreign Aid Puzzle: A Comparison of American, Japanese, French, and Swedish Aid Flows." *World Politics* 50(2): 294–323.
- * McLean, Elena V. and Taehee Whang. 2010. "Friends or Foes? Major Trading Partners and the Success of Economic Sanctions." *International Studies Quarterly* 54(2):427–447.
- * Thérien, Jean-Philippe, and Alain Noel. 2000. "Political Parties and Foreign Aid." *American Political Science Review* 94(1): 151–162.
- * Knack, Stephen. 2004. "Does Foreign Aid Promote Democracy?" *International Studies Quarterly* 48(1): 251–266.
- * Marinov, Nikolay. 2005. "Do Economic Sanctions Destabilize Country Leaders?" *American Journal of Political Science* 49(3): 564–576.
- * Kavaklı, Kerim Can, J. Tyson Chatagnier, and Emre Hatipoğlu. 2020. "The Power to Hurt and the Effectiveness of International Sanctions." *Journal of Politics* 82(3): 879–894.
- * Hufbauer, Gary Clyde, Jeffrey J. Schott, and Kimberly Ann Elliott. 1990. *Economic Sanctions Reconsidered: History and Current Policy*. Washington, DC: Institute for International Economics.
- * Lancaster, Carol. 2008. *Foreign Aid: Diplomacy, Development, Domestic Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Week 13

- International institutions
 - Axelrod, Robert, and Robert O. Keohane. 1985. "Achieving Cooperation Under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions." *World Politics* 38(1): 226–254.
 - Koremenos, Barbara, Charles Lipson, and Duncan Snidal. 2001. "The Rational Design of International Institutions." *International Organization* 55(4): 761–799..
 - Morrow, James D. 2007. "When do States Follow the Laws of War?" *American Political Science Review* 101(3): 559–572.
 - Fang, Songying. 2010. "The Strategic Use of International Institutions in Dispute Settlement." *Quarterly Journal of Political Science* 5(2): 107–131.
 - Peritz, Lauren. 2020. "When are International Institutions Effective? The Impact of Domestic Veto Players on Compliance with WTO Rulings." *International Studies Quarterly* 64(1): 220–234.

Recommended readings

- * Keohane, Robert O. 1988. "International Institutions: Two Approaches." *International Studies Quarterly* 32(4): 379–396.
- * Mearsheimer, John J. 1994/1995. "The False Promise of International Institutions." *International Security* 19(3): 5–49.

- * Simmons, Beth A. 2000. "International Law and State Behavior: Commitment and Compliance in International Monetary Affairs." *American Political Science Review* 94(4): 819–835.
- * Rosendorff, B. Peter and Helen V. Milner. 2001. "The Optimal Design of International Trade Institutions: Uncertainty and Escape." *International Organization* 55(4): 829–857.
- * Koremenos, Barbara. 2005. "Contracting Around International Uncertainty." *American Political Science Review* 99(4): 549–565.
- * Leeds, Brett Ashley, Michaela Mattes, and Jeremy S. Vogel. 2009. "Interests, Institutions, and the Reliability of International Commitments." *American Journal of Political Science* 53(2): 461–476.
- * Fang, Songying and Erica Owen. 2011. "International institutions and credible commitment of non-democracies." *Review of International Organizations* 6(2): 141–162.
- * Johns, Leslie. 2012. "Courts as Coordinators: Endogenous Enforcement and Jurisdiction in International Adjudication." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 56(2): 257–289.

Week 14

- **THANKSGIVING – NO CLASS**

Week 15

- We will read and discuss the most recent issue of *International Studies Quarterly* in its entirety
- **Research Projects Due**