

1 **Investigating the relationship between age and oxygen on observational Line**

2 **W**

3 Jordan Thomas*, Darryn Waugh, and Anand Gnanadesikan

4 *Johns Hopkins University, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Baltimore, MD, 21201*

5 **Corresponding author address:* Jordan Thomas, Johns Hopkins University, Department of Earth
6 and Planetary Sciences, Baltimore, MD, 21201

7 E-mail: jthom143@jhu.edu

ABSTRACT

8

9 **1. Introduction**

10 Understanding ocean circulation is one of the fundamental challenges of physical oceanography.
11 While the large-scale circulation is generally well understood, quantifying smaller scale features
12 is far more challenging. A common tool used in both observational and modeling studies is the
13 concept of water age. Quantifying how long since a region of interior water has last has contact
14 with the ocean surface can help in understanding how the water came to be in said location. In
15 modeling studies, an ideal age tracer is often included in ocean model simulations. This ideal age
16 ages at a rate of 1 year per year after the parcel of water has left the mixed layer. In observational
17 studies, quantifying age is far more complicated. A common tool to quantify observational ocean
18 age is the use of transient atmospheric tracers, most often atmospheric CFCs ...

19 While CFCs are a strong tool used to understand ocean circulation, there are some well-
20 documented problems with the methodology. First because of the different time-series of vari-
21 ous atmospheric tracers, each tracer will yield a slightly different ocean age than another for the
22 same water mass. Therefore, it can be difficult to reconcile the different ages given by different
23 tracers. Second, after the Montreal Protocol, and subsequent regulation in CFC emissions, atmo-
24 spheric concentrations of CFCs have begun to decrease. This turnover in the time-series makes
25 understanding ocean tracer age ambiguous. Finally, the mean age is dependent on the ...

26 One idea that has been suggested is to use oxygen concentration as a proxy for age in the ocean.
27 Oxygen is often time saturation (?) when in the surface mixed layer, and decreases due to biolog-
28 ical consumption as it moves through the ocean interior. Gnanadesikan et al., 2012 show a robust
29 relationship between the simulated change in age and change in oxygen in response to a global
30 warming forcing (Gnanadesikan et al, 2012 figure 3) ...

31 In this paper we aim to investigate the relationship between oxygen and age along observational
32 Line W.

33 **2. Methods**

34 *a. Line W observational data*

35 *b. Mean age calculation*

36 *c. Model Simulation*

37 **3. Results**

38 *a. Observational age-oxygen relationship*

39 The climatologies of the calculated mean tracer age and oxygen concentration from the obser-
40 vational Line W are shown in Figure 2. The data has been interpolated to a grid with a vertical
41 resolution of XXX and horizontal resolution of XXX. Additionally, the figure shows the average
42 depths of the neutral density surfaces, represented by the black contour lines. Observing the two
43 sub-plots, in general there appears to be a negative relationship between the two. There is rel-
44 atively increased oxygen concentration, and zero age at the surface. This is consistent with the
45 water being in contact at the surface where the oxygen and CFCs are at near-equilibrium with the
46 atmosphere. Age then generally increases with depth, reaching local-maxima at just below the
47 average depth of the 27.5 neutral density surface. Oxygen on the other hand generally decreases
48 with depth, reaching local-minima along the average depth of the 27.5 neutral density surface.
49 While the age and oxygen generally appear to follow a negative relationship, there are hints of a
50 breakdown in this relationship in Figure 2. For example, oxygen increases with depth after the
51 minimum at neutral density surface 27.5, while age also increases.

52 In order to further investigate the relationship between mean age and oxygen, the Pearson corre-
 53 lation coefficient between age and oxygen is calculated along Line W and is shown in Figure 3 (a).
 54 The figure largely shows the anticipated negative correlation between age and oxygen, however
 55 two regions of positive correlation are apparent. One positive correlation region is at approximate
 56 depths 500-750 dbars (between neutral density surfaces 27.0 and 27.5), and the second is slightly
 57 deeper at depths 1250-2000 dbars. Given the anticipated anti-correlation relationship between age
 58 and oxygen, especially in the ventilated thermocline, these regions of positive correlation are sur-
 59 prising. We additionally examine the relationship between the age and apparent oxygen utilization
 60 (AOU):

$$AOU = O_{2sat} - O_2 \quad (1)$$

61 where O_{2sat} is the equilibrium saturation concentration of oxygen, calculated as a function of
 62 temperature and salinity, and O_2 is the observed oxygen concentration. The AOU is a measure of
 63 how under-saturated the oxygen concentration is. This under-saturation is usually due to biolog-
 64 ical consumption of oxygen. Analyzing the relationship between AOU and age gives us similar
 65 information to the age-oxygen relationship, however, because we are subtracting the oxygen con-
 66 centration from the oxygen saturation, the AOU-age relationship will be the opposite sign (mainly
 67 positive) and the AOU-age relationship ignores the impacts of temperature (and salinity) on oxy-
 68 gen saturation.

69 The Pearson correlation coefficient between age and AOU is shown in Figure 3 (b). As expected,
 70 most of the domain expresses a positive relationship between the two quantities. Similar to the
 71 age-oxygen pattern seen in the age-oxygen correlation coefficients (Figure 3 (a)), there are two re-
 72 gions with anomalous correlation. One upper region of zero correlation, consistent with the upper
 73 region of positive correlation seen in Figure 3 (a), and one deeper region of negative correlation,

74 consistent with the deep region of positive correlation in Figure 3 (a). The fact that these patterns
75 exist in the age-AOU correlation pattern in addition to the age-oxygen correlation pattern suggest
76 that the signal is not entirely due to temperature influences on oxygen concentration. However it is
77 important to note that the upper region of positive correlation seen in the age-oxygen relationship
78 is significantly reduced in the age-AOU relationship, suggesting some influence of temperature.

79 To better visualize and analyze the relationship between the mean age and oxygen along obser-
80 vational Line W, we show the scatter plot of age versus oxygen in Figure 4 (a). The scatter points
81 are colored with each locations correlation coefficient (same as in Figure 3 (a)). The ‘S-shape
82 of the age-oxygen relationship roughly follows the depth of the water column, with the surface
83 waters at the left end of the ‘S-shape and the deep waters at the right end. The positive correlation
84 regions indicated from Figure 3 (a) also appear in this relationship shown in Figure 4 (a).

85 The scatter relationship between age and AOU is shown in Figure 4 (b). Similar to the age-
86 oxygen scatter plot, the age-AOU scatter plot also roughly follows depth, with the surface waters
87 at the left-hand side of the scatter plot, transitioning to the deeper waters at the right hand-side.
88 The upper region of reduced/zero correlation discussed with Figure 3 (b) is seen on this diagram at
89 an age of 50 years, just before the maximum in AOU. Additionally, the deeper region of negative
90 correlation discussed with Figure 3 (b) is seen on this diagram on the almost-flat tail end of the
91 scatterplot. Visualizing the age-AOU relationship in this way is a powerful tool because it allows
92 us to gain initial insight to the mechanisms governing the AOU variability. The dashed linear
93 lines represent the expected linear relationship between age and AOU with a variety of slopes.
94 The slope of the linear relationship is directly proportional to the rate of remineralization. At
95 the surface, where biological activity is higher, we have increased rates of remineralization, and
96 therefore we would expect the age-AOU relationship to follow on the linear lines with a higher

97 slope. As we move deeper in the water column, the slopes should decrease to reflect the slower
98 rates of remineralization in the deep ocean.

99 If the variability in AOU were driven exclusively by changes in the rate of ventilation (but not the
100 pathways of ventilation or the rate of remineralization), we would expect the age-AOU relationship
101 to fall along one of the dashed lines. The upper region does follow this linear relationship (with
102 a slope of 1.7). When the age-AOU relationship does not follow this linear relationship however,
103 it indicates that other processes are influencing the variability in AOU. The right-hand tail of this
104 relationship, where the correlation is negative, does not follow the anticipated linear relationship,
105 and therefore we can infer that some additional process is influencing the AOU variability.

106 What might such processes be? One possibility is a change in the rate of remineralization, driven
107 by changes in biological productivity or by changes in the penetration of sinking organic material.
108 This would move points between one linear relationship and another with a different slope. The
109 other is that the pathways of ventilation might change, in turn changing the water masses seen at a
110 given point. Insofar as these mixes in water masses are reflected in the AOU-Age structure, such
111 changes might be expected to produce relationships parallel to the Age-AOU curve. We note that
112 when the Age-AOU curve lies along a line, it will be difficult to distinguish changes in ventilation
113 rate from changes in water mass type without bringing in more information.

114 Our analysis of the age, oxygen and AOU observations along Line W suggests a breakdown of
115 the anticipated relationship between age and oxygen. Through analyzing the age-AOU scatterplot
116 we have determined that there are likely additional processes to ventilation that impact the oxy-
117 gen/AOU variability, and thus result in a breakdown of the expected negative correlation between
118 age and oxygen. In order to investigate these processes more thoroughly, we employ an Earth Sys-
119 tem model. In the next section we will examine the age-oxygen and age-AOU relationships in the

120 model along a similar region to Line W. We will then investigate the mechanisms that impact the
121 oxygen/AOU variability and result in the observed positive correlation between age and oxygen.

122 *b. Modeled age-oxygen relationship*

123 Because of the limited temporal and spatial resolution of the observational data, we addition-
124 ally examine the age-oxygen relationship in an Earth System Model, GFDL ESM2Mc. The cli-
125 matology of the ideal age tracer and oxygen concentration along Line W is shown in Figure 6.
126 The modeled oxygen climatology is elevated at the surface and decreases with depth, with local-
127 minima between the 26.5 and 27.0 average neutral density surfaces. The oxygen climatology then
128 increases with depth. The modeled age climatology on the other hand is zero at the surface (consis-
129 tent with the definition of ideal age). The age then increases with depth, with local-maxima on the
130 27.0 average neutral density surface. This overall picture is consistent with the observational data
131 (Figure 2), although there is a more obvious offset between the depths of the local oxygen minima
132 and local age maxima. Like with the observational data, this suggests a possible breakdown of the
133 anticipated negative relationship between age and oxygen in this region.

134 To quantify the modeled age-oxygen relationship on Line W we show the Pearson correlation
135 coefficient for the model simulation (Figure 7 (a)). There is a region of positive correlation (with
136 correlation coefficient of approximately 0.4) around depth 500 dbars, starting at distance 400 km
137 and extending to the end of Line W. This region of positive correlation is similar to the upper
138 region of positive correlation seen in the observational record (Figure 3 (a)). Interestingly, in
139 the model simulation, there is no deeper region of positive correlation as seen in the observational
140 correlation. We additionally show the Pearson correlation coefficient for age versus AOU (Figure 7
141 (b)) in order to remove the impacts of temperature of solubility. The area of anomalous correlation
142 (now negative) around depth 500 dbars is greatly reduced in Figure 7 (b), suggesting that a fraction

143 of the positive correlation seen in the age-oxygen correlation is due to solubility. However, this
144 region still has a reduced positive correlation, suggesting some mechanism is impacting the age-
145 AOU relationship.

146 Similar to the analysis of the observational data, we show the scatter plots of age versus oxygen
147 and AOU in Figure 8 (a). The shape of the modeled relationship between age and oxygen is
148 similar to the shape of the observed age-oxygen relationship (Figure 4 (a)). The oxygen and age
149 scatter plot displays this S-type shape. The oxygen minimum is lower in the model, with an
150 oxygen minimum of approximately 140 mol kg⁻¹ (compared to approximately 170 mol kg⁻¹ in
151 the observations). Additionally, the oxygen minimum occurs at a slightly older age of 100 years,
152 compared to the oxygen minimum in the observations, which occurs at a mean age of 75 years.
153 Another difference between the age-oxygen relationships between the model and observations is
154 the age change in the waters under the oxygen minimum. In the observational data, as the oxygen
155 concentration increases (following depth) the age stays relatively constant at 100 years. In the
156 model on the other hand, as the oxygen concentration increases, the age becomes younger, before
157 rapidly increasing.

158 The area of positive correlation between the age and oxygen occurs in the region of the oxygen
159 minimum, when the oxygen begins to increase and while the age is still increasing. Since the
160 shape of the relationship roughly follows depth, movement along this curve corresponds to vertical
161 movement in the water column. In this region, if we move lower in the water column, age increases
162 and oxygen also increases, therefore resulting in a positive correlation. This suggests that vertical
163 movement in the water column could be causing the positive correlation.

164 The modeled age-AOU scatter plot is shown in Figure 8 (b). The modeled relationship has
165 similarities to the observed age-AOU relationship as shown in Figure 4 (b). As in Figure 4, the
166 dashed grey lines represent the linear relationship between the age and AOU. In the upper region

167 of the domain, the age and AOU follow this linear relationship quite closely (with a slope of 1.7).
168 Slightly deeper in the water column, the age and AOU also closely follow a linear line, with a
169 slightly smaller slope (slope of 0.8). In the deeper waters however, the age-AOU break away from
170 the linear model, suggesting additional processes impacting the AOU variability.

171 The region of positive correlation in Figure 8 (a) corresponds to the region of reduced positive
172 correlation at the top of the shape in Figure 8 (b). In this region, at the maximum in the AOU, the
173 age-AOU is not following the anticipated linear relationship, but instead seems to be between two
174 water masses with different remineralization rates (as indicated by the different linear slopes). This
175 result suggests that it is the exchange of water between the two water masses that is contributing
176 to the reduced positive correlation in age versus AOU (or positive in the age-oxygen correlation).

177 This analysis provides some initial insight to why the age-oxygen relationship displays an area
178 of positive correlation. The age-AOU scatter plot suggests that vertical mixing between two water
179 masses may be contributing to the anomalous correlation in the age-oxygen and age-AOU rela-
180 tionships. In the next section we will investigate the mechanisms driving the variability in age and
181 oxygen further.

182 *c. Mechanisms causing positive correlation*

183 Based on the preliminary analysis from both the observational and model data on the age-oxygen
184 relationship, we hypothesize that the positive relationship is due to a significant vertical isopycnal
185 heave coinciding with a same-sign vertical gradient in age and oxygen (in this case a positive
186 vertical gradient). In this section we will investigate this hypothesis further.

187 In order to determine the effects of isopycnal heave on the age-oxygen correlation, we calculate
188 the temporal correlation over the entire North Atlantic basin both on the average depth of various
189 neutral density surfaces:

$$r_{withheave} = corr() \quad (2)$$

Additionally, we calculate the temporal correlation on the time-varying neutral density surfaces:

$$r_{noheave} = corr() \quad (3)$$

In both equations (2) and (3) above, n designates the depth of a neutral density surface and the over bar designates the time average. It is important to note that the correlation of age and oxygen on the average depth of a neutral density surface (Equation 2) includes the influences of isopycnal heave. The calculation of the correlation between age and oxygen on the time-varying neutral density surfaces (Equation 3) does not include the impacts of isopycnal heave. Both of these correlations calculated on various neutral density surfaces are shown in Figure 9. Investigating the correlation on the various density surfaces, we see that the positive correlation seen in the Line W cross section appears on the 27.0 neutral density surface when the correlation includes the effects of heave (Figure 9 (e)). This is consistent with the cross section of the correlation on Line W from Figure 7 (a), where the positive correlation region occurs right along the 27.0 neutral density surface. The positive correlation is not seen on the same density surface without vertical heave (Figure 9 (f)), suggesting that the anomalous correlation is in part due to the heaving of the neutral density surfaces. The lack of positive correlation elsewhere in the North Atlantic basin and elsewhere in the water column suggests that the mechanisms driving this positive correlation is quite isolated to this region at the end of Line W. While the correlation is not positive elsewhere in the basin, the age-oxygen correlation is reduced (just not positive) in the same region at the end of Line W.

208 We additionally look at the correlation of age versus AOU with heave and without heave on
209 various neutral density surfaces in Figure 10. As seen in Figure 7, when the temperature impacts
210 are removed, we see a decrease in the magnitude of the anomalous correlation. This is apparent
211 in Figure 10 (e), where we do not see a negative correlation at the end of Line W, but we do
212 see a decrease in the positive correlation. This decreased positive correlation is seen on neutral
213 density surfaces 26.5, 27.0, and most prominently on 27.5, for both cases of the correlation with
214 heave and without heave. Additionally, the reduced positive correlation is only seen in the region
215 directly around the end of the Line W transect. These results further imply that the mechanism
216 driving the breakdown of the age-oxygen relationship is localized to this region.

217 In order to diagnose what is causing this reduction in the negative age-oxygen correlation and
218 positive age-AOU correlation, we additionally examine a region of the North Atlantic where this
219 breakdown of the age-oxygen relationship does not occur. We refer to this region as Line 40N,
220 a hypothetical transect that extends from Cape Cod eastward along latitude line 40N. This line
221 is shown in Figures 9 and 10. This hypothetical transect follows along a region of the North
222 Atlantic basin where the age-oxygen correlation is strongly negative and the age-AOU correlation
223 is strongly positive for all neutral density surfaces. Comparing Line 40N and Line W allows
224 us to assess the differences between the two and understand why Line W achieves this positive
225 correlation in the age-oxygen relationship. The cross section of age and oxygen climatologies
226 for both Line W and Line 40N is shown in Figure 11. Line 40N has less horizontal variation in
227 the age and oxygen and the neutral density surfaces remain flat across the cross section. Figure
228 11 additionally shows the correlation between age and oxygen. As previously discussed, Line W
229 has a region of positive correlation. Line 40N on the other hand has a strong negative correlation
230 between age and oxygen in the upper 1500 dbars of the cross section. Finally Figure 11 compares

231 the vertical profiles of age and oxygen along both lines. There appears to be less of a vertical offset
232 between the age maximum and oxygen minimum on Line 40N compared with Line W.

233 From Figure 9, we demonstrated that the positive correlation on line W is likely due in part to
234 vertical heaving of the isopycnal surfaces acting on the background gradient in age and oxygen.
235 In Figure 12 we examine this further. Figure 12 (a) and (b) show the vertical gradient for age
236 and oxygen for Line W and Line 40N respectively. Line W shows a depth region where both the
237 vertical gradients in age and oxygen are positive (600-800m depth), where the age and oxygen
238 correlation are both positive. Line 40N also has a similar region where the gradients are both
239 positive, although the gradients are smaller (about half) than Line W. Figure 12 (c) shows the
240 standard deviation of neutral density as a function of depth for Line W (black line) and Line 40N
241 (green line). The standard deviations are similar, though Line W is slightly more. These results
242 suggest that the vertical gradients in age and oxygen along with the vertical movement of the
243 isopycnal surfaces are not substantially different between the two lines and are therefore not the
244 primary reason for the positive correlation along Line W.

245 One possible reason Line 40N does maintains a strong negative correlation is due to strong
246 along-isopycnal variability relative to Line W. In order to diagnose the relative contributions of
247 this along-isopycnal variability (often referred to as the spice component) and the isopycnal heave
248 variability, we break the time rate of change of age and oxygen as follows:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \quad (4)$$

249 where the first term on the right hand side refers to the spice contribution and the second term
250 on the right hand side refers to the heave contribution. Along line 40N, the spice contribution is
251 much larger than along Line W (Figure 13). This suggests that the age and oxygen is driven by
252 the along-isopycnal variability more so than the vertical heaving of the isopycnals.

253 **4. Conclusions**

254 *Acknowledgments.* This research was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF)
255 under the grant FESD-1338814.

256 **References**