#### Classification of postitive energy representations

James Tener Subfactor Seminar April 23, 2010

#### Abstract

We will continue last week's discussion of Wassermann's paper *Operator Algebras and Conformal Field Theory III* by describing the classification of positive energy representations via the representation theory of SU(n).

### 1 Introduction

Let G = SU(n) and let  $LG = C^{\infty}(S^1, G)$ . We are looking at positive energy representations of LG - that is, projective representations of  $LG \times \mathbb{T}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{H}(n),$$

 $\dim \mathcal{H}(n) < \infty$ , and  $e^{it} \cdot \zeta = e^{int}\zeta$  for  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}(n)$ . We'll also usually insist on the normalization  $\mathcal{H}(0) \neq \{0\}$ . If this is not the case, we may obtain such a representation by tensoring with a character of  $\mathbb{T}$ . We obtained one such representation as follows.

Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ , and for  $f \in L^2(S^1, V)$  densely define a(f) on  $\Lambda \mathcal{H}$  by  $a(f)\omega = f \wedge \omega$ . Let  $CAR(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{a(f)\}$  on  $\Lambda \mathcal{H}$ . For a projection p on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we discussed last week the existence of a pure state  $\phi_p$  on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$\phi_n(a(f_1)^* \dots a(f_n)^* a(g_1) \dots a(g_m)) = \delta_{nm} \det \langle pg_i, f_i \rangle.$$

Lemma 1.1. Quasi-free states exist.

*Proof.* If dim  $\mathcal{H} < \infty$ , choose ONB  $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k\}$  and  $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$  for  $p\mathcal{H}$  and  $(1-p)\mathcal{H}$ , respectively. Let  $\eta = \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_n$ . Since  $a(f)\eta = a(pf)\eta$ , the vector state corresponding to  $\eta$  is quasi free of covariance p.

If dim  $\mathcal{H} = \infty$ , choose ONB  $\{\zeta_i\}$  and  $\{\eta_j\}$  as before. Let  $V_k$  be the subspace spanned by  $\zeta_i$  and  $\eta_j$ . We have such a state on  $V_k$ , and the inclusions are coherent, so we're done.

If  $u \in U(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\alpha_u$  is the automorphism of  $CAR(\mathcal{H})$  given by  $\alpha(a(f)) = a(uf)$ , then one can show that  $\phi_p \circ \alpha = \phi_{upu^*}$ . It turns out that  $\|\phi_p - \phi_{upu^*}\|$  is controlled by  $\operatorname{tr} \left[ (p - upu^*)^2 \right]$ . It can be shown that if  $\|\phi_p - \phi_p \circ \alpha\| < 2$ , then the GNS representations are equivalent and  $\alpha$  is implemented on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_{\phi_p}$ . Using these ideas, one shows that if up - pu is Hilbert-Schmidt (which is equivalent to  $p - u^*pu$  being Hilbert-Schmidt), then  $a(f) \mapsto a(uf)$  is implemented on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  (the GNS space for  $\phi_p$ ).

If it happens that  $\phi_p \circ \alpha_u = \phi_p$  (that is, [u, p] = 0), then there is a unitary in  $U_{\alpha_u} \in B(CAR(\mathcal{H})_p)$  characterized by  $x\Omega \mapsto \alpha_u(x)\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is the cyclic vector in  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  implementing  $\phi_p$ . It is easy to verify that  $U_{\alpha_u}\pi_P(x)U_{\alpha_u}^* = \pi_P(\alpha_u(x))$  for  $x \in CAR(\mathcal{H})$ . In this case, we say that  $\alpha$  is canonically quantized.

For  $\mathcal{H}=L^2(S^1,V)$  and  $p\mathcal{H}=H^2(S^1,V)$ , we have  $\|[M_f,p]\|_2=\|f\|_{H^{1/2}}$  for  $f\in LG$  and  $[r_\theta,p]=0$  for  $r_\theta\in\mathbb{T}$ . Thus we get a projective unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $LG\rtimes\mathbb{T}$  on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  which restricts to an ordinary representation of  $\mathbb{T}$ . This representation satisfies  $\pi(M_g)\pi_p(a(f))\pi(M_g)^*=\pi_p(a(gf))$  and  $\pi(r_\theta)\pi_p(a(f))\pi(M_g)^*=\pi_p(a(r_\theta f))$  for  $g\in LG$ ,  $f\in\mathcal{H}$  and  $\theta\in[0,2\pi]$ . We'll denote  $\pi(r_\theta)=R_\theta$ .

An irreducible positive energy representation of level  $\ell$  is an irreducible subrepresentation of  $\pi^{\otimes \ell}$ . We've glossed over the (true statement) that the irreducible LG-subrepresentations of  $\pi^{\otimes \ell}$  are positive energy representations in the obvious way. In this talk, we will prove that all irreducible positive energy representations are characterized by the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{H}(0)$  (the subspace fixed by the action of  $\mathbb{T}$ ) as an SU(n)-module (where SU(n) has been identified with constant loops in LG).

## 2 Representation theory of SU(n)

Let G = SU(n) and let V be the defining representation on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the corresponding Lie algebra consisting of traceless skew-adjoint matrices and let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be its complexification, the traceless matrices. We have representations of G and  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^{\otimes m}$  via  $g \cdot (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m) = gv_1 \otimes \cdots gv_m$  for  $g \in G$  and for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ 

$$x \cdot (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x v_j \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m.$$

Let  $\pi: G \to U(W)$  be a subrepresentation of  $V^{\otimes m}$ , irreducible for G (and hence for  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ). From Lie group theory, we may write

$$W = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} W_g$$

where for z a diagonal matrix in G with entries  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  and  $w \in W_g$  we have  $\pi(z)w = (z_1^{g_1} \cdots z_n^{g_n})w$ . Since  $z_1 \cdots z_n = 1$ , we can only define g up to addition of a vector  $(a, \ldots, a) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . On the Lie algebra side, if D is a traceless diagonal matrix with entries  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ , then

$$\pi(D)w = (d_1g_1 + \cdots + d_ng_n)w.$$

Using the relation  $DE_{ij} = E_{ij}D + (d_i - d_j)E_{ij}$ , we see that  $\pi(E_{ij})$  takes  $W_g$  into the weight space for  $g + e_i - e_j$  (where  $\{e_k\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ). If we order the weights lexicographically, then  $E_{ij}$  takes  $W_g$  into a higher weight space if i < j and into a lower weight space if i > j. These operators are called raising and lowering operators, respectively. Since monomial matrices in SU(n) permute weight spaces and W is finite dimensional, there is a highest weight space  $W_f$  with  $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \cdots \geq f_N$ . We call this the signature of the representation.

We now prove that a finite-dimensional SU(n) representation is characterized up to unitary equivalence by its signature. Let v and v' be non-zero highest weight vectors. Since W is irreducible for G and hence  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , there is some T in the associative algebra generated by  $\pi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$  such that Tv = v'. Every element of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is of the form

$$D + \sum L_i + R_i$$

Where D is a traceless diagonal operators,  $L_i$  is a linear combination of operators of the form  $\pi(E_{ij})$  for i > j and  $R_i$  is a linear combination of operators of the form  $\pi(E_{ij})$  for i < j. Using the commutation relations

$$DE_{ij} = E_{ij}D',$$
  $E_{ij}E_{k\ell} = E_{k\ell}E_{ij} + \delta jkE_{i\ell} - \delta_{\ell i}E_{ki}$ 

we can write any element of the algebra generated by  $\pi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$  as a sum of operators of the form LDR where L is a product of lowering operators, D is traceless diagonal, and R is a product of raising operators. Since v is highest weight, either LDRv is a multiple of v or lower weight. Thus  $v' = Tv = \lambda v$ , and the high weight space is one-dimensional. Similarly,  $\langle LDRv, v \rangle$  only depends on the weight of v, so  $\langle A_1v, A_2v \rangle$  only depends on the weight of v (writing  $A_2^*A_1$  as a sum of terms LDR). Thus if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are two irreducible representations of SU(n) with the same signature, the map  $Av \mapsto Av'$  is a unitary intertwining the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and hence G.

Every signature occurs: if  $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \cdots \geq f_n \geq 0$ , then the vector

$$e_f = e_1^{\otimes (f_1 - f_2)} \otimes (e_1 \wedge e_2)^{\otimes (f_2 - f_3)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n)^{\otimes f_n}$$

is the unique highest weight vector in  $\Lambda^1 V^{\otimes (f_1 - f_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^n V^{\otimes f_n}$ . By uniqueness,  $e_f$  generates an irreducible submodule.

### 3 Existence of positive energy representations

If H is an irreducible positive energy representation of LSU(n), we can think of its low weight space H(0) as an SU(n)-module, where SU(n) has been identified with the constant loops in LSU(n). It turns out, the isomorphism class of this module determines the representation.

**Theorem 3.1** (Classification of representations). If H is an irreducible positive energy representation of LSU(n), then H(0) is an irreducible SU(n)-module. The H is an irreducible subrepresentation of  $\pi^{\otimes \ell}$ , then its signature satisfies  $f_1 - f_n \leq \ell$ , and all such signatures occur at level  $\ell$ . If H and H' are two positive energy representations at level  $\ell$  with the same signature, then they are unitarily equivalent.

We'll prove selected parts of this theorem today. First, we'll show that H(0) is an SU(n)module and outline the proof that every signature occurs. Note that if g is a constant loop in LSU(n) and v is fixed by rotation, then

$$R_{\theta}\pi_i(g)v = \pi_i(r_{\theta}g)R_{\theta}^*v = \pi_i(g)v.$$

Thus H(0) is an SU(n)-module.

We now try to see which signatures occur. To do this, we have to look a little more closely at the action of of  $\mathbb{T} = \{r_{\theta}\}$  on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$ . It will be useful to think of  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  as  $\Lambda \mathcal{H}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is  $\mathcal{H}$  with a new complex structure. Hence  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p \cong \Lambda p \mathcal{H} \otimes \Lambda \overline{(1-p)\mathcal{H}}$ .  $\Lambda V$  sits inside  $\Lambda p \mathcal{H}$ , so  $\Lambda V$  is inside  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  and is fixed by rotation. Since dim V = n,  $\Lambda V = \Lambda^1 V \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda^n V$ . Note that  $\Lambda V$  contains irreducible subrepresentations of SU(n) for every signature such that  $f_1 - f_n \leq 1$ . For each signature, we'd like for there to be some irreducible subrepresentation of  $LSU(n) \rtimes \mathbb{T}$  that has that signature for its low weight space.

**Proposition 3.1.** If H is the cyclic  $LSU(n) \rtimes \mathbb{T}$ -module generated by a lowest energy vector, it contains an irreducible  $LSU(n) \rtimes \mathbb{T}$ -module generated by some lowest energy vector. The weights of the low energy space of H and its irreducible submodule are the same.

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = LSU(n) \rtimes \mathbb{T}$ . Let H(0) be the lowest energy subspace of H, and let K be any  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace of H with corresponding projection  $p \in \Gamma'$ . Since H is the closed linear space of  $\Gamma H(0)$ , K = pH is the closed linear span of  $\Gamma pH(0)$ . But  $pH(0) \subseteq H(0)$ , since p commutes with  $\mathbb{T}$ . Choosing pH(0) in H(0) of smallest dimension, K = pH must be irreducible as a  $\Gamma$ -module. Thus H contains an irreducible submodule K generated by any non-zero pv with  $v \in H(0)$ . Since p commutes with the action of SU(n), pH(0) has the same weights as H(0).

If we believe that the low weight space of the generated LSU(n)-module has not gotten any bigger (i.e. that if  $v \in H(0)$  then  $\pi(g)v \in H(0)$  if and only if  $g \in SU(n)$ ), then this shows that there is an irreducible positive energy representation whose low weight space has the given signature, so long as  $f_1 - f_n \leq 1$ .

Since the low weight space of  $\pi$  contained  $\Lambda V$ , the low weight space of  $\pi^{\otimes \ell}$  contains  $(\Lambda V)^{\otimes \ell}$ . Since we can construct a representation with a given signature f as a subrepresentation of

$$e_f = e_1^{\otimes (f_1 - f_2)} \otimes (e_1 \wedge e_2)^{\otimes (f_2 - f_3)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n)^{\otimes f_n},$$

we have  $V_f$  as the low weight space of an irreducible subrepresentation of  $V^{\otimes \ell}$  if  $f_1 - f_n \leq \ell$  (since  $f_1 - f_n$  says how many tensor products are required in the above expression if we normalize to  $f_n = 0$ ).

The assumption that the low weight space could not have gotten any larger will be justified when we show that the low weight space of a positive energy representation is irreducible as an SU(n) module.

# 4 Summary of the rest (Part II)

We do the rest by understanding the action of  $L\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $X_n = Xe^{-int}$ . We can write down explicitly an action of  $X_n$  on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  such that the action exponentiates to give the action of  $e^{X_n}$ . Let's start with the action of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Everything is easies to discuss on a basis. By Stone's theorem, we can write  $R_\theta = e^{iD\theta}$  for self-adjoint D. Let's look at the action of D on a basis. In the interest of time, we assert that an orthonormal basis for  $CAR(\mathcal{H})_p$  is given by

$$e_{i_1}(n_1)\cdots e_{i_p}(n_p)e_{j_1}(m_1)^*\cdots e_{j_q}(m_q)^*\Omega$$

where  $n_i \leq 0$  and  $m_j > 0$ . A reason to believe this is to think of  $e_i(n)$  as creation if  $n \leq 0$  and annihilation if n > 0. As an example, we'll show  $e_i(n)\Omega = 0$  for n > 0. Compute

$$\langle e_i(n)\Omega, e_i(n)\Omega \rangle = \langle e_i(n)^*e_i(n)\Omega, \Omega \rangle = \phi_p(e_i(n)^*e_i(n)) = \langle pe_i(n), e_i(n) \rangle.$$

This is 1 if  $n \leq 0$  and 0 otherwise.

If  $X = \sum a_{ij}E_{ij}$ , then let X(n) act on  $CAR(\mathcal{H})$  by linear extending the action

$$E_{ij}(n) = \sum_{m \ge 0} e_i(n-m)e_j(-m)^* - \sum_{m \ge 0} e_j(m)^*e_i(m+n).$$

Note that  $E_{ij}(n)$  raises energy by -n. One shows, in analogy with the rep theory of SU(n), that everything in  $L^0\mathfrak{g}$  is products of RDL, where D, the energy preserving ones, are precisely the  $E_{ij}(0)$ , or the constant loops. This shows that the low energy space cannot grow even when used to generate an LSU(n) module, as before.

A key fact is that  $L^0\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$  is algebraically irreducible on  $H^0$ . This shows that the low energy space is, in fact, always an irreducible SU(n) module. It can also be used to prove unitary equivalence of irreps with the same signature.