Professional Experience Placement 2 – Interim Report



SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Introduction

The purpose of this template is to guide reflective discussions between the Mentor Teacher and the Pre-Service Teacher early in the Professional Experience Placement to establish initial performance against the APST. These discussions will inform actions for improvement and setting shared understandings of the expectations of the Pre-Service Teacher's performance at our School.

Where a standard has not been met because there has not been an opportunity to demonstrate capabilities or knowledge in that area, this should be noted explicitly in the rubric.

If the Mentor Teacher believes that the Pre-Service Teacher reflected the tenor of the rating, but their attributes are not reflected in the examples given, they should give the rating that they think best reflects the Pre-Service teacher's standards and provide supportive evidence in their qualitative feedback. Clarifying comments can be added.

Pre-Service Teacher:

Pre-Service Teacher Name:	Pre-Service Teacher ID:	
Jeremy Hughes	1646624	

Classroom Practice

APST 1: Know the students and how they learn

How would you grade this preservice teacher against APST 1?

- O Below Graduate Standard: Insufficient willingness to engage with the needs of individual students and with the school as a place of learning
- Meets Graduate Standard: Clear evidence of intiative and willingness to engage positively with the needs of individual students and with the school as a place of learning
- O Exceeds Graduate Standard: Strong intiative and willingness to engage positively with the needs of individual students and with the school as a place of learning

Optional Comments:

Jeremy has shown willingness to familiarise himself with the needs of individual students and given thought to their conceptual development.

Knowledge of Content and Curricula

APST 2: Know the content and how to teach it

How would you grade this preservice teacher against APST 2?

- O Below Graduate Standard: Insufficient or erroneous knowledge of content and curricula
- O Meets Graduate Standard: Sound knowleged of content; clear understanding of curricular needs
- Exceeds Graduate Standard: Strong and self-reliant knowledge of content; imaginative application of curricula

Optional Comments:

Jeremy has demonstrated a thorough and detailed understanding of all content knowledge. He has used his content knowledge to produce imaginative applications in algebra, trigonometry and geometry

v would you grade this pre- Optional Comments:
vice teacher against APST 3?
Below Graduate Standard: ufficient evidence of nning or preparation for ching Jeremy has thoughtfully planned all lessons and included some imaginative methods (for example a
Meets Graduate Standard: ar evidence of planning and paration for teaching Exceeds Graduate Standard: nning and/or preparation for ching that extends beyond student's own class successful lesson in games- based algebra learning). He is cognisant of areas where refinement and adaption are required (for example in lesson starting and clarity of intentions)
v would you grade this pre- vice teacher against APST 4? Below Graduate Standard: Upon encountering challenging
classes, Jeremy has responded by implementing strategies to mange the students mangement skills Exceeds Graduate Standard: Exceeds Graduate Standard: Infident classroom Inagement skills
by implement skills Meets Graduate Standard: mange the streetive classroom nagement skills Exceeds Graduate Standard: nfident classroom

3 of 5

Assessment and Feedback

APST 5: Assess, provide feedback and report on student learning

How would you grade this preservice teacher against APST 5?

- O Below Graduate Standard:
 Insufficient evidence of the use of assessment as a tool to understand student achievement and the effectiveness of teaching. No, limited or late feedback.
 Insufficient differentiation in assessment processes
- Meets Graduate Standard: Competent and considered assessment. Useful and timely feedback. Evidence of

differentiation in assessment processes

Exceeds Graduate Standard: Proficient and reflective assessment. Timely and useful feedback linked to strenghts and weaknesses of individual school students. Creativity in assessment processes

Optional Comments:

Jeremy is aware of the benefits of feedback and has already put in place various methods of providing feedback

Professional Relationships

APST 6: Engage with Professional Learning

APST 7: Engage professionally with colleagues, parents/carers and the community

How would you grade this preservice teacher against APST 6 & 7?

- O Below Graduate Standard: Little or no commitment to the school, professional colleagues and students
- Meets Graduate Standard: Sound commitment to the school, professional colleagues and students
- O Exceeds Graduate Standard: Strong commitment to the school, professional colleagues and students

Optional Comments:

Jeremy has engaged with professional learning through attendance at staff/faculty meetings and cocurricular activities

Mentor Teacher Verification:

Once you have completed the interim report, please click on the Mentor Teacher Verification below and the form will be sent to me electronically.

Actioned by Ian Thomson on 2/08/2018 10:13:42 AM