

Weak forms for Bloch eigenproblems

Connor D. Pierce

July 31, 2023

Contents

1	Notation	1
2	Problems considered	2
2.1	Scalar Helmholtz equation	2
2.2	Elasticity equation	2
2.3	Bloch ansatz	2
3	Direct ($\kappa(\omega)$)	2
4	Indirect ($\omega(\kappa)$), untransformed	2
5	Indirect ($\omega(\kappa)$), with Bloch transformation	3
5.1	Scalar Helmholtz equation	3
5.1.1	Weak forms	3
5.1.2	Derivation of transformed strong form	4
5.1.3	Derivation of weak form—monolithic formulation	4
5.1.4	Derivation of weak form—split formulation	5
5.2	Elasticity equation	7

1 Notation

In this document, the following notation is observed:

ω temporal frequency

κ wave number (spatial frequency)

ι (“iota”) the imaginary unit

i, j, k, l, m subscripts indicating vector/tensor components

Bold symbols indicate vectors, e.g. \mathbf{x} is the position vector with components x_i .

2 Problems considered

2.1 Scalar Helmholtz equation

Eigenproblem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla w(\mathbf{x}, t)) &= \rho(\mathbf{x}) \ddot{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \\
(E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) w_{,j}(\mathbf{x}, t))_{,i} &= \rho(\mathbf{x}) \ddot{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \\
w(\mathbf{x}, t) &= u(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega t} \\
(E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) u_{,j}(\mathbf{x}))_{,i} e^{-i\omega t} &= -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega t} \\
(E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) u_{,j}(\mathbf{x}))_{,i} &= -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

2.2 Elasticity equation

Eigenproblem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_s \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)) &= \rho(\mathbf{x}) \ddot{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \\
\left(E_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{1}{2} (w_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}, t) + w_{l,k}(\mathbf{x}, t)) \right)_{,i} &= \rho(\mathbf{x}) \ddot{w}_j(\mathbf{x}, t) \\
w_j(\mathbf{x}, t) &= u_j(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega t} \\
\left(E_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{1}{2} (u_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}) + u_{l,k}(\mathbf{x})) \right)_{,i} e^{-i\omega t} &= -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) u_j(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega t} \\
\left(E_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{1}{2} (u_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}) + u_{l,k}(\mathbf{x})) \right)_{,i} &= -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) u_j(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

2.3 Bloch ansatz

Bloch waves have the form

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is a function that is periodic on the unit cell.

3 Direct $(\boldsymbol{\kappa}(\omega))$

In the direct formulation (see Collet et al., 2011), we prescribe the temporal frequency ω and wave direction $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ and compute the wavevector magnitudes as the eigenvalues. The periodic functions $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})$ are the eigenfunctions.

TODO: write me

4 Indirect $(\omega(\boldsymbol{\kappa}))$, untransformed

In the untransformed indirect formulation, we prescribe the wavevector through Floquet-periodic boundary conditions and solve for the temporal frequencies ω as eigenvalues. The Floquet-periodic displacement fields $u(\mathbf{x})$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions.

TODO: write me

5 Indirect ($\omega(\boldsymbol{\kappa})$), with Bloch transformation

In the transformed indirect formulation, the Bloch ansatz is used to transform the equations of motion in terms of the periodic function $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})$. The wavevector $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is prescribed through additional terms that arise in the strong form of the problem, and the temporal frequency ω is solved as the eigenvalue. The periodic functions $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions.

5.1 Scalar Helmholtz equation

5.1.1 Weak forms

Various weak forms for the transformed indirect formulation of the scalar Helmholtz equation are summarized in this subsection. Derivations follow in subsequent subsections.

General case—monolithic formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v}_{,i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx + \iota \int_{\Omega} (\kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v} - \tilde{u} \bar{v}_{,i} E_{ij} \kappa_j) \, dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \quad (5.2)$$

Isotropic modulus—monolithic formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} E \tilde{u}_{,i} \bar{v}_{,i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E \kappa_i \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx + \iota \int_{\Omega} E \kappa_i (\tilde{u}_{,i} \bar{v} - \tilde{u} \bar{v}_{,i}) \, dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \quad (5.3)$$

Lossless, anisotropic modulus—split formulation

$$\text{Real :} \quad \int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} v_{,i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} v \, dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} v \, dx \quad (5.5a)$$

$$\text{Imag :} \quad \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u} v_{,i} \kappa_j \, dx = 0 \quad (5.5b)$$

Lossless, isotropic modulus—split formulation

$$\text{Real :} \quad \int_{\Omega} E \tilde{u}_{,i} v_{,i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} E \kappa_i \kappa_i \tilde{u} v \, dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} v \, dx \quad (5.7a)$$

$$\text{Imag :} \quad \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E \tilde{u}_{,i} v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} E \tilde{u} v_{,i} \kappa_i \, dx = 0 \quad (5.7b)$$

5.1.2 Derivation of transformed strong form

Consider the eigenproblem (2.1) and insert the Bloch ansatz (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) [\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k}]_{,j} \right)_{,i} = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& (E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) [\tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} + \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) (e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k})_{,j}])_{,i} = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& (E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) [\tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} + \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_m x_m} (-\iota \kappa_k \delta_{kj})])_{,i} = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& (E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) [\tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} - \iota \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k}])_{,i} = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& (E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} - \iota E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k})_{,i} = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& (E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k})_{,i} - \iota (E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k})_{,i} = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& \left([E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x})]_{,i} e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} - \iota \kappa_i E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \right) \\
& - \iota \left([E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})]_{,i} e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} - \iota \kappa_i E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \right) = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\iota \kappa_k x_k} \\
& \left([E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x})]_{,i} - \iota \kappa_i E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \\
& - \iota \left([E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})]_{,i} - \iota \kappa_i E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) \right) = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}$$

The most general form of the transformed strong form is:

$$\left([E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x})]_{,i} - \kappa_i E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) \right) - \iota \left([E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \kappa_j \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})]_{,i} + \kappa_i E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}_{,j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) = -\omega^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (5.1)$$

5.1.3 Derivation of weak form—monolithic formulation

The weak form is obtained by multiplying the strong form (5.1) with the complex conjugate \bar{v} of a test function v and integrating over the unit cell. Like the trial function, the test function is periodic on the unit cell. For conciseness, we omit the function notation “ (\mathbf{x}) ”, but it is to be understood that the trial and test functions \tilde{u} and v , as well as the modulus E_{ij} and density ρ , are functions of position.

General case

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left([E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j}]_{,i} - \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \right) \bar{v} \, dx - \iota \int_{\Omega} \left([E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u}]_{,i} + \kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \right) \bar{v} \, dx = -\omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \\
& \int_{\Omega} [E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j}]_{,i} \bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx - \iota \int_{\Omega} [E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u}]_{,i} \bar{v} \, dx - \iota \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v} \, dx = -\omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \\
& \int_{\Omega} \left([E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v}]_{,i} - E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v}_{,i} \right) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \\
& - \iota \int_{\Omega} \left([E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v}]_{,i} - E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v}_{,i} \right) \, dx - \iota \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v} \, dx = -\omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \\
& \int_{\partial\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v} n_i \, ds - \int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v}_{,i} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \\
& - \iota \int_{\partial\Omega} E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v} n_i \, ds + \iota \int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v}_{,i} \, dx - \iota \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v} \, dx = -\omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

The surface integrals are identically 0 because of the periodicity of \tilde{u} and v and can be dropped, leading to the transformed weak form for the general case:

$$\int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v}_{,i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx + \iota \int_{\Omega} \left(\kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} \bar{v} - \tilde{u} \bar{v}_{,i} E_{ij} \kappa_j \right) \, dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \quad (5.2)$$

When \mathbf{E} is real and symmetric and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is real, (5.2) is Hermitian and the eigenvalues are real, as expected.

Isotropic case The weak form (5.2) can be simplified when the modulus is isotropic, i.e. $E_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = E(\mathbf{x})\delta_{ij}$:

$$\int_{\Omega} E \tilde{u}_{,i} \bar{v}_{,i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E \kappa_i \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx + \iota \int_{\Omega} E \kappa_i (\tilde{u}_{,i} \bar{v} - \tilde{u} \bar{v}_{,i}) \, dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} \bar{v} \, dx \quad (5.3)$$

5.1.4 Derivation of weak form—split formulation

General case (lossy media) The functions comprising the integrands in (5.2) are split into their real and imaginary parts:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (E_{ij}^R + \iota E_{ij}^I) (\tilde{u}_{,j}^R + \iota \tilde{u}_{,j}^I) (\bar{v}_{,i}^R - \iota \bar{v}_{,i}^I) \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i (E_{ij}^R + \iota E_{ij}^I) \kappa_j (\tilde{u}^R + \iota \tilde{u}^I) (\bar{v}^R - \iota \bar{v}^I) \, dx \\ & + \iota \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i (E_{ij}^R + \iota E_{ij}^I) (\tilde{u}_{,j}^R + \iota \tilde{u}_{,j}^I) (\bar{v}^R - \iota \bar{v}^I) \, dx \\ & - \iota \int_{\Omega} (E_{ij}^R + \iota E_{ij}^I) \kappa_j (\tilde{u}^R + \iota \tilde{u}^I) (\bar{v}_{,i}^R - \iota \bar{v}_{,i}^I) \, dx \\ & = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho (\tilde{u}^R + \iota \tilde{u}^I) (\bar{v}^R - \iota \bar{v}^I) \, dx \\ \\ & \int_{\Omega} \left([E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^R] + \iota [E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^I] \right) \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left([E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}^R] + \iota [E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}^I] \right) \kappa_j \, dx \\ & + \iota \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left([E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}^R] + \iota [E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R \bar{v}^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I \bar{v}^I] \right) \, dx \\ & - \iota \int_{\Omega} \left([E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^R] + \iota [E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}_{,i}^I] \right) \kappa_j \, dx \\ & = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \left([\tilde{u}^R \bar{v}^R + \tilde{u}^I \bar{v}^I] + \iota [\tilde{u}^I \bar{v}^R - \tilde{u}^R \bar{v}^I] \right) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

leading to the split formulation of (5.2) for lossy media:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v_{,i}^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v_{,i}^R \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R v^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I v^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R v^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I v^R \right] \kappa_j dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v^I \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^I \right] \kappa_j dx \\ & = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \left[\tilde{u}^R v^R + \tilde{u}^I v^I \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.4a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v_{,i}^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v_{,i}^I \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I v^R - E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R v^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R v^R + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I v^I \right] \kappa_j dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^R v^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}_{,j}^I v^R \right] dx - \int_{\Omega} \left[E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^R + E_{ij}^R \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^I + E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^I - E_{ij}^I \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^R \right] \kappa_j dx \\ & = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \left[\tilde{u}^I v^R - \tilde{u}^R v^I \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.4b)$$

where (5.4a) and (5.4b) are the real and imaginary parts of (5.2), respectively.

Lossless (possibly anisotropic) media When the problem is lossless, i.e. \mathbf{E} and ρ are real, the split formulation (5.4) can be further simplified:

$$\int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} v_{,i} dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \kappa_j \tilde{u} v dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} v dx \quad (5.5a)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E_{ij} \tilde{u}_{,j} v dx - \int_{\Omega} E_{ij} \tilde{u} v_{,i} \kappa_j dx = 0 \quad (5.5b)$$

Isotropic, lossy media Equation (5.5) can be simplified when the modulus is isotropic:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v_{,i}^R + E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v_{,i}^I + E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v_{,i}^I - E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v_{,i}^R \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \kappa_i \left[E^R \tilde{u}^R v^R + E^R \tilde{u}^I v^I + E^I \tilde{u}^R v^I - E^I \tilde{u}^I v^R \right] dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v^R - E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v^I + E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v^R + E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v^I \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \left[E^R \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^R - E^R \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^I + E^I \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^R + E^I \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^I \right] \kappa_i dx \\ & = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \left[\tilde{u}^R v^R + \tilde{u}^I v^I \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.6a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v_{,i}^R - E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v_{,i}^I + E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v_{,i}^R + E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v_{,i}^I \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E^R \tilde{u}^I v^R - E^R \tilde{u}^R v^I + E^I \tilde{u}^R v^R + E^I \tilde{u}^I v^I \right] \kappa_i dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_i \left[E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v^R + E^R \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v^I + E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^R v^I - E^I \tilde{u}_{,i}^I v^R \right] dx - \int_{\Omega} \left[E^R \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^R + E^R \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^I + E^I \tilde{u}^R v_{,i}^I - E^I \tilde{u}^I v_{,i}^R \right] \kappa_i dx \\ & = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \left[\tilde{u}^I v^R - \tilde{u}^R v^I \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.6b)$$

where (5.6a) and (5.6b) are the real and imaginary parts, respectively.

Lossless isotropic media When the problem is isotropic and lossless, i.e. \mathbf{E} and ρ are real, the split formulation (5.6) can be further simplified:

$$\int_{\Omega} E \tilde{u}_{,i} v_{,i} dx + \int_{\Omega} E \kappa_i \kappa_i \tilde{u} v dx = \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{u} v dx \quad (5.7a)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa_i E \tilde{u}_{,i} v dx - \int_{\Omega} E \tilde{u} v_{,i} \kappa_i dx = 0 \quad (5.7b)$$

5.2 Elasticity equation